

ON FAMILY RIGIDITY THEOREMS, I

KEFENG LIU AND XIAONAN MA

0. Introduction. Let M, B be two compact smooth manifolds, and let $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ be a submersion with compact fiber X . Assume that a compact Lie group G acts fiberwise on M , that is, the action preserves each fiber of π . Let P be a family of elliptic operators along the fiber X , commuting with the action of G . Then the family index of P is

$$(0.1) \quad \text{Ind}(P) = \text{Ker } P - \text{Coker } P \in K_G(B).$$

Note that $\text{Ind}(P)$ is a virtual G -representation. Let $\text{ch}_g(\text{Ind}(P))$ with $g \in G$ be the equivariant Chern character of $\text{Ind}(P)$ evaluated at g .

In this paper, we first prove a family fixed-point formula that expresses $\text{ch}_g(\text{Ind}(P))$ in terms of the geometric data on the fixed points X^g of the fiber of π . Then by applying this formula, we generalize the Witten rigidity theorems and several vanishing theorems proved in [Liu3] for elliptic genera to the family case.

Let $G = S^1$. A family elliptic operator P is called rigid on the equivariant Chern character level with respect to this S^1 -action, if $\text{ch}_g(\text{Ind}(P)) \in H^*(B)$ is independent of $g \in S^1$. When the base B is a point, we recover the classical rigidity and vanishing theorems. When B is a manifold, we get many nontrivial higher-order rigidity and vanishing theorems by taking the coefficients of certain expansion of ch_g . For the history of the Witten rigidity theorems, we refer the reader to [T], [BT], [K], [L2], [H], [Liu1], and [Liu4]. The family vanishing theorems that generalize those vanishing theorems in [Liu3], which in turn give us many higher-order vanishing theorems in the family case. In a forthcoming paper, we extend our results to general loop group representations and prove much more general family vanishing theorems that generalize the results in [Liu3]. We believe there should be some applications of our results to topology and geometry, which we hope to report on a later occasion.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we prove the equivariant family index theorem. In Section 2, we prove the family rigidity theorem. In the last part of Section 2, motivated by the family rigidity theorem, we state a conjecture. In Section 3, we generalize the family rigidity theorem to the nonzero anomaly case. As corollaries, we derive several vanishing theorems.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank W. Zhang for many interesting discussions. Part of this work was done while the second author was visiting the Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques. He would like to thank Professor J. P. Bourguignon and IHES for their hospitality.

Received 23 April 1999.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14D05; Secondary 57R91.

1. Equivariant family index theorem. The purpose of this section is to prove an equivariant family index theorem. As pointed out by Atiyah and Singer, we can introduce equivariant families by proceeding as in [AS1] and [AS2]. Here we prove it directly by using the local index theory as developed by Bismut.

This section is organized as follows: In Section 1.1, we state our main result, Theorem 1.1. In Section 1.2, by using the local index theory, we prove Theorem 1.1.

1.1. The index bundle. Let M, B be two compact manifolds, let $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ be a fibration with compact fiber X , and assume that $\dim X = 2k$. Let TX denote the relative tangent bundle. Let W be a complex vector bundle on M and let h^W be a Hermitian metric on W .

Let h^{TX} be a Riemannian metric on TX and let ∇^{TX} be the corresponding Levi-Civita connection on TX along the fiber X . Then the Clifford bundle $C(TX)$ is the bundle of Clifford algebras over M whose fiber at $x \in M$ is the Clifford algebra $C(T_x X)$ of (TX, h^{TX}) .

We assume that the bundle TX is spin as a bundle on M . Let $\Delta = \Delta^+ \oplus \Delta^-$ be the spinor bundle of TX . We denote by $c(\cdot)$ the Clifford action of $C(TX)$ on Δ .

Let ∇ be the connection on Δ induced by ∇^{TX} . Let ∇^W be a Hermitian connection on (W, h^W) with curvature R^W . Let $\nabla^{\Delta \otimes W}$ be the connection on $\Delta \otimes W$ along the fiber X :

$$(1.1) \quad \nabla^{\Delta \otimes W} = \nabla \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \nabla^W.$$

For $b \in B$, we denote by $E_b, E_{\pm, b}$ the set of \mathcal{C}^∞ -sections of $\Delta \otimes W, \Delta_{\pm} \otimes W$ over the fiber X_b . We regard the E_b as the fiber of a smooth \mathbf{Z}_2 -graded infinite-dimensional vector bundle over B . Smooth sections of E over B are identified to smooth sections of $\Delta \otimes W$ over M .

Let $\{e_i\}$ be an orthonormal basis of (TX, h^{TX}) ; let $\{e^i\}$ be its dual basis.

Definition 1.1. Define the twisted Dirac operator to be

$$(1.2) \quad D^X = \sum_i c(e_i) \nabla_{e_i}^{\Delta \otimes W}.$$

Then D^X is a family Dirac operator that acts fiberwise on the fibers of π . For $b \in B$, D_b^X , denote the restriction of D^X to the fiber E_b . D^X interchanges E_+ and E_- . Let D_{\pm}^X be the restrictions of D^X to E_{\pm} . By Atiyah and Singer [AS2], the difference bundle over B ,

$$(1.3) \quad \text{Ind}(D^X) = \text{Ker } D_{+,b}^X - \text{Ker } D_{-,b}^X,$$

is well defined in the K -group $K(B)$.

Now let G be a compact Lie group that acts fiberwise on M . We consider that G acts as identity on B . Without loss of generality, we can assume that G acts on (TX, h^{TX}) isometrically. We also assume that the action of G lifts to Δ and W , and that the G -action commutes with ∇^W .

In this case, we know that $\text{Ind}(D^X) \in K_G(B)$. Now we start to give a proof of a local family fixed-point formula that extends [AS2, Proposition 2.2].

PROPOSITION 1.1. *There exist $V_j \in \widehat{G}$ with $j = 1, \dots, r$, a finite number of sections $(s_{i_j+1}, \dots, s_{i_{j+1}})$ with $i_{j+1} - i_j = \dim V_j$ of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(B, E_-)$ such that we can find a basis $\{e_{j,l}\}$ of V_j , under which the map $\overline{D}_{+,b} : \mathcal{C}^\infty(B, E_{+,b}) \oplus \oplus_{j=1}^r V_j \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(B, E_{-,b})$ given by*

$$(1.4) \quad \overline{D}_{+,b}^X(s + \sum_{j,l} \lambda_{j,l} e_{j,l}) = D_+^X s + \sum_{j,l} \lambda_{j,l} s_{i_j+1}$$

is G -equivariant and surjective. The vector spaces $\text{Ker } \overline{D}_{+,b}^X$ form a G -vector bundle $\text{Ker } \overline{D}_+^X$ on B , and the element $[\text{Ker } \overline{D}_+^X] - \oplus_{j=1}^r V_j \in K_G(B)$ depends only on D^X and not on the choice of $\{V_j\}$ and the sections $\{s_i\}$.

Proof. Given $b_0 \in B$, we can find $a > 0$ and a ball $U(b_0) \subset B$ around b_0 , such that for any $b \in U(b_0)$, a is not an eigenvalue of $D_b^{X,2}$.

Let $E_b^{[0,a]} = E_{+b}^{[0,a]} \oplus E_{-b}^{[0,a]}$ be the direct sum of the eigenspaces of $D_b^{X,2}$ associated to the eigenvalues $\lambda \in [0, a[$. By [BeGeV, Proposition 9.10], $E^{[0,a]}$ forms a finite-dimensional subbundle $E^{[0,a]} \subset E$ over $U(b_0)$. Clearly, $E^{[0,a]}$ is a G -vector bundle on $U(b_0)$. By [S, Proposition 2.2], we have an isomorphism of vector bundles on B ,

$$(1.5) \quad E^{[0,a]} = \oplus_{V \in \widehat{G}} \text{Hom}_G(V, E^{[0,a]}) \otimes V,$$

where \widehat{G} denotes the space of all irreducible representations of G . We can also find $t_{i,k} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U(b_0), \text{Hom}_G(V, E_-^{[0,a]}))$ such that for $b \in U(b_0)$, the elements $t_{i,l}$ form a basis of $\text{Hom}_G(V, E_-^{[0,a]})_b$. Let $\{e_{i,l}\}$ be a basis of V_i . Then we can choose the sections $t_{i,k} e_{i,l} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(B, E_-^{[0,a]})$ to be our s_i . This proves the first part of the proposition locally.

The global version now follows easily by extending the above local sections of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(U(b_0), E_-)$ together with a use of the partition of unity argument. This is essentially the same as the proof of [AS2, Proposition 2.2]. \square

By [S, Proposition 2.2], we have

$$(1.6) \quad \text{Ind}(D^X) = \oplus_{V \in \widehat{G}} \text{Hom}_G(V, \text{Ind}(D^X)) \otimes V$$

and $\text{Hom}_G(V, \text{Ind}(D^X)) \in K(B)$. We denote by $(\text{Ind}(D^X))^G \in K(B)$ the G -invariant part of $\text{Ind}(D^X)$.

By composing the action of G and the Chern character of $\text{Hom}_G(V, \text{Ind}(D^X))$, we get the equivariant Chern character $\text{ch}_g(\text{Ind}(D^X)) \in H^*(B)$.

Definition 1.2. We say that the operator D^X is rigid on the equivariant Chern character level if $\text{ch}_g(\text{Ind}(D^X))$ is constant on $g \in G$. More generally, we say that D^X is rigid on the equivariant K -Theory level if $\text{Ind}(D^X) = (\text{Ind}(D^X))^G$.

In the rest of this paper, when we say that D^X is rigid, we always mean that D^X is rigid on the equivariant Chern character level.

Now let us calculate the equivariant Chern character $\text{ch}_g(\text{Ind}(D^X))$ in terms of the fixed-point data of g .

Let $T^H M$ be a G -equivariant subbundle of TM such that

$$(1.7) \quad TM = T^H M \oplus TX.$$

Let P^{TX} denote the projection from TM to TX . If $U \in TB$, let U^H denote the lift of U in $T^H M$, so that $\pi_* U^H = U$.

