

Aplikace matematiky

Inder Jeet Taneja; H. C. Gupta

On generalized measures of relative information and inaccuracy

Aplikace matematiky, Vol. 23 (1978), No. 5, 317–333

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/103759>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1978

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON GENERALIZED MEASURES OF RELATIVE INFORMATION
AND INACCURACY

I. J. TANEJA and H. C. GUPTA

(Received November 23, 1976)

1. INTRODUCTION

In their former papers, Sharma and Taneja [11] and Sharma and Gupta [9] characterized a generalized measure of type $\binom{\alpha, \beta}{\gamma, \delta}$ given by

$$(1.1) \quad I_{(\gamma, \delta)}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(P; Q) = (2^{-\beta} - 2^{-\delta})^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i^\alpha q_i^\beta - p_i^\gamma q_i^\delta), \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta > 0$$

$\beta \neq \delta (\alpha \neq \gamma) \quad \text{whenever } \alpha = \gamma (\beta = \delta),$

where $P = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$, $p_i \geq 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$ and $Q = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$, $q_i > 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^n q_i \leq 1$ are two discrete probability distributions of a discrete random variable.

The measure (1.1) under certain conditions (see [11]) gives Kullback's [5] relative information and Kerridge's [4] inaccuracy. These measures have many applications in statistics, economics etc..

The measure (1.1) can also be taken as

$$(1.2) \quad I_{(\gamma, \delta)}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(P; Q) = (2^{\alpha-\beta} - 2^{\gamma-\delta})^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i^\alpha q_i^{\beta-\alpha} - p_i^\gamma q_i^{\delta-\gamma}),$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta > 0$, $\alpha \neq \gamma (\beta \neq \delta)$ whenever $\beta = \delta (\alpha = \gamma)$.

When $\gamma = \delta = 1$, the measure (1.2) reduces to

$$(1.3) \quad I_{(1,1)}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(P; Q) = (2^{\alpha-\beta} - 1)^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\alpha q_i^{\beta-\alpha} - 1 \right], \quad \alpha \neq \beta, \quad \alpha > 0.$$

The measure (1.3) has been characterized by Sharma and Autar [7, 8] and reduces to Kullback's relative information and Kerridge's inaccuracy when $\beta = 1$, $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ and $\alpha = 1$, $\beta \rightarrow 1$, respectively.

The measure (1.2) is related with (1.3) as follows:

$$(1.4) \quad I_{(\gamma, \delta)}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(P; Q) = \frac{A_{\alpha, \beta}}{A_{\alpha, \beta} - A_{\gamma, \delta}} I_{(1, 1)}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(P; Q) + \frac{A_{\gamma, \delta}}{A_{\gamma, \delta} - A_{\alpha, \beta}} I_{(\gamma, \delta)}^{(1, 1)}(P; Q),$$

where $A_{\alpha, \beta} = (2^{\alpha-\beta} - 1)^*$ and $A_{\gamma, \delta} = (2^{\gamma-\delta} - 1)^*$.

It can be easily seen that the measure $I_{(\gamma, \delta)}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(P; Q)$ satisfies the following branching property:

$$(1.5) \quad I_{(\gamma, \delta)}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(P; Q) - I_{(\gamma, \delta)}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(p_1 + p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n; q_1 + q_2, q_3, \dots, q_n) = \\ = \frac{A_{\alpha, \beta}}{A_{\alpha, \beta} - A_{\gamma, \delta}} (p_1 + p_2)^\alpha (q_1 + q_2)^{\beta-\alpha} I_{(1, 1)}^{(\alpha, \beta)} \left(\frac{p_1}{p_1 + p_2}, \frac{p_2}{p_1 + p_2}; \frac{q_1}{q_1 + q_2}, \right. \\ \left. \frac{q_2}{q_1 + q_2} \right) + \frac{A_{\gamma, \delta}}{A_{\gamma, \delta} - A_{\alpha, \beta}} (p_1 + p_2)^\gamma (q_1 + q_2)^{\delta-\gamma} I_{(\gamma, \delta)}^{(1, 1)} \left(\frac{p_1}{p_1 + p_2}, \frac{p_2}{p_1 + p_2}; \right. \\ \left. \frac{q_1}{q_1 + q_2}, \frac{q_2}{q_1 + q_2} \right), \quad A_{\alpha, \beta} \neq A_{\gamma, \delta}$$

where $p_1 + p_2 > 0$, $q_1 + q_2 > 0$.

When $\gamma = \delta = 1$ (or $\alpha = \beta = 1$), (1.5) reduces to a branching property studied by Sharma and Autar [7, 8].

In this communication, we characterize the measure (1.2) by taking the branching property (1.5) along with two other axioms. Some properties of this measure are also studied.

2. GENERALIZED MEASURE OF TYPE $(\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}, \frac{\beta}{\delta})$

In this section, we shall characterize measure of type $(\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}, \frac{\beta}{\delta})$ associated with a pair of probability distributions $P = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$, $p_i \geq 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$ and $Q = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$, $q_i \geq 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^n q_i = 1$ of a discrete random variable. We consider the following axioms:

(I) (*Symmetry*). $I_{(\gamma, \delta)}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(p_1, p_2, p_3; q_1, q_2, q_3)$ is a symmetric function of its variables provided the probabilities p_i and q_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) correspond to each other.

(II) (*Normality*). $I_{(\gamma, \delta)}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1, 0; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) = 1$.

* Throughout the paper, we shall adopt the notation $A_{\alpha, \beta}$ for $(2^{\alpha-\beta} - 1)^*$ and $A_{\gamma, \delta}$ for $(2^{\gamma-\delta} - 1)^*$.

(III) (*Branching property*).

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(P; Q) &= I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(p_1 + p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n; q_1 + q_2, q_3, \dots, q_n) = \\
&= \frac{A_{\alpha,\beta}}{A_{\alpha,\beta} - A_{\gamma,\delta}} (p_1 + p_2)^\alpha (q_1 + q_2)^{\beta-\alpha} I_{(1,1)}^{(\alpha,\beta)} \left(\frac{p_1}{p_1 + p_2}, \frac{p_2}{p_1 + p_2}; \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{q_1}{q_1 + q_2}, \frac{q_2}{q_1 + q_2} \right) + \frac{A_{\gamma,\delta}}{A_{\gamma,\delta} - A_{\alpha,\beta}} (p_1 + p_2)^\gamma (q_1 + q_2)^{\delta-\gamma} \cdot \\
&\quad \cdot I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(1,1)} \left(\frac{p_1}{p_1 + p_2}, \frac{p_2}{p_1 + p_2}; \frac{q_1}{q_1 + q_2}, \frac{q_2}{q_1 + q_2} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $p_1 + p_2 > 0$, $q_1 + q_2 > 0$, $A_{\alpha,\beta} \neq A_{\gamma,\delta}$ and α , β , γ and δ are positive parameters different from unity.

