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## Introduction

Let $D$ be a Siegel domain of the second kind. If $\operatorname{Aut}(D)$ is so "small" that $\operatorname{Aut}(D)=\operatorname{Aff}(D)$, the domain $D$ can be equivariantly imbedded as an open set of complex projective space. In the case where $A u t(D)$ is the "largest", i.e., the domain $D$ is symmetric, $D$ can be also equivariantly imbedded as an open set of the hermitian symmetric space of compact type dual to $D$. Therefore it is natural to ask whether there exists an equivariant open imbedding of a Siegel domain $D$ to a compact complex homogeneous space $M$. In this paper, we shall prove the following:
(a) If there exists an open equivariant imbedding of $D$ to $M$, then $M$ must be a hermitian symmetric space of compact type (Theorem 8).
(b) $D$ can be equivariantly imbedded as an open set of $P^{n}(\boldsymbol{C})$ if and only if $\operatorname{Aut}(D)=A f f(D)$ or $D$ is holomorphically equivalent to a disk (Theorem 9).
(c) There exists a Siegel domain which does not admit open equivariant imbeddings to compact complex homogeneous spaces (§6).

Throughout this paper, we use the following notations: $A u t(M)$ means the group of all holomorphic transformations of a complex manifold $M$. For a real vector space or a real Lie algebra $V, V^{c}$ denotes its complexification. We denote by $\operatorname{Gr}(W, r)$ the complex grassmann manifold consisting of all $r$-dimensional subspaces of a complex vector space $W$.

## § 1. Homogeneous spaces associated with complex graded Lie algebras of certain type

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a totally ordered abelian group which satisfies the following conditions;

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha>\beta & \text { if and only if } \alpha-\beta>0 . \\
\alpha>0 & \text { if and only if }-\alpha<0
\end{array}
$$
\]

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite dimensional complex Lie algebra and let $\left\{\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ be a family of subspaces of $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{g}=\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \text { (direct sum) } \\
& {\left[\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{g}_{\beta}\right] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta} .}
\end{aligned}
$$

We further assume that there exists $\alpha_{0}<0$ such that

$$
\text { For } X \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \alpha \leqq \alpha_{0} \text {, the condition " }\left[X, \mathfrak{g}_{0}\right]=0 \text { " implies } X=0 \text {; }
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{b}=\sum_{\alpha>\alpha_{0}} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} \text { is a subalgebra of } \mathfrak{g} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under these conditions we shall prove the following

[^1]Proof. We set $B^{*}=\{a \in G ; A d a \mathfrak{b}=\mathfrak{b}\}$. Then $B^{*}$ is a closed subgroup of $G$ containing $B$. From the condition (1), we can show that the Lie algebra of $B^{*}$ coincides with $\mathfrak{b}$. Therefore the group $B$ is closed in $B^{*}$ and hence closed in $G$. We put $\mathfrak{n}=\sum_{\alpha \leq \alpha_{0}} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$. Clearly $\mathfrak{n}$ is a nilpotent subalgebra. We assert that the mapping $\pi \circ \exp$ is an imbedding of $n$ into $G / B$, where $\pi$ denotes the natural projection of $G$ onto $G / B$. Let $N$ be a connected subgroup of $G$ corresponding to the subalgebra 11. It is sufficiant to prove that $N \cap B=\{e\}$, $e$ denoting the unit element in $G$. Let $a \in N \cap B$. Since $N$ is nilpotent, there exists $X \in \mathfrak{n}$ such that $\exp X=a$. We can write $X=\sum_{\alpha \leq \alpha_{0}} X_{\alpha}$. For any $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}$, $A d(\exp X) Y \in \mathfrak{b}$. Suppose that $X_{\alpha}=0$ for $\alpha>\alpha^{\prime}$ and $X_{\alpha^{\prime}} \neq 0$. Then the $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ part of $A d(\exp X) Y$ is equal to $\left[X_{\alpha^{\prime}}, Y\right]$. Hence $\left[X_{\alpha^{\prime}}, Y\right]=0$ for any $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}$. It follows that $X_{\alpha^{\prime}}=0$ by (1), contradicting the assumption $X_{\alpha^{\prime}} \neq 0$. Thus we get $X=0$, proving our assertion.

We set $N^{\prime}=\pi \circ \exp \pi . \quad N^{\prime}$ is an open orbite of $N$ through the origin $o$ of $G / B$. Let $C$ be the union of all singular orbites of $N$ and let ( $X_{1}^{*}, \cdots, X_{n}^{*}$ ) be a family of holomorphic vector fields on $G / B$ corresponding to a base $\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)$ of $n$. Then the subset $C$ of $G / B$ is defined by the equation $X_{1}^{*} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{n}^{*}=0$. Therefore $G / B-C$ is connected and hence coincides with $N^{\prime}$. Let $B_{0}$ be the identity component of $B$. Then $G / B_{0}$ is a covering space of $G / B$. We denote by $\rho$ the covering map of $G / B_{0}$ to $G / B$. It is clear that the open (resp. a singular) orbite of $N$ in $G / B_{0}$ is mapped by $\rho$ to the open (resp. to a singular) orbite in $G / B$. On the other hand $\rho$ is a homomorphism on
the open orbite because $\pi$ ०exp is an imbedding on $\mathfrak{n}$. Therefore $G / B_{0}=G / B$ and hence $B_{0}=B$.

