# On $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued peacocks

# Francis HIRSCH<sup>(1)</sup>, Bernard ROYNETTE<sup>(2)</sup>

July 26, 2011

- (1) Laboratoire d'Analyse et Probabilités, Université d'Évry - Val d'Essonne, Boulevard F. Mitterrand, F-91025 Évry Cedex e-mail: francis.hirsch@univ-evry.fr
- <sup>(2)</sup> Institut Elie Cartan, Université Henri Poincaré, B.P. 239, F-54506 Vandœuvre-lès-Nancy Cedex e-mail: bernard.roynette@iecn.u-nancy.fr

**Abstract:** In this paper, we consider  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued integrable processes which are increasing in the convex order, i.e.  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued peacocks in our terminology. After the presentation of some examples, we show that an  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued process is a peacock if and only if it has the same one-dimensional marginals as an  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued martingale. This extends former results, obtained notably by V. Strassen (1965), J.L. Doob (1968) and H. Kellerer (1972). **Key words:** convex order; martingale; 1-martingale; peacock. **2000 MSC:** Primary: 60E15, 60G44. Secondary: 60G15, 60G48.

## 1 Introduction

#### 1.1 Terminology

First we fix the terminology. In the sequel, d denotes a fixed integer and  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is equipped with a norm which is denoted by  $|\cdot|$ .

We say that two  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued processes:  $(X_t, t \ge 0)$  and  $(Y_t, t \ge 0)$ are *associated*, if they have the same one-dimensional marginals, i.e. if:

$$\forall t \ge 0, \quad X_t \stackrel{(\text{law})}{=} Y_t .$$

A process which is associated with a martingale is called a 1-martingale.

An  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued process  $(X_t, t \ge 0)$  will be called a *peacock* if:

i) it is *integrable*, that is:

$$\forall t \ge 0, \quad \mathbb{E}[|X_t|] < \infty$$

ii) it increases in the convex order, meaning that, for every convex function  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the map:

$$t \ge 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}[\psi(X_t)] \in (-\infty, +\infty]$$

is increasing.

This terminology was introduced in [HPRY]. We refer the reader to this monograph for an explanation of the origin of the term: "peacock", as well as for a comprehensive study of this notion in the case d = 1.

Actually, it may be noted that, in the definition of a peacock, only the family  $(\mu_t, t \ge 0)$  of its one-dimensional marginals is involved. This makes it natural, in the following, to also call a *peacock*, a family  $(\mu_t, t \ge 0)$  of probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that:

i) 
$$\forall t \ge 0$$
,  $\int |x| \mu_t(\mathrm{d}x) < \infty$ ,

ii) for every convex function  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the map:

$$t \ge 0 \longrightarrow \int \psi(x) \ \mu_t(\mathrm{d}x) \in (-\infty, +\infty]$$

is increasing.

Likewise, a family  $(\mu_t, t \ge 0)$  of probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and an  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued process  $(Y_t, t \ge 0)$  will be said to be *associated* if, for every  $t \ge 0$ , the law of  $Y_t$  is  $\mu_t$ , i.e. if  $(\mu_t, t \ge 0)$  is the family of the one-dimensional marginals of  $(Y_t, t \ge 0)$ .

Obviously, the above notions also are meaningful if one considers processes and families of measures indexed by a subset of  $\mathbb{R}_+$  (for example  $\mathbb{N}$ ) instead of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ .

It is an easy consequence of Jensen's inequality that an  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued process which is a 1-martingale, is a peacock. So, a natural question is whether the converse holds.

#### **1.2** Case d = 1

A remarkable result due to H. Kellerer ([K], 1972) states that, actually, any  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued process which is a peacock, is a 1-martingale. More precisely, Kellerer's result states that any  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued peacock admits an associated martingale which is *Markovian*.

Two more recent results now complete Kellerer's theorem.

- i) G. Lowther ([L], 2008) states that if  $(\mu_t, t \ge 0)$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued peacock such that the map:  $t \longrightarrow \mu_t$  is weakly continuous (i.e. for any  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued, bounded and continuous function f on  $\mathbb{R}$ , the map:  $t \longrightarrow \int f(x) \mu_t(dx)$  is continuous), then  $(\mu_t, t \ge 0)$  is associated with a strongly Markovian martingale which moreover is "almost-continuous" (see [L] for the definition).
- ii) In a previous paper ([HR], 2011), we presented a new proof of the above mentioned theorem of H. Kellerer. Our method, which is inspired from the "Fokker-Planck Equation Method" ([HPRY, Section 6.2, p.229]), then appears as a new application of M. Pierre's uniqueness theorem for a Fokker-Planck equation ([HPRY, Theorem 6.1, p.223]). Thus, we show that a martingale which is associated to an ℝ-valued peacock, may be obtained as a limit of solutions of stochastic differential equations. However, we do not obtain that such a martingale is Markovian.

