

ON BOREL-TYPE METHODS, II

DAVID BORWEIN AND BRUCE L. R. SHAWYER

(Received February 6, 1967)

1. Introduction. In this paper, we define, and investigate the properties of the strong Borel-type methods $[B', \alpha, \beta]_p$, $[B, \alpha, \beta]_p$, which, when the index $p=1$, reduce to the methods $[B', \alpha, \beta]$, $[B, \alpha, \beta]$ considered in [1]. We use * to designate generalization of theorems, lemmas and definitions of [1]: e.g. Theorem 3* is a generalization of Theorem 3 of [1].

Suppose that σ , a_n ($n = 0, 1, \dots$) are arbitrary complex numbers, that $\alpha > 0$, that β is real and that N is a positive integer greater than $-\beta/\alpha$. Whenever $q > 1$, q' denotes the number conjugate to q , so that

$$\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1.$$

Let x be a real variable in the range $[0, \infty)$: in all limits and order relations involving x , it is to be understood that $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Let

$$s_n = \sum_{\nu=0}^n a_\nu, \quad s_{-1} = 0, \quad \sigma_N = \sigma - s_{N-1},$$

and define Borel-type sums

$$a_{\alpha, \beta}(x) = \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{a_n x^{\alpha n + \beta - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha n + \beta)}; \quad s_{\alpha, \beta}(x) = \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{s_n x^{\alpha n + \beta - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha n + \beta)}.$$

It is known that the convergence of either series for all $x \geq 0$ implies the convergence, for all $x \geq 0$, of the other.

Borel-type means are defined by

$$A_{\alpha, \beta}(x) = \int_0^x e^{-t} a_{\alpha, \beta}(t) dt; \quad S_{\alpha, \beta}(x) = \alpha e^{-x} s_{\alpha, \beta}(x).$$

Borel-type methods are defined as follows :

1. Summability :

- (i) If $A_{\alpha,\beta}(x) \rightarrow \sigma_N$, we say that $s_n \rightarrow \sigma(B', \alpha, \beta)$,
- (ii) If $S_{\alpha,\beta}(x) \rightarrow \sigma$, we say that $s_n \rightarrow \sigma(B, \alpha, \beta)$.

3*. Strong summability with index p :

- (i) If

$$\int_0^x e^t |A_{\alpha,\beta-1}(t) - \sigma_N|^p dt = o(e^x),$$

we say that $s_n \rightarrow \sigma[B', \alpha, \beta]_p$.

- (ii) If

$$\int_0^x e^t |S_{\alpha,\beta-1}(t) - \sigma|^p dt = o(e^x),$$

we say that $s_n \rightarrow \sigma[B, \alpha, \beta]_p$.

We assume henceforth that the series defining $a_{\alpha,\beta}(x)$, $s_{\alpha,\beta}(x)$ are convergent for all $x \geq 0$, and, since the actual choice of N in the definitions is clearly immaterial, that $\alpha N + \beta \geq 2$. The functions $a_{\alpha,\beta}(x)$, $a_{\alpha,\beta-1}(x)$, $s_{\alpha,\beta}(x)$ and $s_{\alpha,\beta-1}(x)$ are then all continuous for $x \geq 0$. Further, we assume, without loss of generality, that $a_0 = a_1 = \dots = a_{N-1} = 0$, so that $\sigma_N = \sigma$.

Given a function $f(x)$, we write for $\delta > 0$

$$f_\delta(x) = \{\Gamma(\delta)\}^{-1} \int_0^x (x-t)^{\delta-1} f(t) dt$$

whenever the integral exists in the Lebesgue sense.

2. Preliminary Results.

LEMMA A. Suppose that $f(t)$ is a non-negative function, integrable L in every finite interval $(0, x)$, that $\alpha > 0$ and that $\alpha + \beta > 0$. Then

$$\int_0^x f(t) dt = o(e^{\alpha x})$$

if and only if

$$\int_0^x e^{\beta t} f(t) dt = o(e^{(\alpha+\beta)x}).$$

This can readily be proved by integration by parts.

