Yi-Zheng Fan On eigenvectors of mixed graphs with exactly one nonsingular cycle

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 57 (2007), No. 4, 1215-1222

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128234

# Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2007

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

# ON EIGENVECTORS OF MIXED GRAPHS WITH EXACTLY ONE NONSINGULAR CYCLE

### YI-ZHENG FAN, Hefei

(Received October 23, 2005)

Abstract. Let G be a mixed graph. The eigenvalues and eigenvectors of G are respectively defined to be those of its Laplacian matrix. If G is a simple graph, [M. Fiedler: A property of eigenvectors of nonnegative symmetric matrices and its applications to graph theory, Czechoslovak Math. J. 25 (1975), 619–633] gave a remarkable result on the structure of the eigenvectors of G corresponding to its second smallest eigenvalue (also called the algebraic connectivity of G). For G being a general mixed graph with exactly one nonsingular cycle, using Fiedler's result, we obtain a similar result on the structure of the eigenvectors of G corresponding to its smallest eigenvalue.

Keywords: mixed graphs, Laplacian eigenvectors

MSC 2000: 05C50, 15A18

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let G = (V, E) be a mixed graph with vertex set  $V = V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$  and edge set  $E = E(G) = \{e_1, \ldots, e_m\}$ , which is obtained from an undirected graph by orienting some of its edges. Then some edges of G have a special head and tail, while others do not. The notion of a mixed graph generalizes both the classical approach of orienting all edges [4] and the unoriented approach [9]. However, it should be pointed out that mixed graphs are considered here as the underlying undirected graphs as concerns defining degrees, paths, cycles, connectivity, etc., and have no multi-edges or loops in this paper.

Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (10601001), Anhui Provincial Natural Science Foundation (050460102), NSF of Department of Education of Anhui province (2004kj027), the project of innovation team on basic mathematics of Anhui University, and the project of talents group construction of Anhui University.

Let  $e \in E(G)$ . The sign of e is denoted by sgn e and defined as sgn e = 1 if e is unoriented and sgn e = -1 otherwise. Set  $a_{ij} = \operatorname{sgn}\{v_i, v_j\}$  if  $\{v_i, v_j\} \in E(G)$  and  $a_{ij} = 0$  otherwise. Then  $A(G) = [a_{ij}]$  is called the *adjacency matrix* of G. The *incidence matrix* of G is the  $n \times m$  matrix  $M = M(G) = [m_{ij}]$  whose entries are given by  $m_{ij} = 1$  if  $e_j$  is an unoriented edge incident with  $v_i$  or  $e_j$  is an oriented edge with head  $v_i, m_{ij} = -1$  if  $e_j$  is an oriented edge with tail  $v_i$ , and  $m_{ij} = 0$  otherwise. The *Laplacian matrix* of G is defined as  $L = L(G) = MM^T$ , where  $M^T$  denotes the transpose of M. Denote by  $d_G(v) = d(v)$  the degree of the vertex v in the graph G. It is easy to see that L(G) = D(G) + A(G), where  $D(G) = \operatorname{diag}\{d(v_1), d(v_2), \ldots, d(v_n)\}$ is a diagonal matrix.

One can find that L(G) is symmetric and positive semidefinite so that its eigenvalues can be arranged as follows:  $0 \leq \lambda_1(G) \leq \lambda_2(G) \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_n(G)$ . We simply say the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of L(G) as those of G, respectively. The *spectrum* of G is defined by the multi-set  $\{\lambda_1(G), \lambda_2(G), \ldots, \lambda_n(G)\}$ . G is called *singular* (or *nonsingular*) if L(G) is singular (or nonsingular).

Clearly, if G is all-oriented (i.e. all edges of G are oriented), then L(G) is the standard Laplacian matrix which is consistent with the Laplacian matrix of a simple graph (see [15]); and there are a lot of results involved with the relations between its spectrum and numerous graph invariants, such as connectivity, diameter, matching number, isoperimetric number, and expanding properties of a graph (see, e.g., [7], [10], [15], [16]). For many properties of mixed graphs, one can refer to [2], [3], [6], [14], [17].

Denote by  $\hat{G}$  an all-oriented graph obtained from G by assigning to each unoriented edge of G an arbitrary orientation (one of the two possible directions). G is called *quasi-bipartite* if it does not contain a nonsingular cycle, or equivalently, if G contains no cycles with an odd number of unoriented edges (see [2, Lemma 1]). Note that the *signature matrix* is a diagonal matrix with  $\pm 1$  along its diagonal.