Let $\widetilde{G}$ be the universal covering group of $G$ and let $\pi$ be the covering map of $\widetilde{G}$ to $G$. We now know that $\varpi^{-1}(B)$ is closed and connected. Therefore $G / B=\widetilde{G} / \varpi^{-1}(B)$ is simply connected.
q.e.d.

## § 2. Siegel domains and equivariant holomorphic mappings

Let $D$ be a Siegel domain of the second kind due to Pyatetski-Shapiro [5] and let $G(D)$ be the identity component of $\operatorname{Aut}(D)$. Denote by $\mathfrak{g}(D)$ the Lie algebra of $G(D)$. For each $X \in \mathfrak{g}(D), X^{*}$ means the vector field on $D$ generated by $\{\exp t X\}_{t \in \boldsymbol{R}}$. Then the correspondence: $X \rightarrow X^{*}$ can be extended to an injective linear mapping of $\mathfrak{g}(D)^{c}$ to the space of all vector fields on $D$ by putting $(\sqrt{-1} X)^{*}=J X^{*}$ for $X \in \mathfrak{g}(D)$, where $J$ denotes the complex structure on $D$. It is easy to see that for any point $z \in D, T_{z}(D)$ $=\left\{X_{z}^{*} ; X \in \mathfrak{g}(D)^{c}\right\}$.

We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{b}_{z}=\left\{X \in \mathfrak{g}(D)^{c} ; X_{z}^{*}=0\right\} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\mathfrak{b}_{z}$ is a complex subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}(D)^{c}$ and $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{b}_{z}$ is constant for any $z \in D$. Therefore the assignment: $z \rightarrow \mathfrak{b}_{2}$ gives a holomorphic mapping $\Phi$ of $D$ into $\operatorname{Gr}\left(\mathfrak{g}(D)^{c}, r\right)$, where $r=\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{b}_{r} .^{* *)}$ The group $G(D)$ acts on $\operatorname{Gr}\left(\mathfrak{g}(D)^{c}, r\right)$ by its adjoint representation. Clearly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(a z)=A d a \Phi(z) \quad \text { for } a \in G(D) \text { and } z \in D \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $M$ be a complex manifold such that $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$ is a Lie group. A holomorphic mapping $f$ of $D$ to $M$ will be called equivariant if there exists a homomorphism $\tau$ of $G(D)$ to $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(a p)=\tau(a) f(p) \quad \text { for } a \in G(D) \text { and } p \in D \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3) the mapping $\mathscr{D}$ is equivariant.
Let $f$ be a equivariant holomorphic mapping of $D$ into $M$ with a homomorphism $\tau: \quad G(D) \rightarrow$ Aut $(M)$. We now assume that $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$ is a complex Lie group and denote by $\mathfrak{g}(M)$ the Lie algebra of $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$. Let $\tau_{*}$ be the homomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}(D)$ to $\mathfrak{g}(M)$ induced by $\tau$. The mapping $\tau_{*}$ can be extended to a homomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}(D)^{c}$ to $\mathfrak{g}(M)$ complex linearly, which is denoted by the same letter $\tau_{*}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{*} X^{*}=\left(\tau_{*} X\right)^{*} \quad \text { for } X \in \mathfrak{g}(D), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(\tau_{*} X\right) *$ denotes the vector field on $M$ corresponding to $\tau_{*} X \in \mathfrak{g}(M)$.

[^2]Lemma 2. The equation (5) holds for $X \in \mathfrak{g}(D)^{c}$.

Proof. We set $X=X_{1}+\sqrt{-1} X_{2}\left(X_{1}, X_{2} \in \mathfrak{g}(D)\right)$. Then $f_{*} X^{*}=f_{*}\left(X_{1}^{*}\right.$ $\left.+J X_{2}^{*}\right)=f_{*} X_{1}^{*}+J^{\prime} f_{*} X_{2}^{*}$, where $J^{\prime}$ denotes the complex structure on $M$. On the other hand $\left(\tau_{*} X\right) *=\left(\tau_{*} X_{1}\right)^{*}+\left(\sqrt{-1} \tau_{*} X_{2}\right) *=\left(\tau_{*} X_{1}\right) *+J^{\prime}\left(\tau_{*} X_{2}\right) *$. q.e.d.

Proposition 3. Let $z \in D$ and let $B^{\prime}$ be the isotropy subgroup of Aut $(M)$ at $f(z)$. Then $f(D) \subset A u t(M) / B^{\prime}$.

