

University of Groningen

On degree phrases and result clauses

Rijkhoek, Paulien Dea

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:

1998

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Rijkhoek, P. D. (1998). *On degree phrases and result clauses*. s.n.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

On Degree Phrases & Result Clauses

Paulien Rijkhoek





Groningen Dissertations in Linguistics 27
ISSN 0928-0030

RIJKSUNIVERSITEIT GRONINGEN

On Degree Phrases and Result Clauses

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van het doctoraat in de
Letteren
aan de Rijksuniversiteit Groningen
op gezag van de Rector Magnificus, dr. D.F.J. Bosscher,
in het openbaar te verdedigen op
maandag 30 november 1998
om 16.15 uur

door

Paulien Dea Rijkhoek

geboren op 26 mei 1968
te Almelo

Promotor: prof. dr. J. Koster

Referent: dr. C.J.W. Zwart

"Just tell yourself, Duckie,
you're really quite lucky!
Some people are much more...
oh, ever so much more...
oh, muchly much-much more
unlucky than you!"

Dr. Seuss

Acknowledgements

At this point in time, with my dissertation almost ready to be printed, it is nice to be able to express my gratitude to a number of people.

First of all, I owe Jan Koster and Jan-Wouter Zwart for supervising my work on this dissertation: they encouraged me, reassured me at times and finally set some deadlines that I was not allowed to miss. If it were not for those deadlines, this dissertation would not be finished for quite some time.

I would like to thank the members of the reading committee, Ger de Haan, Eric Reuland and Tim Stowell, for their interest in and approval of this dissertation. Though as yet a little prematurely, I would like to thank Norbert Corver as well: for his work in the first place and for doing me the honour of coming to Groningen to ask the first question at my defense on the 30th of November.

From January through March 1997, I spent a term at the University of California at Los Angeles (UCLA). My work benefited greatly from stimulating discussions with Dominique Sportiche, Tim Stowell, Manuel Español-Echevarría, Hilda Koopman, Murat Kural, Mike Galant and others. I am grateful to everyone in the linguistic department for their hospitality and pleasant company. In particular, the premieres of the Star Wars Trilogy reissue are a special memory.

As a student of Linguistics in Groningen, I enjoyed Eric Reuland's classes, in which I discovered the relativity of linguistic theory ("well, the standard analysis of scrambling is such and such, but tomorrow there is a workshop on scrambling and indefinites, so who knows what the standard analysis will be afterwards"). I liked that a lot, and decided to find my way in that weird field of science. During the years to come, Jan-Wouter Zwart always challenged me not to be satisfied too soon and to make the most of it. I also owe Sjaak de Mey for many hours of discussing papers on semantic issues.

Apart from linguistics, life is full of other things to do. I am grateful to Jan Koster and Jan-Wouter Zwart for giving me the opportunity to partly back off from my dissertation for a while and join others to work on a book on mentally handicapped people: it was a very interesting experience that I hope will have a follow-up some day.

What would a human being be without support from family and friends? I am very grateful to my parents for stimulating me to pursue in what I like to do and for

offering me every chance to taste life. My friends I would like to thank for wonderful dinners, their interest in my research (and their attempts to grasp what it is about), the temptations they offered not to work on my dissertation (temptations I did not always resist) and more. I owe my colleagues at the Faculty of Arts for their encouragement over the years, and my present colleagues at InterNet Noord for giving me time off to finish my dissertation. Special thanks are due to André van der Hoek and Rienk Withaar, even though their suggestions for the title of this dissertation, like *Anton*, or *On Anton and Rudolf*, were not the most useful ones. Almost last, but not least, thanks to Jonneke Brouw and Marjen van Oosterhout-Rijkhoek for standing by me on November 30th.

Finally, I am grateful to André van der Hoek for his support throughout the last four years, his lasagna creations and the Dr. Seuss books.