LEMMA B. *If $f(t)$ is non-negative and integrable L^p in every finite interval $(0, x)$, where $p > 1$, then, for $0 < \delta < 1/p$ and $q = p/(1-\delta p)$, $f_\delta(t)$ is integrable L^q in every finite interval $(0, x)$ and*

$$\left(\int_0^x \{f_\delta(t)\}^q dt \right)^{1/q} \leq K \left(\int_0^x \{f(t)\}^p dt \right)^{1/p}$$

where K is a constant independent of x .

For a proof, see [2], page 290, Theorem 393.

LEMMA 5*. *If $f(t)$ is integrable L^p in every finite interval $(0, x)$, where $p \geq 1$, and*

$$\int_0^x |f(t)|^p dt = o(e^{px}),$$

then, for $q \geq p$ and $\delta > \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}$, $f_\delta(x)$ is integrable L^q in every finite interval $(0, x)$, and

$$\int_0^x |f_\delta(t)|^q dt = o(e^{qx}).$$

PROOF. Let $0 < \mu < 1$, $\frac{1}{\lambda} = 1 - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$, so that $(\delta-1)\lambda > -1$. Using Hölder's inequality twice, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |f_\delta(x)|^p &\leq \left(\int_0^x |f(u)|(x-u)^{\delta-1} du \right)^p \\ &\leq e^{p\mu x} \int_0^x |f(u)|^p (x-u)^{(\delta-1)\lambda p/q} e^{-p\mu u} du \left(\int_0^x (x-u)^{(\delta-1)\lambda} e^{-p\mu(x-u)/(p-1)} du \right)^{p-1} \text{ 1)} \\ &\leq K e^{p\mu x} \left(\int_0^x |f(u)|^p (x-u)^{(\delta-1)\lambda} e^{-p\mu u} du \right)^{p/q} \left(\int_0^x |f(u)|^p e^{-p\mu u} du \right)^{1-p/q} \end{aligned}$$

1) The second integral does not appear when $p=1$.

where, since $(\delta-1)\lambda > -1$, K is finite and independent of x ; whence in view of Lemma A with $\alpha = q$, $\beta = -\mu q$,

$$|f_\delta(x)|^q = o \left(e^{q\mu x} e^{(q-p)(1-\mu)x} \int_0^x |f(u)|^p (x-u)^{(\delta-1)\lambda} e^{-p\mu u} du \right).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^x |f_\delta(t)|^q e^{-(p-q)t} dt &= o \left(\int_0^x |f(u)|^p e^{-p\mu u} du \int_u^x (t-u)^{(\delta-1)\lambda} e^{p\mu t} dt \right) \\ &= o \left(\int_0^x |f(u)|^p du \right) = o(e^{px}) \end{aligned}$$

since $(\delta-1)\lambda > -1$, and so, in view of Lemma A with $\alpha = p$, $\beta = q-p$,

$$\int_0^x |f_\delta(t)|^q dt = o(e^{qx}).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 5*.

3. Theorems. This section is divided into two parts. The first contains theorems concerning relations between methods of the same type: that is between “ B ” methods or between “ B' ” methods. The second contains theorems giving interrelations between “ B ” and “ B' ” methods.

3.1. To each “ B ” theorem stated in this section, there corresponds an exactly analogous “ B' ” theorem which can be proved by replacing “ B ” by “ B' ”, “ σ ” by “ σ_N ” and “ $S_{\alpha,\beta}(x)$ ” by “ $A_{\alpha,\beta}(x)$ ” respectively in the appropriate proof outlined below.

THEOREM 3*. *If $s_n \rightarrow \sigma[B, \alpha, \beta]_q$ then $s_n \rightarrow \sigma(B, \alpha, \beta-\delta)$ where $q > 1$ and $\delta < (q-1)/q$.*