**Lemma 1.1** ([17, Lemma 2.2]). Let G be a connected mixed graph. Then G is singular if and only if G is quasi-bipartite.

**Theorem 1.2** ([2, Theorem 4]). Let G be a mixed graph. Then G is quasibipartite if and only if there exists a signature matrix D such that  $D^T L(G)D = L(\vec{G})$ .

Suppose G is connected. If G is singular (or  $\lambda_1(G) = 0$ ), then the spectrum of G is exactly that of  $\vec{G}$ , and the least nonzero eigenvalue of G is equal to  $\lambda_2(\vec{G}) \triangleq \alpha(\vec{G}) > 0$ , which is called the *algebraic connectivity* of  $\vec{G}$  by Fiedler [7]. The algebraic connectivity of a simple graph has received much attention, see, e.g., [5], [15], [16] and the references therein. Fiedler gave a remarkable result on the structure of the eigenvectors corresponding to the algebraic connectivity (also called *Fiedler vectors*) of a simple graph (see [8, Theorem 3.14] or Theorem 2.5 in following section). Motivated by Fiedler's result, Fiedler vectors also received much attention recently, see, e.g., [1], [12], [13]. If G is nonsingular, then  $\lambda_1(G) > 0$  and few results can be found for  $\lambda_1(G)$  and the corresponding eigenvectors as yet. In this case, G contains at least one nonsingular cycle.

In this paper, we mainly discuss the eigenvectors of a mixed graph G with exactly one nonsingular cycle; and by Fiedler's result on a simple graph, we obtain a similar result on the structure of the eigenvectors of G corresponding to its least eigenvalue.

#### 2. Main result

Let G = (V, E) be a mixed graph with  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ , and let  $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be a nonzero vector. It will be convenient to adopt the following terminology from [8]: x is said to give a valuation of the vertices of V, that is, with vertex  $v_i$  of V we associate the value  $x_i$ , i.e.,  $x(v_i) = x_i$ . Then  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of G with the corresponding eigenvector  $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$  if and only if

(2.1) 
$$[\lambda - d(v_i)]x(v_i) = \sum_{e = \{v_i, v_j\} \in E} (\operatorname{sgn} e)x(v_j), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Let D be a signature matrix of order n. Then  $D^T L(G)D$  is the Laplacian matrix of a graph with the same underlying graph as that of G. So each signature matrix of order n gives a re-sign of the edges of G (that is, some oriented edges of G may turn to be unoriented and vice versa), and preserves the spectrum and the singularity of each cycle of G. We now use the notation  ${}^DG$  to denote the graph obtained from Gby a re-sign under the signature D, and assume that the label of the vertices of  ${}^DG$ is the same as that of G.

Recall that a connected graph is called 2-connected if it has no points of articulation (or cutpoints). Let G be a connected graph. A block of G is a maximal 2-connected subgraph; or equivalently, the blocks of G are the subgraphs induced by the edges in a single equivalent class, given via the following relation: any two edge are equivalent if and only if there is a cycle in the graph containing both the edges (see also [8]).

Denote by  $\mathscr{G}_n^m$  the class of connected mixed graphs on n vertices containing exactly one nonsingular cycle with that cycle on m vertices. Since any cycle of a graph must be contained in one of its blocks, each graph  $G \in \mathscr{G}_n^m$  has the following property: It contains exactly one nonsingular block with that block containing exactly one nonsingular cycle. We claim that the block containing exactly one nonsingular cycle is exactly that nonsingular cycle. **Lemma 2.1.** Let G be a 2-connected mixed graph on n vertices containing exactly one nonsingular cycle. Then G is exactly that nonsingular cycle.

Proof. Let C be the nonsingular cycle of G on m vertices. If m = n, then no edges except those of C join two vertices of the cycle. Otherwise, C is split into two cycles  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  with a common edge. By definition, one of  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  is nonsingular and the other is singular. Then G has at least two nonsingular cycles.

If m < n, there exists a vertex u out of the cycle C joining some vertex v of Cby an edge, as G is connected. Since G is also 2-connected, G - v is connected, and contains a path P which joins u and some vertex w ( $w \neq v$ ) of C and contains no vertices of C except w. Let  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$  be two different paths on the cycle C which join vand w. Then we obtain two cycles containing the vertices u, v and those of  $P: C_1$ having also vertices of  $P_1$ ,  $C_2$  having also vertices of  $P_2$ . By definition, among  $C_1$ and  $C_2$  there must exist a nonsingular cycle. Hence G has at least two nonsingular cycles. The result follows by the above discussion.




