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#### Abstract

Distance sets of large sets of integer points are studied in dimensions at least 5. To any $\varepsilon>0$ a positive integer $Q_{\varepsilon}$ is constructed with the following property; If $A$ is any set of integer points of upper density at least $\varepsilon$, then all large multiples of $Q_{\varepsilon}^{2}$ occur as squares of distances between the points of the set $A$.


## 1. Introduction.

A result of Fürstenberg, Katznelson and Weiss [FKW] states that if $A$ is a measurable subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ of positive upper density, then its distance set: $d(A)=\{|x-y|: x \in A, y \in A\}$ contains all large numbers.

Our aim is to prove a similar result for subsets of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}(n>4)$ of positive density $\varepsilon$, namely that: $d^{2}(A)=\left\{|m-l|^{2}: m \in A, l \in A\right\}$ contains all large multiples of a fixed number $Q_{\varepsilon}^{2}$, which depends only on the density $\varepsilon$ and the dimension $n$.

Note that one cannot take $Q_{\varepsilon}=1$ as the set $A$ may fall into a fixed congruence class of some integer $q$, and if $q \leq \varepsilon^{-1 / n}$ then such a set $A$ would have density $q^{-n} \geq \varepsilon$, and all elements of $d^{2}(A)$ would be divisible by $q^{2}$. Moreover this implies that $Q_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ divides $Q_{\varepsilon}$, where $Q_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ is the least common multiple of all $q \leq \varepsilon^{-1 / n}$. In particular by the prime number theorem $Q_{\varepsilon} \geq Q_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \geq \exp \left(c \varepsilon^{-1 / n}\right)$ with some $c>0$. The number $Q_{\varepsilon}$ we construct will be similar and will satisfy the upper bound: $Q_{\varepsilon} \leq \exp \left(C_{n} \varepsilon^{-6 / n-4}\right)$, where $C_{n}$ is a constant depending only on the dimension $n$.

Such results are impossible in dimensions $n \leq 3$. Indeed, even if one takes $A=\mathbb{Z}^{n}$, the equation: $d=|m-l|^{2}$ has no solution if $d=4^{a}(8 k+1)$ by Gauss' characterization, however every number has multiples of this form. We prove our result in dimensions $n \geq 5$ leaving the case $n=4$ open.

A corollary is that the gaps between consecutive distances $d<d^{\prime}, d, d^{\prime} \in d(A)$ satisfy: $d^{\prime}-d \leq$ $C_{\varepsilon} d^{-1 / 2}$ where $\varepsilon$ denotes the upper density of the set $A$. Distance sets of discrete subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ have been studied before, in [IE] it was shown that the gaps between consecutive distances from discrete subsets $A$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ tend to 0 , if $A$ has a point in every square of size $\sqrt{5}$. In fact this was proved more generally when the distances are associated to convex sets, see also $[\mathrm{K}]$ for similar higher dimensional results. Our proof may be generalized when the distances are defined by certain positive homogeneous polynomials, however we do not pursue such generalizations here.
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## 2. Main Results.

We say that a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ has upper density at least $\varepsilon$, and write $\delta(A) \geq \varepsilon$, if there exists a sequence of cubes $B_{R_{j}}$ of sizes $R_{j} \rightarrow \infty$, not necessarily centered at the origin, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A \cap B_{R_{j}}\right| \geq \varepsilon R_{j}^{n} \quad \forall j \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|A|$ denotes the number of elements of the set $A$. As usual $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ denotes the integer lattice and $\mathbb{N}$ stands for the natural numbers.

Theorem 1. Let $n \geq 5, \varepsilon>0$ and let $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ such that $\delta(A) \geq \varepsilon$.
Then there exists $Q_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$ depending only on $\varepsilon$, and $\Lambda_{A} \in \mathbb{N}$ depending on the set $A$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda Q_{\varepsilon}^{2} \in d^{2}(A)=\left\{|m-l|^{2}: m \in A, l \in A\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\lambda \geq \Lambda_{A}$.

In fact a more quantitative version will be proved
Theorem 2. Let $n \geq 5, \varepsilon>0$. Then there exist a pair $J_{\varepsilon}, Q_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$ depending only on $\varepsilon$, such that the following holds.

If $0<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}<\ldots<\lambda_{J_{\varepsilon}}$ is any sequence of natural numbers with $\lambda_{j+1} \geq 10 \lambda_{j}$ and if $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{n} \cap B_{R}$ such that $|A| \geq \varepsilon R^{n}$ and $R \geq 10 \lambda_{J_{\varepsilon}}^{1 / 2}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists j \leq J_{\varepsilon} \quad \text { such that } \quad \lambda_{j} Q_{\varepsilon}^{2} \in d^{2}(A) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that Theorem 2 implies Theorem 1. Indeed, let $\varepsilon>0, J_{\varepsilon}, Q_{\varepsilon}$ as in Theorem 2. If Theorem 1 does not hold for $Q_{\varepsilon}$, then there is a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ with upper density $\delta(A) \geq \varepsilon$ and infinite sequence $\lambda_{j}$ such that $10 \lambda_{j}<\lambda_{j+1}$ and $\lambda_{j} Q_{\varepsilon}^{2} \notin d^{2}(A)$ for all $j$. Choosing a cube $B_{R}$ with size $R>10 \lambda_{J_{\varepsilon}}^{1 / 2}$ such that $\left|A \cap B_{R}\right| \geq \varepsilon R^{n}$ contradicts (2.3).