Contents

Acknowledgements	vii
Contents	ix
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 Degree phrases and result clauses	1
1.2 Framework	2
1.3 Terminology	3
1.4 Outline	5

Degree Phrases

Chapter 2 Specifiers or Adjuncts	9
2.1 Introduction	9
2.2 Modifiers as heads in the functional structure of a sentence	11
2.2.1 Adjectival modifiers in the nominal domain	12
2.2.2 Sportiche 1994	15
2.2.2.1 Dutch	17
2.2.2.2 No HMC effects	18
2.2.2.3 Adverbs can be topicalized or focused	20
2.2.2.4 Adverbs that select prepositional phrases	21
2.2.2.5 Structural distinction between modification and selection necessary	24
2.2.2.6 Summary	27
2.3 Specifier or adjunct	27
2.3.1 Structural distinction between selection and modification guaranteed	28
2.3.2 Cinque 1997	31
2.4 Issues in comparing specifier and adjunct approach	36
2.4.1 The relative ordering of modifiers among themselves	37
2.4.1.2 Other cases of modification	42
2.4.2 The relative ordering of arguments and modifiers	44
2.4.3 Fixed position for adverbs or for arguments	46
2.5 Conclusion	48

Chapter 3	The Internal Structure of Degree Phrases	49
3.1	Introduction	49
3.2	Corver 1990	50
3.3	Arguments to distinguish <i>so, too</i> etc. from <i>more, less</i> etc.	52
3.4	Corver 1997	55
	3.4.1 <i>Much</i> as a dummy	61
	3.4.2 Incorrect predictions	61
3.5	<i>More</i> and <i>less</i> are composite forms	64
3.6	<i>More</i> and <i>too much</i> in environments other than AP	68
3.7	<i>Much</i> as a dummy ?	75
	3.7.1 <i>Much</i> is not a dummy	76
3.8	Summary and conclusion	81
	APPENDIX: The interpretation of degree phrases	83
A	The existential quantifier	84
B	The reference point	85
C	The orientation	87
D	Overview	88
E	The meaning of <i>much</i> and <i>more</i>	88

Result Clauses

Chapter 4	Previous Analyses	95
4.1	Introduction	95
4.2	Phenomena to be accounted for	96
	4.2.1 Clause-final occurrence of result clauses	96
	4.2.2 Distribution of the antecedent <i>zo`so'</i>	98
	4.2.3 Deep embedding of <i>zo`so'</i>	99
	4.2.4 No linking across (non-complement) CPs	100
	4.2.5 Split antecedents	101
	4.2.6 Nested relations	102
	4.2.7 Summary	103

4.3	Analyses	104
4.3.1	Bresnan 1973	104
4.3.2	Corver 1990	105
4.3.3	Degree Phrase-internal generation in an antisymmetric framework	107
4.3.4	Guéron & May 1984	109
4.3.5	Andrews 1975	112
4.3.6	Rouveret 1978	114
4.3.7	Kayne 1994	117
4.4	Conclusion	120

Chapter 5 A Conjunction Analysis of Result Clauses 121

5.1	Introduction	121
5.1.1	A sketch of the structural configuration	122
5.1.2	Licensing relations	124
5.1.3	Motivation	127
5.1.4	Summary	129
5.2	Overview of properties to be discussed	130
5.3	Clause-final occurrence	131
5.4	Distribution of the antecedent	136
5.5	Embedded antecedents	141
5.6	Split antecedents	147
5.6.1	Summary	151
5.7	No (non-complement) CP boundary in between	152
5.8	Nested dependencies	158
5.9	No split in the middle-field	164
5.10	No topicalization of the second conjunct without the first	167
5.11	Islandhood	170
5.12	Summary of properties	172
5.13	Conclusion	174

Chapter 6 Additional Evidence	175
6.1 Introduction	175
6.2 Frisian result clauses	176
6.2.1 Test for conjunction with the matrix clause	176
6.2.1.1 Verb second	177
6.2.1.2 Weak subject pronouns	178
6.2.1.3 Left dislocation	179
6.2.1.4 Interjections	179
6.3 Applying the test	181
6.3.1 Conclusion	184
6.4 Negative polarity items	184
6.5 Conclusion	189
Chapter 7 Summary	191
7.1 Conclusion	193
References	195
Samenvatting	203