From axiom (I), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.1) \quad I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y_1, 1 - x_1 - y_1, x_1; y_2, 1 - x_2 - y_2, x_2) &= \\
&= I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x_1, 1 - y_1 - x_1, y_1; x_2, 1 - y_2 - x_2, y_2),
\end{aligned}$$

which together with axiom (III) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.2) \quad f(1 - x_1; 1 - x_2) &+ \frac{A_{\alpha,\beta}}{A_{\alpha,\beta} - A_{\gamma,\delta}} (1 - x_1)^\alpha (1 - x_2)^{\beta-\alpha} g \left(\frac{y_1}{1 - x_1}; \frac{y_2}{1 - x_2} \right) + \\
&+ \frac{A_{\gamma,\delta}}{A_{\gamma,\delta} - A_{\alpha,\beta}} (1 - x_1)^\gamma (1 - x_2)^{\delta-\gamma} h \left(\frac{y_1}{1 - x_1}; \frac{y_2}{1 - x_2} \right) = \\
&= f(1 - y_1; 1 - y_2) + \frac{A_{\alpha,\beta}}{A_{\alpha,\beta} - A_{\gamma,\delta}} (1 - y_1)^\alpha (1 - y_2)^{\beta-\alpha} g \left(\frac{x_1}{1 - y_1}; \frac{x_2}{1 - y_2} \right) + \\
&+ \frac{A_{\gamma,\delta}}{A_{\gamma,\delta} - A_{\alpha,\beta}} (1 - y_1)^\gamma (1 - y_2)^{\delta-\gamma} h \left(\frac{x_1}{1 - y_1}; \frac{x_2}{1 - y_2} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x_1, 1 - x_1; x_2, 1 - x_2) = f(x_1; x_2),$$

$$I_{(1,1)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x_1, 1 - x_1; x_2, 1 - x_2) = g(x_1; x_2),$$

and

$$I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(1,1)}(x_1, 1 - x_1; x_2, 1 - x_2) = h(x_1; x_2).$$

Setting $x_1 = x_2 = 1$, $y_1 = y_2 = 0$ in (2.2), we get

$$(2.3) \quad f(0; 0) = f(1; 1) + \frac{A_{\alpha,\beta}}{A_{\alpha,\beta} - A_{\gamma,\delta}} g(1; 1) + \frac{A_{\gamma,\delta}}{A_{\gamma,\delta} - A_{\alpha,\beta}} h(1; 1).$$

By axiom (III), we get

$$(2.4) \quad I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(1, 0, 0; 1, 0, 0) = I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(1, 0; 1, 0) + \frac{A_{\alpha,\beta}}{A_{\alpha,\beta} - A_{\gamma,\delta}} I_{(1,1)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(1, 0; 1, 0) + \\ + \frac{A_{\gamma,\delta}}{A_{\gamma,\delta} - A_{\alpha,\beta}} I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(1,1)}(1, 0; 1, 0) = f(1; 1) + \frac{A_{\alpha,\beta}}{A_{\alpha,\beta} - A_{\gamma,\delta}} g(1; 1) + \\ + \frac{A_{\gamma,\delta}}{A_{\gamma,\delta} - A_{\alpha,\beta}} h(1; 1),$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(0, 1, 0; 0, 1, 0) = I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(1, 0; 1, 0) + \frac{A_{\alpha,\beta}}{A_{\alpha,\beta} - A_{\gamma,\delta}} I_{(1,1)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(0, 1; 0, 1) + \\ + \frac{A_{\gamma,\delta}}{A_{\gamma,\delta} - A_{\alpha,\beta}} I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(1,1)}(0, 1; 0, 1) = \\ = f(1; 1) + \frac{A_{\alpha,\beta}}{A_{\alpha,\beta} - A_{\gamma,\delta}} g(0; 0) + \frac{A_{\gamma,\delta}}{A_{\gamma,\delta} - A_{\alpha,\beta}} h(0; 0).$$

Expressions (2.4) and (2.5) together with axiom (I) give

$$(2.6) \quad A_{\alpha,\beta}[g(1; 1) - g(0; 0)] = A_{\gamma,\delta}[h(1; 1) - h(0; 0)].$$

Now for $\gamma = \delta = 1$, (2.3) and (2.6) give

$$(2.7) \quad g(1; 1) = g(0; 0) = 0.$$

Again for $\alpha = \beta = 1$, (2.3) and (2.6) give

$$(2.8) \quad h(1; 1) = h(0; 0) = 0.$$

Thus (2.3) together with (2.7) and (2.8) gives

$$(2.9) \quad f(1; 1) = f(0; 0).$$

Further, if $x_1 = x_2 = 0$, $y_1 = 0$, $y_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ in (2.2) then by axiom (II), (2.7) and (2.8), we get

$$(2.9') \quad f(1; 1) = f(0; 0) = 0.$$

Next, substituting $y_1 = 1 - x_1$, $y_2 = 1 - x_2$ in (2.2) and using (2.7) and (2.8), we get

$$(2.10) \quad f(x_1; x_2) = f(1 - x_1; 1 - x_2).$$

Thus, the functional equation (2.2) with (2.10) reduces to

$$(2.11) \quad f(x_1; x_2) + \frac{A_{\alpha,\beta}}{A_{\alpha,\beta} - A_{\gamma,\delta}} (1 - x_1)^\alpha (1 - x_2)^{\beta - \alpha} g\left(\frac{y_1}{1 - x_1}; \frac{y_2}{1 - x_2}\right) +$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{A_{\gamma,\delta}}{A_{\gamma,\delta} - A_{\alpha,\beta}} (1-x_1)^y (1-x_2)^{\delta-y} h\left(\frac{y_1}{1-x_1}; \frac{y_2}{1-x_2}\right) = \\
& = f(y_1; y_2) + \frac{A_{\alpha,\beta}}{A_{\alpha,\beta} - A_{\gamma,\delta}} (1-y_1)^x (1-y_2)^{\beta-x} g\left(\frac{x_1}{1-y_1}; \frac{x_2}{1-y_2}\right) + \\
& + \frac{A_{\gamma,\delta}}{A_{\gamma,\delta} - A_{\alpha,\beta}} (1-y_1)^y (1-y_2)^{\delta-y} h\left(\frac{x_1}{1-y_1}; \frac{x_2}{1-y_2}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2 \in [0, 1]$ with $x_1 + x_2 \leq 1, y_1 + y_2 \leq 1$.