It will be convenient to introduce the following terminology; a triple $(\varepsilon, Q, J)$ is called regular if the conclusion of Theorem 2 holds for that triple. It is clear that the triple $(1,1,1)$ is regular as every positive integer is the sum of 5 squares, and also the regularity of $(\varepsilon, Q, J)$ implies that of $\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}, Q, J\right)$ for $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon^{\prime}$.

Thus it is enough to show that for each $\varepsilon_{k}=(9 / 10)^{k}$ there exists a pair of natural numbers $Q_{k}, J_{k}$ such that $\left(\varepsilon_{k}, Q_{k}, J_{k}\right)$ is regular. This will be shown by induction on $k$, constructing $Q_{k}=Q_{k-1} q_{k}$ and $J_{k}$ recursively to $\varepsilon_{k}$. The point is that induction will enable one to assume that $A$ is welldistributed in the congruence classes of a fixed modulus $q_{k}$, to be chosen later.

Indeed for $s \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$, let $A_{q_{k}, s}=\left\{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}: q_{k} m+s \in A\right\}$. If there is an $s$ such that the density $\delta\left(A_{q_{k}, s}\right) \geq \varepsilon_{k-1}$, then by induction it follows that $\lambda Q_{k-1}^{2} \in d^{2}\left(A_{q_{k}, s}\right)$ for all large $\lambda$, and hence $\lambda Q_{k}^{2} \in d^{2}(A)$. Thus one can assume that $\delta(A) \geq \varepsilon_{k}$, but $\delta\left(A_{q_{k}, s}\right) \leq \varepsilon_{k-1}=\frac{10}{9} \varepsilon_{k}$ for each $s \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$.

Our proof was motivated by the short Fourier analytic proof of the Fürstenberg-Katznelson-Weiss theorem given in $[\mathrm{B}]$. The starting point is to express the number of pairs $m \in A, l \in A$ such that $|m-l|^{2}=\lambda$ in the form

$$
N(A, \lambda)=\sum_{m, l} 1_{A}(m) 1_{A}(l) \sigma_{\lambda}(m-l)=\left\langle 1_{A}, 1_{A} * \sigma_{\lambda}\right\rangle
$$

where $1_{A}$ denotes the indicator function of the set $A$ and $\sigma_{\lambda}$ stands for that of the of the set of integer points on the sphere of radius $\lambda^{1 / 2}$. Thus by Plancherel

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(A, \lambda)=\left\langle\hat{1}_{A}, \hat{1}_{A} \hat{\sigma}_{\lambda}\right\rangle=\int_{\Pi^{n}}\left|\hat{1}_{A}(\xi)\right|^{2} \hat{\sigma}_{\lambda}(\xi) d \xi \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\sigma}_{\lambda}(\xi)=\sum_{|m|^{2}=\lambda} e^{2 \pi i m \cdot \xi} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the Fourier transform of $\sigma_{\lambda}$, and $\Pi^{n}$ denotes the $n$-dimensional torus.
Note that $\left|\hat{\sigma}_{\lambda}(\xi)\right| \leq \hat{\sigma}_{\lambda}(0) \lesssim \lambda^{n / 2-1}$ for $n \geq 5$, using the well-known fact in number theory, that $\left|\left\{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}:|m|^{2}=\lambda\right\}\right| \lesssim \lambda^{n / 2-1}$. Thus if $A \subseteq B_{R}$ then by (2.4):

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(A, \lambda) \lesssim|A| \lambda^{n / 2-1} \leq R^{n} \lambda^{n / 2-1} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $A \lesssim B$ means that $A \leq c_{n} B$ with a constant $c_{n}>0$ depending only on the dimension $n$, and whose exact value may change from place to place.

The behavior of the exponential sum $\hat{\sigma}_{\lambda}(\xi)$ is described in [MSW] and summarized in the asymptotic formula (3.3). We'll use the fact that it is concentrated near rational points of small denominator. More precisely given $\varepsilon>0$, there is a $Q_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$ and a $\lambda_{\varepsilon}>0$ depending only on $\varepsilon$, such that for $\lambda \geq \lambda_{\varepsilon}$

$$
\left|\hat{\sigma}_{\lambda}(\xi)\right| \lesssim \varepsilon^{3} \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \quad \text { if } \quad\left|\xi-l / Q_{\varepsilon}\right| \gtrsim \varepsilon^{-\frac{6}{n-1}} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}
$$

for every rational point $l / Q_{\varepsilon}, l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$. This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Pi^{n}}\left|\hat{1}_{A}(\xi)^{2} \hat{\sigma}_{\lambda}(\xi)\left(1-\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \hat{\psi}_{\lambda}^{1}\left(\xi-l / Q_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)\right| d \xi \lesssim \varepsilon^{3} R^{n} \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leq \hat{\psi}_{\lambda}^{1}(\xi) \leq 1$ is smooth cut-off function, such that $\hat{\psi}_{\lambda}^{1}(0)=1$ and is supported on the ball $|\xi| \lesssim \varepsilon^{-\frac{6}{n-1}} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. This will be proved in Section 3 .