Proof. For any $z^{\prime} \in D$, there exist $p_{0}, p_{1}, \cdots, p_{m} \in D, t_{1}, \cdots, t_{m} \in \boldsymbol{R}$ and $X_{1}, \cdots, X_{m} \in \mathfrak{g}(D)^{c}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{0}=z, p_{m}=z^{\prime} \\
& p_{i}=\exp t_{i} X_{i}^{*}\left(p_{i-1}\right) \quad 1 \leqq i \leqq m,
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left\{\exp t X_{i}^{*}\right\}$ denoting the one parameter group of local transformations of $D$ generated by the vector field $X_{i}^{*}$. It follows by Lemma 2

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left(z^{\prime}\right) & =f\left(\exp t_{m} X_{m}^{*}\left(p_{m-1}\right)\right) \\
& =\exp t_{m} \tau_{*} X_{m} \circ f\left(p_{m-1}\right) \\
& =\exp t_{m} \tau_{*} X_{m} \circ \cdots \circ \exp t_{1} \tau_{*} X_{1} \circ f(z)
\end{align*}
$$

## § 3. The mapping $\Phi$ and Tanaka's imbeddings

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(D)$ has a graded structure such that (cf. [2])

$$
\left.\mathfrak{g}(D)=\mathfrak{g}_{-2}+\mathfrak{g}_{-1}+\mathfrak{g}_{0}+\mathfrak{g}_{1}+\mathfrak{g}_{2} . * * *\right)
$$

Let $E$ and $I$ are elements in $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$ as in $\S 1$ of [3]. We set

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta_{-1}=\mathfrak{g}_{-2}^{c}+\left\{X-\sqrt{-1}[I, X] ; X \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}\right\} \\
& \theta_{0}=\left\{X+\sqrt{-1}[I, X] ; X \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}\right\}+\mathfrak{g}_{0}^{c}+\left\{Y-\sqrt{-1}[I, Y] ; Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{1}\right\}  \tag{6}\\
& \theta_{1}=\left\{Y+\sqrt{-1}[I, Y] ; Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{1}\right\}+\mathfrak{g}_{2}^{c} \\
& H_{0}=\frac{1}{2}(E+\sqrt{-1} I) .
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathfrak{g}(D)^{c}=\theta_{-1}+\theta_{0}+\theta_{1} \quad \text { (direct sum) } \\
& \quad\left[\theta_{\lambda}, \theta_{\mu}\right] \subset \theta_{\lambda+\mu}  \tag{7}\\
& \theta_{\lambda}=\left\{X \in \mathfrak{g}(D)^{c} ;\left[H_{0}, X\right]=\lambda X\right\} \quad \lambda=-1,0,1 .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $G^{c}$ be the adjoint group of $\mathfrak{g}(D)^{c}$. Since $G(D)$ is centerless ([2]),
${ }^{* * *)}$ Our suspace $\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda}$ corresponds to $\mathfrak{g}_{2 / 2}$ in [2].
$G(D)$ is identified with a subgroup of $G^{c}$. Define a closed subgroup $B$ of $G^{c}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\left\{a \in G^{c} ; a\left(\theta_{0}+\theta_{1}\right)=\theta_{0}+\theta_{1}\right\} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Lie algebra of $B$ coincides with $\theta_{0}+\theta_{1}$ as is easily observed (cf. [8]). Hence $B$ is connected by Theorem 1. According to [3], we identify the domain $D$ with an open subset of $\theta_{-1}$ and define a holomorphic mapping $h$ of $D$ onto an open set of $G^{c} / B$ by putting

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=\pi \circ \exp z \quad z \in D, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi$ denotes the projection of $G^{c}$ onto $G^{c} / B$. The map $h$ is equivariant (Lemma 2.4 in [3]) and called Tanaka's imbedding. We now fix a point $z \in D$. Let $B_{z}$ be the isotropy subgroup of $G^{c}$ at $h(z)$. Then from (9) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{z}=\exp z \cdot B \cdot(\exp z)^{-1} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $X \in \mathfrak{g}(D)^{c}$, the vector field on $G^{c} / B$ generated by $\{\exp t X\}_{t \in \boldsymbol{R}}$ is equal to $X^{*}$ on $D \subset G^{c} / B$. Therefore from (2), the Lie algebra of $B_{z}$ coincides with $\mathfrak{b}_{2}$. Thus we get from (10),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{b}_{z}=A d \exp z\left(\theta_{0}+\theta_{1}\right) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The group $G^{c}$ acts on $G r\left(g(D)^{c}, r\right)$ in a natural manner. By (8), (10) and (11), the isotropy subgroup of $G^{c}$ at $\Phi(z)$ is $B_{z}$. It follows from Proposition 3 that $\Phi(D)$ is contained in the homogeneous space $G^{c} / B_{2}$. We define a holomorphic diffeomorphism $\varphi$ of $G^{c} / B$ onto $G^{c} / B_{z}$ by

$$
\varphi(a B)=a(\exp z)^{-1} B_{z} \quad a \in G^{c} .
$$

Then the following equality holds;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(a q)=a \varphi(q) \quad \text { for } a \in G^{c}, q \in G^{c} / B \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4. $\mathscr{D}=\varphi \circ h$.
Proof. Let $a \in G(D)$. By (12), $\Phi(a z)=a \Phi(z)=a \varphi \circ h(z)=\varphi \circ h(a z)$. Therefore $\Phi=\varphi \circ h$ on the orbite of $G(D)$ through $z$. Since both $\Phi$ and $\varphi \circ h$ are holomorphic, we can conclude that $\Phi=\varphi \circ h$ on $D$ (cf. Lemma 2.5 in [3]).

