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#### Abstract

Suppose that $G$ is a doubly transitive permutation group on a finite set $\Omega$, and that for $\alpha$ in $\Omega$ the stabilizer $G_{\alpha}$ of $\alpha$ has a set $\Sigma=\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{t}\right\}$ of nontrivial blocks of imprimitivity in $\Omega-\{\alpha\}$. If $G_{\alpha}$ is 3-transitive on $\Sigma$ it is shown that either $G$ is a collineation group of a desarguesian projective or affine plane or no nonidentity element of $G_{\alpha}$ fixes $B_{1}$ pointwise.


## Introduction

Suppose that $G$ is a doubly transitive but not doubly primitive permutation group on a finite set $\Omega$. Let $\Sigma=\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{t}\right\}$ be a set of nontrivial blocks of imprimitivity in $\Omega-\{\alpha\}$ for the stabilizer $G_{\alpha}$ of a point $\alpha \in \Omega$.

This paper completes an investigation which began with [11]. In that paper it was shown that if $G_{\alpha}^{\Sigma}$ is the alternating or symmetric group or one of the Mathieu groups in its usual representation then either $G$ is a collineation group of a projective or affine plane or no nonidentity element of $G_{\alpha}$ fixes $B_{1}$ pointwise. In subsequent papers, [10, 12], it was shown that the same conclusions are valid if we assume only that $G_{\alpha}$ is 3 -transitive and not faithful on $\Sigma$. The assumption that $G_{\alpha}$ is not

Received 1 May 1978.
faithful on $\Sigma$ is unattractive but unfortunately it was crucial in the proofs given in those papers. Our aim in this paper is to show that the assumption that $G_{\alpha}$ is not faithful on $\Sigma$ is unnecessary. We prove

THEOREM. Suppose that $G$ is 2 -transitive on $\Omega$ of degree $n$, and that for $\alpha \in \Omega, G_{\alpha}$ has a set $\Sigma=\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{t}\right\}$ of blocks of imprimitivity in $\Omega-\{\alpha\}$, where $t=|\Sigma| \geq 3,\left|B_{i}\right|=b>1$, $b t=n-1$. Suppose that $G_{\alpha}$ is 3-transitive on $\Sigma$ and that $G_{\alpha}$ contains a nonidentity element fixing $B_{1}$ pointwise. Then $G$ is $a$ collineation group of a desarguesian projective or affine plane of order $t-1$ such that the $i$ ines containing $\alpha$ are precisely the sets $B_{i} \cup\{\alpha\}$, for $i=1, \ldots, t$.

We remark that the affine planes arising in the conclusions of [11] and [12] are desarguesian. This was shown in [13]. Most of the notation used here follows the conventions of Wielandt's book [14]. If a group $G$ has a permutation representation on a set $\Omega$ then $\operatorname{fix}_{\Omega} G$ and $\operatorname{supp}_{\Omega} G$ will denote the subset of $\Omega$ fixed by $G$ and the subset of $\Omega$ permuted nontrivially by $G$ respectively. By a block design we shall mean a set of $v$ points and a set of $b$ blocks with a relation called incidence between points and blocks, such that any block is incident with $k$ points, where $2 \leq k<v-1$, and any pair of points is incident with $\lambda$ blocks, where $\lambda>0$. The number $r$ of blocks incident with a given point is also constant and a counting argument shows $v r=b k$. It is well known that $b \geq v$ and hence that $r \geq k$.

## Proof of the theorem

Let $G$ satisfy the hypothesis of the theorem and let $K_{i}, \bar{K}_{i}$ denote the setwise and pointwise stabilizers respectively of $B_{i} \in \Sigma$, for $i=1, \ldots, t$. By [12] and [13], Proposition D, the theorem is true if either $G_{\alpha}$ is not faithful on $\Sigma$ or if $\bar{K}_{1}$ is 2-transitive on $\Sigma-\left\{B_{1}\right\}$. Thus we may assume that $G_{\alpha}$ is faithful on $\Sigma$ and $\bar{K}_{1}$ is not 2-transitive on $\Sigma-\left\{B_{1}\right\}$. By [13], Theorem $A$, and since $G_{\alpha}$ is

3-transitive on $\Sigma$, the translates under $G$ of $B_{1} \cup\{\alpha\}$ are the blocks of a block design with $\lambda=1$ preserved by $G$.