### 1.3 Case $d \ge 1$

Concerning the case  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $d \geq 1$ , and even much more general spaces, we would like to mention the following three important papers.

- i) In [CFM] (1964), P. Cartier, J.M.G. Fell and P.-A. Meyer study the case of two probability measures  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$  on a metrizable convex compact K of a locally convex space. They prove, using the Hahn-Banach theorem, that, if  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$  is a K-valued peacock (indexed by  $\{1, 2\}$ ), then there exists a Markovian kernel P on K such that:  $\theta(dx_1, dx_2) := \mu_1(dx_1) P(x_1, dx_2)$  is the law of a K-valued martingale  $(Y_1, Y_2)$  associated to  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ .
- ii) In [S] (1965), V. Strassen extends the Cartier-Fell-Meyer result to  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued peacocks without making the assumption of compact support. Then he proves that, if  $(\mu_n, n \ge 0)$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued peacock (indexed by  $\mathbb{N}$ ), there exists an associated martingale which is obtained as a Markov chain.

iii) In [D] (1968), J.L. Doob studies, in a very general extended framework, peacocks indexed by ℝ<sub>+</sub> and taking their values in a fixed compact set. In particular, he proves that they admit associated martingales. Note that in [D], the Markovian character of the associated martingales is not considered.

### 1.4 Organization

The remainder of this paper is organised as follows:

- In Section 2, we present some basic facts concerning the  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued peacocks and we describe some examples, thus extending results of [HPRY].
- In Section 3, starting from Strassen's theorem, we prove that a family  $(\mu_t, t \ge 0)$  of probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , is associated to a *right-continuous* martingale, if and only if,  $(\mu_t, t \ge 0)$  is a peacock such that the map:  $t \longrightarrow \mu_t$  is *weakly right-continuous* on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ .
- In Section 4, by approximation from the previous result, we extend this result to the case of general  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued peacocks.

### 2 Generalities, Examples

#### 2.1 Notation

In the sequel, d denotes a fixed integer,  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is equipped with a norm which is denoted by  $|\cdot|$ , and we adopt the terminology of Subsection 1.1.

We also denote by  $\mathcal{M}$  the set of probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , equipped with the topology of weak convergence (with respect to the space  $C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued, bounded, continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ). We denote by  $\mathcal{M}_f$  the subset of  $\mathcal{M}$  consisting of measures  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $\int |x| \, \mu(\mathrm{d}x) < \infty$ .  $\mathcal{M}_f$ is also equipped with the topology of weak convergence.

 $C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$  denotes the space of  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with compact support, and  $C_c^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is the subspace consisting of all the nonnegative functions in  $C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

#### 2.2 Basic facts

**Proposition 2.1** Let  $(X_t, t \ge 0)$  be an  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued integrable process. Then  $(X_t, t \ge 0)$  is a peacock if (and only if) the map:  $t \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}[\psi(X_t)]$  is increasing, for every function  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which is convex, of  $C^{\infty}$  class and such that the derivative  $\psi'$  is bounded on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

**Proof** Let  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a convex function. For every  $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , there exists an affine function  $h_a$  such that:

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \psi(x) \ge h_a(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(a) = h_a(a)$$

Let  $\{a_n ; n \ge 1\}$  be a countable dense subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We set:

$$\forall n \ge 1, \quad \psi_n(x) = \sup_{1 \le j \le n} h_{a_j}(x) .$$

Then:

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$
,  $\lim_{n \uparrow \infty} \uparrow \psi_n(x) = \psi(x)$ .

The functions  $\psi_n$  are convex and Lipschitz continuous.

Let  $\phi$  be a nonnegative function, of  $C^{\infty}$  class, with compact support and such that  $\int \phi(x) \, dx = 1$ . We set, for  $n, p \ge 1$ ,

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \psi_{n,p}(x) = \int \psi_n\left(x - \frac{1}{p}y\right) \phi(y) \, \mathrm{d}y.$$

Clearly,  $\psi_{n,p}$  is convex, of  $C^{\infty}$  class and Lipschitz continuous. Consequently, its derivative is bounded on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Moreover,  $\lim_{p\to\infty} \psi_{n,p} = \psi_n$  uniformly on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

The desired result now follows directly.

The next result will be useful in the sequel.