In Section 4, we prove our key estimate, namely that if $A \subseteq B_{R},|A| \geq \varepsilon R^{n}$ and if $A$ is uniformly distributed in the congruence classes of a certain modulus $q_{\varepsilon}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Pi^{n}}\left|\hat{1}_{A}(\xi)\right|^{2} \hat{\sigma}_{\lambda}(\xi) \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \hat{\psi}_{\lambda}^{2}\left(\xi-l / Q_{\varepsilon}\right) d \xi \gtrsim \varepsilon^{3} R^{n} \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi_{\lambda}^{2}$ is a smooth function whose Fourier transform $\hat{\psi}_{\lambda}^{2}(\xi)$ is supported on $|\xi| \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.
Now assume that, in the settings of Theorem $2, N(A, \lambda)=0$ for each $\lambda=\lambda_{j} Q_{\varepsilon}^{2} \quad\left(J_{\varepsilon} / 2 \leq j \leq J_{\varepsilon}\right)$. Using the decomposition

$$
1=\left(1-\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \hat{\psi}_{\lambda}^{1}\left(\xi-l / Q_{\varepsilon}\right)\right)+\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \hat{\psi}_{\lambda}^{2}\left(\xi-l / Q_{\varepsilon}\right)+\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \hat{\phi}_{\lambda}\left(\xi-l / Q_{\varepsilon}\right)
$$

in (2.4), it follows from (2.7) and (2.8) and from the uniform bound: $\left|\hat{\sigma}_{\lambda}(\xi)\right| \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Pi^{n}}\left|\hat{1}_{A}(\xi)\right|^{2}\left|\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \hat{\phi}_{\lambda}\left(\xi-l / Q_{\varepsilon}\right)\right| d \xi \gtrsim \varepsilon^{3} R^{n} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{\phi}_{\lambda}(\xi)=\hat{\psi}_{\lambda}^{1}(\xi)-\hat{\psi}_{\lambda}^{2}(\xi)$ is a smooth function essentially supported on the annulus:
$\lambda^{-1 / 2} \leq|\xi| \leq \varepsilon^{-6 /(n-1)} \lambda^{-1 / 2}$. Thus the supports of the integrands in (2.9) for well-separated values of $\lambda=\lambda_{j} Q_{\varepsilon}^{2}$ are (essentially) disjoint, and hence the sum of the left side (2.9) over such $j^{\prime}$ 's would be bounded by $\int_{\Pi^{n}}\left|\hat{1}_{A}(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi=|A| \leq R^{n}$ which contradicts (2.9) if $J_{\varepsilon}$ is chosen large enough.

## 3. Upper Bounds.

In this section we prove the upper bound (2.7), which is an easy corollary of the following asymptotic formula, proved in [MSW] (see Proposition 4.1):

$$
\begin{gather*}
\hat{\sigma}_{\lambda}(\xi)=\omega_{n} \lambda^{n / 2-1} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} m_{r, \lambda}(\xi)+E(\xi, \lambda) \quad \text { where }  \tag{3.1}\\
\sup _{\xi}|E(\xi, \lambda)| \lesssim \lambda^{n / 4}
\end{gather*}
$$

and the main terms are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{r, \lambda}(\xi)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} S(k, r, \lambda) \phi(r \xi-k) d \tilde{\sigma}\left(\lambda^{1 / 2}(\xi-k / r)\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover by the standard estimate for Gauss sums

$$
\begin{equation*}
|S(k, r, \lambda)|=\left|q^{-n} \sum_{(a, r)=1} \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}_{r}^{n}} e^{2 \pi i \frac{a\left(|s|^{2}-\lambda\right)+s \cdot l}{r}}\right| \leq r^{-n / 2+1} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The cut-off function $\phi(\xi)$ is supported in a small neighborhood of the origin, and the Fourier transform of the surface area measure of the unit sphere satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
|d \tilde{\sigma}(\xi)| \lesssim(1+|\xi|)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 1. Let $n \geq 5, \varepsilon>0$ and $c>0$ be given. Then there is a constant $C_{n}>0$ depending only on $c$ and the dimension $n$, such that the following holds.