LEMMA 1. The theorem is true if $K_{1}$ has a normal subgroup which acts reguzarly on $\Sigma-\left\{B_{1}\right\}$.

Proof. Suppose that $K_{1}$ has a normal subgroup $N$ which is regular on $\Sigma-\left\{B_{1}\right\}$. By $[5]$ and since $G_{\alpha}$ is 3-transitive on $\Sigma$, it follows that either $G_{\alpha}^{\Sigma}$ is a normal extension of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$ of degree $q+1$ or $G_{\alpha}$ has a normal subgroup $M$ which is regular on $\Sigma$. In the latter case, by [14], 11.3, either $t=|M|=3$ or $M$ is elementary abelian of order $2^{a}$ for some $a \geq 2$. If $t=3$, then $G_{\alpha} \simeq S_{3}$ and hence $K_{1} \simeq Z_{2}$. Since $K_{1}$ is transitive on $B_{1}, b=2$ and $n=7$. However there is no 2-transitive group $G$ of degree 7 with $G_{\alpha} \simeq S_{3}$. If $|M|=2^{a}$ then by [4] and since $G_{\alpha}$ is 3-transitive on $\Sigma$, it follows that $G$. has a regular normal subgroup $L$, say. Then $M L$ is a Frobenius group with Frobenius complement $M$. However a Frobenius complement contains at most one involution and so we have a contradiction (see [3], 10.3.1). Thus $G_{\alpha}^{\Sigma}$ is a normal extension of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$ with $t=q+1$.

Now $K_{1}$ has a normal subgroup $V$ of order $q$ which is regular on $\Sigma-\left\{B_{1}\right\}$, and since $\bar{K}_{1}$ is nontrivial, $V \subseteq \bar{K}_{1}$. Then $K_{1}=\left(K_{1} \cap K_{2}\right) \vec{K}_{1}$, so that $K_{1}^{B_{1}}=\left(K_{1} \cap K_{2}\right)^{B_{1}}$, which is metacyclic. If $Z$ is the largest cyclic normal subgroup of $K_{1} \cap K_{2}$, then $Z$ has order $q-1$ or $(q-1) / 2$. Let $Y=Z \cap \bar{K}_{\perp}$ and suppose that $Y$ is nontrivial. Then $Y$ is semiregular on $\Sigma-\left\{B_{1}, B_{2}\right\}$, so that fix ${ }_{\Omega} \subseteq\{\alpha\} \cup B_{1} \cup B_{2}$. Moreover $Y, Z$ are characteristic subgroups of $2, K_{1} \cap K_{2}$ respectively.

Now there is an element $g$ in $G_{\alpha}$ which interchanges $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ and hence normalises $K_{1} \cap K_{2}$. It follows that $g$ normalises $Y$ and $Z$,
and hence that $Y=Z \cap \bar{K}_{2}$. Thus $y \leq \bar{K}_{I} \cap \bar{K}_{2}$ and so $\operatorname{fix}_{\Omega} Y=\{\alpha\} \cup B_{1} \cup B_{2}$.

If $\Sigma(\beta)$ is the set of blocks of imprimitivity of $G_{\beta}$ corresponding to $\Sigma$, then $C=\left(B_{1}-\{\beta\}\right) \cup\{\alpha\}$ is the block in $\Sigma(\beta)$ containing $\alpha$ and $\bar{K}_{1}$ is the pointwise stabilizer of $\{B\} \cup C$. Now $V$ must be regular on both $\Sigma(B)-\{C\}$ and $\Sigma-\left\{B_{1}\right\}$, so that the representations of $\bar{K}_{1}$ on $\Sigma(\beta)-\{C\}$ and $\Sigma-\left\{B_{1}\right\}$ are equivalent. Thus $\bar{K}_{1} \cap K_{2}$ is the stabilizer in $\bar{K}_{1}$ of an element $C^{\prime}$, say, of $\Sigma(B)-\{C\}$. By a similar argument $Y$ fixes $C^{\prime}$ pointwise, so that $C^{\prime}=B_{2}$, which is a contradiction, since $\{\alpha\} \cup B_{2}$ and $\{\beta\} \cup C^{\prime}$ are distinct blocks of the block design. Thus $Y=I$, and $Z$ is faithful and hence semi-regular on $B_{1}$. Therefore $b$ is divisible by $|Z|$.