**Proposition 2.2** Let  $(X_t, t \ge 0)$  be an  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued peacock. Then:

- 1. the map:  $t \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}[X_t]$  is constant;
- 2. the map:  $t \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}[|X_t|]$  is increasing, and therefore, for every  $T \ge 0$ ,

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \mathbb{E}[|X_t|] = \mathbb{E}[|X_T|] < \infty ;$$

3. for every  $T \ge 0$ , the random variables  $(X_t; 0 \le t \le T)$  are uniformly integrable.

**Proof** Properties 1 and 2 are obvious.

If  $c \geq 0$ ,

$$|x| 1_{\{|x| \ge c\}} \le (2|x| - c)^+$$
.

As the function  $x \longrightarrow (2|x| - c)^+$  is convex,

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \mathbb{E}\left[ |X_t| \, \mathbb{1}_{\{|X_t| \ge c\}} \right] \le \mathbb{E}[(2 \, |X_T| - c)^+] \, .$$

Now, by dominated convergence,

$$\lim_{c \to +\infty} \mathbb{E}[(2|X_T| - c)^+] = 0.$$

Hence, property 3 holds.

#### 2.3 Examples

The following examples are given in [HPRY] for d = 1. The proofs given below are essentially the same as in [HPRY].

**Proposition 2.3** Let X be a centered  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued random variable. Then  $(tX, t \ge 0)$  is a peacock.

**Proof** Let  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a convex function, and  $0 \le s < t$ . Then,

$$\psi(sX) \le \left(1 - \frac{s}{t}\right) \,\psi(0) + \frac{s}{t} \,\psi(tX) \;.$$

Since X is centered, by Jensen's inequality:

$$\psi(0) = \psi\left(\mathbb{E}[t\,X]\right) \le \mathbb{E}[\psi(t\,X)] \; .$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}[\psi(s\,X)] \le \left(1 - \frac{s}{t}\right) \,\mathbb{E}[\psi(t\,X)] + \frac{s}{t} \,\mathbb{E}[\psi(t\,X)] = \mathbb{E}[\psi(t\,X)] \,.$$

**Proposition 2.4** Let  $(X_t, t \ge 0)$  be a family of centered,  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued, Gaussian variables. We denote by  $C(t) = (c_{i,j}(t))_{1\le i,j\le d}$  the covariance matrix of  $X_t$ . Then,  $(X_t, t\ge 0)$  is a peacock if and only if the map:  $t \longrightarrow C(t)$  is increasing in the sense of quadratic forms, i.e:

$$\forall a = (a_1, \cdots, a_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t \longrightarrow \sum_{1 \le i,j \le d} c_{i,j}(t) a_i a_j \quad is increasing.$$

#### Proof

1) For every  $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , the function:

$$x \in \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \sum_{1 \le i,j \le d} a_i \, a_j \, x_i \, x_j = \left(\sum_{i=1}^d a_i \, x_i\right)^2$$

is convex. This entails that, if  $(X_t, t \ge 0)$  is a peacock, then the map:  $t \longrightarrow C(t)$  is increasing in the sense of quadratic forms.

2) Conversely, suppose that the map:  $t \longrightarrow C(t)$  is increasing in the sense of quadratic forms. By the proof of [HPRY, Theorem 2.16, p.132], there exists a centered  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued Gaussian process:  $(\Gamma_t = (\Gamma_{1,t}, \cdots, \Gamma_{d,t}), t \ge 0)$ , such that:

$$\forall s, t \ge 0, \ \forall 1 \le i, j \le d, \ \mathbb{E}[\Gamma_{i,s} \Gamma_{j,t}] = c_{i,j}(s \land t).$$

Therefrom we deduce that  $(\Gamma_t, t \ge 0)$  is a martingale which is associated to  $(X_t, t \ge 0)$ , and consequently,  $(X_t, t \ge 0)$  is a peacock.

**Corollary 2.1** Let A be a  $d \times d$  matrix. We consider the  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process  $(U_t, t \ge 0)$ , defined as (the unique) solution, started from 0, of the SDE:

$$\mathrm{d}U_t = \mathrm{d}B_t + A\,U_t\,\mathrm{d}t$$

where  $(B_t, t \ge 0)$  denotes a d-dimensional Brownian motion. Then,  $(U_t, t \ge 0)$  is a peacock.

**Proof** One has:

$$U_t = \int_0^t \exp((t-s) A) \, \mathrm{d}B_s \, .$$

Hence, for every  $t \ge 0$ ,  $U_t$  is a centered,  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued Gaussian variable whose covariance matrix is:

$$C(t) = \int_0^t \exp(sA) \, \exp(sA^*) \, \mathrm{d}s$$

where  $A^*$  denotes the adjoint matrix of A. Therefrom it is clear that the map:  $t \longrightarrow C(t)$  is increasing in the sense of quadratic forms, and Proposition 2.4 applies.