Let h^{TB} be a Riemannian metric on B , and assume that W has the Riemannian metric $h^{TM} = h^{TX} \oplus \pi^* h^{TB}$. Note that our final results are independent of h^{TB} . Let ∇^{TM}, ∇^{TB} denote the corresponding Levi-Civita connections on M and B . Put $\nabla^{TX} = P^{TX} \nabla^{TM}$, which is a connection on TX . As shown in [B1, Theorem 1.9], ∇^{TX} is independent of the choice of h^{TB} . Now the connection ∇^{TX} is well defined on TX and on M . Let R^{TX} be the corresponding curvature. We denote by ∇ and $\nabla^{\Delta \otimes W}$ the corresponding connections on Δ and $\Delta \otimes W$ induced by ∇^{TX} and ∇^W .

Take $g \in G$ and set

$$(1.8) \quad M^g = \{x \in M, gx = x\}.$$

Then $\pi : M^g \rightarrow B$ is a fibration with compact fiber X^g . By [BeGeV, Proposition 6.14], TX^g is naturally oriented in M^g .

Let N denote the normal bundle of M^g ; then $N = TX/TX^g$. We denote the differential of g by dg , which gives a bundle isometry $dg : N \rightarrow N$. Since g lies in a compact abelian Lie group, we know that there is an orthogonal decomposition $N = N(\pi) \oplus \bigoplus_{0 < \theta < \pi} N(\theta)$, where $dg|_{N(\pi)} = -\text{id}$, and for each $\theta, 0 < \theta < \pi$, $N(\theta)$ is a complex vector bundle on which dg acts by multiplication by $e^{i\theta}$, and $\dim N(\pi)$ is even. So $N(\pi)$ is also naturally oriented.

As the Levi-Civita connection ∇^{TM} preserves the decomposition $TM = TM^g \oplus \bigoplus_{0 < \theta \leq \pi} N(\theta)$, the connection ∇^{TX} also preserves the decomposition $TX = TX^g \oplus \bigoplus_{0 < \theta \leq \pi} N(\theta)$ on M^g . Let $\nabla^{TX^g}, \nabla^N, \nabla^{N(\theta)}$ be the corresponding induced connections on $TX^g, N, N(\theta)$, and let $R^{TX^g}, R^N, R^{N(\theta)}$ be the corresponding curvatures. Here we consider $N(\theta)$ as a real vector bundle. Then we have the decompositions:

$$(1.9) \quad R^{TX} = R^{TX^g} \oplus R^N, \quad R^N = \bigoplus_{\theta} R^{N(\theta)}.$$

Definition 1.3. For $0 < \theta \leq \pi$, we write

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{ch}_g(W, \nabla^W) &= \text{Tr} \left[g \exp \left(\frac{-R^W}{2\pi i} \right) \right], \\ \widehat{A}(TX^g, \nabla^{TX^g}) &= \det^{1/2} \left(\frac{(i/4\pi)R^{TX^g}}{\sinh((i/4\pi)R^{TX^g})} \right), \\ \widehat{A}_{\theta}(N(\theta), \nabla^{N(\theta)}) &= \frac{1}{i^{(1/2)\dim N(\theta)} \det^{1/2}(1 - g \exp((i/2\pi)R^{N(\theta)}))}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\text{ch}_g(W), \widehat{A}(TX^g), \widehat{A}_\theta(N(\theta))$ denote the corresponding cohomology classes on M^g .

If we denote by $\{x_j, -x_j\}$ ($j = 1, \dots, l$) the Chern roots of $N(\theta), TX^g$ such that Πx_j define the orientation of $N(\theta)$ and TX^g , then

$$(1.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{A}(TX^g) &= \frac{\Pi_j x_j/2}{\sinh(x_j/2)}, \\ \widehat{A}_\theta(N(\theta)) &= 2^{-l} \Pi_{j=1}^l \frac{1}{\sinh 1/2(x_j + i\theta)} = \Pi_{j=1}^l \frac{e^{(1/2)(x_j+i\theta)}}{e^{x_j+i\theta} - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $\pi_* : H^*(M^g) \rightarrow H^*(B)$ the intergration along the fiber X^g .

THEOREM 1.1. *We have the following identity in $H^*(B)$:*

$$(1.12) \quad \text{ch}_g(\text{Ind}(D^X)) = \pi_* \{ \Pi_{0 < \theta \leq \pi} \widehat{A}_\theta(N(\theta)) \widehat{A}(TX^g) \text{ch}_g(W) \}.$$

1.2. A heat kernel proof of Theorem 1.1. As Atiyah and Singer indicated in the end of [AS2], we can proceed as in [AS1] and [AS2] to introduce an equivariant family, and then to find a formula for the equivariant Chern character of the index bundle. Here we use a different approach by combining the local relative index theory and the equivariant technique to give a direct proof of the local version of Theorem 1.1.

We denote by ${}^0\nabla = \nabla^{TX} \oplus \pi^* \nabla^{TB}$ the connection on TM . Let $S = \nabla^{TM} - {}^0\nabla$. By [B1, Theorem 1.9], $\langle S(\cdot), \cdot \rangle_{h^{TM}}$ is a tensor independent of h^{TB} . For $U \in T^H M$, we define a horizontal 1-form k on M by

$$(1.13) \quad k(U) = \sum_i \langle S(U) e_i, e_i \rangle.$$

Definition 1.4. Let ∇^E denote the connection on E such that if $U \in TB$ and s is a smooth section of E over B , then

$$(1.14) \quad \nabla_U^E s = \nabla_{U^H}^{\Delta \otimes W} s.$$

If U, V are smooth vector fields on B , we write

$$(1.15) \quad T(U^H, V^H) = -P^{TX}[U^H, V^H],$$

which is a tensor.

Let f_1, \dots, f_m be a basis of TB , and let f^1, \dots, f^m be the dual basis. Define

$$(1.16) \quad c(T) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} f^\alpha f^\beta c(T(f_\alpha^H, f_\beta^H)).$$

Definition 1.5. For $t > 0$, let A_t be the Bismut superconnection constructed in [B1, §3]:

$$(1.17) \quad A_t = \sqrt{t} D^X + \left(\nabla^E + \frac{1}{2} k \right) - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{t}} c(T).$$

It is clear that A_t is also G -invariant.

Let dv_X denote the Riemannian volume element on the fiber X . Let Φ be the scaling homomorphism from $\Lambda(T^*B)$ into itself: $\omega \rightarrow (2\pi i)^{-(\deg \omega)/2} \omega$.

THEOREM 1.2. *For any $t > 0$, the form $\Phi \text{Tr}_s [g \exp(-A_t^2)]$ is closed and its cohomology class is independent of t and represents $\text{ch}_g(\text{Ind}(D^X))$ in cohomology.*

Proof. Just proceed as in [B1, §2(d)]. □

THEOREM 1.3. *We have the following identity:*

$$(1.18) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \Phi \text{Tr}_s [g \exp(-A_t^2)] = \int_{X^g} \widehat{A}(TX^g, \nabla^{TX^g}) \Pi_{0 < \theta \leq \pi} \widehat{A}_\theta(N(\theta), \nabla^{N(\theta)}) \text{ch}_g(W, \nabla^W).$$

Proof. If A is a smooth section of $T^*X \otimes \Lambda(T^*B) \otimes \text{End}(\Delta \otimes W)$, we use the notation

$$(\nabla_{e_i}^{\Delta \otimes W} + A(e_i))^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{2k} (\nabla_{e_i}^{\Delta \otimes W} + A(e_i))^2 - \nabla_{\sum_{i=1}^{2k} \nabla_{e_i}^{TX} e_i}^{\Delta \otimes W} - A(\sum_{i=1}^{2k} \nabla_{e_i}^{TX} e_i).$$

Let ∇'_t be the connection on $\Lambda(T^*B) \widehat{\otimes} \Delta \otimes W$ on the fiber X as given by

$$(1.19) \quad \nabla'_t = \nabla^{\Delta \otimes W} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{t}} \langle S(\cdot) e_j, f_\alpha^H \rangle c(e_j) f^\alpha + \frac{1}{4t} \langle S(\cdot) f_\alpha^H, f_\beta^H \rangle f^\alpha f^\beta.$$

Let K^X denote the scalar curvature of the fiber (X, h^{TX}) . By the Lichnerowicz formula [B1, Theorem 3.5], we get

$$(1.20) \quad \begin{aligned} A_t^2 &= -t(\nabla'_{t, e_i})^2 + \frac{t}{4} K^X + \frac{t}{2} c(e_i) c(e_j) R^W(e_i, e_j) \\ &\quad + \sqrt{t} c(e_i) f^\alpha R^W(e_i, f_\alpha^H) + \frac{1}{2} f^\alpha f^\beta R^W(f_\alpha^H, f_\beta^H). \end{aligned}$$

Let $P_u(x, x', b)$ ($b \in B, x, x' \in X_b$) be the smooth kernel associated to $\exp(-A_t^2)$ with respect to $dv_X(x')$. Then

$$(1.21) \quad \Phi \text{Tr}_s [g \exp(-A_t^2)] = \int_X \Phi \text{Tr}_s [g P_t(g^{-1}x, x, b)] dv_X(x).$$

By using standard estimates on the heat kernel, for $b \in B$, we can reduce the problem of calculating the limit of (1.21) when $t \rightarrow 0$ to an open neighbourhood \mathcal{U}_ε of X_b^g in X_b . Using normal geodesic coordinates to X_b^g in X_b , we identify \mathcal{U}_ε to an ε -neighbourhood of X^g in $N_{X^g/X}$. We know that, if $(x, z) \in N_{X^g/X}$ with $x \in X^g$,

then

$$(1.22) \quad g^{-1}(x, z) = (x, g^{-1}z).$$

Let $dv_{X^g}(x)$, $dv_{N_{X^g/X,x}}$ with $x \in X^g$ be the corresponding volume forms on TX^g and $N_{X^g/X}$ induced by h^{TX} . Let $k(x, z)$ ($x \in X^g$, $z \in N_{X^g/X}$, $|z| < \varepsilon$) be defined by

$$(1.23) \quad dv_X = k(x, z) dv_{X^g}(x) dv_{N_{X^g/X}}(z).$$

Then it is clear that

$$k(x, 0) = 1.$$

By the discussion following (1.21) and (1.23), we get

$$(1.24) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \Phi \operatorname{Tr}_s [g \exp(-A_t^2)] \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon/8}} \Phi \operatorname{Tr}_s [g P_t(g^{-1}x, x)] dv_X(x) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{x \in X^g} \int_{|Y| \leq \varepsilon/8, Y \in N_{X^g/X}} \Phi \operatorname{Tr}_s [g P_t(g^{-1}(x, Y), (x, Y))] \\ & \quad \times k(x, Y) dv_{X^g}(x) dv_{N_{X^g/X}}(Y). \end{aligned}$$

By taking $x_0 \in X_b^g$ and using the finite propagation speed as in [B2, §11b], we may assume that in X_b we have the identification $(TX)_{x_0} \simeq \mathbf{R}^{2k}$ with $0 \in \mathbf{R}^{2k}$ representing x_0 , and that the extended fibration over \mathbf{R}^{2k} coincides with the given fibration restricted to $B(0, \varepsilon)$.