Now the size $1+b$ of a block of the block design is at most the number $t=q+1$ of blocks containing $\alpha$, and hence $b$ is $q-1$ or $(q-1) / 2$. If $b$ is $q-1$, the design is an affine plane, which can be shown to be desarguesian as in [13]. So assume that $b=(q-1) / 2=|2|$. Then $q$ is odd and $G_{\alpha} \not ⿻ \operatorname{PGL}(2, q)$. In this case $V$ has odd order $q$, and if $\beta \in B_{\perp}, G_{\alpha \beta} / V$ is cyclic. Thus a Sylow 2 -subgroup of $G_{\alpha \beta}$ is cyclic or trivial. By [1] and [2], respectively, it follows that $G$ has a regular normal subgroup $L$, say. Now $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q) \leq G_{\alpha} \leq P \Gamma L(2, q)$. Let. $M$ be a subgroup of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$, which is elementary abelian of order 4 , $M \leq G_{\alpha}$. An involution $g$ in $M$ fixes either 0 or 2 elements of $\Sigma$. In the former case $g$ fixes only the point $\alpha$ of $\Omega$. In the latter case we may assume that $g \in K_{1} \cap K_{2}$ and hence that $g \in Z$. We showed above that $Z$ is semi-regular on $B_{1}$ and similarly $Z$ is semi-regular on $B_{2}$. Thus in this case, also, $\mathrm{fix}_{\Omega} g=\{\alpha\}$. Thus $M$ acts fixed point freely on $L$ and so is a Frobenius complement, whereas a Frobenius complement of even order contains only one involution (see [3], 10.3.1). Thus the lemma is proved.

We may assume therefore that $K_{l}$ has no normal subgroup acting
regularly on $\Sigma-\left\{B_{1}\right\}$; in particular $\bar{K}_{1}$ is not regular on $\sum-\left\{B_{1}\right\}$.
LEMMA 2. The theorem is true if $K_{1} \cap K_{2}$ has a nontrivial abelian normal subgroup.

Proof. Suppose that $K_{1} \cap K_{2}$ has a nontrivial abelian normal subgroup. By [9], Lemma 1, and [10], the theorem is true unless $G_{\alpha}^{J}$ has a regular normal elementary abelian 2 -subgroup. Thus we may assume that $G_{\alpha}$ has an elementary abelian normal 2-subgroup $M$ of order $t \geq 4$ which is semiregular on $\Omega-\{\alpha\}$. By [4], $G$ has a regular normal subgroup $L$, and so $M$ is a Frobenius complement. However, as Frobenius complements contain at most one involution, this is a contradiction (see [3], 10.3.1), and Lemma 2 is proved.

Thus we may assume that $K_{1} \cap K_{2}$ has no nontrivial abelian normal subgroups. By [8], Theorem A, $K_{1} \cap K_{2}$ has a unique minimal normal subgroup $S$ which is a nonabelian simple group and hence has even order. Since $\bar{K}_{1}$ is not regular on $\Sigma-\left\{B_{1}\right\}, \bar{K}_{1} \cap K_{2}$ and similarly $K_{1} \cap \bar{K}_{2}$ are nontrivial normal subgroups of $K_{1} \cap K_{2}$. Thus

$$
S \subseteq\left(\bar{K}_{1} \cap K_{2}\right) \cap\left(K_{1} \cap \bar{K}_{2}\right)=\bar{K}_{1} \cap \bar{K}_{2}=x,
$$

say. Since $K_{1} \cap K_{2}$ is transitive on $\Sigma-\left\{B_{1}, B_{2}\right\}, X$ is $\frac{3}{2}$-transitive on $\Sigma-\left\{B_{1}, B_{2}\right\}$ with orbits of length, say, $x$, where $x>1$, and $x$ divides $t-2$.

