

# On Complex Contact Similarity Manifolds

Yoshinobu Kamishima<sup>1</sup> & Akira Tanaka<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Japan

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics and Information of Sciences, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Japan

Correspondence: Akira Tanaka, Department of Mathematics and Information of Sciences, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Japan. E-mail: tanaka-akira@ed.tmu.ac.jp

Received: July 14, 2013 Accepted: August 27, 2013 Online Published: September 29, 2013

doi:10.5539/jmr.v5n4p1 URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/jmr.v5n4p1>

## Abstract

We shall construct *complex contact similarity manifolds*. Among them there exists a complex contact infranil-manifold  $\mathcal{L}/\Gamma$  which is a holomorphic torus fiber space over a quaternionic euclidean orbifold. Specifically taking a connected sum of  $\mathcal{L}/\Gamma$  with the complex projective space  $\mathbb{C}P^{2n+1}$ , we prove that the connected sum admits a complex contact structure. Our examples of complex contact manifolds are different from those known previously as complex Boothby-Wang fibration (Foreman, 2000) or the twistor fibration (Salamon, 1989).

**Keywords:** complex contact structure, Sasakian 3-structure, Twistor space, complex Boothby-Wang fibration, Infranil-manifold, Quaternionic Kähler manifold

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 53D10, 57S25, 32Q55

## 1. Introduction

There is a construction of three different types of complex contact structure. Given a  $4n$ -dimensional quaternionic Kähler manifold  $N$  of nonzero scalar curvature, the twistor construction produces a complex contact manifold  $M$  which is the total space of a fibration:  $S^2 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N$  (cf. Salamon, 1989; Wolf, 1965). Similarly, a quaternionic Kähler manifold  $N^{4n}$  of positive (resp. negative) scalar curvature induces a Sasakian 3-structure (resp. pseudo-Sasakian 3-structure) on the total space  $M^{4n+3}$  of the principal fibration:  $S^3 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N$ . By taking a circle  $S^1$  from  $S^3$ , the total space  $M/S^1$  of the quotient bundle  $S^2 \rightarrow M/S^1 \rightarrow N$  admits a complex contact structure. (See Ishihara & Konishi, 1979; Moroianu & Semmelmann, 1996; Tanno, 1996). However, these constructions cannot produce complex contact manifolds for quaternionic Kähler manifolds of vanishing scalar curvature. On the other hand, if  $N^{4n}$  is a complex symplectic manifold with a complex symplectic form  $\Omega = \Omega_1 + \mathbf{i}\Omega_2$  such that  $[\Omega_i] \in H^2(N; \mathbb{Z})$  is an integral class ( $i = 1, 2$ ), then the *complex Boothby-Wang fibration* induces a compact complex contact manifold  $M$  which has a connection bundle:  $T^2 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N$  (cf. Foreman, 2000; Blair, 2002). If  $N^{4n}$  happens to be a quaternionic Kähler manifold with vanishing scalar curvature, then we have a new example of compact complex manifold. In fact, Foreman (2000) shows that a complex nilmanifold  $M$  which is the total space of a principal torus bundle over a complex torus  $T_{\mathbb{C}}^{2n}$  admits a complex contact structure. The universal covering  $\tilde{M}$  is endowed with a complex nilpotent Lie group structure which is called *generalized complex Heisenberg group* in Foreman, 2000.

In this paper, we study *complex contact transformation groups* by taking into account this specific nilpotent Lie group. We verify this group from the viewpoint of geometric structure in Section 4. In fact the sphere  $S^{4n+3}$  admits a canonical quaternionic *CR*-structure. The sphere  $S^{4n+3}$  with one point  $\infty$  removed is isomorphic to the  $4n + 3$ -dimensional quaternionic Heisenberg Lie group  $\mathcal{M}$  as a quaternionic *CR*-structure.  $\mathcal{M}$  has a central group extension:  $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{H}^n \rightarrow 1$  where  $\mathbb{R}^3 = \text{Im}\mathbb{H}$  is the imaginary part of the quaternion field  $\mathbb{H}$ . Taking a quotient of  $\mathcal{M}$  by  $\mathbb{R} (= \mathbb{R}\mathbf{i})$ , we obtain a complex nilpotent Lie group  $\mathcal{L} (= \mathcal{L}_{2n+1})$  which supports a holomorphic principal bundle  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ . The canonical quaternionic *CR*-structure on  $S^{4n+3}$  restricts a Carnot-Carathéodory structure  $B$  to  $\mathcal{M}$ . Using this bundle  $B$ , a left invariant complex contact structure on  $\mathcal{L}$  is obtained (cf. Alekseevsky & Kamishima, 2008; Kamishima, 1999).

We are mainly interested in constructing examples of *compact* complex contact manifolds which are not known

previously. Let  $\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L})$  be the group of complex contact similarity transformations. It is defined to be the semidirect product  $\mathcal{L} \rtimes (\text{Sp}(n) \cdot \mathbb{C}^*)$ , ( $\mathbb{C}^* = S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+$ ). The pair  $(\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L}), \mathcal{L})$  is said to be *complex contact similarity geometry*. A manifold  $M$  locally modelled on this geometry is called a complex contact similarity manifold. Denote by  $\text{Aut}_{cc}(M)$  the group of complex contact transformations of  $M$ . We prove the following characterization of compact complex contact similarity manifolds in Section 2 (Compare Fried, 1980; Miner, 1991 for the related results in this direction).

**Theorem A** *Let  $M$  be a compact complex contact similarity manifold of complex dimension  $2n + 1$ . If  $S^1 \leq \text{Aut}_{cc}(M)$  acts on  $M$  without fixed points, then  $M$  is holomorphically diffeomorphic to a complex contact infranil-manifold  $\mathcal{L}/\Gamma$  or a complex contact Hopf manifold  $\mathcal{L} - \{0\}/\mathbb{Z}^+$  diffeomorphic to  $S^1 \times S^{4n+1}$ . Here  $\Gamma$  is a discrete cocompact subgroup in  $\mathcal{L} \rtimes (\text{Sp}(n) \cdot S^1)$  or  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  is an infinite cyclic subgroup of  $\text{Sp}(n) \cdot S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+$ .*

In Section 3, we can perform a connected sum of our complex contact infranil-manifolds  $\mathcal{L}/\Gamma$  ( $\Gamma \leq E(\mathcal{L})$ ).

**Theorem B** *The connected sum  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{2n+1} \# \mathcal{L}/\Gamma$  admits a complex contact structure.*

By iteration of this procedure there exists a complex contact structure on the connected sum of a finite number of complex contact similarity manifolds and  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$ 's. These examples are different from those admitting  $S^2$  (resp.  $T^2$ )-fibrations.

## 2. Complex Contact Structure on the Nilpotent Group

### 2.1 Definition of Complex Contact Structure

Recall that a complex contact structure on a complex manifold  $M$  in complex dimension  $2n + 1$  is a collection of local forms  $\{U_\alpha, \omega_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  which satisfies that (1)  $\cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha = M$ . (2) Each  $\omega_\alpha$  is a holomorphic 1-form defined on  $U_\alpha$ . Then  $\omega_\alpha \wedge (d\omega_\alpha)^n \neq 0$  on  $U_\alpha$ . (3) If  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists a nonzero holomorphic function  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  on  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$  such that  $f_{\alpha\beta} \cdot \omega_\alpha = \omega_\beta$ . Unlike contact structures on orientable smooth manifolds, it does not always exist a holomorphic 1-form globally defined on  $M$ . Note that if the first Chern class  $c_1(M)$  vanishes, then there is a global existence of a complex contact form  $\omega$  on  $M$ . (See Kobayashi, 1959; Lebrun, 1995).