Take any vector $Y \in \mathbf{R}^{2k}$. We can trivialize $\Lambda(T^*B) \widehat{\otimes} \Delta \otimes W$ by parallel transport along the curve $u \rightarrow uY$ with respect to ∇'_t .

Let $\rho(Y)$ be a \mathcal{C}^∞ -function over \mathbf{R}^{2k} , which is equal to 1 if $|Y| \leq \varepsilon/4$, and equal to 0 if $|Y| \geq \varepsilon/2$. Let Δ^{TX} be the ordinary Laplacian operator on $(TX)_{x_0}$. Let H_{x_0} be the vector space of smooth sections of the bundle $(\Lambda(T^*B) \widehat{\otimes} \Delta \otimes W)_{x_0}$ over $(TX)_{x_0}$. For $t > 0$, let L_t^1 be the operator acting on H_{x_0} :

$$(1.25) \quad L_t^1 = (1 - \rho^2(Y))(-t \Delta^{TX}) + \rho^2(Y) A_t^2.$$

For $t > 0$, $s \in H_{x_0}$, we write

$$(1.26) \quad F_t s(Y) = s \left(\frac{Y}{\sqrt{t}} \right), \quad L_t^2 = F_t^{-1} L_t^1 F_t.$$

Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2l'}\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $(TX^g)_{x_0}$, and let $\{e_{2l'+1}, \dots, e_{2k}\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $N_{X^g/X, x_0}$. Let L_t^3 be the operator obtained from L_t^2 by replacing the Clifford variables $c(e_j)$ with $1 \leq j \leq 2l'$ by the operators $(e^j/\sqrt{t}) - \sqrt{t} i_{e_j}$.

Let $P_t^i(Y, Y')$ with $Y, Y' \in (TX)_{x_0}$ and $|Y'| < \varepsilon/4, i = 1, 2, 3$ be the smooth kernel associated to $\exp(-L_t^i)$ with respect to the volume element $dv_{TX_{x_0}}(Y')$. By using the finite propagation speed method, there exist $c, C > 0$, such that for $Y \in N_{X^g/X, x_0}, |Y| \leq \varepsilon/8$, and $t \in]0, 1]$, we have

$$(1.27) \quad |P_t(g^{-1}Y, Y)k(x_0, Y) - P_t^1(g^{-1}Y, Y)| \leq c \exp\left(-\frac{C}{t^2}\right).$$

For $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}(e^j, i_{e_j})_{(1 \leq j \leq 2l')}$, let $[\alpha]^{\max} \in \mathbf{C}$ be the coefficient of $e^1 \wedge \dots \wedge e^{2l'}$ in the expansion of α . Then, as in [B2, Proposition 11.12], if $Y \in N_{X^g/X}$,

$$(1.28) \quad \text{Tr}_s [gP_t^1(g^{-1}Y, Y)] = (-2i)^{(1/2)\dim X^g} t^{(-1/2)\dim N_{X^g/X}} \text{Tr}_s \left[gP_t^3\left(\frac{g^{-1}Y}{\sqrt{t}}, \frac{Y}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \right]^{\max}.$$

Let $R_{|M^g}^{TX}, R_{|M^g}^W, \dots$ be the corresponding restrictions of R^{TX}, R^W, \dots to M^g . Let ∇_{e_j} be the ordinary differentiation operator on $(TX)_{x_0}$ in the direction e_j . By [ABoP, Proposition 3.7] and (1.20), we have, as $t \rightarrow 0$,

$$(1.29) \quad L_t^3 \longrightarrow L_0^3 = -\sum_{j=1}^{2k} \left(\nabla_{e_j} + \frac{1}{4} \langle R_{|M^g}^{TX} Y, e_j \rangle \right)^2 + R_{|M^g}^W.$$

By proceeding as in [B2, §11g–§11i], we obtain the following: there exist some constants $\gamma > 0, c > 0, C > 0, r \in \mathbf{N}$ such that for $t \in]0, 1]$ and $Y, Y' \in (TX)_{x_0}$, we have

$$(1.30) \quad \begin{aligned} |P_t^3(Y, Y')| &\leq c(1 + |Y| + |Y'|)^r \exp(-C|Y - Y'|^2), \\ |(P_t^3 - P_0^3)(Y, Y')| &\leq ct^\gamma (1 + |Y| + |Y'|)^r \exp(-C|Y - Y'|^2). \end{aligned}$$

From (1.28) and (1.30), we get

$$(1.31) \quad \begin{aligned} &\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\substack{|Y| \leq \varepsilon/8 \\ Y \in N_{X^g/X}}} \Phi \text{Tr}_s [gP_t^1(g^{-1}Y, Y)] dv_{N_{X^g/X}}(Y) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\substack{|Y| \leq \varepsilon/8\sqrt{t} \\ Y \in N_{X^g/X}}} (-2i)^{(1/2)\dim X^g} \Phi \text{Tr}_s [gP_t^3(g^{-1}Y, Y)]^{\max} dv_{N_{X^g/X}}(Y) \\ &= \int_{N_{X^g/X}} (-2i)^{(1/2)\dim X^g} \Phi \text{Tr}_s [gP_0^3(g^{-1}Y, Y)]^{\max} dv_{N_{X^g/X}}(Y). \end{aligned}$$

Now we define

$$(1.32) \quad A = -\sum_{j=1}^{2k} \left(\nabla_{e_j} + \frac{1}{4} \langle R_{|M^g}^{TX} Y, e_j \rangle \right)^2.$$

By Mehler's formula [G], the smooth kernel $q(Y, Y')$ for $Y, Y' \in TX$ associated to $\exp(-A)$ is given by

$$(1.33) \quad q(Y, Y') = (4\pi)^{-k} \det^{1/2} \left(\frac{R^{TX}/2}{\sinh(R^{TX}/2)} \right) \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} \left\langle \frac{R^{TX}/2}{\tanh(R^{TX}/2)} Y, Y \right\rangle - \frac{1}{4} \left\langle \frac{R^{TX}/2}{\tanh(R^{TX}/2)} Y', Y' \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \frac{R^{TX}/2}{\sinh(R^{TX}/2)} e^{R^{TX}/2} Y, Y' \right\rangle \right\}.$$

From (1.9) and (1.33), we deduce for $Y \in N_{X^g/X}$,

$$(1.34) \quad q(g^{-1}Y, Y) = (4\pi)^{-k} \det^{1/2} \left(\frac{R^{TX}/2}{\sinh(R^{TX}/2)} \right) \times \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left\langle \frac{R^{N/2}}{\sinh(R^{N/2})} (\cosh(R^{N/2}) - e^{R^{N/2}} g^{-1}) Y, Y \right\rangle \right\}.$$

On the other hand, for $Y \in N(\theta)$, we have

$$(1.35) \quad \left\langle \frac{R^N e^{R^{N/2}}}{2 \sinh(R^{N/2})} g^{-1} Y, Y \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{R^{N/2}}{\sinh(R^{N/2})} \frac{1}{2} (e^{R^{N/2}} g^{-1} + e^{-R^{N/2}} g) Y, Y \right\rangle.$$

It is easy to see that

$$(1.36) \quad \cosh \left(\frac{R^N}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} (e^{R^{N/2}} g^{-1} + e^{-R^{N/2}} g) = \frac{1}{2} (1 - g^{-1}) (e^{R^{N/2}} - e^{-R^{N/2}} g).$$

From (1.9), (1.34)–(1.36), we get

$$(1.37) \quad \int_{N_{X^g/X}} q(g^{-1}Y, Y) dv_{N_{X^g/X}}(Y) = (4\pi)^{-(1/2)\dim X^g} \det^{1/2} \left(\frac{R^{TX^g}/2}{\sinh(R^{TX^g}/2)} \right) \left[\det^{1/2}(1 - g|_N) \det^{1/2}(1 - g e^{-R^N}) \right]^{-1}.$$

We may and do assume that on the basis $\{e_m\}_{2l'+1 \leq m \leq 2k}$, the matrix of g has diagonal blocks

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta_j) & -\sin(\theta_j) \\ \sin(\theta_j) & \cos(\theta_j) \end{bmatrix}, \quad 0 < \theta_j \leq \pi.$$

Then one verifies easily that the action of g on Δ is given by

$$(1.38) \quad g = \prod_{l'+1 \leq j \leq k} \left(\cos \left(\frac{\theta_j}{2} \right) + \sin \left(\frac{\theta_j}{2} \right) c(e_{2j-1})c(e_{2j}) \right).$$

By (1.29) and (1.38), we know that

$$(1.39) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{Tr}_s [g P_0^3 (g^{-1} Y, Y)] \\ &= \prod_{l'+1 \leq j \leq k} \left(-2i \sin \left(\frac{\theta_j}{2} \right) \right) \text{Tr} \left[g \exp(-R_{|M^g}^W) \right] q(g^{-1} Y, Y). \end{aligned}$$

From (1.24), (1.27), (1.31), (1.37), and (1.39), we finally arrive at the wanted formula (1.18). □

By Theorems 1.2 and 1.3, we now have the complete proof of Theorem 1.1. □

2. Family rigidity theorem. This section is organized as follows. In Section 2.1, we state our main theorem of the paper: the family rigidity theorem. In Section 2.2, we prove it by using the equivariant family index theorem and the modular invariance. In Section 2.3, motivated by the family Witten rigidity theorem, we state a conjecture about a K -theory level rigidity theorem for elliptic genera.