If $Q \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $r$ divides $Q$ for all $r \leq C_{n} \varepsilon^{-\frac{6}{n-4}}$, moreover if $\lambda \geq C_{n} \varepsilon^{-\frac{12}{n-4}}$ and $\xi \in \Pi^{n}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\xi-l / Q| \geq C_{n} \varepsilon^{-\frac{6}{n-1}} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$, then one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\hat{\sigma}_{\lambda}(\xi)\right| \leq c \varepsilon^{3} \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By equation (3.1), one has for $n \geq 5$

$$
\begin{equation*}
|E(\xi, \lambda)| \leq c_{1} \lambda^{\frac{n}{4}} \leq \frac{c}{3} \varepsilon^{3} \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\lambda \geq C_{n} \varepsilon^{-\frac{12}{n-4}}$ and $C_{n}$ is large enough w.r.t. $c_{1}, c$ and $n$. There is at most one non-zero term in the expression for $m_{r, \lambda}(\xi)$, hence by (3.3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{r \geq K}\left|m_{r, \lambda}(\xi)\right| \leq c_{2} K^{-n / 2+2} \leq \frac{c}{3} \varepsilon^{3} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

if one chooses $K \geq C_{n} \varepsilon^{-\frac{6}{n-4}}$.
If $Q$ is such that $r$ divides $Q$ for all $r \leq K$, then every rational point $k / r$ can be written in the form $l / Q$, then (3.4) and (3.5) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{r \leq K}\left|m_{r, \lambda}(\xi)\right| \leq c_{3} \max _{k, r \leq K}\left|\lambda^{1 / 2}(\xi-k / r)\right|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \leq \frac{c}{3} \varepsilon^{3} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Proposition follows by adding (3.7)-(3.9)

In what follows, $C_{n}$ will denote a large enough constant of our choice, which guarantees the validity of certain inequality, and whose exact value may change from place to place.

The above estimate shows that $\hat{\sigma}_{\lambda}(\xi)$ is uniformly small on the complement of the neighborhoods $U_{l}=\left\{\xi:\left|\xi-l / Q_{\varepsilon}\right| \geq C_{n} \varepsilon^{-\frac{6}{n-1}} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\}$. Thus it can be used to bound the contribution of this set to the integral $N(A, \lambda)$ given in (2.4). To be more precise, let $A \subseteq B_{R}$ such that $|A| \geq \varepsilon R^{n}$, and let $\psi>0$ be a smooth function satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\hat{\psi}(0) \geq \hat{\psi}(\xi)>0 \quad \forall \xi \quad \text { and } \quad \text { supp } \hat{\psi} \subseteq[-1 / 2,1 / 2]^{n} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $Q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $L>0$ define the following expressions

$$
\begin{gather*}
N_{1}(A, \lambda, Q, L)=\int_{\Pi^{n}}\left|\hat{1}_{A}(\xi)\right|^{2} \hat{\sigma}_{\lambda}(\xi)\left(1-\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \hat{\psi}(L(\xi-l / Q))\right) d \xi  \tag{3.11}\\
N_{2}(A, \lambda, Q, L)=\int_{\Pi^{n}}\left|\hat{1}_{A}(\xi)\right|^{2} \hat{\sigma}_{\lambda}(\xi) \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \hat{\psi}(L(\xi-l / Q)) d \xi
\end{gather*}
$$

Lemma 1. Let $\varepsilon>0$ and $c>0$ be given. Then there is a constant $C_{n}>0$, such that if $Q \in \mathbb{N}$ is a multiple of all $r \leq C_{n} \varepsilon^{-\frac{6}{n-4}}$ moreover if $L \geq C_{n} Q$ and $\lambda \geq C_{n} \varepsilon^{-\frac{12}{n-1}-3} L^{2}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|N_{1}(A, \lambda, Q, L)\right| \leq c \varepsilon^{3} \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-1} R^{n} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $\int_{\Pi^{n}}\left|\hat{1}_{A}(\xi)\right|^{2} d \xi=|A| \leq R^{n}$ it is enough to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\xi \in \Pi^{n}}\left|\hat{\sigma}_{\lambda}(\xi)\right| \mid 1-\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_{Q}^{n}} \hat{\psi}\left(L(\xi-l / Q) \mid \leq c \varepsilon^{3} \lambda^{n / 2-1}\right. \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the supports of the functions $\hat{\psi}(L(\xi-l / Q))$ are disjoint for different values of $l$, thus if there is an $l_{0}$ such that: $\left|\xi-l_{0} / Q\right| \leq c_{1} \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} L^{-1}$, where $c_{1}$ is small enough w.r.t. $c$, then

$$
\left|1-\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \hat{\psi}(L(\xi-l / Q))\right|=\left|1-\hat{\psi}\left(L\left(\xi-l_{0} / Q\right)\right)\right| \leq c \varepsilon^{3}
$$

using $|1-\hat{\psi}(\eta)| \lesssim|\eta|^{2}$ and (3.13) follows. In the opposite case:

$$
|\xi-l / Q|>c_{1} \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} L^{-1} \geq C_{n} \varepsilon^{-\frac{6}{n-1}} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}
$$

for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$, by the assumptions on $L$ and $\lambda$, and (3.13) follows from (3.6).

