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#### Abstract

A doubly transitive permutation group of degree $p^{2}+1, p$ a prime, is proved to be doubly primitive for $p \neq 2$. We also show that if such a group is not triply transitive then either it is a normal extension of $P S L\left(2, p^{2}\right)$ or the stabilizer of a point is a rank 3 group.


We will show that the groups described in the title are doubly primitive for $p>2$ and sometimes they are even triply transitive.

Theorem A. Let $G$ be a doubly transitive permutation group of degree $p^{2}+1, p$ a prime. Then either $G$ is doubly primitive or $p=2$ and $G$ is the Frobenius group of order 20.

Theorem B. Let $G$ be a doubly transitive permutation group of degree $p^{2}+1, p$ an odd prime. Assume that $G_{\alpha}$ contains two distinct Sylow $p$ subgroups. Then either $a$ ) $G$ is triply transitive, or $b$ ) The stabilizer of a point is primitive rank 3 group of degree $p^{2}$ and subdegrees $1,2(p-1),(p-1)^{2}$. Moreover, the stabilizer of a point is isomorphic to a subgroup of $S_{p} \int S_{2}$, the wreath product of the symmetric groups of degrees $p$ and 2.

Groups described in b) are discussed in Higman (1970).
Corollary: Let $G$ be a doubly transitive permutation group of degree $p^{2}+1, p$ a prime. Then one of the following is true:
(a) $G$ is 3-transitive,
(b) $P S L\left(2, p^{2}\right) \subseteq G \subseteq P \Gamma L\left(2, p^{2}\right)$ in its natural representation,
(c) $G$ is a Frobenius group of order 20 and $p=2$,
(d) The stabilizer of a point is primitive rank 3 group of degree $p^{2}$ and subdegrees 1, 2( $p-1),(p-1)^{2}$. Moreover, the stabilizer of a point is isomorphic to a subgroup of $S_{p} \int S_{2}$, the wreath product of the symmetric groups of degrees $p$ and 2.

Notations. We use notations of Wielandt (1964) for permutation groups and notations of Ryser (1963) for the parameters of a block design. If $G$ acts on $\Omega$ and $T \subseteq G$ we define $F(T)=\{x \in \Omega \mid x t=x$ for all $t \in T\}$.

We start with the following lemma:
Lemma. Let $G$ be a doubly transitive permutation group of degree $p^{2}+1$ on a set $\Omega$. Here $p$ is a prime. Then:
a) If $|G| \equiv 0\left(p^{3}\right)$ then $G$ contains $A_{p^{2}+1}$.
b) There is no nontrivial block design with $\lambda=1$ on $\Omega$.
c) If $G$ is sharply doubly transitive then $p=2$ and $|G|=20$.

Proof. Part a) is a result of Tsuzuku (1968), and part b) follows from the incidence equations of a block design and the Fisher inequality (see Ryser (1963)). In c) $G$ contains a regular normal subgroup and if $c$ ) is not true, $p^{2}+1=2^{x}$ for some integer $x$. This is impossible since $p^{2}+1 \equiv 2(4)$.

Proof of Theorem A. Assume that $G$ is not doubly primitive. Let $\Omega$ be the set on which $G$ acts and let $\alpha \in \Omega$. It follows that $G_{\alpha}$ has a complete system of inprimitivity sets on $\Omega-\{\alpha\}$. Let $\Lambda_{0}=\left\{\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \cdots, \Delta_{p}\right\}$ be such a system and let $\Lambda=\Lambda_{0}-\left\{\Delta_{1}\right\}$. Let $\beta \in \Delta_{1}$. We have that $\left|\Lambda_{0}\right|=p$. Let $P$ be a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$ contained in $G_{\alpha}$. By the lemma, $|P|=p^{2}$. Let $K$ be the kernel of the action of $G_{\alpha}$ on $\Lambda_{0}$ and let $H$ be the stabilizer of $\Delta_{1}$ in $G_{\alpha}$ in its action on $\Lambda_{0}$. Let $A$ be the kernel of $H$ on $\Delta_{1}$. By the lemma we have that either $G_{\alpha \beta} \neq 1$ or we are done. Hence we can assume that $G_{\alpha \beta} \neq 1$. It follows that $H_{\beta}=G_{\alpha \beta} \neq 1$. Clearly $H$ is transitive on $\Delta_{1}$ and $G_{\alpha}$ is transitive on $\Lambda_{0}$. We can also assume that $p>2$.

