On Double and Multiple Interval Graphs

William T. Trotter, Jr.

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Frank Harary UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

ABSTRACT

In this paper we discuss a generalization of the familiar concept of an interval graph that arises naturally in scheduling and allocation problems. We define the interval number of a graph *G* to be the smallest positive integer *t* for which there exists a function *f* which assigns to each vertex *u* of *G* a subset *f*(*u*) of the real line so that *f*(*u*) is the union of *t* closed intervals of the real line, and distinct vertices *u* and *v* in *G* are adjacent if and only if *f*(*u*) and *f*(*v*) meet. We show that (1) the interval number of a tree is at most two, and (2) the complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$ has interval number $\lceil (mn+1)/(m+n) \rceil$.

1. INTRODUCTION

A graph G is called an *interval graph* if there is a function f that assigns to each vertex u of G a closed interval of the real line R so that distinct vertices u, v of G are adjacent if and only if $f(u) \cap f(v) \neq \emptyset$. Structural characterizations of interval graphs have been provided by Lekkerkerker and Boland [7] who specified the forbidden subgraphs, Gilmore and Hoffman [2] in terms of cycles, and Fulkerson and Gross [1] in terms of matrices. Definitions not given here can be found in Ref. 5.

In this paper, we consider a generalization of the concept of an interval graph; we are motivated by scheduling and allocation problems that arise when a graph is used to model constraints on interactions between components of a large scale system. For a graph G, we define* the *interval number* of G, denoted i(G), as the smallest positive interger t for which there exists a function f which assigns to each vertex u of G a subset f(u) of R which is the union of t (not necessarily disjoint) closed intervals of R and distinct vertices u, v of G are adjacent if and only if $f(u) \cap f(v) \neq \emptyset$. The function f is called a *t*-representation of G. Thus G is an interval graph if and only if its interval number is one. Obviously every graph G with p vertices has an interval number $i(G) \leq p-1$, and thus i(G) is well defined.

A number m is called an upper bound for a representation f of a graph G when m > r for every number r in f(u) and every vertex u of G.

We will frequently find it convenient to impose an additional restriction on a representation of a graph. A *t*-representation f of a graph G is said to be displayed if for every vertex u of G, there exists an open interval I_u contained in f(u) so that $I_u \cap f(v) = \emptyset$ for every vertex v in G with $u \neq v$.

Recall that for any tree T, the tree T' is obtained by removing all the endvertices of T. A *caterpillar* is a tree T for which T' is a path. It was noted in Harary and Schwenk [6] that T is a caterpillar if and only if T does not contain the subdivision graph of $K_{1,3}$ as a subtree.

Theorem 1. If T is a tree, then i(T) = 1 if T is a caterpillar and i(T) = 2 if it is not.

Proof. If T is a tree and does not contain the subdivision graph of $K_{1,3}$ as a subtree, then it follows from the forbidden subgraph characterization of Ref. 7 that T is an interval graph. On the other hand, if T contains this subdivision graph, then T is not an interval graph and $i(T) \ge 2$.

Now we proceed by induction on the number of vertices to show that every tree has a displayed 2-representation. If T is the one point tree, the result is trivial. Next assume that for some $k \ge 1$, every tree on k vertices has a displayed 2-representation and let T be a tree with k+1 vertices.

Choose an endvertex u of T and let f be a displayed 2-representation of the tree T-u. Let v be the unique vertex adjacent to u in T and let I_v be an open interval contained in f(v) so that $I_v \cap f(w) = \emptyset$ for every vertex w in T-u with $w \neq v$. Choose a closed interval A contained in I_v .

^{*} Roberts [8] has studied another generalization of interval graphs. He defines the *boxicity* of a graph G as the smallest positive integer t for which there exists a function f which assigns to each vertex u of G a sequence f(u)(1), f(u)2), ..., f(u)(t) of closed intervals of R so that distinct vertices u, v of G are adjacent if and only if $f(u)(i) \cap f(v)(i) \neq \emptyset$ for i = 1, 2, 3, ..., t.

Now choose an upper bound m for f and define g(w) = f(w) for every vertex w in T-u and $g(u) = A \cup [m, m+1]$. It is clear that g is a displayed 2-representation of T and our proof is complete.

2. COMPLETE BIPARTITE GRAPHS

We now derive our main result. We use the notation [x] to represent the smallest integer among those which are at least as large as x.

Theorem 2. The interval number of the complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$ is given by

$$i(K_{m,n}) = \lceil (mn+1)/(m+n) \rceil.$$

Proof. We first show that $i(K_{m,n}) \ge \lceil (mn+1)/(m+n) \rceil$. Suppose that f is a *t*-representation of $K_{m,n}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that for each vertex u in $K_{m,n}$, f(u) is the union $A_1(u) \cup A_2(u) \cup \cdots \cup A_t(u)$ of t pairwise disjoint closed intervals.

