# ON GENERALIZED RESOLVENTS 

CONSTANTIN APOSTOL AND KEVIN CLANCEY


#### Abstract

Let $T$ be a bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space and $\rho_{I}(T)$ the Fredholm domain of $T$. It is shown that a generalized resolvent can be constructed for $T$ in $\rho_{F}(T)$ which verifies the resolvent identity except for an at most countable set of points which are close to the boundary of $\rho_{F}(T)$.


Let $T$ be a bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space $H$. In case the range of $T$ is a closed subspace of $H$, then an operator $F$ will be called a generalized inverse of $T$ when $F T$ is a projection onto the orthogonal complement of the kernel of $T$ and $T F$ is a projection onto the range of $T$. Unless $T$ is invertible, then a generalized inverse is not unique. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a domain in the complex plane $\mathbf{C}$ such that for every $\lambda$ in $\mathcal{G}$, the operator $\lambda-T$ has closed range. An operator valued function $F$ defined on $\mathcal{G}$ is called a generalized inverse function for $T$ in $\mathcal{G}$ in case, for every $\lambda$ in $\mathcal{G}, F(\lambda)$ is a generalized inverse of $\lambda-T$. A generalized inverse function $F$ for $T$ on an open set $\mathcal{G}$ is said to verify the resolvent identity on $\mathcal{G}$, when for every pair $\lambda, \mu$ in a component of G

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\lambda)-F(\mu)=(\mu-\lambda) F(\lambda) F(\mu) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A continuous generalized inverse function, for an operator $T$ on an open set $\mathcal{G}$, which verifies the resolvent identity on $\mathcal{G}$ will be called a generalized resolvent on $\mathcal{G}$.

This note is concerned with the construction of generalized resolvents on open subsets of the Fredholm domain of a bounded operator $T$. Recall that an operator $T$ is called semi-Fredholm in case $T$ has closed range and the dimension of at least one of $\operatorname{ker}(T)$ or $\operatorname{ker}\left(T^{*}\right)$ is finite; here, ker denotes kernel and $T^{*}$ is the adjoint of $T$. If $T$ has closed range and both $\operatorname{ker}(T)$ and $\operatorname{ker}\left(T^{*}\right)$ are finite dimensional, then $T$ is called a Fredholm operator. The semi-Fredholm domain of $T$ is the set $\rho_{s-F}(T)=\{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}: \lambda-T$, is semi-Fredholm $\}$ and the Fredholm domain of $T$ is the set $\rho_{F}(T)=\{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ : $\lambda-T$ is Fredholm $\}$.

There is one obvious obstruction to constructing a generalized resolvent for $T$ on all of $\rho_{s-F}(T)$. In $\rho_{s-F}(T)$ there is an at most countable set where the function

$$
m(\lambda)=\text { minimum dimension }\left[\operatorname{ker}(\lambda-T), \operatorname{ker}(\lambda-T)^{*}\right]
$$

is discontinuous [3, Proposition 2.6], [5], [6]. This set will be denoted by $\rho_{s-F}^{s}(T)$ and is referred to as the set of singular points in the semi-Fredholm

[^0]domain. The singular points in the Fredholm domain $\rho_{s-F}^{s}(T) \cap \rho_{F}(T)$ will be denoted by $\rho_{F}^{s}(T)$. The set $\rho_{s-F}^{s}(T)$ does not accumulate in $\rho_{s-F}(T)$ (see, e.g., [3], [5], [6]) and it is easy to show $\rho_{r}(T) \cap \rho_{s-F}^{s}(T)=\varnothing$. The complementary set $\rho_{s-F}^{r}(T)=\rho_{s-F}(T) \backslash \rho_{s-F}^{s}(T)\left(\rho_{F}^{r}(T)=\rho_{F}(T) \backslash \rho_{F}^{s}(T)\right)$ is called the set of regular points in the semi-Fredholm (Fredholm) domain. Obviously, there does not exist a continuous generalized inverse function in a neighborhood of a point $\lambda \in \rho_{s-F}^{s}(T)$.