Since $G_{\alpha} / K$ is transitive permutation group of degree $p,\left|G_{\alpha} / K\right|_{p}=p$ so that $|K|_{p}=p$. Let $P_{0}$ be a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $K$. We can assume that $P_{0} \subseteq$. $P$. Since $\left|H: G_{\alpha \beta}\right|=p$ we get that $|A|_{p}=\left|G_{\alpha \beta}\right|_{p}=1$. We use Wielandt (1964), 11.6, 11.7, without referring to them. First we prove that $A=1$. Suppose $A \neq 1$. The lemma and lemma 1.1 of Praeger (submitted) implies that $A$ fixes a point in some $\Delta_{i} \neq \Delta_{1}$. Thus $A$ fixes at least two blocks of $\Lambda_{0}$ setwise. However $H$ is either transitive or semiregular on $\Lambda$, and since its normal subgroup $A$ fixes a block in $\Lambda$ we get $A \subseteq K$. Since $|A|_{p}=1, A \triangleleft K$ and $K$ is transitive on each $\Delta_{i}$ we conclude that $A$ is trivial on each $\Delta_{i}$ so that $A=1$. This contradicts $A \neq 1$.

We now break the proof into two cases.
CASE 1. We assume that $G_{\alpha} / K$ is nonsolvable. It follows that $G_{\alpha}$ is doubly transitive on $\Lambda$. Since $H=G_{\alpha \beta} K$ we have that $G_{\alpha \beta}$ is transitive on $\Lambda$. The lemma and lemma 2 of Atkinson (1972/73) imply that $\Delta_{1}-\{\beta\}$ is not $G_{\{\alpha, \beta\}}$-invariant. It follows that there exists a $G_{\alpha \beta}$-orbit $\Gamma_{0}$, on $\Delta_{1}-\{\beta\}$ such
that $\Gamma_{0} g \not \subset \Delta_{1}$ for $g \in G_{\{\alpha, \beta\}}-G_{\alpha \beta}$. Set $\Gamma=\Gamma_{0} g$ and $\Sigma=\{\Delta \in \Lambda \mid \Delta \cap \Gamma \neq \varnothing\}$. Since $\Sigma$ is a $G_{\alpha \beta}$-orbit on $\Lambda$ we get that $|\Sigma|=p-1$ so that $\Gamma_{0}=\Delta-\{\beta\}$ and $|\Delta \cap \Gamma|=1$ for every $\Delta \in \Lambda$. If $K_{\beta} \neq 1$ then $K_{\beta}$ fixes the point in $\Delta \cap \Gamma$ for all $\Delta \in \Lambda$ so that $\left|F\left(K_{\beta}\right)\right|>2$. This contradicts our lemma because of B 1 of O'Nan (1972).

Therefore $K_{\beta}=1$. Since $K$ is transitive on $\Delta_{1}$ we have that $K=P_{0}$. Since $H \simeq H^{\Delta_{1}}$ and $K \triangleleft H, H$ is metacyclic of order dividing $p(p-1)$, so that $H / K$ is cyclic of order dividing $p-1$. This contradicts the assumption that $G_{\alpha} / K$ is nonsolvable. Thus we have:

Case 2. We assume that $G_{\alpha} / K$ is solvable. In this case $G_{\alpha} / K$ is a Frobenius group so that $G_{\alpha \beta} / K_{\beta}$ is semiregular on $\Lambda$. Let $t=\left|G_{\alpha \beta}: K_{\beta}\right|$. Then $t \mid p-1$. Since $P_{0} \subseteq K \subseteq H$ and $|A|_{p}=1$ we get that $K$ is transitive on $\Delta_{1}$ and therefore on each $\Delta_{i}$.

Assume that $K$ is not faithful on some $\Delta \in \Lambda_{0}$ and let $M$ be the kernel of $K$ on $\Delta$. Since $K$ is transitive on $\Delta,|K: M|_{p}=p$ so that $|M|_{p}=1$. Hence $M$ cannot be transitive on any $\Delta_{i}, 1 \leqq i \leqq p$. Since $M \triangleleft K$ and $\left|\Delta_{i}\right|=p$ we get that $M$ fixes all points of $\Omega$. Since this is impossible, $K$ is faithful on each $\Delta_{i}$.

If $K_{\beta}=1$ then $\left|G_{\alpha \beta}\right|=t$ and $|H|=t p$. Then $H$ is solvable so that $G_{\alpha \beta}$ is semiregular on both $\Delta_{1}-\{\beta\}$ and $\Lambda$. Hence $G_{\alpha \beta \gamma}=1$ for $\gamma \in \Omega-\{\alpha, \beta\}$ and $G$ is a Zassenhaus group of order $t\left(p^{2}+1\right) p^{2}$ where $t \mid p-1$. Since $p \neq 2$, this contradicts Feit (1960). Therefore $K_{\beta} \neq 1$.