We now use f to determine a graph G. The vertices of G are the ordered pairs of the form (u, i) where u is a vertex in $K_{m,n}$ and $1 \le i \le t$ with distinct vertices (u, i) and (v, j) adjacent in G when $A_i(u) \cap A_j(v) \ne \emptyset$. The function g defined by $g(u, i) = A_i(u)$ is a 1-representation of G so G is an interval graph. Since G is bipartite, it is triangle-free. Since G is an interval graph, it does not contain a cycle of four or more vertices as an induced subgraph. Therefore, G is a forest. Note that G has (m+n)t vertices and at most (m+n)t-1 edges.

Now suppose that $e = \{u, v_i\}$ is an edge of $K_{m,n}$. Then there exist integers i, j with $A_i(u) \cap A_j(v) \neq \emptyset$, and we may therefore define a function h from the edge set of $K_{m,n}$ to the edge set of G by setting $h(e) = h(\{u, v\}) = \{(u, i), (v, j)\}$. Clearly, h is a one-to-one function and since $K_{m,n}$ has mn edges, we see that $mn \leq (m+n)t-1$, i.e., $t \geq [(mn+1)/(m+n)]$.

We will now show that $i(K_{m,n}) \leq [(mn+1)(m+n)]$. Let t = [(mn+1)/(m+n)]. We will construct an interval graph G with a 1-representation g. We will then construct a t-representation f of $K_{m,n}$ by appropriately choosing, for each vertex u of $K_{m,n}$, t intervals from the range of g as the intervals whose union is f(u).

We begin by labeling the vertices of $K_{m,n}$ with the symbols $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n$ so that a_i is adjacent to b_j for all i and j. Without loss of generality, we may assume $m \ge n$. Let $A = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, m\}$ and $B = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, n\}$. We next construct a graph T whose vertex set is

$$\{u_k: 1 \le k \le nt\} \cup \{v_k: 1 \le k \le nt - 1\} \cup \{w_{ij}: 1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le n\},\$$

where T has the following adjacencies: v_k is adjacent to u_k and u_{k+1} for k = 1, 2, ..., nt-1 and w_{ij} is adjacent to u_j for i = 1, 2, ..., m and j = 1, 2, ..., n. The graph T is a caterpillar and, by Theorem 1, is also an interval graph. Consequently any induced subgraph of T is also an interval graph.

The next step in the construction is to color some, but not all, of the vertices of T using the elements of A as colors. We begin by assigning to u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{nt} the colors

$$1, 2, 3, \ldots, n, 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n, \ldots, 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n$$

in order. Note that each color from B is used exactly t times.

Now let s = n - t; then $2s \le n - 1$. Suppose that S is a set of either 2s or 2s - 1 consecutive vertices from the sequence $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{nt-1}$. Consider a subset S' of S that contains s vertices, no two of which are consecutive. Then let B' be the subset of B consisting of those integers j for which there is a vertex v from S' and a vertex u adjacent to v with u having color j. It is easy to verify that B' must contain 2s elements, i.e., the s vertices of S' are adjacent to 2s distinctly colored vertices.

The next step is to assign colors to the first *ms* vertices in the sequence $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{nt-1}$. Note that $t = \lceil (mn+1)/(m+n) \rceil$ and s = n-t imply that $ms \le nt-1$. At this point, we must consider two cases depending on the parity of *m*. If *m* is even, then assign the vertices v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{ms} the colors

 $1, 2, 1, 2, \ldots, 1, 2, 3, 4, 3, 4, \ldots, 3, 4, \ldots, m-1,$ $m, m-1, m, \ldots, m-1, m$

in order. Note that each color in A is to be used exactly s times. If m is odd, we modify this scheme as follows. We first assign color m to $v_1, v_{n+3}, v_{2n+5}, \ldots, v_{(s-1)(n+2)+1}$. Note that for each $j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 2s$, there are integers k, l for which u_k is adjacent to v_l , where v_l has color m and u_k has color j. Next assign to the (m-1)s vertices in the sequence v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{ms} , which were not assigned color m, the colors

$$1, 2, 1, 2, \ldots, 1, 2, 3, 4, 3, 4, \ldots, 3, 4, \ldots, m-2, m-1, \ldots, m-2, m-1$$

in order. Again we note that each color in A is to be used exactly s times.

When *m* is even, observe that each color *i* from *A* is assigned to *s* nonconsecutive vertices in a block of 2s-1 consecutive vertices from the sequence $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{nt-1}$. When *m* is odd, we observe that distinct vertices that have been assigned color *m* are at least n+2 apart in the

sequence $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{nt-1}$. Therefore, we observe that each color *i* from A with $i \neq m$ is assigned to s nonconsecutive vertices in a block of 2s or 2s-1 consecutive vertices in the sequence $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{nt-1}$. For each color $i \in A$, define the set

 $B(i) = \{j \in B: \text{ There exist integers } k, l \text{ with } u_k \text{ adjacent to } v_l \text{ for which } u_k \text{ has been assigned color } j \text{ and } v_l \text{ has been assigned color } i\}.$

We conclude that for all values of m and for every color i from A, the set B(i) contains exactly 2s elements.