**Proposition 2.5** Let  $(M_t, t \ge 0)$  be an  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued, right-continuous martingale such that:

$$\forall T > 0, \quad \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0 \le t \le T} |M_t|\right] < \infty.$$

Then,

1. 
$$\left(X_t := \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t M_s \, \mathrm{d}s \; ; \; t \ge 0\right)$$
 is a peacock,  
2.  $\left(\widetilde{X}_t := \int_0^t (M_s - M_0) \, \mathrm{d}s \; ; \; t \ge 0\right)$  is a peacock.

**Proof** Using Proposition 2.1, we may use the proof of [HPRY, Theorem 1.4, p.26]. For the convenience of the reader, we reproduce this proof below.

1) Let  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a convex function, of  $C^{\infty}$  class and such that the derivative  $\psi'$  is bounded on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Setting:

$$\widehat{M}_t = \int_0^t s \, \mathrm{d}M_s \; ,$$

one has, by integration by parts:

$$X_t = M_t - t^{-1}\widehat{M}_t$$
 and  $dX_t = t^{-2}\widehat{M}_t dt$ .

Denoting by  $\mathcal{F}_s$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\{M_u ; 0 \le u \le s\}$ , one gets, for  $0 \le s \le t$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[X_t \mid \mathcal{F}_s] = X_s + (s^{-1} - t^{-1}) \widehat{M}_s .$$

Consequently, by Jensen's inequality,

$$\mathbb{E}[\psi(X_t)] \ge \mathbb{E}[\psi(X_s + (s^{-1} - t^{-1})\widehat{M}_s)].$$

Using again the fact that  $\psi$  is convex, one obtains:

$$\mathbb{E}[\psi(X_t)] \ge \mathbb{E}[\psi(X_s)] + (s^{-1} - t^{-1}) \mathbb{E}[\psi'(X_s) \cdot \widehat{M}_s]$$

Now,

$$\psi'(X_s) \cdot \widehat{M}_s = \int_0^s u^{-2} \psi''(X_u)(\widehat{M}_u, \widehat{M}_u) \, \mathrm{d}u + \int_0^s u \, \psi'(X_u) \cdot \mathrm{d}M_u$$

and therefore

$$\mathbb{E}[\psi(X_t)] - \mathbb{E}[\psi(X_s)] \ge (s^{-1} - t^{-1}) \mathbb{E}[\psi'(X_s) \cdot \widehat{M}_s] \ge 0 ,$$

which, by Proposition 2.1, yields the desired result.

2) Let  $\psi$  be as above. One may suppose that  $M_0 = 0$ . One has, for  $0 \le s \le t$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[X_t \mid \mathcal{F}_s] = X_s + (t-s) M_s$$

Consequently, by Jensen's inequality,

$$\mathbb{E}[\psi(\widetilde{X}_t)] \ge \mathbb{E}[\psi(\widetilde{X}_s + (t-s) M_s)] .$$

Using again the fact that  $\psi$  is convex, one obtains:

$$\mathbb{E}[\psi(\widetilde{X}_t)] \ge \mathbb{E}[\psi(\widetilde{X}_s)] + (t-s) \mathbb{E}[\psi'(\widetilde{X}_s) \cdot M_s]$$

Now,

$$\psi'(\widetilde{X}_s) \cdot M_s = \int_0^s \psi''(\widetilde{X}_u)(M_u, M_u) \, \mathrm{d}u + \int_0^s \psi'(\widetilde{X}_u) \cdot \mathrm{d}M_u$$

and therefore

$$\mathbb{E}[\psi(\widetilde{X}_t)] - \mathbb{E}[\psi(\widetilde{X}_s)] \ge (t-s) \mathbb{E}[\psi'(\widetilde{X}_s) \cdot M_s] \ge 0 ,$$

which, by Proposition 2.1, yields the desired result.

## 3 Right-continuous peacoks

In this section, we shall show that any right continuous peacock admits an associated right-continuous martingale. For this, we start from Strassen's theorem, which we now recall.

**Theorem 3.1 (Strassen [S], Theorem 8)** Let  $(\mu_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then  $(\mu_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  is a peacock if and only if there exists a martingale  $(M_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  which is associated to  $(\mu_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$ .

We shall extend this theorem to right-continuous peacocks indexed by  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . In the case d = 1, the following theorem is proven in [HR], by a quite different method. In particular, in [HR], we do not use Strassen's theorem, nor the Hahn-Banach theorem, but an explicit approximation by solutions of SDE's.