## 4. LOWER BOUNDS.

In this section we prove the lower bound (2.8). We start by proving an analogous estimate in the settings of the group of congruence classes of the modulus $Q: \mathbb{Z}_{Q}^{n}=(\mathbb{Z} / Q \mathbb{Z})^{n}$.

Let $\varepsilon>0$ and let $q, Q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $q$ divides Q . Let $f: \mathbb{Z}_{Q}^{n} \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a function satisfying the following two conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{Q}^{n}} f(m) \geq \frac{4 \varepsilon}{5} Q^{n} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for each $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{Q}^{n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{Q}^{n}} f(q m+s) \leq \frac{10 \varepsilon}{9} Q^{n} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that if $f$ is the characteristic function of a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{Q}^{n}$ then by equation (4.1) the density of $A$ is at least $4 \varepsilon / 5$, while by (4.2) the density of $A$ is at most $10 \varepsilon / 9$ in any of the congruence classes of the modulus $q$. We say that the set $A$ is well-distributed in these congruence classes.

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ we consider the following quantity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=N(f, Q, \lambda)=\sum_{m, l \in \mathbb{Z}_{Q}^{n}} f(m) f(m-l) \omega_{\lambda, Q}(l) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function: $\omega_{\lambda, Q}: \mathbb{Z}_{Q}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\lambda, Q}(l)=\mid\left\{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}:|k|^{2}=\lambda, k \equiv l(\bmod Q)\right\} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is the number of lattice points of length $\lambda^{1 / 2}$ which are congruent to $l$ modulo $Q$. We will make use of the Fourier transform on $\mathbb{Z}_{Q}^{n}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{f}(s)=\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{Q}^{n}} e^{-2 \pi i \frac{m \cdot s}{Q}} f(m) \quad \text { and note that } \\
& \hat{\omega}_{\lambda, Q}(s)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n},|k|^{2}=\lambda} e^{-2 \pi i \frac{k \cdot s}{Q}}=\hat{\sigma}_{\lambda}(s / Q) \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 2. Let $0<\varepsilon<1$ and let $q, Q, \lambda$ be positive integers such that $k$ divides $q$ for all $k \leq$ $C_{n} \varepsilon^{-\frac{6}{n-4}}, q$ divides $Q$, and $\lambda>C_{n} Q^{2} \varepsilon^{-\frac{12}{n-1}}$.

If $f: \mathbb{Z}_{Q}^{n} \rightarrow[0,1]$ is a function satisfying (4.1) and (4.2) then for $C_{n}$ large enough, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(f, Q, \lambda) \geq c \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-1} Q^{n} \varepsilon^{2} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c>0$ is a constant depending only on the dimension $n$.

Proof. Using the Fourier transform on $\mathbb{Z}_{Q}^{n}$, similarly as in (2.4), one has

$$
N=N(f, Q, \lambda)=\frac{1}{Q^{n}} \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}_{Q}^{n}}|\hat{f}(s)|^{2} \hat{\sigma}_{\lambda}(s / Q)
$$

Write $Q=Q_{1} q$ and decompose the summation into two terms according to whether $Q_{1}$ divides $s$;

$$
N=\frac{1}{Q^{n}} \sum_{s_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{n}}\left|\hat{f}\left(Q_{1} s_{1}\right)\right|^{2} \hat{\sigma}_{\lambda}\left(s_{1} / q\right)+\frac{1}{Q^{n}} \sum_{Q_{1} \nmid s}|\hat{f}(s)|^{2} \hat{\sigma}_{\lambda}(s / Q)=M+E
$$

Here the main term $M$ is obtained by writing $s=Q_{1} s_{1}$ where $s_{1}$ is running through $\mathbb{Z}_{q}^{n}$.
Let $f_{q}: \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{n} \rightarrow[0,1]$ be defined by: $f_{q}(m)=Q_{1}^{-n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{Q_{1}}^{n}} f(m+q k)$, that is the average of $f$ over the congruence class of $m$ with respect to the modulus $q$. Then $\hat{f}_{q}(s)=Q_{1}^{-n} \hat{f}\left(Q_{1} s\right)$ thus by equation (4.5) and Plancherel

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\frac{Q_{1}^{2 n}}{Q^{n}} \sum_{s_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{n}}\left|\hat{f}_{q}\left(s_{1}\right)\right|^{2} \hat{\sigma}_{\lambda}\left(s_{1} / q\right)=Q_{1}^{n} \sum_{m, l \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{n}} f_{q}(m) f_{q}(l) \omega_{\lambda, q}(m-l) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that (4.1) and (4.2) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{n}} f_{q}(m) \geq \frac{4 \varepsilon}{5} q^{n} \quad \text { and } \quad f_{q}(m) \leq \frac{10 \varepsilon}{9}, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{n} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $G=\left\{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{n}: f_{q}(m) \geq \varepsilon / 10\right\}$ then the sum of $f_{q}(m)$ for $m \notin G$ is at most $q^{n} \varepsilon / 10$ thus by (4.8)

$$
\begin{equation*}
|G| \geq \frac{9}{10 \varepsilon} \sum_{m \in G} f_{q}(m) \geq \frac{63}{100} q^{n} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence for every $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{n}$

$$
\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{m}} f_{q}(m) f_{q}(m-l) \geq \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{100}|G \cap(G+l)|>\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{500} q^{n}
$$