Throughout this section, we use the notation of Section 1 and take $G = S^1$.

2.1. Family rigidity theorem. Let $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ be a fibration of compact manifolds with fiber X and $\dim X = 2k$. We assume that the S^1 acts fiberwise on M , and TX has an S^1 -equivariant spin structure. As in [AH], by lifting to the double cover of S^1 , the second condition is always satisfied. Let V be a real vector bundle on M with structure group $\text{Spin}(2l)$. Similarly, we can assume that V has an S^1 -equivariant spin structure without loss of generality.

The purpose of this part is to prove the elliptic operators introduced by Witten [W] are rigid in the family case, at least at the equivariant Chern character level. Let us recall them more precisely.

For a vector bundle E on M , let

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} S_t(E) &= 1 + tE + t^2 S^2 E + \dots, \\ \Lambda_t(E) &= 1 + tE + t^2 \Lambda^2 E + \dots \end{aligned}$$

be the symmetric and exterior power operations in $K(M)[[t]]$. Let

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \Theta'_q(TX) &= \otimes_{n=1}^\infty \Lambda_{q^n}(TX) \otimes_{m=1}^\infty S_{q^m}(TX), \\ \Theta_q(TX) &= \otimes_{n=1}^\infty \Lambda_{-q^{n-1/2}}(TX) \otimes_{m=1}^\infty S_{q^m}(TX), \\ \Theta_{-q}(TX) &= \otimes_{n=1}^\infty \Lambda_{q^{n-1/2}}(TX) \otimes_{m=1}^\infty S_{q^m}(TX). \end{aligned}$$

We also define the following elements in $K(M)[[q^{1/2}]]$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Theta'_q(TX | V) &= \otimes_{n=1}^\infty \Lambda_{q^n}(V) \otimes_{m=1}^\infty S_{q^m}(TX), \\
 \Theta_q(TX | V) &= \otimes_{n=1}^\infty \Lambda_{-q^{n-1/2}}(V) \otimes_{m=1}^\infty S_{q^m}(TX), \\
 \Theta_{-q}(TX | V) &= \otimes_{n=1}^\infty \Lambda_{q^{n-1/2}}(V) \otimes_{m=1}^\infty S_{q^m}(TX), \\
 \Theta_q^*(TX | V) &= \otimes_{n=1}^\infty \Lambda_{-q^n}(V) \otimes_{m=1}^\infty S_{q^m}(TX).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.3}$$

Let $p_1(\cdot)_{S^1}$ denote the first S^1 -equivariant Pontrjagin class, and let $\Delta(V) = \Delta^+(V) \oplus \Delta^-(V)$ be the spinor bundle of V .

In the following sections, we denote by $D^X \otimes W$ the Dirac operator on $\Delta \otimes W$ as defined in Section 1. We also write $d_s^X = D^X \otimes \Delta(TX)$. The following theorem is the family analogue of the Witten rigidity theorems as proved in [BT], [T], and [Liu4].

THEOREM 2.1. (a) *The family operators $d_s^X \otimes \Theta'_q(TX)$, $D^X \otimes \Theta_q(TX)$, and $D^X \otimes \Theta_{-q}(TX)$ are rigid.*

(b) *If $p_1(V)_{S^1} = p_1(TX)_{S^1}$, then $D^X \otimes \Delta(V) \otimes \Theta'_q(TX | V)$, $D^X \otimes (\Delta^+(V) - \Delta^-(V)) \otimes \Theta_q^*(TX | V)$, $D^X \otimes \Theta_q(TX | V)$, and $D^X \otimes \Theta_{-q}(TX | V)$ are rigid.*

2.2. Proof of the family rigidity theorem. For $\tau \in \mathbf{H} = \{\tau \in \mathbf{C}; \text{Im } \tau > 0\}$, $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$, let

$$\begin{aligned}
 \theta_3(v, \tau) &= c(q) \prod_{n=1}^\infty (1 + q^{n-1/2} e^{2\pi i v}) \prod_{n=1}^\infty (1 + q^{n-1/2} e^{-2\pi i v}), \\
 \theta_2(v, \tau) &= c(q) \prod_{n=1}^\infty (1 - q^{n-1/2} e^{2\pi i v}) \prod_{n=1}^\infty (1 - q^{n-1/2} e^{-2\pi i v}), \\
 \theta_1(v, \tau) &= c(q) q^{1/8} 2 \cos(\pi v) \prod_{n=1}^\infty (1 + q^n e^{2\pi i v}) \prod_{n=1}^\infty (1 + q^n e^{-2\pi i v}), \\
 \theta(v, \tau) &= c(q) q^{1/8} 2 \sin(\pi v) \prod_{n=1}^\infty (1 - q^n e^{2\pi i v}) \prod_{n=1}^\infty (1 - q^n e^{-2\pi i v})
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.4}$$

be the classical Jacobi theta functions (see [Ch]), where $c(q) = \prod_{n=1}^\infty (1 - q^n)$.

Let $g = e^{2\pi i t} \in S^1$ be a generator of the action group. Let $\{M_\alpha\}$ be the fixed submanifolds of the circle action. Let $\pi : M_\alpha \rightarrow B$ be a submersion with fiber X_α . We have the following equivariant decomposition of TX :

$$TX|_{M_\alpha} = N_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus N_h \oplus TX_\alpha.
 \tag{2.5}$$

Here N_γ is a complex vector bundle such that g acts on it by $e^{2\pi i m_\gamma t}$. We denote the Chern roots of N_γ by $\{2\pi i x_\gamma^j\}$, and the Chern roots of $TX_\alpha \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C}$ by $\{\pm 2\pi i y_j\}$. We write $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} N_\gamma = d(m_\gamma)$ and $\dim X_\alpha = 2k_\alpha$.

Similarly, let

$$V|_{M_\alpha} = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{l_0}
 \tag{2.6}$$

be the equivariant decomposition of V restricted to M_α . Assume that g acts on V_v by $e^{2\pi i n_v t}$, where some n_v may be zero. We denote the Chern roots of V_v by $2\pi i u_v^j$. Let us write $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} V_v = 2d(n_v)$.

For $f(x)$ a holomorphic function, we denote by $f(y)(TX^g) = \prod_j f(y_j)$ the symmetric polynomial that gives characteristic class of TX^g , and we use the same notation for N_γ . Now we define some functions on $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{H}$ with values in $H^*(B)$:

(2.7)

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{d_s}(t, \tau) &= \Sigma_\alpha \pi_* \left[\left(2\pi y \frac{\theta_1(y, \tau)}{\theta(y, \tau)} \right) (TX^g) \Pi_\gamma \left(i^{-1} \frac{\theta_1(x_\gamma + m_\gamma t, \tau)}{\theta(x_\gamma + m_\gamma t, \tau)} \right) (N_\gamma) \right], \\
 F_D(t, \tau) &= \Sigma_\alpha \pi_* \left[\left(2\pi y \frac{\theta_2(y, \tau)}{\theta(y, \tau)} \right) (TX^g) \Pi_\gamma \left(i^{-1} \frac{\theta_2(x_\gamma + m_\gamma t, \tau)}{\theta(x_\gamma + m_\gamma t, \tau)} \right) (N_\gamma) \right], \\
 F_{-D}(t, \tau) &= \Sigma_\alpha \pi_* \left[\left(2\pi y \frac{\theta_3(y, \tau)}{\theta(y, \tau)} \right) (TX^g) \Pi_\gamma \left(i^{-1} \frac{\theta_3(x_\gamma + m_\gamma t, \tau)}{\theta(x_\gamma + m_\gamma t, \tau)} \right) (N_\gamma) \right], \\
 F_{d_s}^V(t, \tau) &= i^{-k} \Sigma_\alpha \pi_* \left[\left(\frac{2\pi i y}{\theta(y, \tau)} \right) (TX^g) \frac{\Pi_v \theta_1(u_v + n_v t, \tau)(V_v)}{\Pi_\gamma \theta(x_\gamma + m_\gamma t, \tau)(N_\gamma)} \right], \\
 F_D^V(t, \tau) &= i^{-k} \Sigma_\alpha \pi_* \left[\left(\frac{2\pi i y}{\theta(y, \tau)} \right) (TX^g) \frac{\Pi_v \theta_2(u_v + n_v t, \tau)(V_v)}{\Pi_\gamma \theta(x_\gamma + m_\gamma t, \tau)(N_\gamma)} \right], \\
 F_{-D}^V(t, \tau) &= i^{-k} \Sigma_\alpha \pi_* \left[\left(\frac{2\pi i y}{\theta(y, \tau)} \right) (TX^g) \frac{\Pi_v \theta_3(u_v + n_v t, \tau)(V_v)}{\Pi_\gamma \theta(x_\gamma + m_\gamma t, \tau)(N_\gamma)} \right], \\
 F_{D^*}^V(t, \tau) &= i^{-k+l} \Sigma_\alpha \pi_* \left[\left(\frac{2\pi i y}{\theta(y, \tau)} \right) (TX^g) \frac{\Pi_v \theta(u_v + n_v t, \tau)(V_v)}{\Pi_\gamma \theta(x_\gamma + m_\gamma t, \tau)(N_\gamma)} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1.1 and [LaM, page 238], we get, for $t \in [0, 1] \setminus \mathbf{Q}$ and $g = e^{2\pi i t}$,