By B 1 of O'Nan (1972), $F\left(K_{\beta}\right)=\{\alpha, \beta\}$. It follows that $K_{\beta}$ fixes no point of $\Delta_{2}$ so that $K$ has at least two classes of subgroups of index $p$. This implies that $K$ is nonsolvable and consequently $K$ is doubly transitive on each $\Delta_{i}$. By Theorem D of O'Nan (1975) we get that $G$ is a normal extension of $\operatorname{PSL}(n, q)$ for some $n \geqq 3$. This contradicts our lemma part b). Therefore the assumption that $G$ is not doubly primitive is false and the theorem is proved.

Proof of Theorem B. Assume that $G$ is not triply transitive. By Tsuzuku (1968), we can assume that $|G|_{p}=p^{2}$. Let $\Omega$ be the set on which $G$ acts and let $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega, \alpha \neq \beta$. By assumption $G_{\alpha}$ contains two distinct Sylow $p$ subgroups. By Theorem $\mathrm{A}, G_{\alpha}$ is primitive on $\Omega-\{\alpha\}$ and by assumption it is not doubly transitive. By Wielandt (1969), there is a subgroup $N$, of index 2 in $G_{\alpha}$ such that $N=X \times Y, X, Y$ intransitive on $\Omega-\{\alpha\}$. Since $G_{\alpha}$ is primitive, $N$ is transitive so that $X$ and $Y$ have, each, $p$ orbits of size $p$ on $\Omega-\{\alpha\}$. Let $P$ be a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$ contained in $N$; then we can write $P=P_{1} \times P_{2}, P_{1} \subseteq X, P_{2} \subseteq Y,\left|P_{1}\right|=\left|P_{2}\right|=p$. If $X$ is not faithful on one of its orbits the kernel on this orbit must be transitive on some other orbit or else the kernel would fix $\Omega$. This implies that $|X|_{p} \geqq p^{2}$ which is impossible. Thus $X$ is faithful on its orbits. The same is true for $Y$.

Let $\Lambda=\left\{\Lambda_{i} \mid 1 \leqq i \leqq p\right\}$ be the set of $X$-orbits on $\Omega-\{\alpha\}$ and let $\Gamma=\left\{\Gamma_{i} \mid 1 \leqq i \leqq p\right\}$ be the set of $Y$-orbits on $\Omega-\{\alpha\}$. Suppose $X$ is solvable. Then $P_{1} \triangleleft N$. Let $t \in G_{\alpha}-N$. Then $\left(P_{1}\right)^{t} \triangleleft N$ and if $\left(P_{1}\right)^{t} \neq P_{1}$ then $\left(P_{1}\right)^{t} P_{1}$ is a normal Sylow $p$-subgroup of $N$ and therefore of $G_{\alpha}$, contradicting the fact that $G_{\alpha}$ contains at least two Sylow $p$-subgroups. Thus $\left(P_{1}\right)^{t}=P_{1}$ and $P_{1} \triangleleft G_{\alpha}$, contradicting the primitivity of $G_{\alpha}$ on $\Omega-\{\alpha\}$. We conclude that $X$ is nonsolvable and therefore doubly transitive on each of its orbits. The same is true for $Y$.

Since $\Lambda$ is a complete system of imprimitivity sets for the action of $N$ on $\Omega-\{\alpha\}, N$ is transitive on $\Lambda$ and therefore $Y$ is transitive on $\Lambda$. If $Y$ has a kernel, $V \neq 1$, on $\Lambda$ then $|Y: V|_{p}=p$ and since $V \triangleleft Y, V$ is either transitive or trivial on $\Gamma_{1}$. Since $Y$ is faithful on $\Gamma_{1}, V$ is transitive on it so that $|V|_{p}=p$. This implies that $p^{2} \| Y \mid$ which is impossible. Hence $Y$ is faithful on $\Lambda$ and since it is unsolvable, $Y$ is doubly transitive on $\Lambda$. Certainly we can assume that $\Lambda_{1}=\beta^{X}$ and $\Gamma_{1}=\beta^{Y}$. Put $W=\left\{y \in Y \mid \Lambda_{1} y=\Lambda_{1}\right\}$. Then $Y_{\beta} \subseteq W$ and since $\left|Y: Y_{\beta}\right|=|Y: W|=p$ we get that $W=Y_{\beta}$.