Let  $h: M \rightarrow M$  be a biholomorphism. Suppose that  $h(U_\alpha) \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$  for some  $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$ . If there exists a holomorphic function  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  on an open subset in  $U_\alpha$  such that  $h^* \omega_\beta = f_{\alpha\beta} \omega_\alpha$ , then we call  $h$  a *complex contact transformation* of  $M$ . Denote  $\text{Aut}_{cc}(M)$  the group of complex contact transformations. It is not necessarily a finite dimensional complex Lie group.

### 2.2 The Iwasawa Nilpotent Lie Group $\mathcal{L}_{2n+1}$

Let  $\mathcal{L}_{2n+1}$  be the product  $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1} = \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}$  with group law ( $n \geq 1$ ):

$$(x, z) \cdot (y, w) = (x + y + \sum_{i=1}^n z_{2i-1} w_{2i} - z_{2i} w_{2i-1}, z + w) \tag{2.1}$$

where  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_{2n}), w = (w_1, \dots, w_{2n})$ .

Put  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{2n+1}$ . It is easy to see that  $[(x, z), (y, w)] = (2 \sum_{i=1}^n z_{2i-1} w_{2i} - z_{2i} w_{2i-1}, 0)$  so  $[\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}] = (\mathbb{C}, (0, \dots, 0)) = \mathbb{C}$  is the center of  $\mathcal{L}$ . Thus there is a central group extension:  $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n} \rightarrow 1$ . It is easy to check that  $\mathcal{L}_3$  is isomorphic to the Iwasawa group consisting of  $3 \times 3$ -upper triangular unipotent complex matrices.

**Definition 2.1** A complex  $2n + 1$ -dimensional complex nilpotent Lie group  $\mathcal{L}_{2n+1}$  is said to be the Iwasawa Lie group.

See (Foreman, 2000, pp.193-195) for more general construction of this kind of Lie group.

### 2.3 Construction of Complex Contact Structure on $\mathcal{L}_{2n+1}$

Choose a coordinate  $(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{2n}) \in \mathcal{L}_{2n+1}$ , we define a complex 1-form  $\eta$ :

$$\eta = dz_0 - \left( \sum_{i=1}^n z_{2i-1} \cdot dz_{2i} - z_{2i} \cdot dz_{2i-1} \right) = dz_0 - (z_1, \dots, z_{2n}) \mathbf{J}_n \begin{pmatrix} dz_1 \\ \vdots \\ dz_{2n} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.2}$$

where  $J_n = \begin{pmatrix} J & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & J \end{pmatrix}$  with  $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Since  $\eta \wedge (d\eta)^n$  is a non-vanishing form  $2n(-2)^n dz_0 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_{2n}$  on  $\mathcal{L}_{2n+1}$ ,  $\eta$  is a complex contact structure on  $\mathcal{L}_{2n+1}$  by Definition 2.1.

2.4 Complex Contact Transformations

Let  $\text{hol}(\mathcal{L}_{2n+1})$  be the group of biholomorphic transformations of  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{2n+1}$ . The group of complex contact transformations on  $\mathcal{L}$  with respect to  $\eta$  is denoted by

$$\text{hol}(\mathcal{L}, \eta) = \{f \in \text{hol}(\mathcal{L}) \mid f^* \eta = \tau \cdot \eta\} \tag{2.3}$$

where  $\tau$  is a holomorphic function on  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Let  $\text{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C}) = \{A \in M(2n, \mathbb{C}) \mid {}^t A J_n A = J_n\}$  be the complex symplectic group. As  $\text{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathbb{C}^* = \{\pm 1\}$ , denote  $\text{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C}) \cdot \mathbb{C}^* = \text{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}^* / \{\pm 1\}$ . Put

$$A(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L} \rtimes (\text{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C}) \cdot \mathbb{C}^*) \tag{2.4}$$

which forms a group as follows; write elements  $\lambda \cdot A, \mu \cdot B \in \text{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C}) \cdot \mathbb{C}^*$  for  $A, B \in \text{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C}), \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . Let  $(a, w), (b, z) \in \mathcal{L}$ . Define

$$((a, w), \lambda \cdot A) \cdot ((b, z), \mu \cdot B) = ((a + \lambda^2 b + {}^t w J_n(\lambda A z), w + \lambda A z), \lambda \mu \cdot AB).$$

Here  ${}^t w J_n(\lambda A z) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_{2i-1} \cdot (\lambda A z)_{2i} - w_{2i} \cdot (\lambda A z)_{2i-1}$  as before.

Let  $((a, w), \lambda \cdot A) \in A(\mathcal{L}), (z_0, z) \in \mathcal{L}$ .  $A(\mathcal{L})$  acts on  $\mathcal{L}$  as

$$((a, w), \lambda \cdot A) \cdot (z_0, z) = (a, w) \cdot (\lambda^2 z_0, \lambda A z) = (a + \lambda^2 z_0 + {}^t w J_n(\lambda A z), w + \lambda A z). \tag{2.5}$$

If  $h = ((b, w), \mu \cdot B) \in A(\mathcal{L})$  is an element, then it is easy to see that

$$h^* \eta = \mu^2 \cdot \eta. \tag{2.6}$$

Thus  $A(\mathcal{L})$  preserves the complex contact structure on  $\mathcal{L}$  defined by  $\eta$ .

Let  $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}) = \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \rtimes \text{GL}(2n+1, \mathbb{C})$  be the complex affine group which is a subgroup of  $\text{hol}(\mathcal{L})$  since  $\mathcal{L}_{2n+1} = \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$  (biholomorphically). We assign to each  $((a, w), \lambda \cdot A) \in A(\mathcal{L})$  an element

$$\left( \begin{bmatrix} a \\ w \end{bmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^2 & \lambda^t w J_n A \\ 0 & \lambda A \end{pmatrix} \right) \in \text{Aff}(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}). \tag{2.7}$$

Then the action (2.5) of  $((a, w), \lambda \cdot A)$  on  $\mathcal{L}$  coincides with the above affine transformation of  $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ . Moreover, it is easy to check that this correspondence is an injective homomorphism:

$$A(\mathcal{L}) \leq \text{Aff}(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}). \tag{2.8}$$

As a consequence it follows

$$A(\mathcal{L}) \leq \text{hol}(\mathcal{L}, \eta). \tag{2.9}$$

Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold. Suppose that there exists a maximal collection of charts  $\{(U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  whose coordinate changes belong to  $A(\mathcal{L})$ . More precisely,  $M = \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha: U_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  is a diffeomorphism onto its image. If  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists a unique element  $g_{\alpha\beta} \in A(\mathcal{L})$  such that  $g_{\alpha\beta} = \varphi_\beta \cdot \varphi_\alpha^{-1}$  on  $\varphi_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$ . We say that  $M$  is locally modelled on  $(A(\mathcal{L}), \mathcal{L})$  (Compare Kulkarni, 1978).