Corollary 5. $\Phi$ is an imbedding of $D$.
We can now verify the following
Theorem 6. Let $f$ be an equivariant holomorphic mapping of $D$ into
a complex manifold $M$. Assume that $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$ is a complex Lie group. Then there exists a unique holomorphic mapping $\psi: \quad G^{c} / B_{z} \rightarrow M$ such that $f=\psi \circ \emptyset$.

Proof. The uniqueness follows from the fact that $\mathscr{D}(D)$ is open in $G^{c} / B_{z}$. Let $\widetilde{G}^{c}$ be the universal covering group of $G^{c}$ and let $\tau$ be the homomorphism of $G(D)$ to $A u t(M)$ attaches to $f$. We denote by $\tilde{\tau}$ the homomorphism of $\widetilde{G}^{c}$ to Aut $(M)$ corresponding to the homomorphism $\tau_{*}$ of $\mathfrak{g}(D)^{c}$ to $\mathfrak{g}(M)$. Let $\widetilde{B}_{z}$ be the connected subgroup of $\widetilde{G}^{c}$ with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{b}_{z}$. By Lemma 2, we see that for any $X \in \mathfrak{b}_{z}\left(\tau_{*} X\right)_{f(z)}^{*}=f_{*} X_{z}^{*}=0$. Therefore $\widetilde{\tau}\left(\widetilde{B}_{z}\right)$ is contained in the isotropy subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$ at $f(z)$. Hence $\tilde{\tau}$ induces a holomorphic mapping $\psi$ of $\widetilde{G}^{c} / \widetilde{B}_{z}$ to $M$. We now set

$$
\begin{align*}
& H=\operatorname{Ad}(\exp z) H_{0}  \tag{13}\\
& \mathfrak{a}_{\lambda}=\operatorname{Ad}(\exp z) \theta_{\lambda} \quad \lambda=-1,0,1
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (7)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathfrak{g}(D)^{c}=\mathfrak{a}_{-1}+\mathfrak{a}_{0}+\mathfrak{a}_{1} \quad \text { (direct sum) } \\
& {\left[\mathfrak{a}_{\lambda}, a_{\mu}\right] \subset \mathfrak{a}_{\lambda+\mu}}  \tag{11}\\
& \mathfrak{a}_{\lambda}=\left\{X \in \mathfrak{g}(D)^{c} ;[H, X]=\lambda X\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

By (14) the graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(D)^{c}=\mathfrak{a}_{-1}+\mathfrak{a}_{0}+\mathfrak{a}_{1}$ and the subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}_{z}$ $=\mathfrak{a}_{0}+\mathfrak{a}_{1}$ satisfy the condition (1). Hence applying Theorem 1 , we have $G^{c} / B_{z}$ $=\widetilde{G}^{c} / \widetilde{B}_{z}$. The equation $f=\psi \circ \mathscr{D}$ follows from Proposition 3 . q.e.d.

## § 4. Equivariant open imbeddings of Siegel domains to compact complex homogeneous spaces

Let $f$ be an equivariant holomorphic immersion of a Siegel domain $D$ to a compact complex homogeneous space $M$ with a homomorphism $\tau: G(D) \rightarrow$ Aut $(M)$. Note that $A u t(M)$ is a complex Lie group. We further assume that $f(D)$ is open in $M$. Let $\tau_{*}$ be the homomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}(D)^{c}$ to $\mathfrak{g}(M)$ defined in §2. Suppose that $\tau_{*} X=0, X \in \mathfrak{g}(D)^{c}$. By Lemma 2, $f_{*} X^{*}$ $=\left(\tau_{*} X\right)^{*}=0$. Since $f$ is an immersion, we have $X^{*}=0$. Therefore $\tau_{*}$ is injective. In what follows, we consider $\mathfrak{g}(D)^{c}$ as a complex subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}(M)$. Let $\psi$ be the holomorphic mapping of $G^{c} / B_{z}\left(=\widetilde{G}^{c} / \widetilde{B}_{z}\right)$ to $M$ given by Theorem 6 and let $B^{\prime}$ be the isotropy subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(M)$ at $f(z)$. Since $f$ is an immersion, the Lie algebra of $\tau^{-1}\left(B^{\prime}\right)$ is $\mathfrak{b}_{2}$. Therefore by Theorem 1 , $\widetilde{B}_{2}=\widetilde{\tau}^{-1}\left(B^{\prime}\right)$ and hence $\psi$ is an imbedding.

Lemma 7. Let $\lambda$ be an eigenvalue of ad $H$ on $\mathfrak{g}(M)$, where $H$ is an element of $\mathfrak{g}(D)^{c}$ defined by (13). Then $\lambda$ is an integer and $\lambda \geqq-1$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{b}^{\prime}$ be the Lie algebra of $B^{\prime}$. Since $f(D)$ is open, we know $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}(D)^{c} / \mathfrak{b}_{z}=\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}(M) / \mathfrak{b}^{\prime}$. Define subspaces $\left\{\mathfrak{b}_{m}^{\prime}\right\}_{m \geq-1}$ of $\mathfrak{g}(M)$ by setting