Figure 2.1.  $C_m$  has exactly one unoriented edge  $\{v_i, v_{i+1}\}$ ;  $G_i$  is connected and all-oriented, and has exactly one common vertex  $v_i$  with  $C_m$  for i = 1, 2, ..., m.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $G \in \mathscr{G}_n^m$  with vertex set  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ , where  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m$  are the vertices of its nonsingular cycle. Then there exists a signature matrix D such that  ${}^DG$  is obtained from a nonsingular cycle  $C_m$  on m vertices with exactly one unoriented edge by appending a connected all-oriented graph  $G_i$  on  $n_i$   $(n_i \ge 1)$  vertices to the vertex  $v_i$  of  $C_m$  with  $v_i$  identifying some vertex of  $G_i$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ , i.e.,  ${}^DG$  is the graph as in Fig. 2.1, where  $n_1 + n_2 + \ldots + n_m = n$ .

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, the graph G has the structure as in Fig. 2.1. Let  $e = \{v_i, v_{i+1}\}$  be an unoriented edge of the nonsingular cycle of G. Then G - e is quasi-bipartite by definition. By Theorem 1.2, there exists a signature matrix D

such that  ${}^{D}(G-e)$  is all-oriented. Since G is nonsingular,  ${}^{D}G$  is the graph as in Fig. 2.1.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let G be a mixed graph of order n and let e be an (oriented or unoriented) edge of G. Then

$$\lambda_1(G-e) \leq \lambda_1(G) \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_{n-1}(G) \leq \lambda_n(G-e) \leq \lambda_n(G).$$

Proof. The matrices  $N(G) = M(G)^T M(G)$  and  $L(G) = M(G)M(G)^T$  have the same nonzero eigenvalues, so the same holds for N(G-e) and L(G-e). Since N(G-e) is a principal submatrix of N(G), the result follows by the Courant-Fischer Theorem (see [11, Theorem 4.3.15]).

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $G \in \mathscr{G}_n^m$  be a graph on vertices  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$  as in Fig. 2.1. Let H be a copy of G in which we only replace the label  $v_i$  by  $u_i$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ . Let  $e = \{v_i, v_{i+1}\}$  and  $e' = \{u_i, u_{i+1}\}$ . Let W be an all-oriented graph on 2n vertices obtained from the union  $(G - e) \cup (H - e')$  by inserting two oriented edges  $\{v_i, u_{i+1}\}, \{v_{i+1}, u_i\}$ . Order the vertices of W as  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n$ . Then

$$\lambda_1(G) = \alpha(W),$$

and if  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is an eigenvector of G corresponding to  $\lambda_1(G)$ , then  $(x, -x) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  is an eigenvector of W corresponding to  $\alpha(W)$ .

Proof. Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be an eigenvector of G corresponding to  $\lambda_1(G)$ . Then, by (2.1),  $(x, -x) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  is an eigenvector of W corresponding to the same eigenvalue  $\lambda_1(G)$ . Since W is all-oriented and connected,

$$\lambda_1(G) \ge \alpha(W) (= \lambda_2(W)) > 0.$$

We assert that  $\lambda_1(G) = \alpha(W)$ . Let  $(y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  be a Fiedler vector of W, i.e., the eigenvector of W corresponding to  $\alpha(W)$ , where  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . One can find that (z, y) is also a Fiedler vector of W by (2.1). If  $y \neq z$ , then (y - z, z - y) is a Fiedler vector of W. Hence y - z is an eigenvector of G corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\alpha(W)$  by (2.1), and  $\alpha(W) \ge \lambda_1(G)$ . If y = z, then, also by (2.1), y is an eigenvector of  $\vec{G}$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\alpha(W)$ . Note that the edge  $\{v_i, v_{i+1}\}$  of  $\vec{G}$  is oriented and is now denoted by  $\vec{e}$ , and  $\vec{G} - \vec{e} = G - e$ . By Lemma 2.3,

$$\alpha(W) \ge \alpha(\vec{G}) \ge \alpha(\vec{G} - \vec{e}) = \alpha(G - e) \ge \lambda_1(G).$$

So in both cases we have  $\alpha(W) \ge \lambda_1(G)$ . Hence  $\lambda_1(G) = \alpha(W)$ , and (x, -x) is a Fiedler vector of W.