Here is a sufficient condition for the existence on complex contact structure.

**Proposition 2.2** *If a  $(4n+2)$ -dimensional smooth manifold  $M$  is locally modelled on  $(A(\mathcal{L}), \mathcal{L})$ , then  $M$  is a complex contact manifold. Moreover,  $M$  is also a complex affinely flat manifold.*

*Proof.* First of all, we define a complex structure on  $M$ . Let  $J_0$  be the standard complex structure on  $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ . Define a complex structure  $J_\alpha$  on  $U_\alpha$  by setting  $\varphi_{\alpha*}J_\alpha = J_0\varphi_{\alpha*}$  on  $U_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . When  $g_{\alpha\beta} \in A(\mathcal{L})$ , note that  $g_{\alpha\beta*}J_0 = J_0g_{\alpha\beta*}$  from (2.8). On  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ , a calculation shows that  $\varphi_{\beta*}J_\alpha = g_{\alpha\beta*}\varphi_{\alpha*}J_\alpha = J_0\varphi_{\beta*}$ . Since  $\varphi_{\beta*}J_\beta = J_0\varphi_{\beta*}$  by the definition, it follows  $J_\alpha = J_\beta$  on  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ . This defines a complex structure  $J$  on  $M$ . In particular, each  $\varphi_\alpha: (U_\alpha, J) \rightarrow (\mathcal{L}, J_0) (= \mathbb{C}^{2n+1})$  is a holomorphic embedding. Let  $\eta$  be the holomorphic 1-form on  $\mathcal{L}$  as before. Define a family of local holomorphic 1-forms  $\{\omega_\alpha, U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  by

$$\omega_\alpha = \varphi_\alpha^* \eta \text{ on } U_\alpha. \tag{2.10}$$

If  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists a unique element  $g_{\alpha\beta} \in A(\mathcal{L})$  such that  $g_{\alpha\beta} = \varphi_\beta \cdot \varphi_\alpha^{-1}$ . From (2.6),  $g_{\alpha\beta}^* \eta = \mu_{\alpha\beta}^2 \cdot \eta$  for some  $\mu_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . It follows  $\omega_\beta = \mu_{\alpha\beta}^2 \cdot \omega_\alpha$ . Thus the family  $\{\omega_\alpha, U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  is a complex contact structure on  $(M, J)$ .

Apart from the complex contact structure, since  $A(\mathcal{L}) \leq \text{Aff}(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1})$  from (2.8),  $M$  is also modelled on  $(\text{Aff}(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}), \mathbb{C}^{2n+1})$  where  $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ .  $M$  is a complex affinely flat manifold.  $\square$

**Remark 2.3** (1) When a subgroup  $\Gamma \leq A(\mathcal{L})$  acts properly discontinuously and freely on a domain  $\Omega$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  with compact quotient, we obtain a compact complex contact manifold  $\Omega/\Gamma$  by this proposition. In fact let  $p: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega/\Gamma$  be a covering holomorphic projection. Take a set of evenly covered neighborhoods  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  of  $\Omega/\Gamma$ . Choose a family of open subsets  $\tilde{U}_\alpha$  such that  $p_\alpha = p|_{\tilde{U}_\alpha}: \tilde{U}_\alpha \rightarrow U_\alpha$  is a biholomorphism. Put  $\omega_\alpha = (p_\alpha^{-1})^* \eta$ . Then the family  $\{U_\alpha, \omega_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  is a complex contact structure on  $\Omega/\Gamma$ .

(2) When  $\Omega = \mathcal{L}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}/\Gamma$  is said to be a compact complete affinely flat manifold. Concerning the Auslander-Milnor conjecture, we do not know whether the fundamental group  $\Gamma$  is virtually polycyclic.

(3) By the monodromy argument, there exists a developing immersion:  $\text{dev}: \tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  from the universal covering  $\tilde{M}$  of  $M$ . Then note that  $\text{dev}_* J = J_0 \text{dev}_*$ , i.e.  $\text{dev}$  is a holomorphic map. Here  $J$  is the lift of complex structure on  $\tilde{M}$  (We wrote the same  $J$  on  $\tilde{M}$ ).

When  $M$  is a complex manifold, we assume that the complex structure on  $M$  coincides with the one constructed in Proposition 2.2.

### 2.5 Complex Contact Similarity Geometry

It is in general difficult to find such a properly discontinuous group  $\Gamma$  as in Remark 2.3.  $\text{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$  contains a maximal compact symplectic subgroup  $\text{Sp}(n) = \{A \in \text{U}(2n) \mid {}^t A J_n A = J_n\}$  where  $\text{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C}) \cong \text{Sp}(n) \times \mathbb{R}^{n(2n+1)}$ .

**Definition 2.4** Put  $\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L} \rtimes (\text{Sp}(n) \cdot \mathbb{C}^*) \leq A(\mathcal{L})$ . The pair  $(\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L}), \mathcal{L})$  is called complex contact similarity geometry. If a manifold  $M$  is locally modelled on this geometry,  $M$  is said to be a complex contact similarity manifold. The euclidean subgroup of  $\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L})$  is defined to be  $E(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L} \rtimes (\text{Sp}(n) \cdot S^1)$ .

For example, choose  $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$  with  $|c| \neq 1$  and  $A \in \text{Sp}(n)$ . Put  $r = ((0, 0), c \cdot A) \in \text{Sim}(\mathcal{L})$ . Let  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  be an infinite cyclic group generated by  $r$ . Then it is easy to see that  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  acts freely and properly discontinuously on the complement  $\mathcal{L} - \{0\}$ . Here  $0 = (0, 0) \in \mathcal{L}_{2n+1} = \mathcal{L}$ . The quotient  $\mathcal{L} - \{0\}/\mathbb{Z}^+$  is diffeomorphic to  $S^1 \times S^{4n+1}$ . By Proposition 2.2 ((1) of Remark 2.3),  $S^1 \times S^{4n+1}$  is a complex contact similarity manifold.

Let  $\mathbb{H}^n$  be the  $4n$ -dimensional quaternionic vector space. The quaternionic similarity group  $\text{Sim}(\mathbb{H}^n) = \mathbb{H}^n \rtimes ((\text{Sp}(n) \cdot \text{Sp}(1)) \times \mathbb{R}^+)$  (resp. quaternionic euclidean group  $E(\mathbb{H}^n) = \mathbb{H}^n \rtimes (\text{Sp}(n) \cdot \text{Sp}(1))$ ) has a special subgroup  $\widehat{\text{Sim}}(\mathbb{H}^n) = \mathbb{H}^n \rtimes ((\text{Sp}(n) \cdot S^1) \times \mathbb{R}^+)$  (resp.  $\widehat{E}(\mathbb{H}^n) = \mathbb{H}^n \rtimes (\text{Sp}(n) \cdot S^1)$ ). When we identify  $\mathbb{H}^n$  with the complex vector space  $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$  by the correspondence  $(a + b\mathbf{j}) \mapsto (\bar{a}, b)$ ,  $\widehat{\text{Sim}}(\mathbb{H}^n)$  is canonically isomorphic to the complex similarity subgroup  $\mathbb{C}^{2n} \rtimes (\text{Sp}(n) \cdot \mathbb{C}^*)$  where  $\mathbb{C}^* = S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+$ . Then there are commutative exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} & \longrightarrow & \text{Sim}(\mathcal{L}) & \xrightarrow{p} & \widehat{\text{Sim}}(\mathbb{H}^n) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \parallel & & \cup & & \cup & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} & \longrightarrow & E(\mathcal{L}) & \xrightarrow{p} & \widehat{E}(\mathbb{H}^n) & \longrightarrow & 1. \end{array} \tag{2.11}$$