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{b}_{-1}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{g}(M), \quad \mathfrak{b}_{1}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{b}^{\prime} . \\
& \mathfrak{b}_{m}^{\prime}=\left\{X \in \mathfrak{b}_{m-1}^{\prime} ;[X, \mathfrak{g}(M)] \subset \mathfrak{b}_{m-1}^{\prime}\right\} \quad(m \geqq 1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $X \in \mathfrak{b}_{m}^{\prime}$. Then all $m^{\prime}$-th derivatives of $X^{*}$ at $f(z)$ must be zeros where $m^{\prime}<m$. Therefore $\bigcap_{m=-1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{V}_{m}^{\prime}=0$. As a consequence, there exists $m_{0} \geqq 0$ such that $\mathfrak{b}_{m}^{\prime}=0$ for $m>m_{0}$ and $\mathfrak{b}_{m_{0}}^{\prime} \neq 0$. Thus we get a sequence $\mathfrak{b}_{-1}^{\prime} \supsetneq \mathfrak{b}_{0}^{\prime} \supsetneq \cdots \supseteqq \mathfrak{b}_{m_{0}}^{\prime}$ $\supsetneq \mathfrak{b}_{m_{0}+1}^{\prime}=0$. It is easy to see the following equality holds

$$
a d H X \equiv m X \quad\left(\bmod \mathfrak{b}_{m+1}^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { for } X \in \mathfrak{b}_{m}^{\prime}
$$

We now set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{a}_{\lambda}^{\prime}=\left\{X \in \mathfrak{g}(M) ;(a d H-\lambda)^{m}=0 \text { for some } m\right\} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{g}(M)=\sum_{\lambda \geq-1} \mathfrak{a}_{\lambda}^{\prime}, \quad \mathfrak{b}_{\mu}^{\prime}=\sum_{\lambda \geq \mu} \mathfrak{a}_{\lambda}^{\prime} \\
& {\left[\mathfrak{a}_{\lambda}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{a}_{\mu}^{\prime}\right] \subset \mathfrak{a}_{\lambda+\mu}^{\prime} .}
\end{aligned}
$$

By (14) and (15) we have $\mathfrak{a}_{\lambda} \subset \mathfrak{a}_{\lambda}^{\prime}$. Therefore $\mathfrak{a}_{-1}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{a}_{-1}$ because $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{a}_{-1}^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim}$ $\mathfrak{a}_{-1}$. From (15), we see that the pair $\left(\mathfrak{g}(M), \mathfrak{b}^{\prime}\right)$ satisfies (1) and hence the homogeneous space $M$ is simply connected. As a result $M$ is a $C$-space due to Wang [9] and hence $\mathfrak{g}(M)$ is reductive ([9]). Let $X$ be an element in the center of $\mathfrak{g}(M)$. We can write $X=\sum_{\lambda=-1}^{m_{0}} X_{\lambda}\left(X_{\lambda} \in \mathfrak{a}_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right)$. Since $[H, X]$ $=0$, we have $X_{\lambda}=0$ for $\lambda \neq 0$. Then $\left[\mathfrak{g}(M), X_{0}\right]=[\mathfrak{g}(M), X]=0$. Thus we know $X_{0} \in \mathfrak{b}_{1}^{\prime}$ and hence $X_{0}=0$. Consequently the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(M)$ is semisimple and $\mathfrak{a}_{\lambda}^{\prime}=0$ for $\lambda>1$. We now know from [7] that $M$ is a hermitian symmetric space of compact type. We have thereby prove the following

Theorem 8. If there exists an open immersion $f$ of a Siegel domain $D$ to a compact complex homogeneous space $M$, then $M$ must be a hermitian symmetric space of compact type and $f$ is an imbedding.

Remark. Note that the following equility hold: $\mathfrak{a}_{\lambda}^{\prime}=\{X \in \mathfrak{g}(M)$; $[H, X]=\lambda X\} \quad \lambda=-1,0,1$. Indeed, an endomorphism $\eta$ defined by $\eta(X)=\lambda X$ for $X \in \mathfrak{a}_{\lambda}^{\prime}$ is a derivation of the semi-simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(M)$. Therefore there exists $H^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{g}(M)$ such that $a d H^{\prime}=\eta$. It is easy to see that $H^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{a}_{0}^{\prime}$. Both $H$ and $H^{\prime}$ are in $\mathfrak{a}_{1}^{\prime}$ and $a d H=a d H^{\prime}$ on $\mathfrak{a}_{-1}^{\prime}$. Hence we get $H=H^{\prime}$.
§ 5. Siegel domains which can be equivariantly imbedded as an open subset of $P^{n}(C)$

In this section, we shall determine Siegel domains which admit equivariant open imbeddings to the complex projective space $P^{n}(\boldsymbol{C})$. We prove the following

Theorem 9. Let $D$ be a Siegel domain of the second kind. Then $D$ can be equivariantly imbedded as an open set of $P^{n}(\boldsymbol{C})$ if and only if $D$ is one of the following two type;
(i) $D$ is holomorphically equivalent to the disk, i.e.,

$$
D \cong\left\{\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right) \in \boldsymbol{C}^{n} ; \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}<1\right\}
$$

(ii) $\operatorname{Aut}(D)=\operatorname{Aut}(D)$, where $\operatorname{Aff}(D)$ denotes the affine transformation group of $D$.