**Theorem 3.2** Let  $(\mu_t, t \ge 0)$  be a family in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then the following properties are equivalent:

- i) There exists a right-continuous martingale associated to  $(\mu_t, t \ge 0)$ .
- ii)  $(\mu_t, t \ge 0)$  is a peacock and the map:

$$t \ge 0 \longrightarrow \mu_t \in \mathcal{M}$$

is right-continuous.

#### Proof

- 1) We first assume that property i) is satisfied. Then, the fact that  $(\mu_t, t \ge 0)$  is a peacock follows classically from Jensen's inequality. Let  $(M_t, t \ge 0)$ 
  - 0) be a right-continuous martingale associated to  $(\mu_t, t \ge 0)$ . Then, if  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , dominated convergence yields that, for any  $t \ge 0$ ,

$$\lim_{s \to t, s > t} \int f(x) \ \mu_s(\mathrm{d}x) = \lim_{s \to t, s > t} \mathbb{E}[f(M_s)] = \mathbb{E}[f(M_t)] = \int f(x) \ \mu_t(\mathrm{d}x) \ dx$$

Therefore, the map:

$$t \ge 0 \longrightarrow \mu_t \in \mathcal{M}$$

is right-continuous, and property ii) is satisfied.

2) Conversely, we now assume that property ii) is satisfied. For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we set:

$$\mu_k^{(n)} = \mu_{k2^{-n}} \quad , \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \; .$$

By Strassen's theorem (Theorem 3.1), there exists a martingale  $(M_k^{(n)}, k \in \mathbb{N})$  which is associated to  $(\mu_k^{(n)}, k \in \mathbb{N})$ . We set:

$$X_t^{(n)} = M_k^{(n)}$$
 if  $t = k 2^{-n}$  and  $X_t^{(n)} = 0$  otherwise.

Consequently, the law of  $X_t^{(n)}$  is  $\mu_t$  if  $t \in \{k 2^{-n} ; k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , and is  $\delta$  (the Dirac measure at 0) if  $t \notin \{k 2^{-n} ; k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

Note that, due to the lack of uniqueness in Strassen's theorem, the law of  $(X_{k2^{-n}}^{(n)}, k \in \mathbb{N})$  may be not the same as the law of  $(X_{k2^{-n}}^{(n+1)}, k \in \mathbb{N})$ . Only the one-dimensional marginals are identical.

3) Let  $D = \{k 2^{-n} ; k, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  the set of dyadic numbers. For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , for every  $r \geq 1$  and  $\tau_r = (t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_r) \in D^r$ , we denote by  $\Pi_{\tau_r}^{(r,n)}$  the law of  $(X_{t_1}^{(n)}, \cdots, X_{t_r}^{(n)})$ , a probability on  $(\mathbb{R}^d)^r$ .

**Lemma 3.1** For every  $\tau_r \in D^r$ , the set of probability measures:  $\{\Pi_{\tau_r}^{(r,n)}; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is tight.

**Proof** We set, for  $x = (x^1, \dots, x^r) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^r$ ,  $|x|_r = \sum_{j=1}^r |x^j|$ . Then, for p > 0,

$$\Pi_{\tau_r}^{(r,n)}(|x|_r \ge p) \le \frac{1}{p} \Pi_{\tau_r}^{(r,n)}(|x|_r) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=1}^r \mathbb{E}[|X_{t_j}^{(n)}|] \le \frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=1}^r \mu_{t_j}(|x|)$$

since, by point 2), the law of  $X_{t_j}^{(n)}$  is either  $\mu_{t_j}$  or  $\delta$ . Hence,

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} \sup_{n \ge 0} \Pi_{\tau_r}^{(r,n)}(|x|_r \ge p) = 0 .$$

4) As a consequence of the previous lemma, and with the help of the diagonal procedure, there exists a subsequence  $(n_l)_{l\geq 0}$  such that, for every  $\tau_r \in D^r$ , the sequence of probabilities on  $(\mathbb{R}^d)^r$ :  $(\Pi_{\tau_r}^{(r,n_l)}, l \geq 0)$ , weakly converges to a probability which we denote by  $\Pi_{\tau_r}^{(r)}$ . We remark that, for l large enough, the law of  $X_{t_j}^{(n_l)}$  is  $\mu_{t_j}$ . Then, there exists an  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued process  $(X_t, t \in D)$  such that, for every  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $\tau_r = (t_1, \dots, t_r) \in D^r$ , the law of  $(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_r})$  is  $\Pi_{\tau_r}^{(r)}$ , and  $\Pi_t^{(1)} = \mu_t$  for every  $t \in D$ .

