

ON C-KILLING FORMS IN A COMPACT SASAKIAN SPACE

YÔSUKE OGAWA

(Received July 10, 1967)

Introduction. On a normal contact metric space (=Sasakian space), we studied in a former paper [4] C -harmonic forms which are in certain sense an extension of harmonic forms. There we defined two operators Γ and D which correspond to the exterior differential operator d and the co-differential operator δ in a Riemann space. In this paper we get in the first place an integral formula for Γ and D .

On the other hand it is well known that for any harmonic form in a compact Riemann space, the Lie derivative with respect to a Killing form always vanishes. In order to obtain its analogy for C -harmonic forms in a compact Sasakian space, we introduce the notion of C -Killing forms. Then we shall show as an application of the integral formula for Γ and D that for any C -harmonic form in a compact Sasakian space its Lie derivative with respect to a C -Killing form must be zero. Lastly we treat with the case of a compact regular Sasakian space.

We suppose that manifolds are connected and the differentiable structures are of class C^∞ .

I should like to express my hearty thanks to Professor S.Tachibana for his kind suggestions and many valuable criticisms.

1. Preliminaries. An n -dimensional Riemannian space M^n is called a Sasakian space if it admits a unit Killing vector field $\eta^{\lambda 1)}$ satisfying

$$(1. 1) \quad \nabla_\lambda \nabla_\mu \eta_\nu = \eta_\mu g_{\lambda\nu} - \eta_\nu g_{\lambda\mu}$$

where $g_{\lambda\mu}$ is the metric tensor of M^n . It is well known that M^n is orientable and n is odd. We put $\varphi_{\lambda\mu} = \nabla_\lambda \eta_\mu$, $\varphi_{\lambda}{}^\mu = \varphi_{\lambda\rho} g^{\rho\mu}$. Then there exist some well-known identities, as follows (see Tachibana [2])

$$(1. 2) \quad \nabla_\lambda \varphi_{\mu\nu} = \eta_\mu g_{\lambda\nu} - \eta_\nu g_{\lambda\mu},$$

$$(1. 3) \quad R_{\lambda\mu\nu\sigma} \eta^\sigma = \eta_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} - \eta_\mu g_{\lambda\nu},$$

$$(1. 4) \quad \nabla^\lambda \varphi_{\lambda\mu} = -(n-1)\eta_\mu, \quad \eta^\lambda R_{\lambda\mu} = (n-1)\eta_\mu,$$

1) The Greek indices λ, μ, ν, \dots run from 1 to n .

$$(1.5) \quad R_{\mu\rho}\varphi_{\lambda}^{\rho} = -R_{\lambda\rho}\varphi_{\mu}^{\rho}, \quad R_{\mu}^{\rho}\varphi_{\rho}^{\lambda} = R_{\rho}^{\lambda}\varphi_{\mu}^{\rho}.$$

We denote by $e(\eta)$ and L (resp. $i(\eta)$ and Λ) the exterior product (resp. inner product) of 1-form η and 2-form $d\eta$, then we have [4]

$$(1.6) \quad L = e(\eta)d + de(\eta),$$

$$(1.7) \quad \Lambda = i(\eta)\delta + \delta i(\eta).$$

We take an arbitrary 1-form ξ . Then the inner product $\Lambda_{\xi} = i(d\xi)$ for any p -form $u = (u_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_p})$ can be written by

$$(\Lambda_{\xi}u)_{\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p} = \nabla^{\rho}\xi^{\sigma}u_{\rho\sigma\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p} \quad (p \geq 2)$$

and $\Lambda_{\xi}u = 0$ if p is 0 or 1. We can easily obtain

$$(1.8) \quad \Lambda_{\xi} = \delta i(\xi) + i(\xi)\delta.$$

The operators $\Phi, \nabla_{\eta}, \Gamma$ and D for any p -form $u = (u_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_p})$ are defined by

$$(1.9) \quad (\Phi u)_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_p} = \sum_{i=1}^p \varphi_{\lambda_i}^{\sigma} u_{\lambda_1 \dots \hat{\lambda}_i \dots \lambda_p}, \quad (p \geq 1)$$

$$(1.10) \quad (\nabla_{\eta}u)_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_p} = \eta^{\sigma} \nabla_{\sigma} u_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_p}, \quad (p \geq 0)$$

$$(1.11) \quad (\Gamma u)_{\lambda_0 \dots \lambda_p} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^p (-1)^{\alpha} \varphi_{\lambda_{\alpha}}^{\sigma} \nabla_{\sigma} u_{\lambda_0 \dots \hat{\lambda}_{\alpha} \dots \lambda_p}, \quad (p \geq 0)$$

$$(1.12) \quad (Du)_{\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p} = \varphi^{\sigma\rho} \nabla_{\sigma} u_{\rho\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p}, \quad (p \geq 1)$$

where $u_{\lambda_1 \dots \hat{\lambda}_i \dots \lambda_p}$ means the subscript σ appears at the i -th position and $u_{\lambda_0 \dots \hat{\lambda}_{\alpha} \dots \lambda_p}$ means the α -th subscript λ_{α} is omitted.

We denote by $\theta(\xi)$ the Lie derivative with respect to a vector field ξ^{λ} . For a 1-form $\xi = (\xi_{\lambda})$, identifying the covariant vector field with a contravariant vector field by the metric tensor, we also denote by $\theta(\xi)$ the Lie derivative of the vector field $\xi^{\lambda} = g^{\lambda\mu}\xi_{\mu}$.

Let the space M^n be compact. Then the global inner product of any p -forms u and v is given by

$$(u, v) = \int_{M^n} u \wedge *v$$

where the notations $*$ and \wedge represent the dual operator and exterior

product respectively. The dual operator $*$ satisfies

$$(1.13) \quad ** = \text{identity.}$$

A p -form u on a Sasakian space is called to be C -harmonic if it satisfies

$$(1.14) \quad du = 0, \quad \delta u = e(\eta)\Delta u.$$

Then clearly C -harmonic 1-forms are harmonic, and the converse is true. On C -harmonic forms, the following results are known [3], [4].

PROPOSITION 1.1. *In a compact Sasakian space, any C -harmonic p -form u ($p \leq (n-1)/2$) satisfies $i(\eta)u = 0$.*

PROPOSITION 1.2. *In a compact Sasakian space, for any C -harmonic p -form u ($p \leq (n-1)/2$) Δu is also C -harmonic.*

PROPOSITION 1.3. *In a compact Sasakian space, a p -form u ($p \leq (n-1)/2$) is C -harmonic if and only if it satisfies $i(\eta)u = 0$ and $\Delta u = L\Delta u$, where Δ is the Laplacian.*

2. Integral formulas. In the following we consider a compact Sasakian space M^n . As for the operators Γ and D , we know the following relations.