Choosing a torsionfree discrete cocompact subgroup  $\Gamma$  from  $E(\mathcal{L})$ , we obtain an infranilmanifold  $\mathcal{L}/\Gamma$  of complex dimension  $2n + 1$ . In particular,  $\Gamma \cap \mathcal{L}$  is discrete uniform in  $\mathcal{L}$  by the Auslander-Bieberbach theorem. As  $\mathbb{C}$  is the central subgroup of  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\Gamma \cap \mathbb{C}$  is discrete uniform in  $\mathbb{C}$  and so  $\Delta = \mathfrak{p}(\Gamma)$  is a discrete uniform subgroup in  $\widehat{E}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ . We obtain a Seifert singular fibration over a quaternionic euclidean orbifold  $\mathbb{H}^n/\Delta: T_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^n/\Delta$ . By (1) of Remark 2.3,  $\mathcal{L}/\Gamma$  is a complex contact manifold.

**Remark 2.5** When we take a finite index nilpotent subgroup  $\Gamma'$  of  $\Gamma$  admitting a central extension:  $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \Gamma' \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{4n} \rightarrow 1$ , a nilmanifold  $\mathcal{L}/\Gamma'$  admits a holomorphic principal  $T_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ -bundle over a complex torus  $T_{\mathbb{C}}^{2n} = \mathbb{H}^n/\mathbb{Z}^{4n}$ . This holomorphic example is a special case of Foreman's  $T^2$ -connection bundle over  $T_{\mathbb{C}}^{2n}$  (Foreman, 2000).

We give rise to a classification of compact complex contact similarity manifolds under the existence of  $S^1$ -actions (Compare Fried, 1980; Miner, 1991 for the related results of similarity manifolds). Recall that  $\text{Aut}_{cc}(M)$  is the group of complex contact transformations from Definition 2.1.

**Theorem 2.6** *Let  $M$  be a  $4n + 2$ -dimensional compact complex contact similarity manifold. If  $S^1 \leq \text{Aut}_{cc}(M)$  acts on  $M$  without fixed points, then  $M$  is holomorphically diffeomorphic to a complex contact infranilmanifold  $\mathcal{L}/\Gamma$  or a complex contact Hopf manifold  $S^1 \times S^{4n+1}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $J$  be a complex structure on  $M$ . Given a collection of charts  $\{U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha, J_\alpha\}$  on  $M$  with  $J_\alpha = J|_{U_\alpha}$  such that  $\varphi_\alpha: (U_\alpha, J_\alpha) \rightarrow (\mathcal{L}, J_0)$  is a holomorphic diffeomorphism onto its image, the monodromy argument shows that there is a developing pair:

$$(\rho, \text{dev}) : (\text{Aut}_{cc}(\tilde{M}), \tilde{M}) \rightarrow (\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L}), \mathcal{L}) \tag{2.12}$$

where  $\tilde{M}$  is the universal covering and  $\tilde{J}$  is a lift of  $J$  to  $\tilde{M}$ , and  $\pi = \pi_1(M) \leq \text{Aut}_{cc}(\tilde{M})$ . Then  $\text{dev}$  is a holomorphic immersion  $\text{dev}_* J = J_0 \text{dev}_*$  and  $\rho: \text{Aut}_{cc}(\tilde{M}) \rightarrow \text{Sim}(\mathcal{L})$  is a holonomy homomorphism. Put  $\Gamma = \rho(\pi)$ . Let  $\tilde{S}^1$  be a lift of  $S^1$  to  $\tilde{M}$  so that  $\rho(\tilde{S}^1) \leq \text{Sim}(\mathcal{L})$ .

**Case 1)** If  $\Gamma \leq E(\mathcal{L})$ , then there is a  $E(\mathcal{L})$ -invariant Riemannian metric on  $\mathcal{L}$ . As  $M$  is compact, the pullback metric on  $\tilde{M}$  by  $\text{dev}$  is (geodesically) complete,  $\text{dev}: \tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  is an isometry. As  $\text{dev}$  becomes a complex contact diffeomorphism,  $M$  is holomorphically isomorphic to a complex contact infranilmanifold  $\mathcal{L}/\Gamma$ .

**Case 2)** Suppose that some  $\rho(\gamma)$  has a nontrivial summand in  $\mathbb{R}^+ \leq \mathcal{L} \rtimes (\text{Sp}(n) \cdot S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+) = \text{Sim}(\mathcal{L})$ . In view of the affine representation  $\rho(\gamma) = (p, P)$  where  $P = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^2 & \lambda^t w J_n A \\ 0 & \lambda A \end{pmatrix}$  from (2.7), we note  $|\lambda| \neq 1$ , i.e.  $P$  has no eigenvalue 1. Then there exists an element  $z_0 \in \mathcal{L}$  such that the conjugate  $(z_0, I)\rho(\gamma)(-z_0, I) = (0, P)$ . We may assume that  $\rho(\gamma) = (0, P) \in \text{Aff}(\mathcal{L})$  from the beginning. As  $\rho(\tilde{S}^1)$  centralizes  $\Gamma$ , if  $\rho(t) = (q, Q) \in \rho(\tilde{S}^1)$ , then the equation  $\rho(t)\rho(\gamma) = \rho(\gamma)\rho(t)$  implies that  $Pq = q$  and so  $q = 0$ . Thus  $\rho(t) = (0, Q) = ((0, 0), \mu_t \cdot B_t) \in \text{Sp}(n) \cdot S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+ \leq \text{Sim}(\mathcal{L})$ . It follows  $\rho(\tilde{S}^1) \leq \text{Sp}(n) \cdot S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+$ . In particular,  $\rho(\tilde{S}^1)$  has a non-empty fixed point set  $\mathcal{S}$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ . If  $\text{dev}(x) \in \mathcal{S}$ , then  $\text{dev}(\tilde{S}^1 x) = \rho(\tilde{S}^1) \text{dev}(x) = x$ . Since  $\text{dev}$  is an immersion,  $\tilde{S}^1 x = x$ . As  $S^1$  has no fixed points on  $M$ , it is noted that  $\text{dev}(\tilde{M}) \subset \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S}$ . Let  $\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S})$  be the subgroup of  $\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L})$  whose elements leave  $\mathcal{S}$  invariant. Note that  $\Gamma \leq \text{Sim}(\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S})$ .