It is well known that if $D$ satisfies (i) or (ii), then $D$ can be imbedded equivariantly as an open set of $P^{n}(\boldsymbol{C})$. We verify the converse.

Let $f$ be an open equivariant imbedding of $D$ to $P^{n}(\boldsymbol{C})$ with a homomorphism $\tau: G(D) \rightarrow S L(n+1, \boldsymbol{C})$. Let $z \in D$ and let $\left(w_{1}, \cdots, w_{n+1}\right)$ be a homogeneous coordinate system of $P^{n}(\boldsymbol{C})$. We may assume $f(z)=(1,0, \cdots, 0)$. As in $\S 4$, we identify $\mathfrak{g}(D)^{c}$ with a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{E l}(n+1, \boldsymbol{C})$ by $\tau_{*}$. Let $\mathfrak{a}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(\lambda=-1,0,1)$ be the subspaces of $\mathfrak{g l}(n+1, \boldsymbol{C})$ defined by (15).

Lemma 10. Let $Y \in \mathfrak{a}_{1}^{\prime}$. If $Y \neq 0$, the linear mapping ad $Y$ is injective on $\mathfrak{a}_{-1}^{\prime}$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{b}^{\prime}$ be the isotropy subalgebra at $f(z)$. Then $\mathfrak{b}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{a}_{0}^{\prime}+\mathfrak{a}_{1}^{\prime}$ and

$$
\mathfrak{b}^{\prime}=\left\{X \in \mathfrak{l l}(n+1, \boldsymbol{C}) ; X=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\operatorname{Tr} A & \xi \\
0 & A
\end{array}\right) ; \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \boldsymbol{C}^{n} \text { and } A \in \mathfrak{g l}(n, \boldsymbol{C})\right\} .
$$

We set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{a}_{-1}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{X \in \mathfrak{B l}(n+1, \boldsymbol{C}) ; X=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
t_{\eta} & 0
\end{array}\right) ; \eta \in \boldsymbol{C}^{n}\right\} \\
& \mathfrak{a}_{0}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{X \in \mathfrak{B l}(n+1, \boldsymbol{C}) ; X=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\operatorname{Tr} A & 0 \\
0 & A
\end{array}\right) ; A \in \mathfrak{g l}(n, \boldsymbol{C})\right\} \\
& \mathfrak{a}_{1}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{X \in \mathfrak{g l}(n+1, \boldsymbol{C}) ; X=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \xi \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) ; \xi \in \boldsymbol{C}^{n}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mathfrak{a}_{1}^{\prime}=\left\{X \in \mathfrak{b}^{\prime} ;[\mathfrak{s l}(n+1, \boldsymbol{C}), X] \subset \mathfrak{b}^{\prime}\right\}$. We can show $\mathfrak{a}_{1}^{\prime \prime}=\mathfrak{a}_{1}^{\prime}$. There exists a unique element $H^{\#}$ of $\mathfrak{a}_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ such that $a d H^{\#} X=\lambda X$ for $X \in \mathfrak{a}_{1}^{\prime \prime}(\lambda=-1,0,1)$, because $\mathfrak{B l}(n+1, \boldsymbol{C})$ is semi-simple. Both $H$ and $H^{\#}$ are in $\mathfrak{b}^{\prime}$ and $a d H X$ $\equiv a d H^{\#} X \equiv-X\left(\bmod \mathfrak{b}^{\prime}\right)$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{g l}(n+1, \boldsymbol{C})$. Therefore we can write $H^{\#}-H=Z, Z \in \mathfrak{a}_{1}^{\prime}$. Then $H=A d(\exp Z) H^{\#}$ and hence $\mathfrak{a}_{2}^{\prime}=A d(\exp Z) \mathfrak{a}_{1}^{\prime \prime}$.

By direct calculations, we know that for any $Y \in \mathfrak{a}_{1}^{\prime \prime}(Y \neq 0)$, $a d Y$ is injective on $a_{-1}^{\prime \prime}$.
q.e.d.

We assume that $\operatorname{Aut}(D) \neq \operatorname{Aff}(D)$, which is equivalent to $\mathfrak{g}_{2} \neq 0$ ([3]). Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}(D)$ is not semi-simple. Then there exists a non-zero element $X$ in $\mathfrak{a}_{-2}$ such that $\left[X, \mathfrak{g}_{2}\right]=0$ (Remark 1 in [4]), which contradicts to Lemma 10 because $A d(\exp z) X$ is in $\mathfrak{a}_{-1}^{\prime}$ and $A d(\exp z) \mathfrak{g}_{2} \subset \mathfrak{a}_{1}^{\prime}$. Therefore $\mathfrak{g}(D)$ is semi-simple and the domain $D$ is symmetric. When $D$ is symmetric, it is well known that $G^{c} / B$ is the compact hermitian symmetric space dual to non-compact $D$ and that $h$ is the Borel imbedding (cf. [6]). Since $\psi\left(G^{c} / B_{z}\right)$ is a compact open subset of $P^{n}(\boldsymbol{C})$, we get $G^{c} / B \cong G^{c} / B_{z} \cong P^{n}(\boldsymbol{C})$, completing the proof of Theorem 9.