LEMMA 2.1. [4] *In a Sasakian space, we have for any p -form u*

$$(2. 1) \quad Du = \delta \nabla_\eta u - \nabla_\eta \delta u + (n - p)i(\eta)u,$$

$$(2. 2) \quad \Gamma u = d \nabla_\eta u - \nabla_\eta d u - p e(\eta)u.$$

LEMMA 2.2. *In a Sasakian space, we have for any p -form u and q -form v*

$$(2. 3) \quad \Gamma(u \wedge v) = \Gamma u \wedge v + (-1)^p u \wedge \Gamma v,$$

$$(2. 4) \quad * \Gamma * v = (-1)^p Dv.$$

PROOF. Since it holds good for forms $u = (u_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_p})$ and $v = (v_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_q})$

$$(u \wedge v)_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{p+q}} = \frac{1}{p!q!} \varepsilon_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_p \lambda_{p+1} \dots \lambda_{p+q}}^{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_p \sigma_{p+1} \dots \sigma_{p+q}} u_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_p} v_{\sigma_{p+1} \dots \sigma_{p+q}} \quad (k' = p+k, k \cong 1, \dots, q),$$

we have

$$(\Gamma(u \wedge v))_{\lambda_0 \dots \lambda_{p+q}} = \varphi_{\lambda_0}^{\rho} \nabla_\rho (u \wedge v)_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{p+q}} - \sum_{i=1}^p \varphi_{\lambda_i}^{\rho} \nabla_\rho (u \wedge v)_{\lambda_1 \dots \hat{\lambda}_i \dots \lambda_p \lambda_{p+1} \dots \lambda_{p+q}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \sum_{j=1}^q \varphi_{\lambda_j'}^{\rho} \nabla_{\rho} (u \wedge v)_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_p \lambda_1' \dots \lambda_0' \dots \lambda_q'} \\
 & = \frac{1}{p!q!} \left\{ \varphi_{\lambda_0}^{\rho} \mathcal{E}_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{p+q}}^{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_{p+q}} \nabla_{\rho} (u_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_p} v_{\sigma_1' \dots \sigma_q'}) - \sum_{i=1}^p \varphi_{\lambda_i}^{\rho} \mathcal{E}_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_q \dots \lambda_p \lambda_1' \dots \lambda_q'}^{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_p \sigma_1' \dots \sigma_q'} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \times \nabla_{\rho} (u_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_p} v_{\sigma_1' \dots \sigma_q'}) - \sum_{j=1}^q \varphi_{\lambda_j'}^{\rho} \mathcal{E}_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_p \lambda_1' \dots \lambda_0' \dots \lambda_q'}^{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_p \sigma_1' \dots \sigma_q'} \nabla_{\rho} (u_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_p} v_{\sigma_1' \dots \sigma_q'}) \right\} \\
 & = \frac{1}{p!q!} \varphi_{\sigma_0}^{\rho} \mathcal{E}_{\lambda_0 \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{p+q}}^{\sigma_0 \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_{p+q}} \nabla_{\rho} (u_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_p} v_{\sigma_1' \dots \sigma_q'}).
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\Gamma u \wedge v)_{\lambda_0 \dots \lambda_{p+q}} & = \frac{1}{(p+1)!q!} \mathcal{E}_{\lambda_0 \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_p \lambda_1' \dots \lambda_q'}^{\sigma_0 \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_p \sigma_1' \dots \sigma_q'} \left\{ \varphi_{\sigma_0}^{\rho} \nabla_{\rho} u_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_p} v_{\sigma_1' \dots \sigma_q'} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \sum_{i=1}^p \varphi_{\sigma_i}^{\rho} \nabla_{\rho} u_{\sigma_1 \dots \hat{\sigma}_i \dots \sigma_p} v_{\sigma_1' \dots \sigma_q'} \right\} \\
 & = \frac{1}{p!q!} \mathcal{E}_{\lambda_0 \dots \lambda_q'}^{\sigma_0 \dots \sigma_q'} \varphi_{\sigma_0}^{\rho} \nabla_{\rho} u_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_p} v_{\sigma_1' \dots \sigma_q'}.
 \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we get

$$(\Gamma v \wedge u)_{\lambda_0 \dots \lambda_{p+q}} = \frac{1}{p!q!} \mathcal{E}_{\lambda_0 \dots \lambda_{p+q}}^{\sigma_0 \dots \sigma_{p+q}} \varphi_{\sigma_0}^{\rho} \nabla_{\rho} v_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_q} u_{\sigma_{q+1} \dots \sigma_{p+q}}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (u \wedge \Gamma v)_{\lambda_0 \dots \lambda_{p+q}} & = (-1)^{p(q+1)} \frac{1}{p!q!} \mathcal{E}_{\lambda_0 \dots \lambda_q \lambda_{q+1} \dots \lambda_{p+q}}^{\sigma_0 \dots \sigma_q \sigma_{q+1} \dots \sigma_{q+p}} \varphi_{\rho_0}^{\rho} u_{\sigma_{q+1} \dots \sigma_{p+q}} \nabla_{\rho} v_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_q} \\
 & = (-1)^{p(q+1)+qp} \frac{1}{p!q!} \mathcal{E}_{\lambda_0 \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_p \lambda_1' \dots \lambda_q'}^{\sigma_0 \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_p \sigma_1' \dots \sigma_q'} \varphi_{\sigma_0}^{\rho} u_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_p} \nabla_{\rho} v_{\sigma_1' \dots \sigma_q'} \\
 & = (-1)^p \frac{1}{p!q!} \mathcal{E}_{\lambda_0 \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{p+q}}^{\sigma_0 \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_{p+q}} \varphi_{\sigma_0}^{\rho} u_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_p} \nabla_{\rho} v_{\sigma_1' \dots \sigma_q'}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can obtain

$$(\Gamma u \wedge v)_{\lambda_0 \dots \lambda_{p+q}} + (-1)^p (u \wedge \Gamma v)_{\lambda_0 \dots \lambda_{p+q}} = \frac{1}{p!q!} \mathcal{E}_{\lambda_0 \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{p+q}}^{\sigma_0 \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_{p+q}} \varphi_{\sigma_0}^{\rho}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times (\nabla_\rho u_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_q} v_{\sigma_1' \dots \sigma_q'} + u_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_p} \nabla_\rho v_{\sigma_1' \dots \sigma_q'}) \\ & = \Gamma(u \wedge v)_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{p+q}} \end{aligned}$$