We determine  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S})$ . Since  $\rho(\tilde{S}^1)$  belongs to the maximal abelian group  $T^{2n} \cdot S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+$  up to conjugate in  $\text{Sp}(n) \cdot S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+$ , we can put  $\langle \lambda_t \rangle \leq S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $\langle s_t \rangle = S^1$  and

$$\rho(\tilde{S}^1) = \{((0, 0), \mu_t \cdot B_t)\} = \left\{ \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \mu_t^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_t B_t \end{pmatrix} \right) \right\}$$

$$B_t = \begin{pmatrix} s_t & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \\ & & s_t & & \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in T^{2n} \leq \text{Sp}(n) \tag{2.13}$$

where  $\text{Sp}(n) \leq U(2n)$  is canonically embedded so that  $2k$ -numbers of  $s_t$ 's and  $2\ell$ -numbers of 1's. Recall that  $\rho(\tilde{S}^1)$  acts on  $\mathcal{L}$  by  $\rho(t)(z_0, z) = (\mu_t^2 z_0, \mu_t B_t z)$ .

**Case I.**  $\mu_t \neq 1$ . Suppose that  $\mu_t \lambda_t = 1$ . Then  $\mathcal{S} = \text{Fix}(\rho(\tilde{S}^1), \mathcal{L}) = \{(0, (z, 0)) \in \mathcal{L} \mid z \in \mathbb{C}^{2k}\} (0 \leq k \leq n)$ . As the element  $((a, w), \lambda \cdot A) \in \text{Sim}(\mathcal{L})$  acts by  $((a, w), \lambda \cdot A)(0, (z, 0)) = (a + \lambda^t w J_n A z, w + \lambda A z) \in \mathcal{S}$  (cf. (2.5)), we can check that  $a = 0, w \in \mathbb{C}^{2k}$  and so  $\lambda A z \in \mathbb{C}^{2k}$ . In particular,  $A \in \text{Sp}(k)$ . From  $w J_n A z = 0$ , it follows  $w = 0$ .

$$\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S}) = \{((0, 0), \lambda \cdot A) \mid A \in \text{Sp}(k)\} = \text{Sp}(k) \cdot S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+. \tag{2.14}$$

**Case II.**  $\mu_t = 1$ . Then  $\mathcal{S} = \{(z_0, (0, z)) \in \mathcal{L} \mid z \in \mathbb{C}^{2\ell}\} = \mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1}$  ( $0 \leq \ell \leq n - 1$ ). It follows as above

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sim}(\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S}) &= \{(a, w), \lambda \cdot A \mid w \in \mathbb{C}^{2\ell}, A \in \text{Sp}(\ell)\} \\ &= \mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1} \rtimes (\text{Sp}(\ell) \cdot S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+) = \text{Sim}(\mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

We need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.7**  $\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S})$  acts properly on  $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S}$ .

*Proof.* **Case I.** There is an equivariant inclusion

$$(\text{Sp}(k) \cdot S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+, \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S}) \subset (\text{Sp}(n) \cdot S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+, \mathcal{L} - \{0\}).$$

As there is an  $\text{Sp}(n) \cdot S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+$ -invariant Riemannian metric on  $\mathcal{L} - \{0\}$  and  $\text{Sp}(k) \cdot S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+$  is a closed subgroup, it acts properly on  $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S}$ .

**Case II.** Let  $G = \mathbb{C}^{2\ell} \rtimes (\text{Sp}(\ell) \cdot S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+)$  be the semidirect group which preserves the complement  $\mathbb{C}^{2n} - \mathbb{C}^{2\ell}$ . Then there is an equivariant principal bundle:

$$(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow (\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1}), \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1}) \longrightarrow (G, \mathbb{C}^{2n} - \mathbb{C}^{2\ell}). \tag{2.16}$$

We note that  $G$  acts properly on  $\mathbb{C}^{2n} - \mathbb{C}^{2\ell}$ . For this, we observe that

$$\mathbb{C}^{2n} - \mathbb{C}^{2\ell} = S^{4n} - S^{4\ell} = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^{4\ell+1} \times S^{4n-4\ell-1} \tag{2.17}$$

in which

$$G \leq \mathbb{R}^{4\ell} \rtimes (\text{O}(4\ell) \times \mathbb{R}^+) = \text{Sim}(\mathbb{R}^{4\ell}) \leq \text{PO}(4\ell + 1, 1). \tag{2.18}$$

As  $\text{PO}(4\ell + 1, 1) \times \text{O}(4n - 4\ell) = \text{Isom}(\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^{4\ell+1} \times S^{4n-4\ell-1})$  and  $G$  is a closed subgroup of  $\text{PO}(4\ell + 1, 1)$ ,  $G$  acts properly on  $\mathbb{C}^{2n} - \mathbb{C}^{2\ell}$ .

Since  $\mathbb{C}$  acts properly on  $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1}$ , the above principal bundle (2.16) implies that  $\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1})$  acts properly on  $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1}$ .  $\square$

We continue the proof of Theorem 2.6. For **Case I**, there is an  $\text{Sp}(k) \cdot S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+$ -invariant Riemannian metric on  $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S}$ . Put  $H = \text{Sp}(k) \cdot S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+$ . As  $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S} = \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} - \mathbb{C}^{2k} = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^{4k+1} \times S^{4n-4k+1}$  where  $H \leq \text{Sim}(\mathbb{R}^{4k}) \leq \text{PO}(4k + 1, 1)$ , note that the quotient  $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S}/H$  is a Hausdorff space. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S}/H &= \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^{4k+1}/H \times S^{4n-4k+1} \\ &= \mathbb{R}^{4k} \rtimes \mathbb{R}^+/H \times S^{4n-4k+1} \\ &= \mathbb{R}^{4k}/(\text{Sp}(k) \cdot S^1) \times S^{4n-4k+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

$\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S}/H$  cannot be compact unless  $k = 0$ .

On the other hand, as  $M$  is compact and  $\Gamma \leq \text{Sim}(\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S})$ , using Lemma 2.7,  $\text{dev} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S}$  is a covering map.  $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S}$  is simply connected unless  $k = n$ . Then  $M \cong \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S}/\Gamma$  is compact ( $k \neq n$ ). If we consider the fiber space  $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S}/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S}/H$ ,  $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S}/H$  must be compact, which cannot occur except for  $k = 0$ .

If  $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{S} = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^{4n+1} \times S^1$  ( $k = n$ ), then there is a lift of  $\text{dev}$ ,  $\widetilde{\text{dev}} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^{4n+1} \times \mathbb{R}$  which is a diffeomorphism. The group  $\tilde{\Gamma} = \widetilde{\text{dev}} \circ \pi \circ \widetilde{\text{dev}}^{-1}$  acts properly discontinuously and freely on  $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^{4n+1} \times \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^{4n+1} \times \mathbb{R}/\tilde{\Gamma}$  is compact. As there is the canonical projection:

$$\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^{4n+1} \times \mathbb{R}/\tilde{\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^{4n+1} \times S^1/H, \tag{2.20}$$

$\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^{4n+1} \times S^1/H$  is compact. This case is also impossible.

For  $k = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{S} = \{0\}$ ,  $\text{dev} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} - \{0\}$  is a diffeomorphism. As  $\Gamma \leq S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+$  acting freely on  $\mathcal{L} - \{0\} = \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^1 \times S^{4n+1}$ ,  $M$  is biholomorphic to  $\mathcal{L} - \{0\}/\Gamma$  which is diffeomorphic with  $S^1 \times S^{4n+1}$ .