(2.8)

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{d_s}(t, \tau) &= \text{ch}_g \left(\text{Ind} \left(d_s^X \otimes \Theta'_q(TX) \right) \right), \\
 F_D(t, \tau) &= q^{-k/8} \text{ch}_g \left(\text{Ind} \left(D^X \otimes \Theta_q(TX) \right) \right), \\
 F_{-D}(t, \tau) &= q^{-k/8} \text{ch}_g \left(\text{Ind} \left(D^X \otimes \Theta_{-q}(TX) \right) \right), \\
 F_{d_s}^V(t, \tau) &= c(q)^{l-k} q^{(l-k)/8} \text{ch}_g \left(\text{Ind} \left(D^X \otimes \Delta(V) \otimes \Theta'_q(TX | V) \right) \right), \\
 F_D^V(t, \tau) &= c(q)^{l-k} q^{-k/8} \text{ch}_g \left(\text{Ind} \left(D^X \otimes \Theta_q(TX | V) \right) \right), \\
 F_{-D}^V(t, \tau) &= c(q)^{l-k} q^{-k/8} \text{ch}_g \left(\text{Ind} \left(D^X \otimes \Theta_{-q}(TX | V) \right) \right), \\
 F_{D^*}^V(t, \tau) &= (-1)^l c(q)^{l-k} q^{(l-k)/8} \text{ch}_g \left(\text{Ind} \left(D^X \otimes (\Delta^+(V) - \Delta^-(V)) \otimes \Theta_q^*(TX | V) \right) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Considered as functions of (t, τ) , we can obviously extend these F and F^V to meromorphic functions on $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{H}$. Note that these functions are holomorphic in τ . The rigidity theorems are equivalent to the statement that these F and F^V are independent of t . As explained in [Liu4], we prove it in two steps: (i) we show that these F, F^V

are doubly periodic in t ; (ii) we prove they are holomorphic in t . Then it is trivial to see that they are constant in t .

LEMMA 2.1. (a) For $a, b \in 2\mathbf{Z}$, $F_{d_s}(t, \tau)$, $F_D(t, \tau)$, and $F_{-D}(t, \tau)$ are invariant under the action

$$(2.9) \quad U : t \longrightarrow t + a\tau + b.$$

(b) If $p_1(V)_{S^1} = p_1(TX)_{S^1}$, then $F_{d_s}^V(t, \tau)$, $F_D^V(t, \tau)$, $F_{-D}^V(t, \tau)$, and $F_{D^*}^V(t, \tau)$ are invariant under U .

Proof. Recall that we have the following transformation formulas of theta- functions (see [Ch]):

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \theta(t+1, \tau) &= -\theta(t, \tau), & \theta(t+\tau, \tau) &= -q^{-1/2}e^{-2\pi i t}\theta(t, \tau), \\ \theta_1(t+1, \tau) &= -\theta_1(t, \tau), & \theta_1(t+\tau, \tau) &= q^{-1/2}e^{-2\pi i t}\theta_1(t, \tau), \\ \theta_2(t+1, \tau) &= \theta_2(t, \tau), & \theta_2(t+\tau, \tau) &= -q^{-1/2}e^{-2\pi i t}\theta_2(t, \tau), \\ \theta_3(t+1, \tau) &= \theta_3(t, \tau), & \theta_3(t+\tau, \tau) &= q^{-1/2}e^{-2\pi i t}\theta_3(t, \tau). \end{aligned}$$

From these, for $\theta_v = \theta, \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$ and $(a, b) \in (2\mathbf{Z})^2, l \in \mathbf{Z}$, we get

$$(2.11) \quad \theta_v(x+l(t+a\tau+b), \tau) = e^{-\pi i(2lax+2l^2at+l^2a^2\tau)}\theta_v(x+lt, \tau),$$

which proves (a).

To prove (b), note that since $p_1(V)_{S^1} = p_1(TX)_{S^1}$, we have

$$(2.12) \quad \sum_{v,j} (u_v^j + n_v t)^2 = \sum_j (y_j)^2 + \sum_{\gamma,j} (x_\gamma^j + m_\gamma t)^2.$$

This implies the equalities

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{v,j} n_v u_v^j &= \sum_{\gamma,j} m_\gamma x_\gamma^j, \\ \sum_{\gamma} m_\gamma^2 d(m_\gamma) &= \sum_v n_v^2 d(n_v), \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (2.11), prove (b). □

For $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$, we define its modular transformation on $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{H}$ by

$$(2.14) \quad g(t, \tau) = \left(\frac{t}{c\tau+d}, \frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d} \right).$$

The two generators of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ are

$$(2.15) \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which act on $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{H}$ in the following way:

$$(2.16) \quad S(t, \tau) = \left(\frac{t}{\tau}, -\frac{1}{\tau} \right), \quad T(t, \tau) = (t, \tau + 1).$$

Let Ψ_τ be the scaling homomorphism from $\Lambda(T^*B)$ into itself: $\beta \rightarrow \tau^{(1/2) \deg \beta} \beta$.

LEMMA 2.2. (a) *We have the following identities:*

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} F_{d_s} \left(\frac{t}{\tau}, -\frac{1}{\tau} \right) &= i^k \Psi_\tau F_D(t, \tau), & F_{d_s}(t, \tau + 1) &= F_{d_s}(t, \tau), \\ F_{-D} \left(\frac{t}{\tau}, -\frac{1}{\tau} \right) &= i^k \Psi_\tau F_{-D}(t, \tau), & F_D(t, \tau + 1) &= F_{-D}(t, \tau) e^{-(\pi i/4)k}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) *If $p_1(V)_{S^1} = p_1(TX)_{S^1}$, then we have*

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} F_{d_s}^V \left(\frac{t}{\tau}, -\frac{1}{\tau} \right) &= \left(\frac{\tau}{i} \right)^{(l-k)/2} i^k \Psi_\tau F_D^V(t, \tau), & F_{d_s}^V(t, \tau + 1) &= e^{-(\pi i/4)(k-l)} F_{d_s}^V(t, \tau), \\ F_{-D}^V \left(\frac{t}{\tau}, -\frac{1}{\tau} \right) &= \left(\frac{\tau}{i} \right)^{(l-k)/2} i^k \Psi_\tau F_{-D}^V(t, \tau), & F_D^V(t, \tau + 1) &= e^{-(\pi i/4)k} F_{-D}^V(t, \tau), \\ F_{D^*}^V \left(\frac{t}{\tau}, -\frac{1}{\tau} \right) &= \left(\frac{\tau}{i} \right)^{(l-k)/2} i^{k-l} \Psi_\tau F_{D^*}^V(t, \tau), & F_{D^*}^V(t, \tau + 1) &= e^{-(\pi i/4)(k-l)} F_{D^*}^V(t, \tau). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By [Ch], we have the following transformation formulas for the Jacobi theta-functions:

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \theta \left(\frac{t}{\tau}, -\frac{1}{\tau} \right) &= \frac{1}{i} \sqrt{\frac{\tau}{i}} e^{\pi i t^2 / \tau} \theta(t, \tau), & \theta(t, \tau + 1) &= e^{\pi i/4} \theta(t, \tau), \\ \theta_1 \left(\frac{t}{\tau}, -\frac{1}{\tau} \right) &= \sqrt{\frac{\tau}{i}} e^{\pi i t^2 / \tau} \theta_2(t, \tau), & \theta_1(t, \tau + 1) &= e^{\pi i/4} \theta_1(t, \tau), \\ \theta_2 \left(\frac{t}{\tau}, -\frac{1}{\tau} \right) &= \sqrt{\frac{\tau}{i}} e^{\pi i t^2 / \tau} \theta_1(t, \tau), & \theta_2(t, \tau + 1) &= \theta_3(t, \tau), \\ \theta_3 \left(\frac{t}{\tau}, -\frac{1}{\tau} \right) &= \sqrt{\frac{\tau}{i}} e^{\pi i t^2 / \tau} \theta_3(t, \tau), & \theta_3(t, \tau + 1) &= \theta_2(t, \tau). \end{aligned}$$

The action of T on the functions F and F^V are quite simple, and we leave the proof to the reader. Here we only check the action of S . By (2.19), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.20) \quad & F_{d_s} \left(\frac{t}{\tau}, -\frac{1}{\tau} \right) \\
 &= \Sigma_\alpha \pi_* \left[\left(2\pi y \frac{\theta_1(y, -1/\tau)}{\theta(y, -1/\tau)} \right) (TX^g) \Pi_\gamma \left(i^{-1} \frac{\theta_1(x_\gamma + m_\gamma(t/\tau, -1/\tau))}{\theta(x_\gamma + m_\gamma(t/\tau, -1/\tau))} \right) (N_\gamma) \right] \\
 &= \Sigma_\alpha i^k \tau^{-k_\alpha} \pi_* \left[\left(2\pi \tau y \frac{\theta_1(\tau y, \tau)}{\theta(\tau y, \tau)} \right) (TX^g) \Pi_\gamma \left(i^{-1} \frac{\theta_1(\tau x_\gamma + m_\gamma t, \tau)}{\theta(\tau x_\gamma + m_\gamma t, \tau)} \right) (N_\gamma) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

If α is a differential form on B , we denote by $\{\alpha\}^{(p)}$ the component of degree p of α . It is easy to see that (2.17) for F_{d_s} follows from the following identity:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.21) \quad & \tau^{-k_\alpha} \left\{ \pi_* \left[\left(\tau y \frac{\theta_1(\tau y, \tau)}{\theta(\tau y, \tau)} \right) (TX^g) \Pi_\gamma \left(i^{-1} \frac{\theta_1(\tau x_\gamma + m_\gamma t, \tau)}{\theta(\tau x_\gamma + m_\gamma t, \tau)} \right) (N_\gamma) \right] \right\}^{(2p)} \\
 &= \tau^p \left\{ \pi_* \left[\left(y \frac{\theta_1(y, \tau)}{\theta(y, \tau)} \right) (TX^g) \Pi_\gamma \left(i^{-1} \frac{\theta_1(x_\gamma + m_\gamma t, \tau)}{\theta(x_\gamma + m_\gamma t, \tau)} \right) (N_\gamma) \right] \right\}^{(2p)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By looking at the degree- $2(p+k_\alpha)$ part, that is, the $(p+k_\alpha)$ th homogeneous terms of the polynomials in x and y , on both sides, we immediately get (2.21).