LEMMA 3. $X=\bar{K}_{1} \cap \bar{K}_{2}$ is not semi-regular on $\Sigma-\left\{B_{1}, B_{2}\right\}$.
Proof. If $X$ is semi-regular on $\Sigma-\left\{B_{1}, B_{2}\right\}$, then, since $|X|$ is even, it follows from [3] and Lemma 1 that $K_{1} \sum_{1}\left\{B_{1}\right\}$ has a unique normal subgroup, say $X^{1}$, which is 2-transitive and simple. Then $X^{I} \leq \bar{K}_{1}^{\sum-\left\{B_{1}\right\}}$ which is a contradiction, since $\bar{K}_{1}$ is not 2-transitive on $\Sigma-\left\{B_{1}\right\}$.

Let $\Sigma(\beta)$ be the set of blocks of imprimitivity for $G_{\beta}$
corresponding to $\Sigma$, where $\beta \in B_{1}$. Then the element of $\Sigma(\beta)$
containing $\alpha$ is $C=\left(B_{1}-\{\beta\}\right) \cup\{\alpha\}$. Let $C^{l} \in \Sigma(\beta)-\{C\}$ be chosen so that $C^{1} \cap B_{2}$ is non-empty. Then as $\{\beta\} \cup C^{1}$ and $\{\alpha\} \cup B_{2}$ are distinct blocks of the block design, $C^{l} \cap B_{2}=\{\gamma\}$, say. Let $y$ be the pointwise stabilizer of $C^{l}$ in $\bar{K}_{1}$. Then $Y$ is conjugate to $X$ in $G$ (for $\bar{K}_{1}$ is normal in $G_{\{\alpha, \beta\}}$, so if $g \in G_{\{\alpha, \beta\}}-G_{\alpha \beta}$, then $y^{g}=\bar{K}_{1} \cap \bar{K}_{i}$ where $\left(C^{1}\right)^{g}=B_{i}$, and there is an $h$ in $\bar{K}_{1}$ such that $B_{i}^{h}=B_{2}$ and hence $\left.y^{g^{\boldsymbol{h}}}=\bar{K}_{1} \cap \bar{K}_{2}=X\right)$. It follows that $\operatorname{fix}_{\Omega} Y=\{\alpha\} \cup B_{1} \cup C^{l}$, and all $Y$-orbits in $\operatorname{supp}_{\Omega} Y$ have length a multiple of $x$. Since $Y$ fixes $\gamma, Y$ fixes $B_{2}$ setwise, and clearly $B_{2}-\{\gamma\} \subseteq \operatorname{supp}_{\Omega} Y$. Therefore $b-I=\left|B_{2}-\{\gamma\}\right|$ is a multiple of $x$.

LEMMA 4. The subset of $\Sigma$ fixed by $Y$ is precisely

$$
\operatorname{fix}_{\Sigma} Y=\left\{B_{1}\right\} \cup\left\{B \in \Sigma ; B \cap C^{1} \neq \emptyset\right\}
$$

and $\operatorname{fix}_{\Sigma} Y$ is a union of $X$-orbits in $\Sigma$.

Proof. Clearly $\left\{B_{1}\right\} \cup\left\{B \in \Sigma ; B \cap C^{\mathcal{l}} \neq \emptyset\right\} \subseteq \operatorname{fix}_{\Sigma} Y$, and is the subset of fix $Y$ of those elements of $\Sigma$ which contain a point of fix ${ }_{\Omega} Y$. If $\operatorname{fix}_{\Sigma} Y$ contains an additional element $B$, then $B \subseteq \operatorname{supp}_{\Omega} Y$, and so $B$ is a union of nontrivial Y-orbits; that is, $b$ is divisible by $x$. However $b-l$ is divisible by $x$ and $x>1$, so we conclude that $\operatorname{fix}_{\Sigma} Y=\left\{B_{1}\right\} \cup\left\{B \in \Sigma ; B \cap C^{1} \neq \emptyset\right\}$.