For **Case II**,  $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1}$  is always simply connected (cf. (2.16)). Then  $M$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1}/\Gamma$  so that  $\Gamma \leq \text{Sim}(\mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1}) = \mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1} \rtimes (\text{Sp}(\ell) \cdot S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+)$  is a discrete subgroup. As there is a fiber space

$$\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1})/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1}/\Gamma \longrightarrow \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1}/\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1}), \tag{2.21}$$



it follows that  $\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1})/\Gamma$  is compact. Since  $\mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1}$  is a maximal nilpotent subgroup of  $\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1})$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1} \cap \Gamma$  is discrete uniform in  $\mathcal{L}_{2\ell+1}$ . As  $\mathbb{R}^+$  acts on  $\mathcal{L}$  as multiplication,  $\Gamma$  cannot have a nontrivial summand in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . This contradicts the hypothesis of **Case 2**. So **Case II** does not occur. This proves the theorem.  $\square$

### 3. Connected Sum

In Kobayashi (1959), there is a complex contact structure on the complex projective space  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$ ; let  $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (z_{2i-1} \cdot dz_{2i} - z_{2i} \cdot dz_{2i-1})$  be a holomorphic 1-form on  $\mathbb{C}^{2n+2}$ . Put  $U_i = \{[w_0, \dots, w_{2n+1}] \mid w_i \neq 0\}$  which forms a cover  $\{U_i\}$  of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$ . If  $s_i$  is a holomorphic cross-section of the principal bundle  $\mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n+2} - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$  restricted to  $U_i$ , setting  $\omega_i = s_i^* \omega$ ,  $\{\omega_i\}$  defines a complex contact structure on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$ . For example, let  $\iota: U_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$  be the local coordinate system defined by  $\iota([w_0, \dots, w_{2n+1}]) = (z_0, \dots, z_{2n})$  such that  $w_{i+1}/w_0 = z_i$ . A holomorphic map  $s_0: U_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n+2} - \{0\}$  may be defined as

$$s_0 \circ \iota^{-1}(z_0, \dots, z_{2n}) = (1, z_0, -z_1, z_2, -z_3, z_4, \dots, -z_{2n-1}, z_{2n}).$$

Then the holomorphic 1-form  $(s_0 \circ \iota^{-1})^* \omega$  on  $\iota(U_0)$  is described as

$$(s_0 \circ \iota^{-1})^* \omega = dz_0 - \sum_{i=1}^n (z_{2i-1} \cdot dz_{2i} - z_{2i} \cdot dz_{2i-1}). \tag{3.1}$$

For this,

$$\begin{aligned} (s_0 \circ \iota^{-1})^* \omega &= (s_0 \circ \iota^{-1})^* ((z_1 dz_2 - z_2 dz_1) + (z_3 dz_4 - z_4 dz_3) + \dots + (z_{2n+1} dz_{2n+2} - z_{2n+2} dz_{2n+1})) \\ &= dz_0 - (z_1 dz_2 - z_2 dz_1) - \dots - (z_{2n-1} \cdot dz_{2n} - z_{2n} dz_{2n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

So  $(s_0 \circ \iota^{-1})^* \omega$  is equivalent with  $\eta_{\iota(U_0)}$  of (2.2).

Let  $p: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}/\Gamma$  be the holomorphic covering map. Put  $V_0 = p(\iota(U_0))$  and  $p(0) = x$ . Then the map  $p \circ \iota: U_0 \rightarrow V_0$  is a holomorphic map with  $p \circ \iota([1, 0, \dots, 0]) = x$ . Choose a neighborhood  $U'_0 \subset U_0$  such that  $\iota(U'_0)$  is a closed ball  $B$  at the origin in  $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ . Put  $p(B) = V'_0 \subset V_0$  so that  $p \circ \iota: U'_0 \rightarrow V'_0$  is a biholomorphism. Then a connected sum  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{2n+1} \# \mathcal{L}/\Gamma$  is obtained by glueing  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{2n+1} - \text{int}U'_0$  and  $\mathcal{L}/\Gamma - \text{int}V'_0$  along the boundaries  $\partial U'_0$  and  $\partial V'_0$  by  $p \circ \iota$ .

**Proposition 3.1** *The connected sum  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{2n+1} \# \mathcal{L}/\Gamma$  admits a complex contact structure.*

*Proof.* As above  $(s_0 \circ \iota^{-1})^* \omega = \eta$  on  $\iota(U_0)$ . Note that  $\omega_0 = s_0^* \omega = \iota^* \eta$  on  $U_0$ . On the other hand, the complex contact structure  $\{\eta_i\}$  on  $\mathcal{L}/\Gamma$  satisfies that  $p^* \eta_0 = \eta$  on  $\iota(U_0)$ . The holomorphic map  $p \circ \iota: U_0 \rightarrow V_0$  satisfies that  $(p \circ \iota)^* \eta_0 = \omega_0$ . Since  $J(p \circ \iota)_* = (p \circ \iota)_* J$  on  $U_0$ , the complex structure  $J$  is naturally extended to a complex structure on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{2n+1} \# \mathcal{L}/\Gamma$  along the boundary  $\partial U'_0$ .  $\square$

Since any complex contact similarity manifold  $M$  is locally modelled on  $(\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L}), \mathcal{L})$  by the definition, every point of  $M$  has a neighborhood  $U$  on which the complex contact structure is equivalent to a restriction of  $(\eta, \mathcal{L})$ . Similarly to the above proof, we have

**Theorem 3.2** *Any connected sum  $M_1 \# \dots \# M_k \# \ell \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$  admits a complex contact structure for a finite number of complex contact similarity manifolds  $M_1, \dots, M_k$  and  $\ell$ -copies of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$ .*

## 4. Contact Complex Structure from Quaternionic Heisenberg Lie Group

### 4.1 Quaternionic Heisenberg Geometry

Denote  $\mathbb{R}^3 = \text{Im } \mathbb{H}$  which is the imaginary part of the quaternion field  $\mathbb{H}$ .  $\mathcal{M}$  is the product  $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{H}^n$  with group law:

$$(\alpha, u) \cdot (\beta, v) = (\alpha + \beta + \text{Im}\langle u, v \rangle, u + v).$$

Here  $\langle u, v \rangle = {}^t \bar{u} \cdot v = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{u}_i v_i$  is the Hermitian inner product where  $\bar{u} = (\bar{u}_1, \dots, \bar{u}_n)$  is the quaternion conjugate.

$\mathcal{M}$  is nilpotent because  $[\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}] = \mathbb{R}^3$  which is the center consisting of the form  $((a, b, c), 0)$  ( $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ ).  $\mathcal{M}$  is called *quaternionic Heisenberg Lie group*. The similarity subgroup  $\text{Sim}(\mathcal{M})$  is defined to be the semidirect product  $\mathcal{M} \rtimes (\text{Sp}(n) \cdot \text{Sp}(1) \times \mathbb{R}^+)$ . The action of  $\text{Sim}(\mathcal{M})$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  is given as follows; for  $h = ((\alpha, u), (A \cdot g, t)) \in \mathcal{M} \rtimes (\text{Sp}(n) \cdot \text{Sp}(1) \times \mathbb{R}^+)$ ,  $(\beta, v) \in \mathcal{M}$ ,

$$h \circ (\beta, v) = (\alpha + {}^t g \beta g^{-1} + \text{Im}\langle u, t A v g^{-1} \rangle, u + t \cdot A v g^{-1}).$$

The pair  $(\text{Sim}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{M})$  is called *quaternionic Heisenberg geometry*.