Substituting back to (4.7) one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \geq \frac{\varepsilon^{2} Q^{n}}{500} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{n}} \omega_{\lambda, q}(l)=\frac{\varepsilon^{2} Q^{n}}{500} \hat{\sigma}_{\lambda}(0) \geq c_{n} Q^{n} \varepsilon^{2} \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant $c_{n}>0$ depends only on the dimension $n$.
Now let $C_{n}$ be chosen as in Proposition 1. w.r.t. $c=c_{n} / 2$. If $Q_{1}$ does not divide $s$, then for every $l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$

$$
\left|\frac{s}{Q}-\frac{l}{q}\right| \geq \frac{1}{Q} \geq \varepsilon^{-\frac{6}{n-1}} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}
$$

The conditions of Lemma 1. are satisfied, thus

$$
\left|\hat{\sigma}_{\lambda}(s / Q)\right| \leq \frac{c_{n}}{2} \varepsilon^{3} \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-1}
$$

hence by Plancherel $|E| \leq \frac{c_{n}}{2} \varepsilon^{3} Q^{n} \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$ and the Lemma follows with $c=\frac{c_{n}}{2}$.

Next, our aim is to reduce estimate (2.8) to that of (4.6). First, one has
Proposition 2. Let $Q, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $L \geq \lambda^{1 / 2}$. Then one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{2}(A, \lambda, Q, L) \geq c_{n} Q^{n} L^{-n} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \sum_{\substack{l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \\|l| \leq \sqrt{n} L}} 1_{A}(m) 1_{A}(m-l) \omega_{\lambda, Q}(l) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Define the distribution $\delta_{Q}$ by

$$
<\delta_{Q}, \phi>=\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} Q^{n} \phi(Q m)
$$

then by Poisson summation

$$
\begin{equation*}
<\hat{\delta}_{Q}, \phi>=<\delta_{Q}, \hat{\phi}>=\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \phi(l / Q) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus by Plancherel

$$
N_{2}(A, \lambda, Q, L)=<\hat{1}_{A}, \hat{1}_{A} \hat{\sigma}_{\lambda}\left(\hat{\psi}_{L} * \hat{\delta}_{Q}\right)>=<1_{A}, 1_{A} * \sigma_{\lambda} *\left(\psi_{L} \delta_{Q}\right)>
$$

where $\psi_{L}(x)=L^{-n} \psi(x / L)$. If $l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ such that $|l| \leq \sqrt{n} L$ then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma_{\lambda} *\left(\psi_{L} \delta_{Q}\right)(l)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \sigma_{\lambda}(k) \psi_{L}(l-k) \delta_{Q}(l-k) \geq \\
\geq c_{n} Q^{n} L^{-n} \sum_{k: Q \mid l-k} \sigma_{\lambda}(k)=c_{n} Q^{n} L^{-n} \omega_{\lambda, Q}(l)
\end{gathered}
$$

Indeed if $\sigma_{\lambda}(k) \neq 0$ then $|k|=\lambda^{1 / 2} \leq L$ hence $|l-k| \leq(\sqrt{n}+1) L$ and $\psi_{L}(l-k) \geq c_{n} L^{-n}$. This proves the Proposition.

Assume that $R>10 \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}$, choose $L$ such that $\lambda^{1 / 2} \leq L \leq 2 \lambda^{1 / 2}$ and $R / L$ is an integer. Divide the box $B_{R}$ into $R^{n} / L^{n}$ boxes $B_{L}(j)$ of equal size $L$, and let $\mathcal{F}$ denote the set of boxes in which the density of $A$ remains large:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}=\left\{j:\left|A \cap B_{L}(j)\right| \geq \frac{4 \varepsilon}{5} L^{n}\right\} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that $|\mathcal{F}| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{10} \frac{R^{n}}{L^{n}}$. If $f_{j}$ denotes the characteristic function of the set $A \cap B_{L}(j)$, then by (4.12)

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{2}(A, \lambda, Q, L) \geq c_{n} Q^{n} L^{-n} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{m, l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} f_{j}(m) f_{j}(m-l) \omega_{\lambda, Q}(l) \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

since the diameter of each box $B_{L}(j)$ is at most $\sqrt{n} L$.
The function $\omega_{\lambda, Q}$ is constant on the congruence classes $\bmod Q$, hence the inner sum in (4.15) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{L^{2 n}}{Q^{2 n}} \sum_{m, l \in \mathbb{Z}_{Q}^{n}} f_{j, Q}(m) f_{j, Q}(m-l) \omega_{\lambda, Q}(l) \quad \text { where } \\
f_{j, Q}(m)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} f_{j}(Q k+m)=\frac{Q^{n}}{L^{n}}\left|\left\{m^{\prime} \in A \cap B_{L}(j): m^{\prime} \equiv m(\bmod Q)\right\}\right|
\end{gathered}
$$