**Lemma 3.2** The process  $(X_t, t \in D)$  is a martingale associated to  $(\mu_t, t \in D)$ .

**Proof** As we have already seen, the process  $(X_t, t \in D)$  is associated to  $(\mu_t, t \in D)$ . We now prove that it is a martingale. We set:

$$\forall p > 0, \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ \varphi_p(x) = \left(1 \lor \frac{|x|}{p}\right)^{-1} x$$

Then,

$$\varphi_p \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$$
 and  $\varphi_p(x) = x$  for  $|x| \le p$ .

Let  $0 \leq s_1 < s_2 < \cdots < s_r \leq s \leq t$  be elements of D, and let  $f \in C_b((\mathbb{R}^d)^r)$ . We set:  $||f||_{\infty} = \sup\{|f(x)| ; x \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^r\}$ . Then, for l large enough,

$$\mathbb{E}[f(X_{s_1}^{(n_l)},\cdots,X_{s_r}^{(n_l)})X_t^{(n_l)}] = \mathbb{E}[f(X_{s_1}^{(n_l)},\cdots,X_{s_r}^{(n_l)})X_s^{(n_l)}].$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{split} & \left\| \mathbb{E}[f(X_{s_1}, \cdots, X_{s_r}) \,\varphi_p(X_t)] - \mathbb{E}[f(X_{s_1}, \cdots, X_{s_r}) \, X_t] \right\| \\ & \leq \quad \|f\|_{\infty} \,\mu_t \left( |x| \, \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| \ge p\}} \right), \quad \text{for every } p > 0, \\ & \left\| \mathbb{E}[f(X_{s_1}^{(n_l)}, \cdots, X_{s_r}^{(n_l)}) \,\varphi_p(X_t^{(n_l)})] - \mathbb{E}[f(X_{s_1}^{(n_l)}, \cdots, X_{s_r}^{(n_l)}) \, X_t^{(n_l)}] \right\| \\ & \leq \quad \|f\|_{\infty} \,\mu_t \left( |x| \, \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| \ge p\}} \right), \quad \text{for every } l \text{ and every } p > 0, \end{split}$$

and likewise, replacing t by s. Moreover,

$$\lim_{l \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[f(X_{s_1}^{(n_l)}, \cdots, X_{s_r}^{(n_l)}) \varphi_p(X_t^{(n_l)})] = \mathbb{E}[f(X_{s_1}, \cdots, X_{s_r}) \varphi_p(X_t)],$$

and likewise, replacing t by s. Finally, we obtain, for p > 0,

$$|\mathbb{E}[f(X_{s_1}, \cdots, X_{s_r}) X_t] - \mathbb{E}[f(X_{s_1}, \cdots, X_{s_r}) X_s]| \le 2 ||f||_{\infty} [\mu_t (|x| 1_{\{|x| \ge p\}}) + \mu_s (|x| 1_{\{|x| \ge p\}})],$$

and the desired result follows, letting p go to  $\infty$ .

5) By the classical theory of martingales (see, for example, [DM]), almost surely, for every  $t \ge 0$ ,

$$M_t = \lim_{s \to t, s \in D, s > t} X_s$$

is well defined, and  $(M_t, t \ge 0)$  is a right-continuous martingale. Besides, since, by hypothesis, the map:  $t \ge 0 \longrightarrow \mu_t \in \mathcal{M}$  is rightcontinuous, we deduce from Lemma 3.2 that this martingale  $(M_t, t \ge 0)$ is associated to  $(\mu_t, t \ge 0)$ .

### 4 The general case

Theorem 3.2 shall now be extended, by approximation, to the general case.

**Theorem 4.1** Let  $(\mu_t, t \ge 0)$  be a family in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then the following properties are equivalent:

- i) There exists a martingale associated to  $(\mu_t, t \ge 0)$ .
- ii)  $(\mu_t, t \ge 0)$  is a peacock.

**Proof** Let  $(\mu_t, t \ge 0)$  be a peacock.

**Lemma 4.1** There exists a countable set  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}_+$  such that the map:

$$t \longrightarrow \mu_t \in \mathcal{M}$$

is continuous at any  $s \notin \Delta$ .