## § 6. An example

Let $D$ be a 4 -dimensional homogeneous Siegel domain defined by

$$
D=\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}\right) \in C^{4} ; \operatorname{Im} z_{3}>0 \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{Im} z_{3}\left(\operatorname{Im} z_{1}-\left|z_{4}\right|^{2}\right)-\left(\operatorname{Im} z_{2}\right)^{2}>0\right\}
$$

The domain $D$ is not symmetric. In this section we prove that $D$ does not admit open equivariant imbeddings to compact complex homogeneous spaces. We first calculate $\mathfrak{g}(D)$. Let $\mathfrak{r}$ denote the radical of $\mathfrak{g}(D)$. Then $\mathfrak{r}$ is of the form: $\mathfrak{r}=\mathfrak{r}_{-2}+\mathfrak{r}_{-1}+\mathfrak{r}_{0}, \mathfrak{r}_{2} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{2}$ ([2]). By using results in [4], we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}_{-2}=3, \quad \operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}_{-1}=2, \quad \operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}_{0}=4, \\
& \operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}_{1}=0, \quad \operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}_{2}=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (6) we can decompose $\mathfrak{r}^{c}$ into the form: $\mathfrak{r}^{c}=\mathfrak{r}_{-1}^{\prime}+\mathfrak{r}_{0}^{\prime}$, where $\mathfrak{r}_{\lambda}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{r}^{c} \cap \theta_{\lambda}$. By Theorem 1.1 in [4], there exists a semi-simple subalgebra $\mathfrak{G}$ of $\mathfrak{g}(D)^{c}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{E}=\mathfrak{G}_{-1}+\mathfrak{g}_{0}+\mathfrak{\mathfrak { h }}_{1}, \quad \mathfrak{\mathfrak { G }}_{\boldsymbol{k}}=\mathfrak{\mathfrak { j }} \cap \theta_{\lambda}, \\
& \mathfrak{g}(D)^{c}=\mathfrak{r}^{c}+\mathfrak{z} \text { (direct sum). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mathfrak{I}_{1}=\theta_{1}=\mathfrak{g}_{2}^{\boldsymbol{c}}, \quad \operatorname{dim}_{\boldsymbol{C}} \mathfrak{\mathfrak { B }}_{1}=1$ and hence $\operatorname{dim}_{\boldsymbol{C}} \mathfrak{g}_{-1}=1$. Because $\mathfrak{\xi}$ is semi-simple, there exists a unique $H_{s} \in \mathfrak{\zeta}_{0}$ such that $\left[H_{s}, X\right]=\lambda X$ for $X \in \mathfrak{\Xi}_{\lambda}$.

Suppose that there exists an equivariant imbedding of $D$ onto an open set of a compact complex homogeneous space $M$. By Theorem $8, M$ must be a hermitian symmetric space of compact type. We also know that $\mathfrak{g}(D)^{c}$ is identified with a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}(M)$. We set $\theta_{1}^{\prime}=A d(\exp z)^{-1} \mathfrak{a}_{2}^{\prime}$ where $\mathfrak{a}_{2}^{\prime}$ is as in $\S$ 4. Then $\mathrm{g}(M)=\theta_{-1}^{\prime}+\theta_{0}^{\prime}+\theta_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\theta_{2} \subset \theta_{\lambda}^{\prime}$. We assert that $M$ must be irreducible. If $M$ is a product of two hetmitian symmetric spaces $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, then $\mathfrak{g}(M)=\mathfrak{g}\left(M_{1}\right)+\mathfrak{g}\left(M_{2}\right)$. Being an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}(M), \mathfrak{g}\left(M_{i}\right)$ is decomposed as follows ( $i=1,2$ );

$$
\mathfrak{g}\left(M_{i}\right)=\theta_{-1}^{i}+\theta_{0}^{i}+\theta_{1}^{i}, \theta_{\lambda}^{i}=\mathfrak{g}\left(M_{i}\right)\left\lceil\theta_{\lambda}^{\prime} .\right.
$$