Next we calculate (2.4). We write $g = \det(g_{\lambda\mu})$ and $\varepsilon_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n} = \varepsilon_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n}^1 \dots \varepsilon_{\lambda_n}^n$. Then we have for any p -form u

$$\begin{aligned} (*\Gamma *u)_{\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p} &= \frac{1}{(n-p+1)!} \sqrt{g} g^{\sigma_0 \mu_0} \dots g^{\sigma_{n-p} \mu_{n-p}} \left(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{n-p} (-1)^\alpha \varphi_{\sigma_\alpha}^\rho \nabla_\rho (*u)_{\sigma_0 \dots \hat{\sigma}_\alpha \dots \sigma_{n-p}} \right) \varepsilon_{\mu_0 \dots \mu_{n-p} \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p} \\ &= \frac{1}{(n-p)!} (-1)^{\alpha+\alpha-1} \sqrt{g} g^{\sigma_0 \mu_0} \dots g^{\sigma_{n-p} \mu_{n-p}} \varphi_{\sigma_0}^\rho \nabla_\rho (*u)_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_{n-p}} \varepsilon_{\mu_0 \mu_1 \dots \mu_{n-p} \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p} \\ &= \frac{g}{(n-p)! p!} g^{\sigma_1 \mu_1} \dots g^{\sigma_{n-p} \mu_{n-p}} g^{\alpha_1 \beta_1} \dots g^{\alpha_p \beta_p} \varphi^{\mu_0 \rho} \nabla_\rho u_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_p} \varepsilon_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_{n-p}} \varepsilon_{\mu_0 \dots \mu_{n-p} \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p} \\ &= \frac{1}{(n-p)! p!} (-1)^{(n-p)(p-1)} \varepsilon^{\beta_1 \dots \beta_p \mu_1 \dots \mu_{n-p}} \varepsilon_{\mu_0 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p \mu_1 \dots \mu_{n-p}} \varphi^{\mu_0 \rho} \nabla_\rho u_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_p} \\ &= \frac{1}{p!} (-1)^{n(p+1)} \varepsilon_{\mu_0 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p}^{\beta_1 \dots \beta_p} \varphi^{\mu_0 \rho} \nabla_\rho u_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_p} \\ &= (-1)^{n p + n + 1} (Du)_{\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p} \end{aligned}$$

Since n is odd, we have $(-1)^{n p + n + 1} = (-1)^p$, hence (2.4) is obtained.

LEMMA 2.3. *In a Sasakian space, we have for any forms u and v*

$$(2.5) \quad \nabla_\eta(u \wedge v) = \nabla_\eta u \wedge v + u \wedge \nabla_\eta v,$$

$$(2.6) \quad * \nabla_\eta * u = \nabla_\eta u.$$

PROOF. We take $u = (u_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_p})$, $v = (v_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_q})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_\eta(u \wedge v))_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{p+q}} &= \eta^\rho \nabla_\rho (\varepsilon_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{p+q}}^{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_{p+q}} u_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_p} v_{\sigma_1' \dots \sigma_q'}) \\ &= \varepsilon_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{p+q}}^{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_{p+q}} (\eta^\rho \nabla_\rho u_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_p} v_{\sigma_1' \dots \sigma_q'} + u_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_p} \eta^\rho \nabla_\rho v_{\sigma_1' \dots \sigma_q'}) \\ &= (\nabla_\eta u \wedge v)_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{p+q}} + (u \wedge \nabla_\eta v)_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{p+q}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(* \nabla_\eta * u)_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_p} = \frac{1}{(n-p)!} \sqrt{g} g^{\sigma_1 \mu_1} \dots g^{\sigma_{n-p} \mu_{n-p}} \eta^\rho \nabla_\rho (*u)_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_{n-p}} \varepsilon_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{n-p} \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_p}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{g}{(n-p)!p!} g^{\sigma_1\mu_1} \cdots g^{\sigma_{n-p}\mu_{n-p}} g^{\alpha_1\beta_1} \cdots g^{\alpha_p\beta_p} \eta^\rho \nabla_\rho u_{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_p} \mathcal{E}_{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_p \tau_1 \cdots \sigma_{n-p}} \mathcal{E}_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_{n-p} \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_p} \\
 &= \frac{1}{(n-p)!p!} \mathcal{E}^{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_p \mu_1 \cdots \mu_{n-p}} \mathcal{E}_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_{n-p} \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_p} \eta^\rho \nabla_\rho u_{\beta_1 \cdots \beta_p} \\
 &= (-1)^{p(n-p)} (\nabla_\eta u)_{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_p}.
 \end{aligned}$$

As n is odd, $(-1)^{p(n-p)} = 1$ for any p , thus we get (2.6).

Since η is a Killing form, the Lie derivative $\theta(\eta)$ with respect to η satisfies the following relations [4].

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.7) \quad & \theta(\eta) = -\delta e(\eta) - e(\eta)\delta, \\
 & *\theta(\eta)* = \theta(\eta).
 \end{aligned}$$

The operator Φ satisfies for any u

$$(2.8) \quad \Phi u = \theta(\eta)u - \nabla_\eta u.$$

Therefore by virtue of Lemma 2.3 and the fact that $\theta(\eta)$ is a derivation, we have

LEMMA 2.4. [6] *In a Sasakian space, we have for any forms u and v*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.9) \quad & \Phi(u \wedge v) = \Phi u \wedge v + u \wedge \Phi v, \\
 & *\Phi*u = \Phi u.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using these Lemmas, we study some integral formulas in compact case.

THEOREM 2.5. *In a compact Sasakian space, we have for any p -forms u and v*

$$(2.10) \quad (\nabla_\eta u, v) = -(u, \nabla_\eta v),$$

$$(2.11) \quad (\theta(\eta)u, v) = -(u, \theta(\eta)v),$$

$$(2.12) \quad (\Phi u, v) = -(u, \Phi v).$$

PROOF. From (2.5) and (2.6) we have

$$\nabla_\eta u \wedge *v = \nabla_\eta(u \wedge *v) - u \wedge *\nabla_\eta v.$$

As $u \wedge *v$ is an n -form, there exists a function f on M^n such that $u \wedge *v$ is

equal to $f\omega$, where ω is the volume element of M^n . It is known that ω is written as $c\eta \wedge (d\eta)^m$ ($m=(n-1)/2$), c is a constant [5]. Since the relations

$$\nabla_\eta \eta = 0, \quad \nabla_\eta d\eta = 0$$

hold good, we have

$$\nabla_\eta (u \wedge *v) = \nabla_\eta f\omega = -\delta(f\eta)\omega.$$

Hence we get

$$\int_{M^n} \nabla_\eta (u \wedge *v) = 0,$$

which means (2.10) is true. (2.11) is the result of (2.7) and

$$\theta(\eta) = di(\eta) + i(\eta)d.$$

(2.12) follows from (2.10), (2.11) and (2.8).

THEOREM 2.6. *In a compact n -dimensional Sasakian space, we have for any p -form u and $(p+1)$ -form v*

$$(2.13) \quad (\Gamma u, v) = (u, Dv) - (n-1)(e(\eta)u, v).$$

PROOF. By virtue of Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.5, we see

$$\begin{aligned} (\Gamma u, v) &= (\nabla_\eta u, \delta v) - (\nabla_\eta du, v) - p(u, i(\eta)v) \\ &= (u, -\nabla_\eta \delta v + \delta \nabla_\eta v - pi(\eta)v) \\ &= (u, Dv - (n-p-1)i(\eta)v - pi(\eta)v) \\ &= (u, Dv) - (n-1)(u, i(\eta)v). \end{aligned}$$

This is the required result.