The notation bdry $\mathcal{G}$ will be used for the boundary of a subset of $\mathbf{C}$ and $\operatorname{dist}\left(S, S^{\prime}\right)$ will denote the Hausdorff distance between two bounded sets $S$, $S^{\prime}$ in C. In other words,

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(S, S^{\prime}\right)=\max \left[\sup _{\lambda \in S^{\prime}}[\operatorname{distance}(\lambda, S)], \sup _{\lambda \in S}\left[\operatorname{distance}\left(\lambda, S^{\prime}\right)\right]\right]
$$

The main result to be established here is
Theorem 1. Let $T$ be a bounded operator on $H$ and let $\varepsilon>0$. There exists a generalized resolvent on $\rho_{F}^{r}(T)$ except for an at most countable set $S$ which does not accumulate in $\rho_{F}(T)$. Moreover, $\operatorname{dist}\left(S\right.$, bdry $\left.\rho_{F}(T)\right)<\varepsilon$.

There are several papers in the literature which contain results similar in spirit to the above theorem. In [8] P. Saphar obtains the above theorem (in the generality of operators on a Banach space) with the conclusion

$$
" \operatorname{dist}\left(S, \operatorname{bdry} \rho_{F}(T)\right)<\varepsilon "
$$

replaced by

$$
" \operatorname{dist}\left(S, \text { bdry } \rho_{F}^{r}(T)\right)<\varepsilon "
$$

Also Shapiro and Schechter [9] construct generalized resolvents on $\rho_{F}^{r}(T)$, minus a countable set $S$ for operators $T$ acting on a Banach space. These authors do not make any attempt to push the set $S$ out near the boundary of $\rho_{F}(T)$.

It is clear that a generalized resolvent for $T$ defined on an open set $\mathcal{G}$ is an analytic generalized inverse function for $T$ in $\mathcal{G}$. On the other hand, not every analytic generalized inverse function defined on an open set $\mathcal{G}$ verifies the resolvent identity on $\mathcal{G}$. Let $\rho_{r}(T)\left(\rho_{l}(T)\right)$ denote the set of complex $\lambda$, where $\lambda-T$ has a right (left) inverse. Allan [1], [2] has shown that there exists an analytic right (left) inverse function for $T$ in $\rho_{r}(T)\left(\rho_{l}(T)\right)$. This fact can be used to construct an analytic generalized inverse function for an operator $T$ in $\rho_{s-F}^{r}(T)$ [4].

Using the result in Theorem 1 it is possible to construct a "generalized spectral projection" associated with any finite subset $\sigma$ of $\rho_{F}^{s}(T)$. This leads to a decomposition of the operator $T$ as a direct sum $T_{1} \oplus T_{2}$ (not necessarily an orthogonal sum), where $T_{1}$ contains the singular points $\sigma$ as isolated eigenvalues in its spectrum and the operator $T_{2}$ satisfies $\rho_{F}^{r}\left(T_{2}\right)=\rho_{F}^{r}(T) \cup \sigma$. The reader is referred to [4] for further details.

1. Preliminaries. This section begins with a few basic lemmas:
 $H$. Assume that relative to the orthogonal decomposition $H=H_{1} \oplus H_{2}$ the
operator $T$ has the $2 \times 2$ matrix representation

$$
T=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & C \\
0 & B
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be an open set on which both $A, B$ have right resolvents $R_{1}, R_{2}$ respectively. Then

$$
R=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
R_{1} & R_{1} C R_{2} \\
0 & R_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

defines a right resolvent of $T$ on $\mathcal{G}$.
Proof. Direct computation.
Lemma 2. Let $T$ be a bounded operator on $H$ and let $\mathcal{G}_{1}$ be a connected open set in $\rho_{r}(T)$ such that dimension $(\operatorname{ker}(\lambda-T)) \equiv 1, \lambda \in \mathcal{G}_{1}$. For any $\varepsilon>0$ there is a right resolvent $R$ of $T$ on $\mathcal{G}_{1}$ except for an at most countable set $S$, which does not accumulate in $\mathcal{G}_{1}$, and satisfies $\operatorname{dist}\left(S\right.$, bdry $\left.\mathcal{G}_{1}\right)<\varepsilon$.