Now we shall first obtain a relation between f, g and h and then find the values of these functions in the following lemma:

Lemma. *The functions f, g and h given in (2.11) satisfy the relation*

$$(2.12) \quad f(x; y) = \frac{A_{\alpha,\beta}}{A_{\alpha,\beta} - A_{\gamma,\delta}} g(x; y) + \frac{A_{\gamma,\delta}}{A_{\gamma,\delta} - A_{\alpha,\beta}} h(x; y),$$

where

$$(2.13) \quad g(x; y) = A_{\alpha,\beta}^{-1} [x^x y^{\beta-\alpha} + (1-x)^x (1-y)^{\beta-\alpha} - 1], \quad A_{\alpha,\beta} \neq 0,$$

and

$$(2.14) \quad h(x; y) = A_{\gamma,\delta}^{-1} [x^y y^{\delta-\gamma} + (1-x)^y (1-y)^{\delta-\gamma} - 1], \quad A_{\gamma,\delta} \neq 0,$$

for all $x, y \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. Setting $p_1 = 1 - x_1, q_1 = 1 - x_2, p_2 = y_1/(1 - x_1)$ and $q_2 = y_2/(1 - x_2)$ in (2.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.15) \quad & f(p_1; q_1) + \frac{A_{\alpha,\beta}}{A_{\alpha,\beta} - A_{\gamma,\delta}} p_1^x q_1^{\beta-\alpha} g(p_2; q_2) + \frac{A_{\gamma,\delta}}{A_{\gamma,\delta} - A_{\alpha,\beta}} p_1^y q_1^{\delta-\gamma} h(p_2; q_2) = \\
& = f(p_1 p_2; q_1 q_2) + \frac{A_{\alpha,\beta}}{A_{\alpha,\beta} - A_{\gamma,\delta}} (1-p_1 p_2)^x (1-q_1 q_2)^{\beta-\alpha} g\left(\frac{1-p_1}{1-p_1 p_2}; \frac{1-q_1}{1-q_1 q_2}\right) + \\
& + \frac{A_{\gamma,\delta}}{A_{\gamma,\delta} - A_{\alpha,\beta}} (1-p_1 p_2)^y (1-q_1 q_2)^{\delta-\gamma} h\left(\frac{1-p_1}{1-p_1 p_2}; \frac{1-q_1}{1-q_1 q_2}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

for all $p_1, q_1 \in (0, 1], p_2, q_2 \in [0, 1]$ with $p_1 p_2 < 1$ and $q_1 q_2 < 1$.

We shall prove that for arbitrary p 's and q 's as above, the function F defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.16) \quad F(p_1, p_2; q_1, q_2) = & f(p_1; q_1) + \frac{A_{\alpha,\beta}}{A_{\alpha,\beta} - A_{\gamma,\delta}} [p_1^x q_1^{\beta-\alpha} + \\
& + (1-p_1)^x (1-q_1)^{\beta-\alpha}] g(p_2; q_2) +
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{A_{\gamma, \delta}}{A_{\gamma, \delta} - A_{\alpha, \beta}} [p_1^\gamma q_1^{\delta-\gamma} + (1-p_1)^\gamma (1-q_1)^{\delta-\gamma}] h(p_2; q_2),$$

is symmetric, i.e.

$$(2.17) \quad F(p_1, p_2; q_1, q_2) = F(p_2, p_1; q_2, q_1).$$

Now (2.16) together with (2.15) gives

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} F(p_1, p_2; q_1, q_2) &= f(p_1 p_2; q_1 q_2) + \frac{A_{\alpha, \beta}}{A_{\alpha, \beta} - A_{\gamma, \delta}} (1-p_1 p_2)^\alpha \\ &\quad \cdot (1-q_1 q_2)^{\beta-\alpha} G(p_1, p_2; q_1, q_2) + \\ &\quad + \frac{A_{\gamma, \delta}}{A_{\gamma, \delta} - A_{\alpha, \beta}} (1-p_1 p_2)^\gamma (1-q_1 q_2)^{\delta-\gamma} H(p_1, p_2; q_1, q_2), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} G(p_1, p_2; q_1, q_2) &= g\left(\frac{1-p_1}{1-p_1 p_2}; \frac{1-q_1}{1-q_1 q_2}\right) + \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1-p_1}{1-p_1 p_2}\right)^\alpha \left(\frac{1-q_1}{1-q_1 q_2}\right)^{\beta-\alpha} g(p_2; q_2) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.20) \quad \begin{aligned} H(p_1, p_2; q_1, q_2) &= h\left(\frac{1-p_1}{1-p_1 p_2}; \frac{1-q_1}{1-q_1 q_2}\right) + \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1-p_1}{1-p_1 p_2}\right)^\gamma \left(\frac{1-q_1}{1-q_1 q_2}\right)^{\delta-\gamma} h(p_2; q_2). \end{aligned}$$

Now to prove that F is symmetric, we have to show that the functions G and H are symmetric. To this aim, first let $\gamma = \delta = 1$ in (2.15). Then we get

$$(2.21) \quad \begin{aligned} g(p_1; q_1) + p_1^\alpha q_1^{\beta-\alpha} g(p_2; q_2) &= \\ &= g(p_1 p_2; q_1 q_2) + (1-p_1 p_2)^\alpha (1-q_1 q_2)^{\beta-\alpha} \\ &\quad g\left(\frac{1-p_1}{1-p_1 p_2}; \frac{1-q_1}{1-q_1 q_2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

for all $p_1, q_1 \in (0, 1]$, $p_2, q_2 \in [0, 1]$ with $p_1 p_2 < 1$ and $q_1 q_2 < 1$.

Next set $p_1^* = (1-p_1)/(1-p_1 p_2)$ and $q_1^* = (1-q_1)/(1-q_1 q_2)$ in (2.19), we get

$$G(p_1, p_2; q_1, q_2) = g(p_1^*; q_1^*) + p_1^{*\alpha} q_1^{*\beta-\alpha} g(p_2; q_2) =$$

$$= g(p_1^* p_2; q_1^* q_2) + (1-p_1^* p_2)^\alpha (1-q_1^* q_2)^{\beta-\alpha} g\left(\frac{1-p_1^*}{1-p_1^* p_2}; \frac{1-q_1^*}{1-q_1^* q_2}\right)$$

(from (2.21))

$$= g(1 - p_1^* p_2; 1 - q_1^* q_2) + (1 - p_1^* p_2)^\alpha (1 - q_1^* q_2)^{\beta-\alpha} g\left(\frac{1 - p_1^*}{1 - p_1^* p_2}; \frac{1 - q_1^*}{1 - q_1^* q_2}\right)$$

(from (2.10))

$$\begin{aligned} &= g\left(\frac{1 - p_2}{1 - p_1 p_2}; \frac{1 - q_2}{1 - q_1 q_2}\right) + \left(\frac{1 - p_2}{1 - p_1 p_2}\right)^\alpha \left(\frac{1 - q_2}{1 - q_1 q_2}\right)^{\beta-\alpha} g(p_1; q_1) \\ &\quad = G(p_2, p_1; q_2, q_1). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $\alpha = \beta = 1$, we can easily show that

$$H(p_1, p_2; q_1, q_2) = H(p_2, p_1; q_2, q_1).$$

This proves (2.17).