**Proof** Let  $\chi : \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be defined by:

$$\chi(x) = (1 - |x|)^+ = (1 \vee |x|) - |x|.$$

Then  $\chi \in C_c^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\chi$  is the difference of two convex functions. We set:  $\chi_m(x) = m^d \chi(m x)$ , and we define the countable set  $\mathcal{H}$  by:

$$\mathcal{H} = \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{r} a_j \, \chi_m(x-q_j) \; ; \; r \in \mathbb{N}, \; m \in \mathbb{N}, \; a_j \in \mathbb{Q}_+, \; q_j \in \mathbb{Q}^d \right\} \; .$$

For  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ , the function:  $t \longrightarrow \mu_t(h)$  is the difference of two increasing functions, and hence admits a countable set  $\Delta_h$  of discontinuities. We set  $\Delta = \bigcup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \Delta_h$ . Then  $\Delta$  is a countable subset of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , and  $t \longrightarrow \mu_t(h)$  is continuous at any  $s \notin \Delta$ , for every  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ . Now, it is easy to see that  $\mathcal{H}$  is dense in  $C_c^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$  in the following sense: for every  $\varphi \in C_c^+(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , there exist a compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  and a sequence  $(h_n)_{n\geq 0} \subset \mathcal{H}$  such that:

$$\forall n, \text{ Supp } h_n \subset K \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} h_n = \varphi \text{ uniformly}$$

Consequently,  $t \longrightarrow \mu_t$  is vaguely continuous at any  $s \notin \Delta$ , and, since measures  $\mu_t$  are probabilities,  $t \longrightarrow \mu_t$  is also weakly continuous at any  $s \notin \Delta$ .

We may write  $\Delta = \{d_j ; j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we denote by  $(k_l^{(n)}, l \ge 0)$  the increasing rearrangement of the set:

$$\{k \, 2^{-n} ; k \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{d_j ; 0 \le j \le n\}$$
.

We define  $(\mu_t^{(n)}, t \ge 0)$  by:

$$\mu_t^{(n)} = \mu_{k_l^{(n)}}$$
 if there exists  $l$  such that  $t = k_l^{(n)}$ ,

and by: 
$$\mu_t^{(n)} = \frac{k_{l+1}^{(n)} - t}{k_{l+1}^{(n)} - k_l^{(n)}} \mu_{k_l^{(n)}} + \frac{t - k_l^{(n)}}{k_{l+1}^{(n)} - k_l^{(n)}} \mu_{k_{l+1}^{(n)}}$$
 if  $t \in [k_l^{(n)}, k_{l+1}^{(n)}]$ .

Lemma 4.2 The following properties hold:

- 1. For every  $n \ge 0$ ,  $(\mu_t^{(n)}, t \ge 0)$  is a peacock and the map:  $t \longrightarrow \mu_t^{(n)} \in \mathcal{M}$  is continuous.
- 2. For any  $t \ge 0$ ,  $\sup\{\mu_t^{(n)}(|x|) ; n \in \mathbb{N}\} < \infty$ .
- 3. For any  $t \ge 0$ , the set  $\{\mu_t^{(n)}; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is uniformly integrable.
- 4. For  $t \ge 0$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu_t^{(n)} = \mu_t$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

**Proof** Properties 1 and 4 are clear by construction. Property 2 (resp. property 3) follows directly from property 2 (resp. property 3) in Proposition 2.2.

By Theorem 3.2, there exists, for each n, a right-continuous martingale

 $(M_t^{(n)}, t \ge 0)$  which is associated to  $(\mu_t^{(n)}, t \ge 0)$ . For any  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\tau_r = (t_1, \dots, t_r) \in \mathbb{R}^r_+$ , we denote by  $\Pi_{\tau_r}^{(r,n)}$  the law of  $(M_{t_1}^{(n)}, \dots, M_{t_r}^{(n)})$ , a probability measure on  $(\mathbb{R}^d)^r$ .

**Lemma 4.3** For every  $\tau_r \in \mathbb{R}^r_+$ , the set of probability measures:  $\{\Pi^{(r,n)}_{\tau_r}; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is tight.

**Proof** As in Lemma 3.1, for p > 0,

$$\Pi_{\tau_r}^{(r,n)}(|x|_r \ge p) \le \frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=1}^r \mu_{t_j}^{(n)}(|x|),$$

and by property 2 in Lemma 4.2,

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} \sup_{n \ge 0} \prod_{\tau_r}^{(r,n)} (|x|_r \ge p) = 0$$

| _ |  |
|---|--|
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
| _ |  |
|   |  |

Let now  $\mathcal{U}$  be an ultrafilter on  $\mathbb{N}$ , which refines Fréchet's filter. As a consequence of the previous lemma, for every  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $\tau_r \in \mathbb{R}^r_+$ ,  $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \Pi^{(r,n)}_{\tau_r}$  exists for the weak convergence and we denote this limit by  $\Pi^{(r)}_{\tau_r}$ . By property 4 in Lemma 4.2,  $\Pi^{(1)}_t = \mu_t$ . There exists a process  $(M_t, t \ge 0)$  such that, for every  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $\tau_r = (t_1, \cdots, t_r) \in \mathbb{R}^r_+$ , the law of  $(M_{t_1}, \cdots, M_{t_r})$  is  $\Pi^{(r)}_{\tau_r}$ . In particular, this process  $(M_t, t \ge 0)$  is associated to  $(\mu_t, t \ge 0)$ .