PROOF. Assume without loss of generality, that $\sigma = 0$. Let $0 < \theta < 1$. Using Lemma A with $\alpha = q$, $\beta = -\theta q$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Gamma(1-\delta)s_{\alpha,\beta-\delta}(x)| &= \left| \int_0^x (x-t)^{-\delta} s_{\alpha,\beta-1}(t) dt \right| \\
&\leq \left\{ \int_0^x e^{-\theta qt} |s_{\alpha,\beta-1}(t)|^q dt \right\}^{1/q} \left\{ \int_0^x e^{\theta q' t} (x-t)^{-\delta q'} dt \right\}^{1/q'} \\
&= o \left(e^{(1-\theta)x} \left\{ \int_0^x e^{\theta q' (x-u)} u^{-\delta q'} du \right\}^{1/q'} \right) \\
&= o(e^x)
\end{aligned}$$

(since $\delta q' < 1$, $\int_0^\infty e^{-\theta q' u} u^{-\delta q'} du < \infty$), and so it follows that $s_n \rightarrow 0 (B, \alpha, \beta - \delta)$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 3*.

THEOREM 5*. *If $s_n \rightarrow \sigma(B, \alpha, \beta)$ then $s_n \rightarrow \sigma[B, \alpha, \beta + 1]_q$ where $q > 0$.*

This follows immediately from the definitions.

THEOREM 9*. *If $s_n \rightarrow \sigma[B, \alpha, \beta]_p$ then $s_n \rightarrow \sigma[B, \alpha, \beta + \delta]_q$ provided*

i) $p > q > 0$, $\delta = 0$,

or ii) $q \geq p \geq 1$, $\delta > \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}$,

or iii) $q > p > 1$, $\delta = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}$.

PROOF. Using Hölder's inequality, we obtain, for $p > q > 0$, that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^x e^t |S_{\alpha,\beta-1}(t) - \sigma|^q dt &\leq \left\{ \int_0^x e^t |S_{\alpha,\beta-1}(t) - \sigma|^p dt \right\}^{q/p} \left\{ \int_0^x e^t dt \right\}^{1-q/p} \\
&= o(e^x),
\end{aligned}$$

from which case (i) follows.

Case (ii) can readily be proved by means of Lemmas A and 5*, and case (iii) by means of Lemmas A and B. The final theorem in this section exhibits an exact relation between the strong and ordinary methods; it can be proved in a similar way to Theorem 11 of [1] by using Minkowski's inequality instead of the triangle inequality.

THEOREM 11*. *For $q > 1$, $s_n \rightarrow \sigma[B, \alpha, \beta]_q$ if and only if $s_n \rightarrow \sigma(B, \alpha, \beta)$*

and

$$\int_0^x e^t |S_{\alpha, \beta}^r(t)|^q dt = o(e^x).$$

3.2.

THEOREM 15*. For $q > 1$, $s_n \rightarrow \sigma[B, \alpha, \beta]_q$ if and only if $s_n \rightarrow \sigma[B', \alpha, \beta]_q$ and $a_n \rightarrow 0[B, \alpha, \beta]_q$.

THEOREM 18*. For $q > 1$, $s_n \rightarrow \sigma[B', \alpha, \beta]_q$ if and only if $s_n \rightarrow \sigma[B, \alpha, \beta + 1]_q$.

Proofs of these theorems can be constructed from the proofs of Theorems 15 and 18 of [1], by using

- i) Theorems 3*, 11* instead of Theorems 3, 11 of [1],
- ii) Lemma A to give equivalent statements about means and sums,

e.g.
$$\int_0^x e^t |S_{\alpha, \beta}^r(t)|^q dt = o(e^x)$$

if and only if

$$\int_0^x |s_{\alpha, \beta}(t) - s_{\alpha, \beta-1}(t)|^q dt = o(e^{qx}),$$

- iii) Lemma 5* with $p = q$ instead of Lemma 5 of [1],
- iv) Minkowski's inequality instead of the triangle inequality,
- v) (applicable only to the proof of Theorem 18*), Theorem 15* instead of Theorem 15 of [1].

REFERENCES

- [1] D. BORWEIN AND B. L. R. SHAWYER, On Borel-type Methods, Tôhoku Math. Journ., 18 (1966), 283-298.
- [2] G. H. HARDY, J. E. LITTLEWOOD AND G. PÓLYA, Inequalities, Cambridge University Press, (1934).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
THE UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO,
LONDON, ONTARIO, CANADA.