3. C-Killing forms. Let M^n be an n -dimensional Sasakian space. We call a 1-form ξ of M^n to be C-Killing if it satisfies

$$(3. 1) \quad \delta\xi = 0,$$

$$(3. 2) \quad \theta(\xi)(g_{\lambda\mu} - \eta_\lambda \eta_\mu) = 0.$$

Clearly the 1-form η is C-Killing. The vector space of all vector fields identified

with C -Killing forms is a Lie algebra. Especially we call a C -Killing form ξ such that

$$(3.3) \quad \xi' \equiv i(\eta)\xi = \text{const.}$$

to be special C -Killing. η is a special C -Killing form. A Killing form which is at the same time a special C -Killing form is of the type $\xi' = \eta, \xi' = \text{const.}$

LEMMA 3.1. *In a Sasakian space, we have for a C -Killing form*

$$(3.4) \quad \nabla_{\eta}\xi' = 0,$$

$$(3.5) \quad \theta(\eta)\xi_{\lambda} = 0.$$

PROOF. The equation (3.2) can be expressed as

$$(3.6) \quad \nabla_{\lambda}\xi_{\mu} + \nabla_{\mu}\xi_{\lambda} = 2\xi^{\rho}(\varphi_{\rho\lambda}\eta_{\mu} + \varphi_{\rho\mu}\eta_{\lambda}) + \nabla_{\lambda}\xi'_{\eta_{\mu}} + \nabla_{\mu}\xi'_{\eta_{\lambda}}.$$

Transvecting (3.6) with $g^{\lambda\mu}$, we obtain

$$\delta\xi = -\nabla_{\eta}\xi',$$

hence (3.4) follows by virtue of (3.1). Next transvecting (3.6) with η^{λ} , we have

$$\eta^{\lambda}\nabla_{\lambda}\xi_{\rho} + \varphi_{\rho}^{\lambda}\xi_{\lambda} = (\nabla_{\eta}\xi')_{\eta_{\rho}} = 0$$

which means $\theta(\eta)\xi_{\lambda} = 0$.

Let ξ be a special C -Killing form. Then by virtue of (3.3) and (3.6) it satisfies

$$(3.7) \quad \nabla_{\lambda}\xi_{\mu} + \nabla_{\mu}\xi_{\lambda} = 2\xi^{\rho}(\varphi_{\rho\lambda}\eta_{\mu} + \varphi_{\rho\mu}\eta_{\lambda}).$$

Conversely, we show that (3.7) is a sufficient condition for a 1-form ξ to be special C -Killing, in a compact case. Evidently (3.1) follows from (3.7). Differentiating (3.7) by ∇^{λ} , we have

$$(3.8) \quad \nabla^{\lambda}\nabla_{\lambda}\xi_{\mu} + R_{\mu}^{\rho}\xi_{\rho} = -2D\xi_{\eta_{\mu}} + 2n\xi'_{\eta_{\mu}} - 2\xi_{\mu} + 2\eta^{\lambda}\nabla_{\lambda}\xi^{\rho}\varphi_{\rho\mu}.$$

LEMMA 3.2. *In a compact Sasakian space, for a 1-form ξ satisfying (3.7) the scalar $\xi' = i(\eta)\xi$ is a constant function.*

PROOF. Calculating the Laplacian of ξ' , we have by virtue of (3.8)

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^\lambda \nabla_\lambda \xi' &= \eta^\mu \nabla^\lambda \nabla_\lambda \xi'_\mu + 2D\xi - (n-1)\xi' \\ &= -(n-1)\xi' - 2D\xi + 2n\xi' - 2\xi' + 2D\xi - (n-1)\xi' \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore if M^n is compact, ξ' must be constant.

From this Lemma 3.2, we see that the form ξ having the property (3.7) also satisfies (3.6), and therefore it is a C-Killing form. Again from Lemma 3.2 it must be special C-Killing. Thus we proved the following

THEOREM 3.3. *A 1-form ξ on a compact Sasakian space is special C-Killing if and only if it satisfies the relation (3.7).*

Next we consider the relation between C-Killing and special C-Killing forms. Then

THEOREM 3.4. *For a C-Killing form ξ on a Sasakian space, a 1-form ζ defined by $\zeta = \xi - \xi' \eta$ ($\xi' = i(\eta)\xi$) is special C-Killing. Conversely for a special C-Killing form ζ and a scalar function f , a 1-form ξ defined by $\xi = \zeta + f\eta$ is C-Killing if and only if $\nabla_\eta f = 0$.*

PROOF. The first half. Since $\zeta' = i(\eta)\zeta = 0$, (3.6) coincides with (3.7) for ζ , hence we have only to show that ζ satisfies (3.7). Calculating directly, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\lambda \zeta'_\mu + \nabla_\mu \zeta'_\lambda &= \nabla_\lambda \xi'_\mu + \nabla_\mu \xi'_\lambda - \nabla_\lambda \xi'_\mu \eta - \nabla_\mu \xi'_\lambda \eta - \xi' \varphi_{\lambda\mu} - \xi' \varphi_{\mu\lambda} \\ &= 2\xi'^\rho (\varphi_{\rho\lambda} \eta_\mu + \varphi_{\rho\mu} \eta_\lambda) \\ &= 2\xi'^\rho (\varphi_{\rho\lambda} \eta_\mu + \varphi_{\rho\mu} \eta_\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

For the latter half, we look for the condition that the 1-form $f\eta$ to be C-Killing, and get

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(f\eta) &= -\nabla_\eta f, \\ \theta(f\eta)(g_{\lambda\mu} - \eta_\lambda \eta_\mu) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore if the 1-form ξ_λ is C-Killing, then $\nabla_\eta f = 0$, and the converse is true.

4. Special C-Killing forms. In this section, we show that a C-harmonic p -form ($p \leq (n-1)/2$) is invariant by a C-Killing form in a compact Sasakian

space. Let ξ be a special C -Killing form. Then we have from (3.8) and (3.5)

$$(4. 1) \quad \nabla^\lambda \nabla_\lambda \xi_\mu + R_{\mu\rho} \xi^\rho = -2(D\xi - (n + 1)\xi)\eta_\mu - 4\xi_\mu,$$

where we put $\xi' = i(\eta)\xi$. Differentiating (3.7) by ∇_ν and adding cyclicly with respect to the subscript λ, μ, ν , we have

$$(4. 2) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_\lambda \nabla_\mu \xi_\nu &= R_{\mu\nu\lambda}{}^\epsilon \xi_\epsilon + \eta_\lambda (\nabla_\mu \xi^\rho \varphi_{\rho\nu} - \nabla_\nu \xi^\rho \varphi_{\rho\mu}) + \eta_\mu (\nabla_\lambda \xi^\rho \varphi_{\rho\nu} - \nabla_\nu \xi^\rho \varphi_{\rho\lambda}) \\ &\quad + \eta_\nu (\nabla_\lambda \xi^\rho \varphi_{\rho\mu} + \nabla_\mu \xi^\rho \varphi_{\rho\lambda}) + 2\xi^\rho (\varphi_{\rho\lambda} \varphi_{\mu\nu} + \varphi_{\rho\mu} \varphi_{\lambda\nu}) \\ &\quad + 2\xi' g_{\lambda\mu} \eta_\nu + 2(\eta_\lambda \eta_\mu \xi_\nu - \eta_\mu \eta_\nu \xi_\lambda - \eta_\lambda \eta_\nu \xi_\mu), \end{aligned}$$

which will be used in the proof of Lemma 4.2.