From (2.7), (2.20), and (2.21), we obtain

$$(2.22) \quad \left\{ F_{d_s} \left(\frac{t}{\tau}, -\frac{1}{\tau} \right) \right\}^{(2p)} = i^k \tau^p \{ F_D(t, \tau) \}^{(2p)},$$

which completes the proof of (2.17) for F_{d_s} . The other identities in (2.17) can be verified in the same way.

By using (2.12), (2.19), and the same trick as in the proof of (2.17), we can obtain the identities in (2.18). This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2. \square

The following lemma implies that the index theory comes in to cancel part of the poles of the functions F and F^V .

LEMMA 2.3. *If TX and V are spin, then all of the F and F^V above are holomorphic in (t, τ) for $(t, \tau) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{H}$.*

Proof. Let $z = e^{2\pi i t}$ and $l' = \dim M$. We consider these F and F^V as meromorphic functions of two complex variables (z, q) with values in $H^*(B)$.

(i) Let $N = \max_{\alpha, \gamma} |m_\gamma|$. Denote by $D_N \subset \mathbf{C}^2$ the domain

$$(2.23) \quad |q|^{1/N} < |z| < |q|^{-1/N}, \quad 0 < |q| < 1.$$

Let f_α be the contribution of the component M^α in the functions F 's and $c(q)^{k-l} F^V$'s.

Then in D_N , by (2.4) and (2.7), it is easy to see that f_α has expansions of the form

$$(2.24) \quad q^{-a/8} \Pi_\gamma (z^{m_\gamma} - 1)^{-l'd(m_\gamma)} \sum_{n=0}^\infty b_{\alpha,n}(z) q^n,$$

where a is an integer, $h_\alpha(z, q) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty b_{\alpha,n}(z) q^n$ is a holomorphic function of $(z, q) \in D_N$, and $b_{\alpha,n}(z)$ are polynomial functions of z . So as meromorphic functions, these F and $c(q)^{k-l} F^V$ have expansions of the form

$$(2.25) \quad q^{-a/8} \sum_{n=0}^\infty b_n(z) q^n$$

with $b_n(z)$ rational function of z , which can only have poles on the unit circle $|z| = 1 \subset D_N$.

Now if we multiply these F and $c(q)^{k-l} F^V$ by

$$(2.26) \quad f(z) = \Pi_\alpha \Pi_\gamma (1 - z^{m_\gamma})^{l'd(m_\gamma)},$$

we get holomorphic functions that have convergent power series expansions of the form

$$(2.27) \quad q^{-a/8} \sum_{n=0}^\infty c_n(z) q^n$$

with $\{c_n(z)\}$ polynomial functions of z in D_N .

By comparing the above two expansions, we get for $n \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$(2.28) \quad c_n(z) = f(z) b_n(z).$$

(ii) On the other hand, we can expand the Witten element Θ into formal power series of the form $\sum_{n=0}^\infty R_n q^n$ with $R_n \in K(M)$. So for $t \in [0, 1] \setminus \mathbf{Q}$, $z = e^{2\pi it}$, we get a formal power series of q for these F and $c(q)^{k-l} F^V$:

$$(2.29) \quad q^{-a/8} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \text{ch}_z (\text{Ind} (D^X \otimes R_n)) q^n$$

with $a \in \mathbf{Z}$.

By (1.6), we know that

$$(2.30) \quad \text{ch}_z (\text{Ind} (D^X \otimes R_n)) = \sum_{m=-N(n)}^{N(n)} a_{m,n} z^m,$$

with $a_{m,n} \in H^*(B)$, and $N(n)$ being some positive integer depending on n .

By comparing (2.7), (2.25), and (2.30), we get for $t \in [0, 1] \setminus \mathbf{Q}$, $z = e^{2\pi it}$,

$$(2.31) \quad b_n(z) = \sum_{m=-N(n)}^{N(n)} a_{m,n} z^m.$$

Since both sides are analytic functions of z , this equality holds for any $z \in \mathbf{C}$.

By (2.28), (2.31), and the Weierstrass preparation theorem, we deduce that

$$(2.32) \quad q^{-a/8} \sum_{n=0}^\infty b_n(z) q^n = \frac{1}{f(z)} q^{-a/8} \sum_{n=1}^\infty c_n(z) q^n$$

is holomorphic in D_N . Obviously, $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{H}$ lies inside this domain. The proof of Lemma 2.3 is complete. □

Proof of the family rigidity theorem for spin manifolds. We prove that these F and F^V are holomorphic on $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{H}$, which implies the rigidity theorem we want to prove.

We denote by F one of the functions: $F, F^V, \Psi_\tau F$, and $\Psi_\tau F^V$. From their expressions, we know the possible polar divisors of F in $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{H}$ are of the form $t = (n/l)(c\tau + d)$ with n, c, d, l integers and $(c, d) = 1$ or $c = 1$ and $d = 0$.

We can always find integers a, b such that $ad - bc = 1$. Then the matrix $g = \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ induces an action

$$(2.33) \quad F(g(t, \tau)) = F\left(\frac{t}{-c\tau + a}, \frac{d\tau - b}{-c\tau + a}\right).$$

Now, if $t = (n/l)(c\tau + d)$ is a polar divisor of $F(t, \tau)$, then one polar divisor of $F(g(t, \tau))$ is given by

$$(2.34) \quad \frac{t}{-c\tau + a} = \frac{n}{l} \left(c \frac{d\tau - b}{-c\tau + a} + d \right),$$

which gives exactly $t = n/l$.

But by Lemma 2.2, we know that up to some constant, $F(g(t, \tau))$ is still one of these $F, F^V, \Psi_\tau F$, and $\Psi_\tau F^V$. This contradicts Lemma 2.3; therefore, this completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

2.3. A conjecture. Motivated by the family rigidity theorem, Theorem 2.1, we and Zhang would like to make the following conjecture.

CONJECTURE 2.1. *The operators considered in Theorem 2.1 are rigid on the equivariant K -theory level.*

This means that as elements in $K_G(B)$, the equivariant index bundles of those elliptic operators actually lie in $K(B)$. Note that this conjecture is more refined than Theorem 2.1, since the equivariant Chern character map is not an isomorphism. In [Z], Zhang proved this for the canonical Spin^c -Dirac operator on almost complex manifolds.

3. Jacobi forms and vanishing theorems. In this section, we generalize the rigidity theorems in the previous section to the nonzero anomaly case, from which we derive a family of holomorphic Jacobi forms. As corollaries, we get many family vanishing theorems, especially a family $\widehat{\mathcal{U}}$ -vanishing theorem for loop space. This section generalizes some results of [Liu3, §3] to the family case.

This section is organized as follows: In Section 3.1, we state the generalization of the rigidity theorems to the nonzero anomaly case. In Section 3.2, we prove this result. In Section 3.3, as corollaries, we derive several family vanishing theorems.

We keep the notation of Section 2.

3.1. *Nonzero anomaly.* Recall that the equivariant cohomology group $H_{S^1}^*(M, \mathbf{Z})$ of M is defined by

$$(3.1) \quad H_{S^1}^*(M, \mathbf{Z}) = H^*(M \times_{S^1} ES^1, \mathbf{Z}),$$

where ES^1 is the usual universal S^1 -principal bundle over the classifying space of S^1 . So $H_{S^1}^*(M, \mathbf{Z})$ is a module over $H^*(BS^1, \mathbf{Z})$ induced by the projection $\bar{\pi} : M \times_{S^1} ES^1 \rightarrow BS^1$. Let $p_1(V)_{S^1}, p_1(TX)_{S^1} \in H_{S^1}^*(M, \mathbf{Z})$ be the equivariant first Pontrjagin classes of V and TX , respectively. Also recall that

$$(3.2) \quad H^*(BS^1, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}[[u]]$$

with u being a generator of degree 2.

In this section, we suppose that there exists some integer $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that

$$(3.3) \quad p_1(V)_{S^1} - p_1(TX)_{S^1} = n \cdot \bar{\pi}^* u^2.$$

As in [Liu3], we call n the anomaly to rigidity.

Following [Liu4], we introduce the following elements in $K(M)[[q^{1/2}]]$:

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \Theta'_q(TX | V)_v &= \otimes_{n=1}^\infty \Lambda_{q^n}(V - \dim V) \otimes_{m=1}^\infty S_{q^m}(TX - \dim X), \\ \Theta_q(TX | V)_v &= \otimes_{n=1}^\infty \Lambda_{-q^{n-1/2}}(V - \dim V) \otimes_{m=1}^\infty S_{q^m}(TX - \dim X), \\ \Theta_{-q}(TX | V)_v &= \otimes_{n=1}^\infty \Lambda_{q^{n-1/2}}(V - \dim V) \otimes_{m=1}^\infty S_{q^m}(TX - \dim X), \\ \Theta_q^*(TX | V)_v &= \otimes_{n=1}^\infty \Lambda_{-q^n}(V - \dim V) \otimes_{m=1}^\infty S_{q^m}(TX - \dim X). \end{aligned}$$

For $g = e^{2\pi it}, q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$, with $(t, \tau) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{H}$, we denote the equivariant Chern character of the index bundle of $D^X \otimes \Delta(V) \otimes \Theta'_q(TX | V)_v, D^X \otimes \Theta_q(TX | V)_v, D^X \otimes \Theta_{-q}(TX | V)_v$, and $D^X \otimes (\Delta^+(V) - \Delta^-(V)) \otimes \Theta_q^*(TX | V)_v$ by $2^l F_{d_s, v}^V(t, \tau), F_{D, v}^V(t, \tau), F_{-D, v}^V(t, \tau)$, and $(-1)^l F_{D^*, v}^V(t, \tau)$, respectively. Similarly, we denote by $H(t, \tau)$ the equivariant Chern character of the index bundle of

$$D^X \otimes \otimes_{m=1}^\infty S_{q^m}(TX - \dim X).$$

Later we consider these functions as the extensions of these functions from the unit circle with variable $e^{2\pi it}$ to the complex plane with values in $H^*(B)$. For α a differential form on B , we denote by $\{\alpha\}^{(p)}$ the degree- p component of α .