Now putting $p_2 = q_2 = 1$ in (2.17), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (2.22) \quad 0 &= F(p_1, 1; q_1, 1) - F(1, p_1; 1, q_1) = \\ &= f(p_1; q_1) + \frac{A_{\alpha, \beta}}{A_{\alpha, \beta} - A_{\gamma, \delta}} [p_1^\alpha q_1^{\beta-\alpha} + (1 - p_1)^\alpha (1 - q_1)^{\beta-\alpha}] g(1; 1) + \\ &\quad + \frac{A_{\gamma, \delta}}{A_{\gamma, \delta} - A_{\alpha, \beta}} [p_1^\gamma q_1^{\delta-\gamma} + (1 - p_1)^\gamma (1 - q_1)^{\delta-\gamma}] h(1; 1) - f(1; 1) - \\ &\quad - \frac{A_{\alpha, \beta}}{A_{\alpha, \beta} - A_{\gamma, \delta}} g(p_1; q_1) - \frac{A_{\gamma, \delta}}{A_{\gamma, \delta} - A_{\alpha, \beta}} h(p_1; q_1). \end{aligned}$$

Expression (2.22) together with (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9) gives (2.12).

Again taking $p_2 = 0, q_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ in (2.17), from (2.16), (2.17) and axiom (II), we get for $A_{\alpha, \beta} \neq A_{\gamma, \delta}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (2.23) \quad A_{\alpha, \beta} \{g(p_1; q_1) + [p_1^\alpha q_1^{\beta-\alpha} + (1 - p_1)^\alpha (1 - q_1)^{\beta-\alpha} - 1] - 2^{\alpha-\beta} g(p_1; q_1)\} \\ = A_{\gamma, \delta} \{h(p_1; q_1) + [p_1^\gamma q_1^{\delta-\gamma} + (1 - p_1)^\gamma (1 - q_1)^{\delta-\gamma} - 1] - 2^{\gamma-\delta} h(p_1; q_1)\} = \\ = C \text{ (say)}, \end{aligned}$$

where C is any arbitrary constant.

Now putting $p_1 = q_1 = 1$ in (2.23) and using (2.7), we get $C = 0$. Thus (2.13) and (2.14) follow.

Now (2.12) together with (2.13) and (2.14) gives

$$\begin{aligned} (2.24) \quad f(p; q) &= \\ &= (A_{\alpha, \beta} - A_{\gamma, \delta})^{-1} [p^\alpha q^{\beta-\alpha} + (1 - p)^\alpha (1 - q)^{\beta-\alpha} - p^\gamma q^{\delta-\gamma} + (1 - p)^\gamma (1 - q)^{\delta-\gamma}], \\ &\quad A_{\alpha, \beta} \neq A_{\gamma, \delta} \end{aligned}$$

which is an *information function of type* $\binom{\alpha, \beta}{\gamma, \delta}$.

Again from the branching property (i.e., axiom (III)) we can write

$$(2.25) \quad I_{(\gamma, \delta)}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(P; Q) = \frac{A_{\alpha, \beta}}{A_{\alpha, \beta} - A_{\gamma, \delta}} \sum_{i=2}^n s_i^\gamma t_i^{\beta-\alpha} g(p_i/s_i; q_i/t_i) + \\ + \frac{A_{\gamma, \delta}}{A_{\gamma, \delta} - A_{\alpha, \beta}} \sum_{i=2}^n s_i^\gamma t_i^{\beta-\gamma} h(p_i/s_i; q_i/t_i),$$

where $s_i = p_1 + \dots + p_i$; $t_i = q_1 + \dots + q_i$ ($i = 2, 3, \dots, n$).

Now (2.25) together with (2.13) and (2.14) gives

$$(2.26) \quad I_{(\gamma, \delta)}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(P; Q) = (A_{\alpha, \beta} - A_{\gamma, \delta})^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i^\alpha q_i^{\beta-\alpha} - p_i^\gamma q_i^{\delta-\gamma}), \quad A_{\alpha, \beta} \neq A_{\gamma, \delta},$$

which is an *information measure of type* $\binom{\alpha, \beta}{\gamma, \delta}$.

Thus, we have proved:

Theorem 2.1. *The measure determined by axioms (I)–(III), associated with a pair of probability distributions $P = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$, $p_i \geq 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$ and $Q = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$, $q_i \geq 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^n q_i = 1$ is given by (2.26).*

2.1. PARTICULAR CASES

Setting $\gamma = \delta = 1$ in (2.26), we get

$$(2.27) \quad I^{(\alpha, \beta)}(P; Q) = (2^{\alpha-\beta} - 1)^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\alpha q_i^{\beta-\alpha} - 1 \right].$$

Case I. (Kullback's relative information): The measure (2.27) together with the condition

$$(2.28) \quad I^{(\alpha, \beta)}(p, 1-p; p, 1-p) = 0, \quad 0 < p < 1$$

gives $\beta = 1$.

Thus under the condition (2.28), the measure (2.27) reduces to

$$(2.29) \quad I^\alpha(P; Q) = (2^{\alpha-1} - 1)^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\alpha q_i^{1-\alpha} - 1 \right], \quad \alpha \neq 1,$$

which is the relative information of type α studied by many authors ([6], [10], [12], [13]).

Also

$$(2.30) \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} I^\alpha(P; Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \log_2 (p_i/q_i),$$

which is Kullback's [5] relative information. This measure has also been characterized by Hobson [3], Campbell [1] and Sharma and Taneja [10].

Case II. (*Kerridge's Inaccuracy*): The measure (2.26) together with the condition

$$(2.31) \quad I^{(\alpha,\beta)}(p_1, p_2, p_3; q_1, q_2, q_3) = I^{(\alpha,\beta)}(p_1, p_2 + p_3; q_1, q_2),$$

gives $\alpha = 1$.

Thus under the condition (2.31), the measure (2.27) reduces to

$$(2.32) \quad I^\beta(P; Q) = (2^{1-\beta} - 1)^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i q_i^{\beta-1} - 1 \right], \quad \beta \neq 1,$$

which is the inaccuracy measure of type β studied by many authors ([10], [12], [13]).

It may also be noted that

$$(2.33) \quad \lim_{\beta \rightarrow 1} I^\beta(P; Q) = - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \log_2 q_i,$$

which is Kerridge's [4] inaccuracy measure.

3. PROPERTIES OF THE MEASURE $I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(P; Q)$

The measure of information $I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(P; Q)$, $P, Q \in A_n$, where $A_n = \{P = (p_1, \dots, p_n), p_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1\}$ has the following properties:

Theorem 3.1. (i) (*Symmetry*): $I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(P; Q)$ is a symmetric function of its arguments provided the probabilities p_i and q_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) correspond to each other i.e.,

$$I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}, p_n; q_1, \dots, q_{n-1}, q_n) = I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(p_n, p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}; q_n, q_1, \dots, q_{n-1}).$$

(ii) (*Expansibility*): $I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(p_1, \dots, p_n, 0; q_1, \dots, q_n, 0) = I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(p_1, \dots, p_n; q_1, \dots, q_n)$.