By virtue of (2.8) and (3.5) we obtain

$$\Phi\xi = -\nabla_\eta \xi (\equiv \bar{\xi}).$$

Then making use of (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (d\bar{\xi})_{\lambda\mu} &= \nabla_\lambda (\varphi_{\mu\rho} \xi^\rho) - \nabla_\mu (\varphi_{\lambda\rho} \xi^\rho) \\ &= (\eta_\lambda \xi_\mu - \eta_\mu \xi_\lambda) + (\varphi_\lambda^\rho \nabla_\rho \xi_\mu - \varphi_\mu^\rho \nabla_\rho \xi_\lambda) \\ &= (e(\eta)\xi)_{\lambda\mu} + (\Gamma\xi)_{\lambda\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can get

$$(4. 3) \quad d\bar{\xi} = e(\eta)\xi + \Gamma\xi.$$

LEMMA 4.1. *In a compact Sasakian space, for any 1-form satisfying $\xi' \equiv i(\eta)\xi = \text{constant}$ we have*

$$(4. 4) \quad (\Gamma\xi, e(\eta)\xi) = - (e(\eta)\xi, e(\eta)\xi).$$

PROOF. In a Sasakian space, for any p -form u the following

$$(4. 5) \quad \Gamma i(\eta)u + i(\eta)\Gamma u = - pu + e(\eta)i(\eta)u$$

holds good. In fact, owing to (2.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma i(\eta)u + i(\eta)\Gamma u &= di(\eta)\nabla_\eta u - \nabla_\eta di(\eta)u - (p-1)e(\eta)i(\eta)u \\ &\quad + i(\eta)d\nabla_\eta u - \nabla_\eta i(\eta)du - pi(\eta)e(\eta)u \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \theta(\eta)\nabla_\eta u - \nabla_\eta \theta(\eta)u - pu + e(\eta)i(\eta)u \\ &= - pu + e(\eta)i(\eta)u, \end{aligned}$$

since ∇_η commutes with $i(\eta)$ and $\theta(\eta)$. Hence it follows for a 1-form ξ

$$\begin{aligned} (e(\eta)\xi, \Gamma\eta) &= (\xi, i(\eta)\Gamma\xi) \\ &= (\xi, -\Gamma i(\eta)\xi - \xi + e(\eta)i(\eta)\xi) \\ &= (\xi, -\Gamma i(\eta)\xi - i(\eta)e(\eta)\xi) \\ &= (\xi, \Gamma\xi') - (e(\eta)\xi, e(\eta)\xi). \end{aligned}$$

If ξ' is constant, then we have $\Gamma\xi' = 0$. This proves the lemma.

LEMMA 4.2. *In a compact Sasakian space, we have for a special C-Killing form ξ*

$$(4.6) \quad (\Gamma\xi, \Gamma\xi) = (e(\eta)\xi, e(\eta)\xi).$$

PROOF. Calculating $D\Gamma\xi$ for a special C-Killing form ξ , we first see from (4.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^\sigma \eta^\rho \nabla_\rho \nabla_\sigma \xi_\lambda &= -\xi_\lambda + \xi' \eta_\lambda, \\ \varphi^{\rho\sigma} \nabla_\rho \nabla_\tau \xi_\sigma &= (\varphi_\rho^\sigma R_{\sigma\tau} + (n+2)\varphi_{\rho\tau})\xi^\rho. \end{aligned}$$

Then making use of these relations and (4.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (D\Gamma\xi)_\lambda &= \varphi^{\rho\sigma} \nabla_\rho (\varphi_\sigma^\tau \nabla_\tau \xi_\lambda - \varphi_\lambda^\tau \nabla_\tau \xi_\sigma) \\ &= -\nabla^\rho \nabla_\rho \xi^\lambda + \eta^\sigma \eta^\rho \nabla_\rho \nabla_\sigma \xi_\lambda - D\xi \eta_\lambda + \varphi_\lambda^\rho \eta^\sigma \nabla_\rho \xi_\sigma - \varphi_\lambda^\tau \varphi^{\rho\sigma} \nabla_\rho \nabla_\tau \xi_\sigma \\ &= -\nabla^\rho \nabla_\rho \xi_\lambda - R_{\lambda\rho} \xi^\rho - D\xi \eta_\lambda - (n+2)\xi_\lambda + (2n+1)\xi' \eta_\lambda \\ &= D\xi \eta_\lambda - \xi' \eta_\lambda - (n-2)\xi_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $\Gamma\xi' = 0$ for a special C-Killing form ξ_λ , we have by virtue of (2.13)

$$(4.7) \quad (D\xi, \xi') - (n-1)(\xi', \xi') = 0.$$

Integrating $i(\xi)(D\Gamma\xi)$ on M^n , we have

$$(\xi, D\Gamma\xi) = (\xi', D\xi) - (\xi', \xi') - (n-2)(\xi, \xi)$$

$$= -(n-2)(e(\eta)\xi, e(\eta)\xi).$$

Taking account of (2.13) again and considering Lemma 4.1, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\Gamma\xi, \Gamma\xi) &= (\xi, D\Gamma\xi) - (n-1)(e(\eta)\xi, \Gamma\xi) \\ &= -(n-2)(e(\eta)\xi, e(\eta)\xi) + (n-1)(e(\eta)\xi, e(\eta)\xi) \\ &= (e(\eta)\xi, e(\eta)\xi), \end{aligned}$$

and the lemma is proved.

THEOREM 4.3. *In a compact Sasakian space, $\bar{\xi} = \Phi\xi$ is a closed 1-form for any C-Killing form ξ .*

PROOF. By virtue of Theorem 3.4, a 1-form $\zeta = \xi - \xi'\eta$ is a special C-Killing form for a C-Killing form ξ . Moreover the relation

$$\Phi\xi = \Phi\zeta$$

holds good. Therefore it is sufficient to prove the theorem for a special C-Killing form ξ . From (4.3), (4.4) and (4.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (d\bar{\xi}, d\bar{\xi}) &= (\Gamma\xi, \Gamma\xi) + 2(\Gamma\xi, e(\eta)\xi) + (e(\eta)\xi, e(\eta)\xi) \\ &= (e(\eta)\xi, e(\eta)\xi) - 2(e(\eta)\xi, e(\eta)\xi) + (e(\eta)\xi, e(\eta)\xi) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which shows $d\bar{\xi} = 0$.