Let  $u_i = z_i + w_i \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{H}$  ( $z_i, w_i \in \mathbb{C}$ ). It is easy to check that the correspondence  $\mathfrak{p}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  defined by

$$(\mathbf{a}\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{b}\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{c}\mathbf{k}, (u_1, \dots, u_n)) \mapsto (b + \mathbf{c}\mathbf{i}, (\bar{z}_1, w_1, \bar{z}_2, w_2, \dots, \bar{z}_n, w_n)) \tag{4.1}$$

is a Lie group homomorphism. Let  $\widehat{\text{Sim}}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M} \rtimes (\text{Sp}(n) \cdot S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+)$  be the subgroup of  $\text{Sim}(\mathcal{M})$ . Then  $\mathfrak{p}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  induces a homomorphism  $\mathfrak{q}: \widehat{\text{Sim}}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{Sim}(\mathcal{L})$  for which  $(\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{p}): (\widehat{\text{Sim}}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow (\text{Sim}(\mathcal{L}), \mathcal{L})$  is equivariant.

Take the coordinates  $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{R}^3, u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathbb{H}^n$ . Define a  $\text{Im } \mathbb{H}$ -valued 1-form on  $\mathcal{M}$  to be

$$\omega = da\mathbf{i} + db\mathbf{j} + dc\mathbf{k} - \text{Im}\langle u, du \rangle. \tag{4.2}$$

We may put

$$\omega = \omega_1 \mathbf{i} + \omega_2 \mathbf{j} + \omega_3 \mathbf{k} \tag{4.3}$$

for some real 1-forms  $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Noting (4.1),  $p^* \eta \cdot \mathbf{j}$  is a  $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{j}$  ( $\leq \mathbb{H}$ )-valued 1-form on  $\mathcal{M}$ . A calculation shows that

$$\omega - p^* \eta \cdot \mathbf{j} = da\mathbf{i} + \sum_{i=1}^n (\bar{z}_i dz_i - z_i d\bar{z}_i + w_i d\bar{w}_i - \bar{w}_i dw_i) \tag{4.4}$$

which is an  $\mathbb{R}\mathbf{i}$ -valued 1-form. Then we have from (4.3) that

$$\omega - p^* \eta \cdot \mathbf{j} = \omega_1 \cdot \mathbf{i}. \tag{4.5}$$

In particular when  $\mathfrak{p}_*: T\mathcal{M} \rightarrow T\mathcal{L}$  is the differential map, this equality shows

$$\mathfrak{p}_*(\text{Ker } \omega) = \text{Ker } \eta. \tag{4.6}$$

#### 4.2 Quaternionic Carnot-Carathéodory Structure on $\mathcal{M}^{4n+3}$

Let  $\nu: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^n$  be the projection defined by  $\nu((a, b, c), u) = u$ . Then it is easy to check that  $\nu_*: \text{Ker } \omega \rightarrow T\mathbb{H}^n$  is an isomorphism at each point. By the pullback of this isomorphism, the standard quaternionic structure  $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$  on  $\mathbb{H}^n$  induces an almost quaternionic structure on  $\text{Ker } \omega$ . (We write it as  $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$  also.) As  $[\text{Ker } \omega, \text{Ker } \omega] = \mathbb{R}^3, (\text{Ker } \omega, \{J_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1,2,3})$  is said to be *quaternionic Carnot-Carathéodory structure* on  $\mathcal{M}^{4n+3}$  (cf. Alekseevsky & Kamishima, 2008).

Set  $u_i = z_i + w_i \mathbf{j} = x_i + y_i \mathbf{i} + (p_i + q_i \mathbf{i}) \mathbf{j}$ , so that

$$g = |du|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (dx_i^2 + dy_i^2 + dp_i^2 + dq_i^2)$$

is the standard positive definite symmetric bilinear form on  $\text{Ker } \omega$ . Since  $d\omega = -d\bar{u} \wedge du = d\omega_1 \mathbf{i} + d\omega_2 \mathbf{j} + d\omega_3 \mathbf{k}$  from (4.2), (4.3), a reciprocity of the quaternionic structure shows that

$$d\omega_1(J_1 X, Y) = d\omega_2(J_2 X, Y) = d\omega_3(J_3 X, Y) = -g(X, Y). \quad (\forall X, Y \in \text{Ker } \omega). \tag{4.7}$$

Let  $J_0$  be the complex structure on  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mu: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n}$  the canonical projection. Since  $\eta$  is a holomorphic 1-form,  $\mu_*: (\text{Ker } \eta, J_0) \rightarrow (T\mathbb{C}^{2n}, J_0)$  is an equivariant isomorphism. If  $\mathfrak{q}: \mathbb{H}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n}$  is an isomorphism defined by  $\mathfrak{q}(u_1, \dots, u_n) = (\bar{z}_1, w_1, \dots, \bar{z}_n, w_n)$ , then there is the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \mathbb{H}^n \\ \mathfrak{p} \downarrow & & \mathfrak{q} \downarrow \\ \mathcal{L} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \mathbb{C}^{2n}, \end{array} \tag{4.8}$$

By the definition of  $J_1, \mathfrak{q}_* \circ J_1 = J_0 \circ \mathfrak{q}_*$  on  $T\mathbb{H}^n$ .

Note that  $\text{Ker } \omega_1 = \text{Ker } \omega \oplus \langle \frac{d}{db}, \frac{d}{dc} \rangle$  with  $\omega_1(\frac{d}{da}) = 1$  and  $T\mathcal{L} = \text{Ker } \eta \oplus \langle \frac{d}{db}, \frac{d}{dc} \rangle$ . Since  $\mathfrak{p}_* \langle \frac{d}{db}, \frac{d}{dc} \rangle = \langle \frac{d}{db}, \frac{d}{dc} \rangle$  (cf. (4.1)) and by (4.6),  $\mathfrak{p}_*: \text{Ker } \omega_1 \rightarrow T\mathcal{L}$  is an isomorphism.



### 4.3 Complex Contact Bundle on $\mathcal{L}$

As  $\mathbb{R}^3$  acts as translations on  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^3$  leaves  $\omega$  (resp.  $\omega_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ )) invariant.  $\mathbb{R}^3$  induces the distribution of vector fields  $\langle \frac{d}{da}, \frac{d}{db}, \frac{d}{dc} \rangle$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Define an almost complex structure  $\bar{J}_1$  on  $\text{Ker } \omega_1$  as

$$\bar{J}_1|_{\text{Ker } \omega_1} = J_1, \bar{J}_1 \frac{d}{db} = \frac{d}{dc}, \bar{J}_1 \frac{d}{dc} = -\frac{d}{db}. \tag{4.9}$$

**Lemma 4.1**  $\mathfrak{p}_* \circ \bar{J}_1 = J_0 \circ \mathfrak{p}_*$  on  $\text{Ker } \omega_1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $X \in \text{Ker } \omega$ . By the commutativity of (4.8)

$$\mu_*(\mathfrak{p}_*(J_1X)) = \mathfrak{q}_*\nu_*(J_1X) = J_0\mathfrak{q}_*\nu_*(X) = \mu_*(J_0\mathfrak{p}_*(X)), \tag{4.10}$$

so  $\mathfrak{p}_*(J_1X) = J_0\mathfrak{p}_*(X)$ .