(iii) (*Recursive of type $\binom{\alpha, \beta}{\gamma, \delta}$*): For (p_1, \dots, p_n) and $(q_1, \dots, q_n) \in A_n$, we have

(3.1)

$$I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(p_1, \dots, p_n; q_1, \dots, q_n) - I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(p_1 + p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n; q_1 + q_2, q_3, \dots, q_n) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{A_{\alpha,\beta}}{A_{\alpha,\beta} - A_{\gamma,\delta}} (p_1 + p_2)^\alpha (q_1 + q_2)^{\beta-\alpha} I_{(1,1)}^{(\alpha,\beta)} \left(\frac{p_1}{p_1 + p_2}, \frac{p_2}{p_1 + p_2}; \frac{q_1}{q_1 + q_2}, \frac{q_2}{q_1 + q_2} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{A_{\gamma,\delta}}{A_{\gamma,\delta} - A_{\alpha,\beta}} (p_1 + p_2)^\gamma (q_1 + q_2)^{\delta-\gamma} \\
&\quad \cdot I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(1,1)} \left(\frac{p_1}{p_1 + p_2}, \frac{p_2}{p_1 + p_2}; \frac{q_1}{q_1 + q_2}, \frac{q_2}{q_1 + q_2} \right), \quad A_{\alpha,\beta} \neq A_{\gamma,\delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

(iv) *Generalized recursive of type $\binom{\alpha, \beta}{\gamma, \delta}$:* For $n \geq N+1$ where $N \geq 2$ and $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Delta_n$, $(q_1, \dots, q_n) \in \Delta_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.2) \quad &I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(p_1, \dots, p_n; q_1, \dots, q_n) = \\
&- I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(\alpha,\beta)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N p_i, p_{N+1}, \dots, p_n; \sum_{i=1}^N q_i, q_{N+1}, \dots, q_n \right) = \\
&= \frac{A_{\alpha,\beta}}{A_{\alpha,\beta} - A_{\gamma,\delta}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N p_i \right)^\alpha \left(\sum_{i=1}^N q_i \right)^{\beta-\alpha} I_{(1,1)}^{(\alpha,\beta)} \left(p_1 / \sum_{i=1}^N p_i, \dots, p_N / \sum_{i=1}^N p_i; \right. \\
&\quad \left. q_1 / \sum_{i=1}^N q_i, \dots, q_N / \sum_{i=1}^N q_i \right) + \\
&+ \frac{A_{\gamma,\delta}}{A_{\gamma,\delta} - A_{\alpha,\beta}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N p_i \right)^\gamma \left(\sum_{i=1}^N q_i \right)^{\delta-\gamma} I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(1,1)} \left(p_1 / \sum_{i=1}^N p_i, \dots, p_N / \sum_{i=1}^N p_i; \right. \\
&\quad \left. q_1 / \sum_{i=1}^N q_i, \dots, q_N / \sum_{i=1}^N q_i \right).
\end{aligned}$$

(v) *Strongly-additive of type $\binom{\alpha, \beta}{\gamma, \delta}$:*

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.3) \quad &I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(p_1 p_{11}, \dots, p_1 p_{1n}, \dots, p_m p_{m1}, \dots, p_m p_{mn}; \\
&\quad q_1 q_{11}, \dots, q_1 q_{1n}, \dots, q_m q_{m1}, \dots, q_m q_{mn}) + \\
&= I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(p_1, \dots, p_m; q_1, \dots, q_m) + \frac{A_{\alpha,\beta}}{A_{\alpha,\beta} - A_{\gamma,\delta}} \sum_{j=1}^m p_j^\alpha q_j^{\beta-\alpha} I_{(1,1)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(p_{j1}, \dots, p_{jn}; \\
&\quad q_{j1}, \dots, q_{jn}) + \\
&+ \frac{A_{\gamma,\delta}}{A_{\gamma,\delta} - A_{\alpha,\beta}} \sum_{j=1}^m p_j^\gamma q_j^{\delta-\gamma} I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(1,1)}(p_{j1}, \dots, p_{jn}; q_{j1}, \dots, q_{jn})
\end{aligned}$$

for all (p_1, \dots, p_m) and $(q_1, \dots, q_m) \in \Delta_m$, $(p_{j1}, \dots, p_{jn}) \in \Delta_n$ and $(q_{j1}, \dots, q_{jn}) \in \Delta_n$, $(j = 1, 2, \dots, m)$.

Proof. Properties (i) and (ii) are obvious and can be verified easily. Property (iii) is axiom (III) considered in Section 2. We prove (iv) and (v) by direct computation.

(iv) $I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(p_1, \dots, p_n; q_1, \dots, q_n) -$

$$= I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N p_i, p_{N+1}, \dots, p_n; \sum_{i=1}^N q_i, q_{N+1}, \dots, q_n\right) =$$

$$= (A_{\alpha,\beta} - A_{\gamma,\delta})^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (p_i^\alpha q_i^{\beta-\alpha} - p_i^\gamma q_i^{\delta-\gamma}) - \right.$$

$$- \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^N p_i \right)^\alpha \left(\sum_{i=1}^N q_i \right)^{\beta-\alpha} + \sum_{i=N+1}^n p_i^\alpha q_i^{\beta-\alpha} - \left(\sum_{i=1}^N p_i \right)^\gamma \left(\sum_{i=1}^N q_i \right)^{\delta-\gamma} - \sum_{i=N+1}^n p_i^\gamma q_i^{\delta-\gamma} \right\} \right] =$$

$$= (A_{\alpha,\beta} - A_{\gamma,\delta})^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^N p_i^\alpha q_i^{\beta-\alpha} - \left(\sum_{i=1}^N p_i \right)^\alpha \left(\sum_{i=1}^N q_i \right)^{\beta-\alpha} - \sum_{i=1}^N p_i^\gamma q_i^{\delta-\gamma} + \right.$$

$$+ \left. \left(\sum_{i=1}^N p_i \right)^\gamma \left(\sum_{i=1}^N q_i \right)^{\delta-\gamma} \right] =$$

$$= (A_{\alpha,\beta} - A_{\gamma,\delta})^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^N p_i^\alpha \left(\sum_{i=1}^N q_i \right)^{\beta-\alpha} \left[\sum_{i=1}^N \left(p_i / \sum_{i=1}^N p_i \right)^\alpha \left(q_i / \sum_{i=1}^N q_i \right)^{\beta-\alpha} - 1 \right] - \right.$$

$$- \left. (A_{\alpha,\beta} - A_{\gamma,\delta})^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N p_i \right)^\gamma \left(\sum_{i=1}^N q_i \right)^{\delta-\gamma} \left[\sum_{i=1}^N \left(p_i / \sum_{i=1}^N p_i \right)^\gamma \left(q_i / \sum_{i=1}^N q_i \right)^{\delta-\gamma} - 1 \right] \right] =$$

$$= \frac{A_{\alpha,\beta}}{A_{\alpha,\beta} - A_{\gamma,\delta}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N p_i \right)^\alpha \left(\sum_{i=1}^N q_i \right)^{\beta-\alpha} I_{(1,1)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}\left(p_1 / \sum_{i=1}^N p_i, \dots, p_N / \sum_{i=1}^N p_i; q_1 / \sum_{i=1}^N q_i, \dots, q_N / \sum_{i=1}^N q_i\right) +$$

$$+ \frac{A_{\gamma,\delta}}{A_{\gamma,\delta} - A_{\alpha,\beta}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N p_i \right)^\gamma \left(\sum_{i=1}^N q_i \right)^{\delta-\gamma} I_{(1,1)}^{(1,1)}\left(p_1 / \sum_{i=1}^N p_i, \dots, p_N / \sum_{i=1}^N p_i; q_1 / \sum_{i=1}^N q_i, \dots, q_N / \sum_{i=1}^N q_i\right).$$