From this Theorem 4.3 and (4.3), we have for a special C-Killing form ξ

$$(4.8) \quad \nabla_\lambda \bar{\xi}_\mu = \nabla_\mu \bar{\xi}_\lambda,$$

$$(4.8) \quad \Gamma\xi = -e(\eta)\xi.$$

Making use of (4.8), (4.2) becomes a simpler form as follows.

COROLLARY 4.4. *In a compact Sasakian space, we have for a special C-Killing form ξ*

$$(4.10) \quad \nabla_\lambda \nabla_\mu \xi_\nu = R_{\mu\nu\lambda}{}^\rho \xi_\rho - 2\nabla_\lambda \bar{\xi}_\mu \eta_\nu + 2\xi^\rho (\varphi_{\rho\lambda} \varphi_{\mu\nu} + \varphi_{\rho\mu} \varphi_{\lambda\nu}).$$

COROLLARY 4.5. *In a compact Sasakian space, $d\xi$ is hybrid for a special C-Killing form ξ , that is, $d\xi$ satisfies the relations*

$$\eta^{\rho}(d\xi)_{\rho\lambda}=0, \quad \varphi_{\lambda}^{\rho}\varphi_{\mu}^{\sigma}(d\xi)_{\rho\sigma}=(d\xi)_{\lambda\mu}.$$

PROOF. Since $i(\eta)d\xi=\theta(\eta)\xi-d i(\eta)\xi=0$, the first relation is evident. Next, (4.9) is written explicitly as follows

$$\varphi_{\lambda}^{\sigma}\nabla_{\sigma}\xi_{\mu}-\varphi_{\mu}^{\sigma}\nabla_{\sigma}\xi_{\lambda}=-\eta_{\lambda}\xi_{\mu}+\eta_{\mu}\xi_{\lambda}.$$

Transvecting it with φ_{ν}^{λ} , we have

$$\varphi_{\lambda}^{\rho}\varphi_{\mu}^{\sigma}\nabla_{\sigma}\xi_{\rho}=(\nabla_{\mu}\xi_{\lambda}-\nabla_{\lambda}\xi_{\mu})/2.$$

Exchanging the indices λ and μ in this relation, and subtracting them, we get

$$\varphi_{\lambda}^{\rho}\varphi_{\mu}^{\sigma}(\nabla_{\sigma}\xi_{\rho}-\nabla_{\rho}\xi_{\sigma})=\nabla_{\mu}\xi_{\lambda}-\nabla_{\lambda}\xi_{\mu}.$$

This is equivalent to

$$\varphi_{\lambda}^{\rho}\varphi_{\mu}^{\sigma}(d\xi)_{\rho\sigma}=(d\xi)_{\lambda\mu}.$$

LEMMA 4.6. *In a Sasakian space, we have for a 1-form ξ*

$$(4.11) \quad i(\xi)L-Li(\xi)=-2e(\bar{\xi}), \quad \bar{\xi}=\Phi\xi,$$

$$(4.12) \quad i(\xi)\Lambda=\Lambda i(\xi), \quad i(\eta)\Lambda_{\xi}=\Lambda_{\xi}i(\eta).$$

PROOF. For any p -form $u=(u_{\lambda_1\dots\lambda_p})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(i(\xi)Lu)_{\lambda_0\dots\lambda_p} &= \xi^{\sigma}(\varphi_{\sigma\lambda_0}u_{\lambda_1\dots\lambda_p}-\sum_i\varphi_{\sigma\lambda_i}u_{\lambda_1\dots\hat{\lambda}_i\dots\lambda_p}-\sum_i\varphi_{\lambda_i\lambda_0}u_{\lambda_1\dots\hat{\sigma}\dots\lambda_p}) \\ &+ \sum_{i<j}\varphi_{\lambda_i\lambda_j}u_{\lambda_1\dots\hat{\sigma}\dots\hat{\lambda}_i\dots\hat{\lambda}_j\dots\lambda_p}) = -(\bar{\xi}_{\lambda_0}u_{\lambda_1\dots\lambda_p}-\sum_i\bar{\xi}_{\lambda_i}u_{\lambda_1\dots\hat{\lambda}_i\dots\lambda_p}) \\ &+ (\varphi_{\lambda_0\lambda_i}\xi^{\sigma}u_{\sigma\lambda_2\dots\lambda_p}-\sum_{j\geq 2}\varphi_{\lambda_0\lambda_j}\xi^{\sigma}u_{\sigma\lambda_2\dots\hat{\lambda}_j\dots\lambda_p}-\sum_{j\geq 2}\varphi_{\lambda_j\lambda_i}\xi^{\sigma}u_{\sigma\lambda_2\dots\hat{\lambda}_i\dots\lambda_p}) \\ &+ \sum_{2\leq i<j}\varphi_{\lambda_i\lambda_j}\xi^{\sigma}u_{\sigma\lambda_2\dots\hat{\lambda}_i\dots\hat{\lambda}_j\dots\lambda_p}) = -(e(\bar{\xi})u)_{\lambda_0\dots\lambda_p} + \frac{1}{2}(Li(\xi)u)_{\lambda_0\dots\lambda_p}. \end{aligned}$$

(4.12) is evident.

LEMMA 4.7. *In a Sasakian space, we have for a 1-form ξ*

$$(4.13) \quad \Delta i(\xi) - i(\xi)\Delta = d\Lambda_\xi - \Lambda_\xi d + \delta\theta(\xi) - \theta(\xi)\delta.$$

This is easily obtained from (1.8).

LEMMA 4.8. *In a compact Sasakian space, we have for a special C-Killing form ξ and for any p -form u ,*

$$(4.14) \quad (\delta\theta(\xi) - \theta(\xi)\delta)u = 2e(\bar{\xi}) \wedge u + 2i(\bar{\xi})i(\eta)du - 2di(\bar{\xi})u' + 4\bar{\xi}^p \nabla_\rho u' + 2(D\xi - (n-1)\xi')u',$$

where we put $\bar{\xi} = \Phi\xi$, $\xi' = i(\eta)\xi$, $u' = i(\eta)u$,

PROOF. (4.14) is a result of a little complicated but straightforward calculation. We sketch the outline. For a p -form $u = (u_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_p})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta\theta(\xi)u)_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_p} &= -\nabla^\rho(\xi^\sigma \nabla_\sigma u_{\rho\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p} + \nabla_\rho \xi^\sigma u_{\sigma\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p} + \sum_{i \geq 2} \nabla_{\lambda_i} \xi^\sigma u_{\sigma\lambda_2 \dots \hat{\lambda}_i \dots \lambda_p}) \\ &= A + B + C + D, \end{aligned}$$