The next step in the construction is to assign colors to some, but not all, of the vertices in $\{w_{ij}: 1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le n\}$. The construction is the same for all values of *m*. Let *i* be an element of *A*; assign color *i* to vertex w_{ij} if and only if *j* is an element of B-B(i). Now let

$$U_1 = \{v_k: 1 \le k \le nt - 1\} \cup \{w_{ij}: 1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le n\}$$

and let

$$U_2 = \{u_k: 1 \le k \le nt\}.$$

Observe that for each color *i* from *A*, exactly *t* vertices of U_1 have been assigned color *i*, and for each color *j* from *B*, exactly *t* vertices from U_2 have been assigned color *j*; furthermore, there exist adjacent vertices u', u'' with u' from U_1, u'' from U_2, u' having color *i*, and u'' having color *j*.

Now let G be the subgraph of T generated by the colored vertices and let g be a 1-representation of G. The final step in the construction is to use g to define a t-representation f of $K_{m,n}$. But this is accomplished simply by defining

$$f(a_i) = \bigcup \{g(u'): u' \text{ is a vertex from } U_1 \text{ and } u' \text{ has color } i\}$$

for i = 1, 2, ..., m

and

$$f(b_j) = \bigcup \{g(u''): u'' \text{ is a vertex from } U_2 \text{ and } u'' \text{ has color } j\}$$
for $j = 1, 2, ..., n$.

It is trivial to verify that f is a t-representation of $K_{m,n}$.

3. OTHER RESULTS

A preliminary version of this paper included a proof of the following result.

Theorem 3. If G has p vertices, then $i(G) \leq \lfloor p/3 \rfloor$.

This theorem may be established using a two-part argument in which it is proved inductively that a graph on 3n vertices has an *n*-representation and a triangle-free graph on 3n vertices has a displayed *n*-representation. The proof of the second part makes use of Turán's theorem for the maximum number of edges in a triangle-free graph.

However, the authors did not believe that the upper bound on the interval number of a graph provided by Theorem 3 was best possible. Motivated by the observation that the complete bipartite graph $K_{2n,2n}$ has 4n vertices and interval number n+1, the authors conjectured that if G is a graph with p vertices, then $i(G) \leq \lceil (p+1)/4 \rceil$.

The concept of interval number has been independently investigated by Griggs and West [4]. They obtained the formula given in Theorem 1 for the interval number of a tree as well as the upper bound given in Theorem 3. They also made the same conjecture concerning the maximum interval number of a graph with p vertices. And they also provided an upper bound on the interval number of a graph in terms of the maximum degree of a vertex in the graph. Specifically, they showed that if the maximum degree of a vertex in a graph G is d, then $i(G) \leq [(d+1)/2]$. This last result allowed them to determine that the interval number of the n-cube Q_n is [(n+1)/2], which answered a problem posed in the preliminary version of this paper.

The authors have recently learned that Griggs [3] has established the conjecture by proving that if G has 4n-1 vertices, then $i(G) \le n$.

4. AN OPEN PROBLEM

Lekkerkerker and Boland [7] gave a forbidden subgraph characterization of interval graphs by listing the collection \mathcal{I}_2 of graphs defined by

$$\mathcal{I}_{2} = \{G: i(G) = 2 \text{ but } i(H) = 1$$

for every proper induced subgraph H of G.

We propose the general problem of finding for $t \ge 3$, the collection

 $\mathcal{I}_t = \{G: i(G) = t \text{ but } i(H) \le t - 1 \text{ for every proper subgraph } H \text{ of } G\}.$

The problem for t=3 seems to both manageable and interesting since from applied viewpoint, graphs that are the intersection graphs of a family of sets each of which is the union of two intervals of the real line have practical significance, e.g., two work periods separated by a lunch break. By *double interval* graphs, we mean graphs with interval number two. Theorem 2 shows that $K_{2n,2n}$ is in \mathcal{I}_{n+1} for every $n \ge 1$ and that $K_{2n-1,2n+2}$ is in \mathcal{I}_{n+1} for every $n \ge 2$. In particular, we note then that a forbidden subgraph characterization of double interval graphs will include $K_{4,4}$ and $K_{3,6}$.

References

- [1] D. R. Fulkerson and O. A. Gross, Incidence matrices and interval graphs. *Pacific J. Math.* 15 (1965) 835-855.
- [2] P. C. Gilmore and A. J. Hoffman, A characterization of comparability graphs and of interval graphs. *Canad. J. Math.* 16 (1964) 539–548.
- [3] J. Griggs, Extremal values of the interval number of a graph II. Submitted.
- [4] J. Griggs and D. West, Extremal values of the interval number of a graph. Submitted.
- [5] F. Harary, Graph Theory. Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass. (1969).
- [6] F. Harary and A. J. Schwenk, Trees with hamiltonian square. Mathematika 18 (1971) 138-140.
- [7] C. G. Lekkerkerker and J. Ch. Boland, Representation of a finite graph by a set of intervals on the real line. *Fund. Math.* 51 (1962) 45-64.
- [8] F. S. Roberts, On the boxicity and cubicity of a graph. In *Recent Progress in Combinatorics*. Academic, New York (1969) 301-310.