**Lemma 4.4** The process  $(M_t, t \ge 0)$  is a martingale.

**Proof** The proof is quite similar to that of Lemma 3.2, but we give the details for the sake of completeness. We recall the notation:

$$\forall p > 0, \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ \varphi_p(x) = \left(1 \lor \frac{|x|}{p}\right)^{-1} x$$

Let  $0 \leq s_1 < s_2 < \cdots < s_r \leq s \leq t$  be elements of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , and let  $f \in C_b((\mathbb{R}^d)^r)$ . We set:  $\|f\|_{\infty} = \sup\{|f(x)| ; x \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^r\}$ . Then, for every n,

$$\mathbb{E}[f(M_{s_1}^{(n)},\cdots,M_{s_r}^{(n)})\,M_t^{(n)}] = \mathbb{E}[f(M_{s_1}^{(n)},\cdots,M_{s_r}^{(n)})\,M_s^{(n)}] \,.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathbb{E}[f(M_{s_1}, \cdots, M_{s_r}) \varphi_p(M_t)] - \mathbb{E}[f(M_{s_1}, \cdots, M_{s_r}) M_t] \right| \\ & \leq \| f \|_{\infty} \mu_t \left( |x| \, \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| \ge p\}} \right), \text{ for every } p > 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathbb{E}[f(M_{s_1}^{(n)}, \cdots, M_{s_r}^{(n)}) \,\varphi_p(M_t^{(n)})] - \mathbb{E}[f(M_{s_1}^{(n)}, \cdots, M_{s_r}^{(n)}) \, M_t^{(n)}] \right| \\ & \leq \| f \|_{\infty} \,\mu_t^{(n)} \left( |x| \, \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| \ge p\}} \right), \quad \text{for every } n \text{ and every } p > 0, \end{aligned}$$

and likewise, replacing t by s. Moreover,

$$\lim_{\mathcal{U}} \mathbb{E}[f(M_{s_1}^{(n)},\cdots,M_{s_r}^{(n)})\varphi_p(M_t^{(n)})] = \mathbb{E}[f(M_{s_1},\cdots,M_{s_r})\varphi_p(M_t)],$$

and likewise, replacing t by s. Finally, we obtain, for p > 0,

$$\begin{split} & \left| \mathbb{E}[f(X_{s_1}, \cdots, X_{s_r}) X_t] - \mathbb{E}[f(X_{s_1}, \cdots, X_{s_r}) X_s] \right| \\ & \leq 2 \| f \|_{\infty} \sup_{n \geq 0} \left[ \mu_t^{(n)} \left( |x| \, \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| \geq p\}} \right) + \mu_s^{(n)} \left( |x| \, \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| \geq p\}} \right) \right] \,, \end{split}$$

and, by property 3 in Lemma 4.2, the desired result follows, letting p go to  $\infty$ .

This lemma completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Acknowledgment We are grateful to Marc Yor for his help during the preparation of this paper.

### References

- [CFM] P. Cartier; J.M.G. Fell; P.-A. Meyer. Comparaison des mesures portées par un convexe compact. Bull. Soc. Math. France, 92 (1964), p.435-445.
- [DM] C. Dellacherie; P.-A. Meyer. Probabilités et potentiel, Chapitres V à VIII, Théorie des martingales. Hermann (1980).
- [D] J.L. Doob. Generalized sweeping-out and probability. J. Funct. Anal., 2 (1968), p. 207-225.
- [HPRY] F. Hirsch; C. Profeta; B. Roynette; M. Yor. *Peacocks and associated martingales, with explicit constructions*. Bocconi & Springer Series, vol. 3, Springer (2011).
- [HR] F. Hirsch; B. Roynette. A new proof of Kellerer's theorem. Prépublication Université d'Evry, nº 323, 09/2011 (2011).

- [K] H.G. Kellerer. Markov-Komposition und eine Anwendung auf Martingale. Math. Ann., 198 (1972), p. 99 - 122.
- [L] G. Lowther. Fitting martingales to given marginals. http://arxiv.org/abs/0808.2319v1 (2008).
- [S] V. Strassen. The existence of probability measures with given marginals. Ann. Math. Stat. 36 (1965), p. 423-439.