Lemma 2.4 establishes a relation between the least eigenvalue (and the corresponding eigenvectors) of a mixed graph on n vertices with exactly one nonsingular cycle and the algebraic connectivity (and respectively, the Fiedler vectors) of a simple graph on 2n vertices. We now introduce the remarkable result of Fiedler on the structure of Fiedler vectors of a simple (or all-oriented) graph.

**Theorem 2.5** ([8, Theorem 3.14]). Let G be a connected simple graph, and let y be a Fiedler vector of G which gives a valuation of the vertices of G. Then exactly one of the following cases occurs:

Case A: There is a single block  $B_0$  in G which contains both positively and negatively valuated vertices. Each other block has either vertices with positive valuation only, or vertices with negative valuation only, or vertices with zero valuation only. Every path P which contains at most two points of articulation in each block, which starts in  $B_0$  and contains just one vertex v in  $B_0$ , has the property that the values at points of articulation contained in P form either an increasing, or decreasing, or a zero sequence along this path according to whether y(v) > 0, y(v) < 0, or y(v) = 0; in the last case all vertices in P have value zero.

Case B: No block of G contains both positively and negatively valuated vertices. There exists a unique vertex w which has value zero and is adjacent to a vertex with a non-zero value. This vertex is a point of articulation. Each block contains (with the exception of w) either vertices with positive valuation only, or vertices with negative valuation only, or vertices with zero valuation only. Every path P which contains at most two points of articulation in each block and which starts at w has the property that the values at its points of articulation either increase, in which case all values of vertices of P are (with the exception of w) positive, or decrease, in which case all values of vertices of P are zero. Every path containing both positively and negatively valuated vertices passes through w.

We now give an analog of Fiedler's result for a connected mixed graph with exactly one nonsingular cycle.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $G \in \mathscr{G}_n^m$  be a graph on vertices  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$  as in Fig. 2.1. Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be an eigenvector of G corresponding to its least eigenvalue  $\lambda_1(G)$ , which gives a valuation of the vertices of G. Then there exists a nonsingular block  $B_0$  (just the cycle  $C_m$ ) of G which contains non-zero valuated vertices; each other block has either vertices with positive valuation only, or vertices with negative valuation only, or vertices with zero valuation only; every path P which contains at most two points of articulation in each block, which starts in  $B_0$  and contains just one vertex v in  $B_0$ , has the property that the values at points of articulation contained in P form either an increasing, or decreasing, or zero sequence along this path according to whether x(v) > 0, x(v) < 0, or x(v) = 0; in the last case all vertices in P have value zero.

Proof. Let W be the graph on 2n vertices which is obtained from G as in Lemma 2.4, and let  $C'_{2m}$  be the cycle of W on 2m vertices


$$v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_i, u_{i+1}, u_{i+2}, \ldots, u_m, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_i, v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}, \ldots, v_m.$$

By Lemma 2.4,  $\xi = (x, -x) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  is a Fiedler vector of W. Let  $\xi$  give a valuation of the vertices of W.

Assume that the values of the vertices on the cycle  $C_m$  of G are all zero. So are the values of those on the cycle  $C'_{2m}$  of W. If W belongs to case A of Theorem 2.5, then the single block of W with both positively and negatively valuated vertices is contained in some  $G_k$  or  $H_k$ , where  $H_k$ , as a copy of  $G_k$ , is a subgraph of Happending to the vertex  $u_k$ . Without loss of generality, let this single block be contained in  $G_k$ . Then by virtue of the structures of W and  $\xi$ ,  $H_k$  also contains a block with both positively and negatively valuated vertices which is a contradiction. If W belongs to case B of Theorem 2.5, there is a unique vertex which has value zero and is adjacent to a non-zero valuated vertex (must be in some  $G_k$  or  $H_k$ ), which also yields a contradiction by a similar argument.

By the above discussion, the cycle  $C_m$  of G contains non-zero valuated vertices. Then it follows from the structures of W and  $\xi$  that the corresponding cycle  $C'_{2m}$  of W contains both positively and negatively valuated vertices. Hence W belongs to case A of Theorem 2.5. The result follows by Theorem 2.5.

**Example.** We give an illustration of Theorem 2.6 as follows. Consider the graph  $G \in \mathscr{G}_{12}^4$  as in Fig. 2.2. By *Mathematica*, the least eigenvalue  $\lambda_1(G) \approx 0.093$ , and the corresponding eigenvector is listed on the vertices of the graph below with approximate value.