The purpose of this section is to prove the following theorem, which generalizes the family rigidity theorems to the nonzero anomaly case.

THEOREM 3.1. *Assume $p_1(V)_{S^1} - p_1(TX)_{S^1} = n \cdot \bar{\pi}^* u^2$ with $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then for $p \in \mathbf{N}$, $\{F_{d_s, v}^V(t, \tau)\}^{(2p)}, \{F_{D, v}^V(t, \tau)\}^{(2p)}, \{F_{-D, v}^V(t, \tau)\}^{(2p)}$ are holomorphic Jacobi forms of index $n/2$ and weight $k + p$ over $(2\mathbf{Z})^2 \rtimes \Gamma$ with Γ equal to $\Gamma_0(2), \Gamma^0(2), \Gamma_\theta$, respectively, and $\{F_{D^*, v}^V(t, \tau)\}^{(2p)}$ is a holomorphic Jacobi form of index $n/2$ and weight $k - l + p$ over $(2\mathbf{Z})^2 \rtimes \text{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$.*

See Section 3.2 for the definitions of these modular subgroups, $\Gamma_0(2)$, $\Gamma^0(2)$, and Γ_θ .

3.2. *Proof of Theorem 3.1.* Recall that a (meromorphic) Jacobi form of index m and weight l over $L \times \Gamma$, where L is an integral lattice in the complex plane \mathbf{C} preserved by the modular subgroup $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$, is a (meromorphic) function $F(t, \tau)$ on $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{H}$ such that

$$(3.5) \quad F\left(\frac{t}{c\tau+d}, \frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}\right) = (c\tau+d)^l e^{2\pi im(ct^2/(c\tau+d))} F(t, \tau),$$

$$F(t + \lambda\tau + \mu, \tau) = e^{-2\pi im(\lambda^2\tau + 2\lambda t)} F(t, \tau),$$

where $(\lambda, \mu) \in L$ and $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$. If F is holomorphic on $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{H}$, we say that F is a holomorphic Jacobi form.

Now, we start to prove Theorem 3.1. Let $g = e^{2\pi it} \in S^1$ be a generator of the action group. For $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$, let

$$(3.6) \quad \theta'(0, \tau) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \theta(t, \tau)|_{t=0}, \quad \theta_\alpha(0, \tau) = \theta_\alpha(t, \tau)|_{t=0}.$$

By applying Theorem 1.1, we get

$$(3.7) \quad F_{d_s, v}^V(t, \tau) = (2\pi)^{-k} \frac{\theta'(0, \tau)^k}{\theta_1(0, \tau)^l} F_{d_s}^V(t, \tau),$$

$$F_{D, v}^V(t, \tau) = (2\pi)^{-k} \frac{\theta'(0, \tau)^k}{\theta_2(0, \tau)^l} F_D^V(t, \tau),$$

$$F_{-D, v}^V(t, \tau) = (2\pi)^{-k} \frac{\theta'(0, \tau)^k}{\theta_3(0, \tau)^l} F_{-D}^V(t, \tau),$$

$$F_{D^*, v}^V(t, \tau) = (2\pi)^{l-k} \theta'(0, \tau)^{k-l} F_{D^*}^V(t, \tau),$$

$$H(t, \tau) = (2\pi i)^{-k} \Sigma_\alpha \pi_* \left[\left(\frac{2\pi i y}{\theta(y, \tau)} \right) (TX^g) \frac{\theta'(0, \tau)^k}{\Pi_\gamma \theta(x_\gamma + m_\gamma t, \tau) (N_\gamma)} \right].$$

LEMMA 3.1. *If $p_1(V)_{S^1} - p_1(TX)_{S^1} = n \cdot \bar{\pi}^* u^2$, we have*

$$(3.8) \quad F_{d_s, v}^V\left(\frac{t}{\tau}, -\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \tau^k e^{\pi i n t^2 / \tau} \Psi_\tau F_{d_s, v}^V(t, \tau), \quad F_{d_s, v}^V(t, \tau + 1) = F_{d_s, v}^V(t, \tau),$$

$$F_{-D, v}^V\left(\frac{t}{\tau}, -\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \tau^k e^{\pi i n t^2 / \tau} \Psi_\tau F_{-D, v}^V(t, \tau), \quad F_{D, v}^V(t, \tau + 1) = F_{-D, v}^V(t, \tau),$$

$$F_{D^*, v}^V\left(\frac{t}{\tau}, -\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \tau^{k-l} e^{\pi i n t^2 / \tau} \Psi_\tau F_{D^*, v}^V(t, \tau), \quad F_{D^*, v}^V(t, \tau + 1) = F_{D^*, v}^V(t, \tau).$$

If $p_1(TX)_{S^1} = -n \cdot \bar{\pi}^* u^2$, then

$$(3.9) \quad H\left(\frac{t}{\tau}, -\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \tau^k e^{\pi i n t^2 / \tau} \Psi_\tau H(t, \tau), \quad H(t, \tau + 1) = H(t, \tau).$$

Proof. First recall that the condition on the first equivariant Pontrjagin classes implies the equality

$$(3.10) \quad \sum_{v,j} (u_v^j + n_v t)^2 - \left(\sum_j (y_j)^2 + \sum_{\gamma,j} (x_\gamma^j + m_\gamma t)^2 \right) = n \cdot t^2,$$

which gives the equalities

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_v n_v^2 d(n_v) - \sum_\gamma m_\gamma^2 d(m_\gamma) &= n, \\ \sum_{v,j} n_v u_v^j &= \sum_{\gamma,j} m_\gamma x_\gamma^j, \\ \sum_{v,j} (u_v^j)^2 &= \sum_j (y_j)^2 + \sum_{\gamma,j} (x_\gamma^j)^2. \end{aligned}$$

The action of T on the functions F and F^V is quite easy to check, and we leave this detail to the reader. We only check the action of S . By (2.7), (2.19), (3.7), and (3.11), we have

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} F_{d_s, v}^V\left(\frac{t}{\tau}, -\frac{1}{\tau}\right) &= (2\pi i)^{-k} \Sigma_\alpha \pi_* \\ &\times \left[\frac{\theta'(0, -1/\tau)^k}{\theta_1(0, -1/\tau)^l} \left(\frac{2\pi i y}{\theta(y, -1/\tau)} \right) (TX^g) \frac{\Pi_v \theta_1(u_v + n_v(t/\tau), -1/\tau)(V_v)}{\Pi_\gamma \theta(x_\gamma + m_\gamma(t/\tau), -1/\tau)(N_\gamma)} \right] \\ &= (2\pi i)^{-k} \tau^k e^{\pi i (n t^2 / \tau)} \Sigma_\alpha \pi_* \\ &\times \left[\frac{\theta'(0, \tau)^k}{\theta_1(0, \tau)^l} \left(\frac{2\pi i y}{\theta(\tau y, \tau)} \right) (TX^g) \frac{\Pi_v \theta_1(\tau u_v + n_v t, \tau)(V_v)}{\Pi_\gamma \theta(\tau x_\gamma + m_\gamma t, \tau)(N_\gamma)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

As in (2.21), by comparing the $(p + k_\alpha)$ th homogeneous terms of the polynomials in x , y , and u on both sides, we find the following equation

$$(3.13) \quad \left\{ \pi_* \left[\left(\frac{2\pi i y}{\theta(\tau y, \tau)} \right) (TX^g) \frac{\Pi_v \theta_1(\tau u_v + n_v t, \tau)(V_v)}{\Pi_\gamma \theta(\tau x_\gamma + m_\gamma t, \tau)(N_\gamma)} \right] \right\}^{(2p)} \\ = \left\{ \tau^p \pi_* \left[\left(\frac{2\pi i y}{\theta(y, \tau)} \right) (TX^g) \frac{\Pi_v \theta_1(u_v + n_v t, \tau)(V_v)}{\Pi_\gamma \theta(x_\gamma + m_\gamma t, \tau)(N_\gamma)} \right] \right\}^{(2p)}.$$

By (3.12) and (3.13), we get the equation (3.8) for $F_{d_s, v}^V$. We leave the other cases to the reader. □

Recall the three modular subgroups

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Gamma_0(2) &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}) \mid c \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \right\}, \\
 \Gamma^0(2) &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}) \mid b \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \right\}, \\
 \Gamma_\theta &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}) \mid \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{2} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.14}$$

LEMMA 3.2. *If $p_1(V)_{S^1} - p_1(TX)_{S^1} = n \cdot \bar{\pi}^* u^2$, then for $p \in \mathbf{N}$, $\{F_{d_s, v}^V(t, \tau)\}^{(2p)}$ is a Jacobi form over $(2\mathbf{Z})^2 \rtimes \Gamma_0(2)$; $\{F_{D, v}^V(t, \tau)\}^{(2p)}$ is a Jacobi form over $(2\mathbf{Z})^2 \rtimes \Gamma^0(2)$; $\{F_{-D, v}^V(t, \tau)\}^{(2p)}$ is a Jacobi form over $(2\mathbf{Z})^2 \rtimes \Gamma_\theta$. If $p_1(TX)_{S^1} = -n\bar{\pi}^* u^2$, then $\{H(t, \tau)\}^{(2p)}$ is a Jacobi form over $(2\mathbf{Z})^2 \rtimes \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$. All of them are of index $n/2$ and weight $k + p$.*

The function $\{F_{D^, v}^V(t, \tau)\}^{(2p)}$ is a Jacobi form of index $n/2$ and weight $k - l + p$ over $(2\mathbf{Z})^2 \rtimes \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$.*

Proof. By (2.19) and (3.7), we know that these F^V and H satisfy the second equation of the definition of Jacobi forms (3.5).