If one assumes that $A \cap B_{L}(j)$ is well-distributed in the congruence classes $\bmod q$, that is if for every $s \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ and $j \in \mathcal{F}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{Q}^{n}} f_{j, Q}(q m+s)=\left|\left\{m \in A \cap B_{L}(j): m \equiv s(\bmod q)\right\}\right| \leq \frac{10 \varepsilon}{9} \frac{L^{n}}{q^{n}} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the functions $f_{j, Q}$ satisfy (4.1) and (4.2)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{Q}^{n}} f_{j, Q}(m)=\frac{Q^{n}}{L^{n}}\left|A \cap B_{L}(j)\right| \geq \frac{4 \varepsilon}{5} Q^{n} \\
\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{Q}^{n}} f_{j, Q}(q m+s)=\frac{Q^{n} q^{n}}{L^{n}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} f_{j}(q k+s) \leq \frac{10 \varepsilon}{9} Q^{n}
\end{gathered}
$$

If the parameters $q, Q, \lambda$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 2, then from (4.6) and (4.15) one obtains the lower bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{2}(A, \lambda, Q, L) \geq c_{n} Q^{-n} L^{n}|\mathcal{F}| \varepsilon^{2} Q^{n} \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \geq c_{n} \varepsilon^{3} R^{n} \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5. Proof of Theorem 2.

We are in a position to apply an induction argument to prove our main result. Let $\varepsilon_{0}=Q_{0}=J_{0}=1$ and for $k=1,2, \ldots$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{k}=(9 / 10)^{k}, \quad q_{k}=\left[C_{n} \varepsilon_{k}^{-\frac{6}{n-4}}\right]!!\quad \text { and } \quad Q_{k}=q_{k} Q_{k-1} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover we define $J_{k}$ be the smallest integer satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{k} \geq 2 J_{k-1}+C_{n} \log Q_{k}+C_{n} \varepsilon_{k}^{-3} \log \left(\varepsilon_{k}^{-1}\right) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where [ ] stands for the integer part, and $M!!$ denotes the least common multiple of the natural numbers $1 \leq m \leq M$. Here $C_{n}>0$ is a large constant to be chosen later. Note that, by the prime number theorem, it is easy to see that $\log \left(Q_{k}\right) \lesssim \varepsilon_{k}^{-6 / n-4} \leq \varepsilon_{k}^{-3}$ if $n \geq 6$, hence in that case the second term of (5.2) may be omitted.

We prove by induction that $\left(\varepsilon_{k}, Q_{k}, J_{k}\right)$ is regular for all $k$ in the sense described in the introduction. So assume on the contrary that
$\left(\varepsilon_{k-1}, Q_{k-1}, J_{k-1}\right)$ is regular, but $\left(\varepsilon_{k}, Q_{k}, J_{k}\right)$ is not. Then there exists a sequence: $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{J_{k}}$ with $10 \lambda_{j}<\lambda_{j+1}$ a cube $B_{R}$ of size $R \geq 10 \lambda_{J_{k}}^{1 / 2}$, and a set $A \subset B_{R}$ with $|A| \geq \varepsilon_{k} R^{n}$, such that for all $1 \leq j \leq J_{k}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{j} Q_{k}^{2} \notin d^{2}(A) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

First we show that for every $L \geq\left(\lambda_{J_{k} / 2}\right)^{1 / 2} Q_{k}$ and for every cube $B_{L} \subset B_{R}$ of size $L$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A^{\prime}\right|=\left|\left\{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}: q_{k} m+s \in A \cap B_{L}\right\}\right| \geq \frac{10 \varepsilon}{9} \frac{L^{n}}{q_{k}^{n}} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, otherwise the set $A^{\prime}$ is contained in a cube $B_{R^{\prime}}$ of size $R^{\prime}=L / q_{k}$ of density at least $\varepsilon_{k-1}$. Since $R^{\prime}=L / q_{k}>\lambda_{J_{k} / 2}^{1 / 2} \geq 10 \lambda_{J_{k-1}}^{1 / 2}$, by induction, there is a $j \leq J_{k-1}$ such that: $\lambda_{j} Q_{k-1}^{2} \in d^{2}\left(A^{\prime}\right)$. Then $\lambda_{j} Q_{k-1}^{2} q_{k}^{2}=\lambda_{j} Q_{k}^{2} \in d^{2}(A)$ contradicting our indirect assumption.