**Theorem 2.7.** Let G be a mixed graph consisting of singular blocks  $B_1, B_2, \ldots$ ,  $B_k$  and nonsingular blocks  $B_{k+1}, B_{k+2}, \ldots, B_m$ . Then there exists a signature matrix D such that  ${}^DG$  is the graph with  ${}^DB_1, {}^DB_2, \ldots, {}^DB_k$  all-oriented.

Proof. Let G' be the graph obtained from G by orienting all unoriented edges of the blocks  $B_{k+1}, B_{k+2}, \ldots, B_m$ . Then all blocks of G' are singular, and so is G'. By

Theorem 1.2, there exists a signature matrix D such that  ${}^{D}G' = \vec{G'}$ , an all-oriented graph. Noting that each nonsingular block of G contains no edges of singular blocks, we conclude that  ${}^{D}G$  is a graph such that  ${}^{D}B_1, {}^{D}B_2, \ldots, {}^{D}B_k$  are all-oriented.  $\Box$ 

By Theorem 2.7, to discuss the eigenspaces of mixed graphs, it is enough to deal with graphs with all singular blocks all-oriented. A problem naturally arises whether Theorem 2.6 also holds for the mixed graph containing exactly one nonsingular block with two or more nonsingular cycles, or the mixed graph containing two or more nonsingular blocks? If not, what can we say for these graphs? These are still open problems.

#### References

- R. B. Bapat, S. Pati: Algebraic connectivity and the characteristic set of a graph. Linear Multilinear Algebra 45 (1998), 247–273.
   R. B. Bapat, J. W. Grossman, D. M. Kulkarni: Generalized matrix tree theorem for
- mixed graphs. Linear Multilinear Algebra 46 (1999), 299–312.
- [3] R. B. Bapat, J. W. Grossman, D. M. Kulkarni: Edge version of the matrix tree theorem for trees. Linear Multilinear Algebra 47 (2000), 217–229.
  zbl
- [4] J. A. Bondy, U. S. R. Murty: Graph Theory with Applications. Elsevier, New York, 1976.
- [5] F. R. K. Chung: Spectral Graph Theory. Conference Board of the Mathematical Science, No. 92. Am. Math. Soc., Providence, 1997.
- [6] Y.-Z. Fan: On spectra integral variations of mixed graph. Linear Algebra Appl. 374 (2003), 307–316.
- [7] M. Fiedler: Algebraic connectivity of graphs. Czechoslovak Math. J. 23 (1973), 298–305. zbl
- [8] M. Fiedler: A property of eigenvectors of nonnegative symmetric matrices and its applications to graph theory. Czechoslovak Math. J. 25 (1975), 619–633.
- [9] J. W. Grossman, D. M. Kulkarni, I. E. Schochetman: Algebraic graph theory without orientations. Linear Algebra Appl. 212/213 (1994), 289–308.
- [10] J. M. Guo, S. W. Tan: A relation between the matching number and the Laplacian spectrum of a graph. Linear Algebra Appl. 325 (2001), 71–74.
   zbl
- [11] R. A. Horn, C. R. Johnson: Matrix Analysis. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1985. zbl
- [12] S. Kirkland, S. Fallat: Perron components and algebraic connectivity for weighted graphs. Linear Multilinear Algebra 44 (1998), 131–148.
  Zbl
- [13] S. Kirkland, M. Neumann, B. Shader: Characteristic vertices of weighted trees via Perron values. Linear Multilinear Algebra 40 (1996), 311–325.
- [14] L. S. Melnikov, V. G. Vizing: The edge chromatic number of a directed/mixed multi-graph. J. Graph Theory 31 (1999), 267–273. [2b]
- [15] R. Merris: Laplacian matrices of graphs: a survey. Linear Algebra Appl. 197/198 (1994), 143–176.
- B. Mohar: Some applications of Laplacian eigenvalues of graphs. In: Graph Symmetry (G. Hahn and G. Sabidussi, eds.). Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1997, pp. 225–275.
- [17] X.-D. Zhang, J.-S. Li: The Laplacian spectrum of a mixed graph. Linear Algebra Appl. 353 (2002), 11–20.

Author's address: Yi-Zheng Fan, School of Mathematics and Computation Sciences, Key Laboratory of Intelligent Computing and Signal Processing, Ministry of Education of the People's Republic of China, Anhui University, Hefei, Anhui 230039, P. R. China, e-mail: fanyz@ahu.edu.cn.