Recall that T and ST^2ST generate $\Gamma_0(2)$, and also $\Gamma^0(2)$ and Γ_θ are conjugate to $\Gamma_0(2)$ by S and TS , respectively. By Lemma 3.1 and the above discussion, for F^V and H , we easily get the first equation of (3.5). □

For $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$, let us use the notation

$$(3.15) \quad F(g(t, \tau))|_{m, l} = (c\tau + d)^{-l} e^{-2\pi i m c t^2 / (c\tau + d)} F\left(\frac{t}{c\tau + d}, \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\right)$$

to denote the action of g on a Jacobi form F of index m and weight l .

By Lemma 3.1, for any function in $F \in \{\{F^V\}^{(2p)}, H^{(2p)}\}$, its modular transformation $\{F\}^{(2p)}(g(t, \tau))|_{n/2, k+p}$ (or $\{F\}^{(2p)}(g(t, \tau))|_{n/2, k-l+p}$) is still one of the $\{\{F^V\}^{(2p)}\}$ and $H^{(2p)}$. Similar to Lemma 2.3, we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.3. *For any $g \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$, let $F(t, \tau)$ be one of the $\{F^V\}^{(2p)}$ or $H^{(2p)}$. Then $F(g(t, \tau))|_{n/2, k+p}$ is holomorphic in (t, τ) for $t \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\tau \in \mathbf{H}$.*

As in Lemma 2.3, this is the place where the index theory comes in to cancel part of the poles of these functions. Of course, to use the index theory, we must use the spin conditions on TX and V .

Now we recall the following result [Liu3, Lemma 3.4].

LEMMA 3.4. *For a (meromorphic) Jacobi form $F(t, \tau)$ of index m and weight k over $L \rtimes \Gamma$, assume that F may only have polar divisors of the form $t = (c\tau + d)/l$ in $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{H}$ for some integers c, d and $l \neq 0$. If $F(g(t, \tau))|_{m, k}$ is holomorphic for $t \in \mathbf{R}$, $\tau \in \mathbf{H}$ for every $g \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$, then $F(t, \tau)$ is holomorphic for any $t \in \mathbf{C}$ and $\tau \in \mathbf{H}$.*

Proof of Theorem 3.1. By Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3, we know that the $\{F^V\}^{(2p)}$ and $H^{(2p)}$ satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 3.4. In fact, all of their possible polar divisors are of the form $l = (c\tau + d)/m$ where c, d are integers and m is one of the exponents $\{m_j\}$. The proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete. \square

3.3. Family vanishing theorems for loop space. The following lemma is established in [EZ, Theorem 1.2].

LEMMA 3.5. *Let F be a holomorphic Jacobi form of index m and weight k . Then for fixed τ , $F(t, \tau)$, if not identically zero, has exactly $2m$ zeros in any fundamental domain for the action of the lattice on \mathbb{C} .*

This tells us that there are no holomorphic Jacobi forms of negative index. Therefore, if $m < 0$, F must be identically zero. If $m = 0$, it is easy to see that F must be independent of t .

Combining Lemma 3.5 with Theorem 3.1, we have the following result.

COROLLARY 3.1. *Let M, B, V , and n be as in Theorem 3.1. If $n = 0$, the equivariant Chern characters of the index bundle of the elliptic operators in Theorem 3.1 are independent of $g \in S^1$. If $n < 0$, then these equivariant Chern characters are identically zero; in particular, the Chern character of the index bundle of these elliptic operators is zero.*

Another quite interesting consequence of the above discussions is the following family $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$ -vanishing theorem for loop space.

THEOREM 3.2. *Assume that M is connected and the S^1 -action is nontrivial. If $p_1(TX)_{S^1} = n \cdot \bar{\pi}^* u^2$ for some integer n , then the equivariant Chern character of the index bundle, especially the Chern character of the index bundle, of the elliptic operator $D^X \otimes \otimes_{m=1}^{\infty} S_{q^m}(TX - \dim X)$ is identically zero.*

Proof. In fact, by (3.11), we know that

$$(3.16) \quad \sum_j m_j^2 d(m_j) = n.$$

So the case $n < 0$ can never happen. If $n = 0$, then all the exponents $\{m_j\}$ are zero, so the S^1 -action cannot have a fixed point. By (2.7) and (3.7), we know that $H(t, \tau)$ is zero. For $n > 0$, we can apply Lemmas 3.1, 3.4, and 3.5 to get the result. \square

As remarked in [Liu3], the fact that the index of $D^X \otimes \otimes_{m=1}^{\infty} S_{q^m}(TX - \dim X)$ is zero may be viewed as a loop space analogue of the famous $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$ -vanishing theorem of Atiyah and Hirzebruch [AH] for compact connected spin manifolds with nontrivial S^1 -action. The reason is that this operator corresponds to the Dirac operator on loop space LX , while the condition on $p_1(TX)_{S^1}$ is a condition for the existence of an equivariant spin structure on LX . This property is one of the most interesting and surprising properties of loop space. Now, under the condition of Theorem 3.2, a very

interesting question is to know when the index bundle of this elliptic operator is zero in $K(B)$.

REFERENCES

- [A] M. F. ATIYAH, *Collected Works*, Oxford Sci. Publ., Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1988.
- [ABoP] M. F. ATIYAH, R. BOTT, AND V. K. PATODI, *On the heat equation and the index theorem*, *Invent. Math.* **19** (1973), 279–330.
- [AH] M. F. ATIYAH AND F. HIRZEBRUCH, “Spin manifolds and group actions” in *Collected Works, Vol. 3*, Oxford Sci. Publ., Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1988, 417–429.
- [ASe] M. F. ATIYAH AND G. SEGAL, *The index of elliptic operators, II*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **87** (1968), 531–545.
- [AS1] M. F. ATIYAH AND I. M. SINGER, *The index of elliptic operators, I*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **87** (1968), 484–530.
- [AS2] ———, *The index of elliptic operators, IV*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **93** (1971), 119–138.
- [BeGeV] N. BERLINE, E. GETZLER, AND M. VERGNE, *Heat Kernels and Dirac Operators*, *Grundlehren Math. Wiss.* **298**, Springer, Berlin, 1992.
- [B1] J. -M. BISMUT, *The Atiyah-Singer index theorem for families of Dirac operators: Two heat equation proofs*, *Invent. Math.* **83** (1985), 91–151.
- [B2] ———, *Equivariant immersions and Quillen metrics*, *J. Differential Geom.* **41** (1995), 53–157.
- [BT] R. BOTT AND C. TAUBES, *On the rigidity theorems of Witten*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **2** (1989), 137–186.
- [Ch] K. CHANDRASEKHARAN, *Elliptic Functions*, *Grundlehren Math. Wiss.* **281**, Springer, Berlin, 1985.
- [EZ] M. EICHLER AND D. ZAGIER, *The Theory of Jacobi Forms*, *Progr. Math.* **55**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1985.
- [G] E. GETZLER, *A short proof of the local Atiyah-Singer index theorem*, *Topology* **25** (1986), 111–117.
- [H] F. HIRZEBRUCH, T. BERGER, AND R. JUNG, *Manifolds and Modular Forms*, *Aspects Math.* **E20**, Friedr. Vieweg and Sohn, Braunschweig, 1992.
- [K] I. KRICHEVER, *Generalized elliptic genera and Baker-Akhiezer functions*, *Math. Notes* **47** (1990), 132–142.
- [L1] P. S. LANDWEBER, “Elliptic cohomology and modular forms” in *Elliptic Curves and Modular Forms in Algebraic Topology (Princeton, 1986)*, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1326**, Springer, Berlin, 1988, 55–68.
- [L2] ———, ed., *Elliptic Curves and Modular Forms in Algebraic Topology (Princeton, 1986)*, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1326**, Springer, Berlin, 1988.
- [LaM] H. B. LAWSON AND M.-L. MICHELSON, *Spin Geometry*, *Princeton Math. Ser.* **38**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1989.
- [Liu1] K. LIU, *On $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and topology*, *Math. Res. Lett.* **1** (1994), 53–64.
- [Liu2] ———, *Modular invariance and characteristic numbers*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **174** (1995), 29–42.
- [Liu3] ———, *On modular invariance and rigidity theorems*, *J. Differential Geom.* **41** (1995), 343–396.
- [Liu4] ———, *On elliptic genera and theta-functions*, *Topology* **35** (1996), 617–640.
- [O] S. OCHANINE, “Genres elliptiques équivariants” in *Elliptic Curves and Modular Forms in Algebraic Topology (Princeton, 1986)*, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1326**, Springer, Berlin, 1988, 107–122.
- [S] G. SEGAL, *Equivariant K-theory*, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **34** (1968), 129–151.

- [T] C. TAUBES, *S¹-actions and elliptic genera*, Comm. Math. Phys. **122** (1989), 455–526.
- [W] E. WITTEN, “The index of the Dirac operator in loop space” in *Elliptic Curves and Modular Forms in Algebraic Topology (Princeton, 1986)*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1326**, Springer, Berlin, 1988, 161–181.
- [Z] W. ZHANG, *Symplectic reduction and family quantization*, preprint.

LIU: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STANFORD UNIVERSITY, STANFORD, CALIFORNIA, 94305, USA;
kefeng@math.stanford.edu

MA: INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, HUMBOLDT-UNIVERSITÄT ZU BERLIN, UNTER DEN LINDEN 6,
D-10099 BERLIN, GERMANY; xiaonan@mathematik.hu-berlin.de