where we put

$$\begin{aligned} A &= -(\nabla^\rho \xi^\sigma + \nabla^\sigma \xi^\rho) \nabla_\rho u_{\sigma\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p}, \\ B &= -\nabla^\rho \nabla_\rho \xi^\sigma u_{\sigma\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p}, \quad C = -\sum_{j \geq 2} \nabla^\rho \nabla_{\lambda_j} \xi^\sigma u_{\rho\lambda_2 \dots \hat{\lambda}_j \dots \lambda_p}, \\ D &= -\xi^\sigma \nabla^\rho \nabla_\sigma u_{\rho\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p} - \sum_{j \geq 2} \nabla_{\lambda_j} \xi^\sigma \nabla^\rho \nabla_\rho u_{\lambda_2 \dots \hat{\lambda}_j \dots \lambda_p}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking account of (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} A &= -2\xi^\tau(\varphi_\tau{}^\rho \eta^\sigma + \varphi_\tau{}^\sigma \eta^\rho) \nabla_\rho u_{\sigma\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p} \\ &= 2(i(\bar{\xi}) \nabla_\eta u)_{\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p} + 2\bar{\xi}^\sigma \eta^\rho \nabla_\sigma u_{\rho\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p} \\ &= 2(i(\bar{\xi}) \nabla_\eta u)_{\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p} + 2\bar{\xi}^p \nabla_\rho u'_{\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p} + 2\xi' u'_{\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p} - 2\xi^\sigma u_{\sigma\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p}, \end{aligned}$$

and from (4.1) we obtain

$$B = R_\rho{}^\sigma \xi^\rho u_{\sigma\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p} + 2(D\xi - (n+1)\xi')u_{\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p} + 4\xi^\sigma u_{\sigma\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p}.$$

By virtue of Corollary 4.4 and (4.8), C can be calculated as

$$\begin{aligned}
 C &= - \sum_{j \geq 2} \{R_{\lambda_j \sigma \rho}{}^\varepsilon \xi_\varepsilon - 2 \nabla_\rho \bar{\xi}_{\lambda_j} \eta^\sigma + 2 \xi^\tau (\varphi_{\tau \rho} \varphi_{\lambda_j \sigma} + \varphi_{\tau \lambda_j} \varphi_{\rho \sigma})\} u_{\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p}^{\dot{\sigma} \dots \dot{\lambda}_j} \\
 &= \sum_{j \geq 2} R_{\lambda_j}^{\sigma \rho \varepsilon} \xi_\varepsilon u_{\rho \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p}^{\dot{\sigma} \dots \dot{\lambda}_j} + 2 \sum_{j \geq 2} \bar{\xi}_{\lambda_j} \varphi^{\rho \sigma} u_{\rho \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p}^{\dot{\sigma} \dots \dot{\lambda}_j} \\
 &\quad + 2 \sum_{j \geq 2} (\nabla_{\lambda_j} \bar{\xi}^\rho \eta^\sigma + \bar{\xi}^\rho \nabla_{\lambda_j} \eta^\sigma) u_{\rho \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p}^{\dot{\sigma} \dots \dot{\lambda}_j} \\
 &= \sum_{j \geq 2} R_{\lambda_j}^{\sigma \rho \varepsilon} \xi_\varepsilon u_{\rho \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p}^{\dot{\sigma} \dots \dot{\lambda}_j} + 2(e(\bar{\xi})\Lambda u)_{\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p} + 2(di(\bar{\xi})u')_{\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p} \\
 &\quad + 2(i(\bar{\xi})i(\eta)du)_{\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p} - 2(i(\bar{\xi})\nabla_\cdot u)_{\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p} + 2\eta^\sigma \bar{\xi}^\rho \nabla_\rho u_{\sigma \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Lastly applying the Ricci's identity, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 D &= -\xi^\sigma (\nabla_\sigma \nabla^\rho u_{\rho \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p} + R_\sigma^\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p} - \sum_{j \geq 2} R^\rho{}_{\sigma \lambda_j}{}^\varepsilon u_{\rho \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p}^{\dot{\sigma} \dots \dot{\lambda}_j}) + \sum_{j \geq 2} \nabla_{\lambda_j} \xi^\sigma (\delta u)_{\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p}^{\dot{\sigma} \dots \dot{\lambda}_j} \\
 &= (e(\xi)\delta u)_{\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p} - \xi^\sigma R_\sigma^\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p} - \sum_{j \geq 2} \xi_\varepsilon R^{\varepsilon \rho}{}_{\lambda_j}{}^\sigma u_{\rho \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_p}^{\dot{\sigma} \dots \dot{\lambda}_j}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Adding these four relations, we can obtain (4.14).

Let u be a C -harmonic p -form ($p \leq (n-1)/2$), and ξ be a special C -Killing form. Suppose that our Sasakian space is compact. Then by virtue of Proposition 1.1 and (4.14) we have

$$(\delta\theta(\xi) - \theta(\xi)\delta)u = 2e(\bar{\xi})\Lambda u.$$

Taking account of Proposition 1.3 and Lemma 4.6, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 i(\xi)\Delta u &= i(\xi)L\Lambda u = Li(\xi)\Lambda u - 2e(\bar{\xi})\Lambda u \\
 &= L\Lambda i(\xi)u - 2e(\bar{\xi})\Lambda u.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.15) \quad \delta i(\xi)u &= \Lambda_\xi u - i(\xi)e(\eta)\Lambda u \\
 &= \Lambda_\xi u - \xi' \Lambda u + e(\eta)i(\xi)\Lambda u
 \end{aligned}$$

is valid and ξ' is constant, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (i(\xi)u, d\Lambda_{\xi}u) &= (\delta i(\xi)u, \Lambda_{\xi}u) \\ &= (\Lambda_{\xi}u, \Lambda_{\xi}u) - \xi'(\Lambda u, \Lambda_{\xi}u). \end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows from (4.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} (i(\xi)u, \Delta i(\xi)u) &= (i(\xi)u, i(\xi)\Delta u) + (i(\xi)u, d\Lambda_{\xi}u) + (i(\xi)u, 2e(\bar{\xi})\Lambda u) \\ &= (\Lambda i(\xi)u, \Lambda i(\xi)u) + (\Lambda_{\xi}u, \Lambda_{\xi}u) - \xi'(\Lambda u, \Lambda_{\xi}u). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, Λu is also C -harmonic from Proposition 1.2 and therefore

$$d\Lambda u = 0, \quad i(\eta)\Lambda u = 0$$

hold good. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Lambda u, \Lambda_{\xi}u) &= (\Lambda u, \delta i(\xi)u + i(\xi)e(\eta)\Lambda u) \\ &= (\Lambda u, \xi'\Lambda u - e(\eta)i(\xi)\Lambda u) = \xi'(\Lambda u, \Lambda u). \end{aligned}$$

Using this relation and (4.15), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta i(\xi)u, \delta i(\xi)u) &= (\Lambda_{\xi}u, \Lambda_{\xi}u) + \xi'^2(\Lambda u, \Lambda u) + (\Lambda i(\xi)u, \Lambda i(\xi)u) - 2\xi'(\Lambda u, \Lambda_{\xi}u) \\ &= (\Lambda_{\xi}u, \Lambda_{\xi}u) + (\Lambda i(\xi)u, \Lambda i(\xi)u) - \xi'(\Lambda u, \Lambda_{\xi}u). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we can obtain

$$(i(\xi)u, \Delta i(\xi)u) = (\delta i(\xi)u, \delta i(\xi)u),$$

which shows that $di(\xi)u=0$. Hence we have $\theta(\xi)u=0$.