(v) $I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(p_1, \dots, p_m; q_1, \dots, q_m) + \frac{A_{\alpha,\beta}}{A_{\alpha,\beta} - A_{\gamma,\delta}} \sum_{j=1}^m p_j^\alpha q_j^{\beta-\alpha} I_{(1,1)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(p_{j1}, \dots, p_{jn};$

$$q_{j1}, \dots, q_{jn}) + \frac{A_{\gamma,\delta}}{A_{\gamma,\delta} - A_{\alpha,\beta}} \sum_{j=1}^m p_j^\gamma q_j^{\delta-\gamma} I_{(1,1)}^{(1,1)}(p_{j1}, \dots, p_{jn}; q_{j1}, \dots, q_{jn}) =$$

$$= (A_{\alpha,\beta} - A_{\gamma,\delta})^{-1} \left[\sum_{j=1}^m (p_j^\alpha q_j^{\beta-\alpha} - p_j^\gamma q_j^{\delta-\gamma}) + \sum_{j=1}^m p_j^\alpha q_j^{\beta-\alpha} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_{ji}^\alpha q_{ji}^{\beta-\alpha} - 1 \right) - \right.$$

$$- \left. \sum_{j=1}^m p_j^\gamma q_j^{\delta-\gamma} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_{ji}^\gamma q_{ji}^{\delta-\gamma} - 1 \right) \right] =$$

$$= (A_{\alpha,\beta} - A_{\gamma,\delta})^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m (p_j p_{ji})^\alpha (q_j q_{ji})^{\beta-\alpha} - (p_j p_{ji})^\gamma (q_j q_{ji})^{\delta-\gamma} \right] =$$

$$= I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(p_1 p_{11}, \dots, p_1 p_{1n}, \dots, p_m p_{m1}, \dots, p_m p_{mn};$$

$$q_1 q_{11}, \dots, q_1 q_{1n}, \dots, q_m q_{m1}, \dots, q_m q_{mn}).$$

Theorem 3.2. Let $P_1 = (p_{11}, p_{12}, \dots, p_{1n}) \in A_n$ and $P_2 = (p_{21}, p_{22}, \dots, p_{2m}) \in A_m$ with a similar notation for Q_1 and Q_2 . If $P_1^*P_2 = (p_{11}p_{21}, \dots, p_{11}p_{2m}, \dots, p_{1n}p_{21}, \dots, p_{1n}p_{2m})$, then

(i) (Generalized additivity):

(3.3')

$$I_{(\gamma, \delta)}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(P_1^*P_2; Q_1^*Q_2) = G_{(\gamma, \delta)}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(P_1; Q_1) I_{(\gamma, \delta)}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(P_2; Q_2) + G_{(\gamma, \delta)}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(P_2; Q_2) I_{(\gamma, \delta)}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(P_1; Q_1),$$

where

$$(3.4) \quad G_{(\gamma, \delta)}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(P; Q) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i^\alpha q_i^{\beta-\alpha} + p_i^\gamma q_i^{\delta-\gamma}), \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta > 0.$$

(ii) (Sub-additivity): For $\alpha, \gamma \geq 1, \beta - \alpha \geq 1, \delta - \gamma \geq 1$, we have

$$(3.5) \quad I_{(\gamma, \delta)}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(P_1^*P_2; Q_1^*Q_2) \leq I_{(\gamma, \delta)}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(P_1; Q_1) + I_{(\gamma, \delta)}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(P_2; Q_2).$$

Proof. (i) R.H.S.

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (p_{1i}^\alpha q_{1i}^{\beta-\alpha} + p_{1i}^\gamma q_{1i}^{\delta-\gamma}) (A_{\alpha, \beta} - A_{\gamma, \delta})^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m (p_{2j}^\alpha q_{2j}^{\beta-\alpha} - p_{2j}^\gamma q_{2j}^{\delta-\gamma}) + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m (p_{2j}^\alpha q_{2j}^{\beta-\alpha} + p_{2j}^\gamma q_{2j}^{\delta-\gamma}) (A_{\alpha, \beta} - A_{\gamma, \delta})^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (p_{1i}^\alpha q_{1i}^{\beta-\alpha} - p_{1i}^\gamma q_{1i}^{\delta-\gamma}) = \\ &= (A_{\alpha, \beta} - A_{\gamma, \delta})^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m [(p_{1i}p_{2j})^\alpha (q_{1i}q_{2j})^{\beta-\alpha} - (p_{1i}p_{2j})^\gamma (q_{1i}q_{2j})^{\delta-\gamma}] = \\ &= I_{(\gamma, \delta)}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(P_1^*P_2; Q_1^*Q_2) = \text{L.H.S.} \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Now for $\alpha, \gamma \geq 1$ with $\beta - \alpha \geq 1, \delta - \gamma \geq 1$ it follows from (3.4) that

$$G_{(\gamma, \delta)}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(P_1; Q_1) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (p_{1i}^\alpha q_{1i}^{\beta-\alpha} + p_{1i}^\gamma q_{1i}^{\delta-\gamma}) \leq 1,$$

which together with (3.3) proves (3.5).

Theorem 3.3. For $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in A_n$, (q_{1i}, \dots, q_{mi}) and $(q_1, \dots, q_m) \in A_m$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$), we have

$$(3.6) \quad I_{(\gamma, \delta)}^{(\alpha, \beta)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i q_{1i}, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n p_i q_{im}; q_1, \dots, q_m\right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i I_{(\gamma, \delta)}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(q_{1i}, \dots, q_{mi}; q_1, \dots, q_m).$$

for all α, β, γ and δ such that either $\beta > \alpha > 1, 0 < \delta < \gamma < 1$ or $\delta > \gamma > 1, 0 < \beta < \alpha < 1$.