Now let $B \in \operatorname{fix}_{\Sigma} Y-\left\{B_{1}, B_{2}\right\}$ and let $\Delta$ be the X-orbit in $\Sigma$ containing $B$. It is sufficient to show that $\Delta \subseteq \mathrm{fix}_{\Sigma} Y$. Let
$B \cap C^{l}=\{\delta\}$ and let $\Delta^{\prime}$ be the $X$-orbit in $\Omega$ containing $\delta$. Then $|\Delta|=x$ and $\left|\Delta^{\prime}\right|=x y$, where $y=\left|\Delta^{\prime} \cap B\right| \geq 1$. Now $Y \subseteq K_{1} \cap K_{2}$, and so $Y$ normalises $X$. Therefore $Y$ fixes $\Delta^{\prime}=\left\{\delta^{x} ; x \in X\right\}$ setwise (for
if $y \in Y$, then $\delta^{x y}=\delta^{y^{-1} x y} \in \Delta^{\prime}$ ). Thus $Y$ fixes $B \cap \Delta^{\prime}$ setwise, and so $\left(B \cap \Delta^{\prime}\right)-\{\delta\}$ is a union of nontrivial $Y$-orbits, that is $y-1=\left|\left(B \cap \Delta^{\prime}\right)-\{\delta\}\right| \equiv 0(\bmod x)$.

Let $a=\left|\operatorname{supp}_{\Sigma} Y \cap \Delta\right|$. Then $0 \leq a<x$. If $\alpha>0$, then
$U\left\{B^{\prime} \cap \Delta^{\prime} ; B^{\prime} \in \operatorname{supp}_{\Sigma} Y \cap \Delta\right\}$ is a union of nontrivial $Y$-orbits and is a set of ay points. Thus $a y \equiv 0(\bmod x)$, and so $a \equiv 0(\bmod x)$, which is a contradiction, since $0<a<x$. Thus $a=0$ and $\Delta \subseteq \operatorname{fix}_{\Sigma} Y$.

LEMMA 5. $X \cap Y=1$.
Proof. If $X \cap Y$ is nontrivial, then it follows from Lemma 4 that $X$ does not act faithfully on its orbits in $\Sigma-\left\{B_{1}, B_{2}\right\}$, and so by [8], Proposition 4, $K_{1}^{\sum-\left\{B_{1}\right\}}$ is a normal extension of $L_{p}(q)$, for some $r \geq 3$ and prime power $q$, in its natural representation. Then $\bar{K}_{1} \supseteq L_{p}(q)$ and hence is 2 -transitive on $\Sigma-\left\{B_{1}\right\}$, which is a contradiction.

Thus $X \cap Y=1$ and $Y$ normalises $X$. Similarly since $X$ fixes $C$ and $C^{1}$ setwise, $X$ normalises $Y$. It follows that $X$ and $Y$ centralise each other. Thus, by Lemma 3 , the centraliser of $X$ in $K_{1}$ is not semiregular on $\Sigma-\left\{B_{1}, B_{2}\right\}$, and it follows from [6], Corollary B3, and Lemma 2.8 that $X$ is a T.I. set in $K_{1}$ (that is distinct conjugates of $X$ by elements of $K_{1}$ intersect only in the identity). Thus by [7], Theorem $A$, and since $X$ is not semiregular on $\Sigma-\left\{B_{1}, B_{2}\right\}, K_{1}^{\sum-\left\{B_{1}\right\}}$ is a normal extension of $L_{p}(q)$ in its natural representation for some $r \geq 3$ and prime power $q$. This is impossible, as $\bar{K}_{1}$ is not 2 -transitive on $\Sigma-\left\{B_{1}\right\}$.

Thus the theorem is proved.
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