Hence $Y_{\beta}$ is transitive on $\Lambda-\left\{\Lambda_{1}\right\}$. Since $X$ is transitive on $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\left[X, Y_{\beta}\right]=1$ we obtain that $Y_{\beta}$ fixes $\Lambda_{1}$ pointwise. Thus $F\left(Y_{\beta}\right)=\Lambda_{1} \cup\{\alpha\}$. By symmetry $X_{\beta}$ is transitive on $\Gamma-\left\{\Gamma_{1}\right\}$ and $F\left(X_{\beta}\right)=\Gamma_{1} \cup\{\alpha\}$. Now $p^{2}=$ $\left|N: N_{\beta}\right|=\left|X: X_{\beta}\right|\left|Y: Y_{\beta}\right|$ implies that $N_{\beta}=X_{\beta} \times Y_{\beta}$. The previous paragraphs imply that $\Gamma_{1}-\{\beta\}, \Lambda_{1}-\{\beta\}$ and $\left(\cup_{i=1} \Lambda_{i}\right)-\Gamma_{1}-\Lambda_{1}$ are the $N_{\beta}$-orbits on $\Omega-\{\alpha, \beta\}$. Their sizes are $p-1, p-1,(p-1)^{2}$ respectively. Also, $\Gamma_{1}$, contains one point from each $\Lambda_{i}$.

Since $\left|G_{\alpha \beta}: N_{\beta}\right|=2$ we can choose $t \in G_{\alpha \beta}-N_{\beta}$. We have that $G_{\alpha \beta}=N_{\beta}\langle t\rangle$ and $G_{\alpha}=N\langle t\rangle$ because $t^{2} \in N_{\beta}$. Suppose that $t$ fixes both $\Gamma_{1}-\{\beta\}$ and $\Lambda_{1}-\{\beta\}$ as sets. Then $\left(X_{\beta}\right)^{t}$ acts on each of these sets and $\left(X_{\beta}\right)^{t}$ fixes $\Gamma_{1}-\{\beta\}$ pointwise. Thus $\left(X_{\beta}\right)^{t} \cap Y_{\beta}=1$ as $Y$ is faithful on $\Gamma_{1}$. Since $\left(X_{\beta}\right)^{\prime} \subseteq N_{\beta}$ and $\left(X_{\beta}\right)^{\prime}$ fixes $\Gamma_{1}$ pointwise we have that $\left(X_{\beta}\right)^{\prime}$ acts trivially on $\Lambda$. Then $\left(X_{\beta}\right)^{t}$ is contained in the kernel of the action of $N_{\beta}$ on $\Lambda$, namely $X_{\beta}$. Hence $\left(X_{\beta}\right)^{t}=X_{\beta}$.

Let $g \in G_{\alpha}$ and put $g=t^{i} h, h \in N$ for some integer $i$. Then since $X \triangleleft N,\left(X_{\beta}\right)^{g} \cap G_{\alpha \beta}=\left(X_{\beta}\right)^{h} \cap G_{\alpha \beta} \subseteq X \cap G_{\alpha \beta}=X_{\beta}$. Thus $X_{\beta}$ is a strongly slosed subgroup of $G_{\alpha \beta}$ in $G_{\alpha}$. We now apply our lemma and B of O'Nan '(1972) to get a contradiction.

Therefore $t$ does not fix $\Gamma_{1}-\{\beta\}$ and $\Lambda_{1}-\{\beta\}$ and since $t$ normalizes $N_{\beta}$, it must interchange these sets. We conclude that $G_{\alpha}$ is a rank 3 group on $\Omega-\{\alpha\}$ and the sizes of the $G_{\alpha \beta}$-orbits are $1,2(p-1),(p-1)^{2}$. Using Higman '1970) we are done.

We remark that the proof of Theorem B is also a proof for the following extension of Wielandt (1969):

Theorem C. Let $G$ be a primitive but not doubly transitive permutation group of degree $p^{2}$. Assume that $G_{\alpha}$ contains two distinct Sylow p-subgroups. Then $G$ is either rank 3 or rank 4 permutation group with sub-degrees 1 , $2(p-1),(p-1)^{2}$ or $1,(p-1),(p-1),(p-1)^{2}$.

In fact the rank 4 case does not occur because of Proposition 0.1 of Iwasaki (1973) that states that we are in case I and proposition 1.1 of Iwasaki (1973).

Proof of the corollary. By Theorems A and B and the lemma we can assume that $p \neq 2, G_{\alpha}$ contains a unique Sylow $p$-subgroup $P$ and $|P|=p^{2}$. Now $P \triangleleft G_{\alpha}$ and since $G_{\alpha}$ is primitive, $P$ is regular on $\Omega-\{\alpha\}$. By a result of Hering, Kantor and Seitz (1972) we get that $G$ has a normal subgroup $M$ such that $G \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(M)$, where $M$ is either $P S L\left(2, p^{2}\right)$ or sharply 2-transitive, (because the degree is $p^{2}+1$ ). If $M$ is sharply 2 -transitive, so is $G$ and $|G|=|M|=20$ and $p=2$. This proves the corollary.
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