Proof. We have

$$I_{(\gamma, \delta)}^{(\alpha, \beta)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i q_{1i}, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n p_i q_{mi}; q_1, \dots, q_m\right) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (A_{\alpha,\beta} - A_{\gamma,\delta})^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i q_{ji} \right)^\alpha q_j^{\beta-\alpha} - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i q_{ji} \right)^\gamma q_j^{\delta-\gamma} \right] \geq \\
&\geq (A_{\alpha,\beta} - A_{\gamma,\delta})^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \left[\sum_{i=1}^n p_i q_{ji}^\alpha q_j^{\beta-\alpha} - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i q_{ji}^\gamma q_j^{\delta-\gamma} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

for $\beta > \alpha > 1$ and $0 < \delta < \gamma < 1$ (see Gallager [2], p. 523)

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (A_{\alpha,\beta} - A_{\gamma,\delta})^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \left[\sum_{j=1}^m q_{ji}^\alpha q_j^{\beta-\alpha} - \sum_{j=1}^m q_{ji}^\gamma q_j^{\delta-\gamma} \right] = \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n p_i I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(q_{1i}, \dots, q_{mi}; q_1, \dots, q_m) \quad \text{for } \beta > \alpha > 1 \text{ and } 0 < \delta < \gamma < 1.
\end{aligned}$$

By symmetry in α, γ and β, δ , the above result is also true for $0 < \beta < \alpha < 1$ and $\delta > \gamma > 1$.

Theorem 3.4. (Inversion Theorem): If we define the functions ϕ, ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 as

$$(3.7) \quad I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(1/n, \dots, 1/n; 1/s, \dots, 1/s) = \phi(n; s),$$

$$(3.8) \quad I_{(1,1)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(1/n, \dots, 1/n; 1/s, \dots, 1/s) =$$

$$= \phi_1(n; s) = (1/n)^\alpha (1/s)^{\beta-\alpha} \sum_{j=2}^n (j)^\beta g(1/j; 1/j),$$

and

$$(3.9) \quad I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(1,1)}(1/n, \dots, 1/n; 1/s, \dots, 1/s) =$$

$$= \phi_2(n; s) = (1/n)^\gamma (1/s)^{\delta-\gamma} \sum_{j=2}^n (j)^\delta h(1/j; 1/j),$$

then for all rationals $m/n, r/s$, where $1 \leq m \leq n, 1 \leq r \leq s$, the function f defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.10) \quad f\left(\frac{m}{n}, \frac{r}{s}\right) &= \phi(n; s) - \frac{A_{\alpha,\beta}}{A_{\alpha,\beta} - A_{\gamma,\delta}} \left[\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^\alpha \left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\beta-\alpha} \phi_1(m; r) + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(1 - \frac{m}{n}\right)^\alpha \left(1 - \frac{r}{s}\right)^{\beta-\alpha} \phi_1(n-m; s-r) \right] - \\
&- \frac{A_{\gamma,\delta}}{A_{\gamma,\delta} - A_{\alpha,\beta}} \left[\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^\gamma \left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\delta-\gamma} \phi_2(m; r) + \left(1 - \frac{m}{n}\right)^\gamma \left(1 - \frac{r}{s}\right)^{\delta-\gamma} \phi_2(n-m; s-r) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $p_1 = m/n, q_1 = r/s$ be any two rational numbers lying in $(0, 1)$.

Next, putting in (3.3) $m = 2$, $p_1 = m/n$, $q_1 = r/s$, $p_2 = 1 - p_1 = 1 - m/n$, $q_2 = 1 - q_1 = 1 - r/s$, $1 \leq m \leq n$, $1 \leq r \leq s$, and

$$p_{1k} = \begin{cases} 1/m & \text{if } k = 1, 2, \dots, m \\ 0 & \text{if } k = m + 1, m + 2, \dots, n, \end{cases}$$

$$p_{2k} = \begin{cases} 1/(n-m) & \text{if } k = 1, 2, \dots, n-m \\ 0 & \text{if } k = n-m+1, n-m+2, \dots, n, \end{cases}$$

$$q_{1k} = \begin{cases} 1/r & \text{if } k = 1, 2, \dots, m \\ 0 & \text{if } k = m + 1, m + 2, \dots, n, \end{cases}$$

$$q_{2k} = \begin{cases} 1/(s-r) & \text{if } k = 1, 2, \dots, n-m \\ 0 & \text{if } k = n-m+1, n-m+2, \dots, n, \end{cases}$$

we have

$$(3.11) \quad I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}\left(\underbrace{1/n, \dots, 1/n}_m, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-m}, \underbrace{1/n, \dots, 1/n}_{n-m}; \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_m; \underbrace{1/s, \dots, 1/s}_m, \right.$$

$$\left. \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-m}, \underbrace{1/s, \dots, 1/s}_{n-m}, \underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_m \right)$$

$$= I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(m/n, 1 - m/n; r/s, 1 - r/s) +$$

$$+ \frac{A_{\alpha,\beta}}{A_{\alpha,\beta} - A_{\gamma,\delta}} \left\{ (n/m)^\alpha (r/s)^{\beta-\alpha} I_{(1,1)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}\left(\underbrace{1/m, \dots, 1/m}_m; \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-m}; \underbrace{1/r, \dots, 1/r}_m, \right. \right.$$

$$\left. \left. \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-m} \right) + \right.$$

$$+ (1 - m/n)^\alpha (1 - r/s)^{\beta-\alpha} I_{(1,1)}^{(\alpha,\beta)}\left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{n-m}, \dots, \frac{1}{n-m}}_{n-m}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_m; \right.$$

$$\left. \left. \underbrace{\frac{1}{s-r}, \dots, \frac{1}{s-r}}_{n-m}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_m \right) \right\} +$$

$$+ \frac{A_{\gamma,\delta}}{A_{\gamma,\delta} - A_{\alpha,\beta}} \left\{ (m/n)^\gamma (r/s)^{\delta-\gamma} I_{(\gamma,\delta)}^{(1,1)}\left(\underbrace{1/m, \dots, 1/m}_m; \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-m}; \underbrace{1/r, \dots, 1/r}_m, \right. \right.$$

$$\left. \left. \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-m} \right) \right\}$$

$$+ (1 - m/n)^\gamma (1 - r/s)^{\delta-\gamma} I_{(\gamma, \delta)}^{(1, 1)} \left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{n-m}, \dots, \frac{1}{n-m}}_{n-m}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_m; \right. \\ \left. \underbrace{\frac{1}{s-r}, \dots, \frac{1}{s-r}}_{n-m}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_m \right).$$

As $I_{(\gamma, \delta)}^{(\alpha, \beta)} : A_n \times A_n \rightarrow R(n = 2, 3, \dots)$ are symmetric and expansible (Theorem 3.1) and

$$(3.12) \quad f(p; q) = I_{(\gamma, \delta)}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(p, 1-p; q, 1-q).$$

Now (3.11) together with (3.7), (3.8), (3.9) and (3.12) gives the desired result (3.10).