Note that $\theta_{-1}^{i} \neq 0$. Let $X \in \theta_{-1}^{i}$. Since $\theta_{-1}=\theta_{-1}^{\prime}$, we can write $X=X_{s}+X_{r}\left(X_{s}\right.$ $\in \mathfrak{\xi}_{-1}$ and $\left.X_{r} \in \mathfrak{r}_{-1}^{\prime}\right)$. If $X_{s} \neq 0$, then $\mathfrak{\mathfrak { G }}_{1}$ is contained in $\theta_{1}^{i}$ because $\mathfrak{\mathfrak { G }}_{1}=\left[\mathfrak{\xi}_{1}\right.$, $\left.\left[\mathfrak{F}_{1}, \mathfrak{F}_{-1}\right]\right]$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{\boldsymbol{C}} \mathfrak{Z}_{1}=1$. Hence $\mathfrak{\mathscr { G }}_{-1}$ is contained in $\theta_{-1}^{i}$ because $\mathfrak{\mathfrak { G }}_{-1}=\left[\mathfrak{Z}_{-1}\right.$, [ $\left.\mathfrak{S}_{-1}, \mathfrak{\mathfrak { G }}_{1}\right]$ ]. As a result $\mathfrak{F}_{-1}$ is contained in $\theta_{-1}^{1}$ or $\theta_{-1}^{2}$. We may assume that $\mathfrak{\Xi}_{-1} \subset \theta_{-1}^{1}$. It follows that $\mathfrak{S}_{1} \subset \theta_{1}^{1}$. For the domain $D$, we know from [4] that $\mathfrak{g}_{-2}=\left[\mathfrak{g}_{-2},\left[\mathfrak{g}_{-2}, \mathfrak{g}_{2}\right]\right]$. Therefore $\mathfrak{g}_{-2} \subset \theta_{-1}^{1}$. Now let $Y \in \theta_{-1}^{2}$. We can write $Y=Y_{-2}+Y_{-1}-\sqrt{-1}\left[I, Y_{-1}\right]$, where $Y_{-2} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-2}^{c}$ and $Y_{-1} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$. Since $\left[E, Y_{\lambda}\right]$ $=\lambda Y_{\lambda}(\lambda=-2,-1)$, we have $Y_{-2} \in \theta_{-1}^{2}$ and $Y_{-1}-\sqrt{-1}\left[I, Y_{-1}\right] \in \theta_{-1}^{2}$. Hence $Y_{-2}=0$ and $\left[Y_{-1}-\sqrt{ }-1\left[I, Y_{-1}\right], Y_{-1}+\sqrt{-1}\left[I, Y_{-1}\right]\right]=2 \sqrt{-1}\left[Y_{-1},\left[I, Y_{-1}\right]\right]$ $\in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}^{c} \cap \theta_{-1}^{2}=0$. Consequently $Y_{-1}=0$ and hence $\theta_{-1}^{2}=0$. This is a contradiction. We have thus proved that $M$ is irreducible.

A 4-dimensional irreducible hermitian symmetric space of compact type is $S U(5) / S\left(U_{1} \times U_{4}\right)$ or $S U(4) / S\left(U_{2} \times U_{2}\right)$. Hence $M$ must be $S U(4) / S\left(U_{2} \times U_{2}\right)$ by Theorem 9. Then $\operatorname{dim}_{\boldsymbol{C}} \mathfrak{g}(M)=\operatorname{dim}_{\boldsymbol{R}} S U(4)=15$. Let $\mathrm{t}_{\boldsymbol{R}}=\{X \in \mathfrak{g}(M)$; $(a d E-\lambda)^{m} X=0$ for some $\left.m\right\}$. Then $\mathfrak{g}(M)=\sum_{i \in \boldsymbol{C}} \mathrm{t}_{\lambda}$. Since $\mathfrak{g}(M)$ is semisimple, $\operatorname{dim}_{\boldsymbol{C}} \mathrm{t}_{\lambda}=\operatorname{dim}_{\boldsymbol{C}} \mathrm{t}_{-\lambda}$. By using the fact $\mathrm{g}_{\lambda} \subset \mathrm{t}_{\lambda}$, we have $\operatorname{dim}_{\boldsymbol{C}} \mathrm{t}_{-2}=\operatorname{dim}_{\boldsymbol{C}} \mathrm{t}_{2}$ $\geqq 3, \operatorname{dim}_{\boldsymbol{C}} \mathrm{t}_{-1}=\operatorname{dim}_{\boldsymbol{C}} \mathrm{t}_{1} \geqq 2$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{\boldsymbol{C}} \mathrm{t}_{0} \geqq 4$. Since $\operatorname{dim}_{\boldsymbol{C}} \mathfrak{g}(M)=15$, the only possible case is the following: $\mathfrak{g}(M)=\mathrm{t}_{-2}+\mathrm{t}_{-1}+\mathrm{t}_{0}+\mathrm{t}_{1}+\mathrm{t}_{2}, \operatorname{dim}_{\boldsymbol{C}} \mathrm{t}_{-2}=\operatorname{dim}_{\boldsymbol{C}} \mathrm{t}_{2}$ $=3, \operatorname{dim}_{\boldsymbol{C}} \mathrm{t}_{-1}=\operatorname{dim}_{\boldsymbol{C}} \mathrm{t}_{1}=2$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{\boldsymbol{C}} \mathrm{t}_{0}=5$. In this case $\mathrm{t}_{-2}=\mathfrak{g}_{-2}^{c}$ and $\mathrm{t}_{-1}=\mathfrak{g}_{-1}^{c}$. Therefore $\mathrm{t}_{-2} \neq\left[\mathrm{t}_{-1}, \mathrm{t}_{-1}\right]$. On the other hand, $\mathrm{t}_{-2}=\left[\mathrm{t}_{-1}, \mathrm{t}_{-1}\right]$ because $\mathrm{g}(M)$ is a simple Lie algebra (Lemma 1.3 in [4]). Thus we have a contradiction.
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[^0]:    *) Partially supported by The Sakkokai Foundation.

[^1]:    Theorem 1. Let $G$ be a connected Lie group with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and let $B$ be any Lie subgroup of $G$ with the Lie algebra b. Then
    (i) $B$ is closed and connected.
    (ii) The homogeneous space $G / B$ is simply connected.

[^2]:    ${ }^{* *)}$ J. Hano [1] constructed the mapping $\Phi$ for an effective homogeneous space $G / K$ with an invariant complex structure and the non-degenerate canonical hermitian form.