Obviously,  $\mathfrak{p}_*(\bar{J}_1(\frac{d}{db}, \frac{d}{dc})) = J_0\mathfrak{p}_*(\frac{d}{db}, \frac{d}{dc})$ . □

**Lemma 4.2**  $\bar{J}_1$  is integrable on  $\text{Ker } \omega_1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\text{Ker } \omega_1 \otimes \mathbb{C} = T_{\omega_1}^{1,0} \oplus T_{\omega_1}^{0,1}$  be the eigenspace decomposition. Then  $T_{\omega_1}^{1,0} = T_{\omega}^{1,0} \oplus \langle \frac{d}{db} - \frac{d}{dc} \mathbf{i} \rangle$ . If we note that  $d\omega_1(\bar{J}_1X, \bar{J}_1Y) = d\omega_1(X, Y)$  ( $X, Y \in \text{Ker } \omega_1$ ) from (4.7), then  $[T_{\omega_1}^{1,0}, T_{\omega_1}^{1,0}] \subset \text{Ker } \omega_1 \otimes \mathbb{C}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{p}_*([T_{\omega_1}^{1,0}, T_{\omega_1}^{1,0}]) = [T^{1,0}(\mathcal{L}), T^{1,0}(\mathcal{L})]$ . Since  $J_0$  is the complex structure on  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $[T^{1,0}(\mathcal{L}), T^{1,0}(\mathcal{L})] \subset T^{1,0}(\mathcal{L})$ . It follows

$$[T_{\omega_1}^{1,0}, T_{\omega_1}^{1,0}] \subset T_{\omega_1}^{1,0}. \tag{4.11}$$

□

**Remark 4.3** The pair  $(\text{Ker } \omega_1, \bar{J}_1)$  is not a strictly pseudoconvex CR-structure on  $\mathcal{M}$  unlike Sasakian 3-structures. For this,  $[\frac{d}{db}, \frac{d}{dc}] = 0$  in  $\text{Ker } \omega_1 = \text{Ker } \omega \oplus \langle \frac{d}{db}, \frac{d}{dc} \rangle$ , so  $d\omega_1(\frac{d}{db}, \frac{d}{dc}) = 0$ . However,  $d\omega_1: \text{Ker } \omega \times \text{Ker } \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is nondegenerate from (4.7).

We put  $\text{Ker } \eta \otimes \mathbb{C} = T_{\eta}^{1,0} \oplus T_{\eta}^{0,1}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}_*: \text{Ker } \omega_1 \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow T\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{C}$  be an isomorphism so that  $\mathfrak{p}_*(\frac{d}{db} - \frac{d}{dc} \mathbf{i}) = \frac{d}{db} - \frac{d}{dc} \mathbf{i}$ . By Lemma 4.1, we have  $\mathfrak{p}_*(T_{\omega}^{1,0}) = T_{\eta}^{1,0}$ .

**Theorem 4.4** The complex  $2n$ -dimensional holomorphic subbundle  $T_{\eta}^{1,0}$  is a complex contact subbundle on  $\mathcal{L}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $T_{\omega_1}^{1,0} \otimes \mathbb{C} = T_{\omega}^{1,0} \oplus \langle \frac{d}{db} - \frac{d}{dc} \mathbf{i} \rangle$  and  $T^{1,0}(\mathcal{L}) = T_{\eta}^{1,0} \oplus \langle \frac{d}{db} - \frac{d}{dc} \mathbf{i} \rangle$  as above. From Remark 4.3,  $d\omega_1: T_{\omega}^{1,0} \times \bar{T}_{\omega}^{1,0} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is nondegenerate. Since  $J_1(J_3X) = -\mathbf{i}(J_3X)$ ,  $J_3X \in \bar{T}_{\omega}^{1,0}$ . Then  $d\omega_1(J_3X, Y) = -d\omega_2(X, Y) = \omega_2([X, Y])$  from (4.7). Thus  $\omega_2([T_{\omega}^{1,0}, T_{\omega}^{1,0}]) = \mathbb{C}$ . In particular,  $[T_{\omega}^{1,0}, T_{\omega}^{1,0}] \neq \{0\}$ . As  $[T_{\omega}^{1,0}, T_{\omega}^{1,0}] \subset T_{\omega_1}^{1,0} = T_{\omega}^{1,0} \oplus \langle \frac{d}{db} - \frac{d}{dc} \mathbf{i} \rangle$  by Lemma 4.2, it follows

$$[T_{\eta}^{1,0}, T_{\eta}^{1,0}] \equiv \langle \frac{d}{db} - \frac{d}{dc} \mathbf{i} \rangle \text{ mod } T_{\eta}^{1,0}. \tag{4.12}$$

Hence  $T_{\eta}^{1,0}$  is a complex contact subbundle on  $\mathcal{L}$ . □

### References

Alekseevsky, D., & Kamishima, Y. (2008). Pseudo-conformal quaternionic CR structure on  $(4n + 3)$ -dimensional manifolds. *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata*, 187(3), 487-529. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10231-007-0053-2>

Blair, D. E. (2002). Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds Contact manifolds. *Progress in Math.*, 203 Birkhäuser.

Foreman, B. (2000). Boothby-wang fibrations on complex contact manifolds. *Differential Geom. Appl.*, 13, 179-196. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0926-2245\(00\)00025-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0926-2245(00)00025-5)

Fried, D. (1980). Closed similarity manifolds. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 55, 576-582. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02566707>

- Ishihara, S., & Konishi, M. (1979). Real contact and complex contact structure. *Sea. Bull. Math.*, 3, 151-161.
- Kamishima, Y. (1999). Geometric rigidity of spherical hypersurfaces in quaternionic manifolds. *Asian J. Math.*, 3(3), 519-556.
- Kobayashi, S. (1959). Remarks on complex contact manifolds. *Proc. AMS*, 10, 164-167.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2032906>
- Kulkarni, R. (1978). On the principle of uniformization. *J. Diff. Geom.*, 13, 109-138.
- Lebrun, C. (1995). Fano manifolds, contact structures, and quaternionic geometry. *Int. J. Math.*, 3, 419-437.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0129167X95000146>
- Miner, R. (1991). Spherical CR-manifolds with amenable holonomy. *International J. of Math.*, 1(4), 479-501.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0129167X9000023X>
- Moroianu, A., & Semmelmann, U. (1996). Kählerian Killing spinors, complex contact structures and twistor spaces. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t. 323, Série I*, 57-61.
- Salamon, S. (1989). Riemannian geometry and holonomy groups. *Pitman research notes in Math.*, 201, Longman Scientific.
- Tanno, S. (1996). Remarks on a triple of K-contact structures. *Tôhoku Math. Jour.*, 48, 519-531.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.2748/tmj/1178225296>
- Wolf, J. (1965). Complex homogeneous contact manifolds and quaternionic symmetric spaces. *J. Math. and Mech.*, 14, 1033-1047.

### Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the authors, with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/>).