Corollary. If the functions ϕ , ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 satisfy the relation

$$(3.13) \quad \phi(n; s) = \underbrace{A_{\alpha, \beta}}_{A_{\alpha, \beta} - A_{\gamma, \delta}} \phi_1(n; s) + \underbrace{A_{\gamma, \delta}}_{A_{\gamma, \delta} - A_{\alpha, \beta}} \phi_2(n; s)$$

with

$$(3.14) \quad \phi_1(1; 2) = \phi_2(1; 2) = 1; \quad \phi_1(1; 1) = \phi_2(1; 1) = 0,$$

then

$$(3.15) \quad \phi(n; s) = (A_{\alpha, \beta} - A_{\gamma, \delta})^{-1} (n^{1-\alpha} s^{\alpha-\beta} - n^{1-\gamma} s^{\gamma-\delta})$$

and

$$(3.16) \quad f(m/n; r/s) = (A_{\alpha, \beta} - A_{\gamma, \delta})^{-1} [(m/n)^\alpha (r/s)^{\beta-\alpha} + (1 - m/n)^\alpha (1 - r/s)^{\beta-\alpha} - (m/n)^\gamma (r/s)^{\delta-\gamma} - (1 - m/n)^\gamma (1 - r/s)^{\delta-\gamma}].$$

Proof. Setting $p_j = 1/m$, $p_{jk} = 1/n$, $q_j = 1/r$, $q_{jk} = 1/s$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$; $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$), $1 \leqq m \leqq r$, $1 \leqq n \leqq s$ in (3.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (3.17) \quad \phi(mn; rs) &= \phi(m; r) + \underbrace{A_{\alpha, \beta}}_{A_{\alpha, \beta} - A_{\gamma, \delta}} m^{1-\alpha} r^{\alpha-\beta} \phi_1(n; s) + \\ &\quad + \underbrace{A_{\gamma, \delta}}_{A_{\gamma, \delta} - A_{\alpha, \beta}} m^{1-\gamma} r^{\gamma-\delta} \phi_2(n; s) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (3.18) \quad \phi(mn; rs) &= \phi(n; s) + \underbrace{A_{\alpha, \beta}}_{A_{\alpha, \beta} - A_{\gamma, \delta}} n^{1-\alpha} s^{\alpha-\beta} \phi_1(m; r) + \\ &\quad + \underbrace{A_{\gamma, \delta}}_{A_{\gamma, \delta} - A_{\alpha, \beta}} n^{1-\gamma} s^{\gamma-\delta} \phi_2(m; r). \end{aligned}$$

Now (3.17) and (3.18) together with (3.13) give

$$(3.19) \quad A_{\alpha,\beta}\{(1 - n^{1-\alpha}s^{\alpha-\beta})\phi_1(m; r) + (n^{1-\alpha}r^{\alpha-\beta} - 1)\phi_1(n; s)\} = \\ = A_{\gamma,\delta}\{(1 - n^{1-\gamma}s^{\gamma-\delta})\phi_2(m; r) + (m^{1-\gamma}r^{\gamma-\delta} - 1)\phi_2(n; s)\}.$$

Taking $n = 1, s = 2$ in (3.19) and using (3.14), we get

$$(3.20) \quad A_{\alpha,\beta}\{(1 - 2^{\alpha-\beta})\phi_1(m; r) + (m^{1-\alpha}r^{\alpha-\beta} - 1)\} = \\ = A_{\gamma,\delta}\{(1 - 2^{\gamma-\delta})\phi_2(m; r) + (m^{1-\gamma}r^{\gamma-\delta} - 1)\} = K \text{ (say)},$$

where K is an arbitrary constant.

Next, taking $m = r = 1$ in (3.20) and using (3.14), we obtain $K = 0$.

Thus, we have

$$(3.21) \quad \phi_1(m; r) = A_{\alpha,\beta}^{-1}(m^{1-\alpha}r^{\alpha-\beta} - 1), \quad A_{\alpha,\beta} \neq 0$$

and

$$(3.22) \quad \phi_2(m; r) = A_{\gamma,\delta}^{-1}(m^{1-\gamma}r^{\gamma-\delta} - 1), \quad A_{\gamma,\delta} \neq 0.$$

Thus (3.13) together with (3.21) and (3.22) gives (3.15). Finally, (3.16) follows from (3.10), (3.13), (3.21) and (3.22).

Acknowledgement. Authors are thankful to Dr. B. D. Sharma, Department of Mathematics, University of Delhi for guidance in the preparation of this paper and for discussions at various stages.

References

- [1] *Campbell, L. L.* (1970): Characterization of Entropy of Probability Distribution on the Real Line -- *Information and Control*, 21, 329–338.
- [2] *Gallager, R. G.* (1968): *Information Theory and Reliable Communication* -- J. Wiley and Sons, Inc., N. Y.
- [3] *Hobson, A.* (1969): A New Theorem of Information Theory -- *J. Stat. Phys.*, I, 383–391.
- [4] *Kerridge, D. F.* (1961): Inaccuracy and inference -- *J. Royal Stat. Soc., Ser. B* 23 (1), 184 to 194.
- [5] *Kullback, S.* (1968): *Information Theory and Statistics* -- Dover Publ., Inc., N. Y.
- [6] *Rathie, P. N. and Pl. Kannappan* (1972): A Direct-Divergence Function of Type β -- *Information and Control*. 22, 38–45.
- [7] *Sharma, B. D. and R. Autar* (1973): On Characterization of a Generalized Inaccuracy Measure in Information Theory -- *J. Appl. Prob.* 10 464–468.
- [8] *Sharma, B. D. and R. Autar* (1974): Relative-Information Functions and Their Type (α, β) Generalizations -- *Metrika*, 21, 41–50.
- [9] *Sharma, B. D. and H. C. Gupta* (1976): Sub-additive Measures of Relative Information and Inaccuracy -- *Metrika*, Vol. 23, 155–165.

- [10] *Sharma, B. D. and I. J. Taneja* (1974): On Axiomatic Characterization of Information Theoretic Measures — *J. Stat. Phys.*, *10*, 337—346.
- [11] *Sharma, B. D. and I. J. Taneja* (1975): Entropy of Type (α, β) and Other Generalized Measures in Information Theory — *Metrika*, *22* (4), 205—215.
- [12] *Taneja, I. J.* (1974): A Joint Characterization of Directed Divergence, Inaccuracy, and Their Generalizations — *J. Statist. Phys.*, *II*, 169—174.
- [13] *Taneja, I. J.* (1976): On Measures of Information and Inaccuracy — *J. Statist. Phys.*, *14*, 263—270.

Souhrn

O ZOBECNĚNÝCH MÍRÁCH RELATIVNÍ INFORMACE A NEPŘESNOSTI

I. J. TANEJA, H. C. GUPTA

Kullbackova relativní informace a Kerridgeova nepřesnost jsou dvě informačně-teoretické míry pro dvojice pravděpodobnostních distribucí diskrétních náhodných veličin. V článku se studuje zobecněná míra, která speciálně zahrnuje parametrické zobecnění relativní informace a nepřesnosti. Jsou rovněž odvozeny některé důležité vlastnosti této zobecněné míry a věta o inversi.

Author's address: Prof. I. J. Taneja, Department of Mathematics University of Delhi, Delhi - 110007, India; Prof. H. C. Gupta, Department of Mathematics Rajdhani College University of Delhi, New Delhi - 110015, India.

Mailing address: 7/114, Geeta Colony, Delhi-110031, India.