Let $J_{k} / 2 \leq j \leq J_{k}$. To get in agreement with the notations of the previous sections, let $\varepsilon=\varepsilon_{k}$, $q=q_{k}, Q=Q_{k}$. If the constant $C_{n}$ is chosen large enough then, by (5.1) and (5.2), the conditions of inequality (3.12) are satisfied for $\lambda=\lambda_{j} Q^{2}$ and $L^{\prime}=L_{j}^{\prime}=\delta \lambda^{1 / 2}$ with $\delta=\varepsilon^{\frac{6}{n-1}+\frac{3}{2}}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{1}\left(A, \lambda, Q, L^{\prime}\right) \leq \frac{c_{n}}{2} \varepsilon^{3} R^{n} \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\lambda^{1 / 2} \leq L_{j} \leq 2 \lambda^{1 / 2}$ be chosen such that $R / L_{j}$ is an integer. Then inequality (4.17) applies with $L=L_{j}$, thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{2}(A, \lambda, Q, L) \geq c_{n} \varepsilon^{3} R^{n} \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By our indirect assumption, $N(A, \lambda)=0$ for each $\lambda=\lambda_{j} Q^{2}$, $J_{k} / 2 \leq j \leq J_{k}$. We decompose the integral $N(A, \lambda)$ into three terms, as described in the introduction

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(A, \lambda)=N_{1}\left(A, \lambda, Q, L^{\prime}\right)+N_{2}(A, \lambda, Q, L)+N_{3}\left(A, \lambda, Q, L, L^{\prime}\right) \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{3}\left(A, \lambda, Q, L, L^{\prime}\right)$ is defined by the above equation. Thus by (5.5) and (5.6) one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|N_{3}\left(A, \lambda_{j} Q^{2}, L_{j}, L_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right|=\left.\left|\int_{\Pi^{n}}\right| \hat{1}_{A}(\xi)\right|^{2} \hat{\sigma}_{\lambda}(\xi) \Phi_{j}(\xi) d \xi \left\lvert\, \geq \frac{c_{n}}{2} \varepsilon^{3} R^{n} \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-1}\right. \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{j}(\xi)=\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \hat{\psi}\left(L_{j}^{\prime}(\xi-l / Q)\right)-\hat{\psi}\left(L_{j}(\xi-l / Q)\right) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the integral $N_{3}\left(A, \lambda_{j} Q^{2}, L_{j}, L_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ captures the contribution of the region: $\left\{\xi: L_{j}^{-1} \leq\right.$ $\left.|\xi-l / Q| \leq \delta^{-1} L_{j}^{-1}, l \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right\}$ to the integral $N(A, \lambda)$. Since $\left|\hat{\sigma}_{\lambda}(\xi)\right| \lesssim \lambda^{n / 2-1}$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{3}(j):=\int_{\Pi^{n}}\left|\hat{1}_{A}(\xi)\right|^{2}\left|\Phi_{j}(\xi)\right| \geq c_{n} \varepsilon^{3} R^{n} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand the integrands are essentially supported on disjoint sets, in fact one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{J_{k} / 2 \leq j \leq J_{k}}\left|\Phi_{j}(\xi)\right| \lesssim \log \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right) \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{J_{k} / 2 \leq j \leq J_{k}} N_{3}(j) \lesssim \log \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right) R^{n} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This clearly contradicts (5.10) as $J_{k}$ has been chosen to satisfy:
$J_{k}>C_{n} \varepsilon_{k}^{-3} \log \left(\varepsilon_{k}^{-1}\right)$ with a large enough constant $C_{n}$. Finally, to see (5.11) first note that the functions:

$$
\sum_{J_{k} / 2 \leq j \leq J_{k}} \hat{\psi}\left(L_{j}^{\prime}(\xi-l / Q)\right)-\hat{\psi}\left(L_{j}(\xi-l / Q)\right)
$$

have disjoint supports for different values of $l$. Thus it is enough to show that for fixed $l$, for $\eta=\xi-l / Q$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{J_{k} / 2 \leq j \leq J_{k}}\left|\hat{\psi}\left(L_{j}^{\prime} \eta\right)-\hat{\psi}\left(L_{j} \eta\right)\right| \lesssim \sum_{j: L_{j}^{\prime}|\eta|<1} \min \left(L_{j}|\eta|, 1\right) \\
& \quad \lesssim \sum_{j: L_{j}|\eta|<1} L_{j}|\eta|+\sum_{j: 1 \leq L_{j}|\eta|<\delta^{-1}} 1 \lesssim \log \left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This follows using the properties of $\hat{\psi}$ given in (3.10) and the fact that $L_{j}^{\prime} \leq \delta L_{j}$. We have reached a contradiction to our indirect assumption and Theorem 2 is proved.

It is not hard to estimate the size of $Q_{\varepsilon}$ for any given $\varepsilon>0$. Indeed if $\varepsilon_{k} \leq \varepsilon<\varepsilon_{k-1}$ then we take $Q_{\varepsilon}=Q_{k}$. Now $Q_{k}=\prod_{l=1}^{k} q_{l}$ where $q_{l}=M_{l}!!\leq \exp \left(C_{n} \varepsilon_{l}^{-6 / n-4}\right)$. It follows $Q_{\varepsilon} \leq \exp \left(C_{n} \varepsilon^{-6 / n-4}\right)$ for some constant $C_{n}$ depending only on the dimension $n$.
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