For an arbitrary scalar function f , the Lie derivative of any C -harmonic p -form u ($p \leq (n-1)/2$) with respect to $f\eta$ vanishes. In fact, we have

$$\theta(f\eta)u = f\theta(\eta)u + e(df)i(\eta)u = 0,$$

if $p \leq (n-1)/2$. Taking account of Theorem 3.4, we decompose a C -Killing form ξ as the sum of a special C -Killing form ζ and $\xi'\eta$. Then we have

$$\theta(\xi)u = \theta(\zeta)u + \theta(\xi'\eta)u = 0$$

for any C -harmonic p -form u . Consequently we attained to the following

THEOREM 4.9. *In a compact n -dimensional Sasakian space, let u be a*

C-harmonic p -form ($p \leq (n-1)/2$) and ξ be a *C*-Killing form, then we have

$$\theta(\xi)u = 0.$$

Especially, a harmonic p -form ($p \leq (n-1)/2$) is *C*-harmonic in compact case. Further the fundamental 2-form $d\eta$ is *C*-harmonic. Thus we have the following corollaries.

COROLLARY 4.10. *Let u be a harmonic p -form ($p \leq (n-1)/2$) and ξ be a *C*-Killing form. Then we have*

$$\theta(\xi)u = 0.$$

COROLLARY 4.11. *Let ξ be a *C*-Killing form. Then it satisfies*

$$\theta(\xi)d\eta = 0.$$

5. Regular Sasakian structure. We consider the meaning of *C*-Killing forms on a compact Sasakian space which has regular structure. Let (M^n, p, B^{n-1}) be a fibration of Boothby-Wang, where $B^{n-1} = M^n / (\eta)$ is the base space and $p: M^n \rightarrow B^{n-1}$ is the projection. We denote by ∇, ∇' the covariant differentiations with respect to the Riemann metric $g_{\lambda\mu}$ on M^n and g'_{ab} on B^{n-1} defined naturally by $g_{\lambda\mu}$. We fix a point $x \in M^n$ and set $y = p(x)$. Taking local coordinates systems (x^λ) and (y^a) around x and y , we represent the projection mapping as

$$y^a = p^a(x^1, \dots, x^n).$$

We put $p^a_\lambda = \partial p^a / \partial x^\lambda$, and $\bar{u} = p^*u = (\bar{u}_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_p})$ for a p -form $u = (u_{a_1 \dots a_p})$ on B^{n-1} . Then we know that the following relation

$$(5. 1) \quad \nabla_\mu \bar{u}_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_p} = p^{\alpha_1}_{\lambda_1} \dots p^{\alpha_p}_{\lambda_p} \nabla'_b u_{a_1 \dots a_p} - \sum_i (\varphi_\mu{}^p \eta_{\lambda_i} + \varphi_{\lambda_i}{}^p \eta_\mu) \bar{u}_{\lambda_1 \dots \hat{\lambda}_i \dots \lambda_p}$$

is valid [4]. Applying (5.1) to a 1-form u_a , we have

$$(5. 2) \quad \nabla_\mu \bar{u}_\lambda = p^a_\lambda p^b_\mu \nabla'_b u_a - (\varphi_\mu{}^p \eta_\lambda + \varphi_\lambda{}^p \eta_\mu) \bar{u}_p.$$

Now suppose that the 1-form u_a is a Killing form on B^{n-1} . Then (5.2) shows

1) The Latin indices a, b, ..., run from 1 to n-1.

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{\mu}\bar{u}_{\lambda} + \nabla_{\lambda}\bar{u}_{\mu} &= p^{\alpha}_{\lambda}p^{\beta}_{\mu}(\nabla'_{\alpha}u_{\beta} + \nabla'_{\beta}u_{\alpha}) - 2(\varphi_{\mu}{}^{\rho}\eta_{\lambda} + \varphi_{\lambda}{}^{\rho}\eta_{\mu})\bar{u}_{\rho} \\ &= 2\bar{u}^{\rho}(\varphi_{\rho\lambda}\eta_{\mu} + \varphi_{\rho\mu}\eta_{\lambda}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus the form $\bar{u} = p^*u$ satisfies (3.7) and as the space is compact, u is a special C -Killing form.

Conversely we consider a C -Killing form ξ which satisfies $i(\eta)\xi = 0$. Since $\theta(\eta)\xi_{\lambda} = 0$ from Lemma 3.1, there exists a 1-form u on B^{n-1} such that $\xi = p^*u$. Then we have by virtue of (3.7) and (5.2)

$$\begin{aligned}p^{\beta}_{\mu}p^{\alpha}_{\lambda}(\nabla'_{\alpha}u_{\beta} + \nabla'_{\beta}u_{\alpha}) &= \nabla_{\mu}\xi_{\lambda} + \nabla_{\lambda}\xi_{\mu} - 2\xi^{\rho}(\varphi_{\rho\lambda}\eta_{\mu} + \varphi_{\rho\mu}\eta_{\lambda}) \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we see

$$\nabla'_{\beta}u_{\alpha} + \nabla'_{\alpha}u_{\beta} = 0,$$

which shows that u_{α} is a Killing form on B^{n-1} . Thus we have the following

THEOREM 5.1. *Let M^n be a compact regular Sasakian space, and B^{n-1} be the base space of the fibration of Boothby-Wang. Then the vector space of Killing 1-forms on B^{n-1} is isomorphic to the vector space of C -Killing forms on M^n which are orthogonal to η .*

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] W. M. BOOTHBY AND H. C. WANG, On contact manifolds, *Ann. Math.*, 68(1958), 721-734.
- [2] S. TACHIBANA, On harmonic tensors in compact Sasakian spaces, *Tôhoku Math. Journ.*, 17(1965), 271-284.
- [3] S. TACHIBANA, On a decomposition of C -harmonic forms in a compact Sasakian space, *Tôhoku Math. Journ.*, 19(1967), 198-212.
- [4] Y. OGAWA, On C -harmonic forms in a compact Sasakian space, *Tôhoku Math. Journ.* 19 (1967), 267-296.
- [5] S. SASAKI, On differentiable manifolds with certain structures which are closely related to almost contact structure, I, *Tôhoku Math. Journ.*, 12(1960), 459-476.
- [6] T. FUJITANI, Complex valued differential forms on normal contact Riemannian manifolds, *Tôhoku Math. Journ.*, 18(1966), 349-361.
- [7] S. I. GOLDBERG, *Curvature and Homology*, Academic Press, New York, 1962.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
OCHANOMIZU UNIVERSITY
TOKYO, JAPAN