Proof. There is a vector $y \in H$ such that the orthogonal projection $P_{\operatorname{ker}(\lambda-T)}$ onto the space $\operatorname{ker}(\lambda-T)$, satisfies $P_{\operatorname{ker}(\lambda-T)} y \neq 0$ for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{G}_{1}$ except for an at most countable set $S$ which does not accumulate in $\mathcal{G}_{1}$ and such that $\operatorname{dist}\left(S\right.$, bdry $\left.\mathcal{G}_{1}\right)<\varepsilon$ (for a proof see [3, Proposition 1.8]). Fix $\lambda_{0} \in \mathcal{G}_{1} \backslash S$ and let $\varphi$ be a nonzero vector in $\operatorname{ker}\left(\lambda_{0}-T\right)$. If $R_{0}$ is a fixed right inverse of $\lambda_{0}-T$, then any right inverse of $\lambda_{0}-T$ is of the form $R_{f}=R_{0}+\langle, f\rangle \varphi$, where $f \in H$. There is a choice of $f_{0} \in H$ such that $y \perp$ Range $R_{f_{0}}$.

In order to see this observe the orthogonal complement of the range $R_{f}$ is the null space of $R_{0}^{*}+\langle\cdot, \varphi\rangle f$. Now $R_{0}^{*} y+\langle y, \varphi\rangle f_{0}=0$, when $f_{0}=$ $-\langle y, \varphi\rangle^{-1} R_{0}^{*} y$. This last vector is well defined since $P_{\text {ker }\left(\lambda_{0}-T\right)} y \neq 0$ and, therefore, $\langle y, \varphi\rangle \neq 0$.

For $\lambda \in \mathcal{G}_{1}$ the following identity holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\lambda-T) R_{f_{0}}=\left(\left(\lambda-\lambda_{0}\right) R_{f_{0}}+I\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows that $\lambda \rightarrow-\left(\lambda-\lambda_{0}\right)^{-1}$ is a mapping of $\mathcal{G}_{1}$ into the component of $\rho_{F}\left(R_{f_{0}}\right)$ which contains the point at infinity. Also, for $\lambda \in \mathcal{G}_{1}$, the Fredholm index of $\left(\lambda-\lambda_{0}\right) R_{f_{0}}+I$ is zero. Suppose, for some $\lambda_{1} \in \mathcal{G}_{1} \backslash S$, that $\operatorname{ker}\left[\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{0}\right) R_{f_{0}}+I\right] \neq(0)$. Then from (2) it follows that, for some $x \neq 0$, $\left(\lambda_{1}-T\right) R_{f_{0}} x=0$. In this case, $R_{f_{0}} x \in \operatorname{ker}\left(\lambda_{1}-T\right)$ and since this last space is one dimensional, $\operatorname{ker}\left(\lambda_{1}-T\right) \subset$ Range $R_{f_{0}}$. This contradicts $y \perp$ Range $R_{f_{0}}$ and $P_{\text {ker }\left(\lambda_{1}-T\right)} y \neq 0$. It is now clear that, for $\lambda$ in $\mathcal{G}_{1} \backslash S$, the operator $\left(\lambda-\lambda_{0}\right) R_{f_{0}}+I$ is invertible. The operator valued function $R(\lambda)=$ $\left.R_{f_{0}}\left(\lambda-\lambda_{0}\right) R_{f_{0}}+I\right)^{-1}$ is a right resolvent for $T$ in $\mathcal{G}_{1} \backslash S$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 3. Let $T$ be a bounded operator on $H$ and let $\mathcal{G}_{n}$ be an open connected subset in $\rho_{r}(T)$. Assume that dimension $(\operatorname{ker}(\lambda-T))=n$, for $\lambda \in \mathcal{G}_{n}$. Then for any $\varepsilon>0$, there is a right resolvent for $T$ on $\mathcal{G}_{n}$ except for an at most countable set $S \subset \mathcal{G}_{n}$ which does not accumulate in $\mathcal{G}_{n}$, such that $\operatorname{dist}\left(S\right.$, bdry $\left.\mathcal{G}_{n}\right)<\varepsilon$. License or copyright restrictions may apply to redistribution; see https://www.ams.org/journal-terms-of-use

Proof. The proof proceeds by induction on $n$. The result is clear if $n=0$
for then $\mathcal{G}_{n}$ is a subset of the resolvent set of $T$. The case $n=1$ is contained in the preceding lemma. Suppose the result has been obtained in case $n=k-1$. Let $\mathcal{G}_{k}$ be a connected open subset of $\rho_{r}(T)$ such that dimension $\operatorname{ker}(\lambda-T)=k, \lambda \in \mathcal{G}_{k}$. For any $\varepsilon>0$ there is a vector $y \in H$ for which $P_{\operatorname{ker}(\lambda-T)} y \neq 0$, for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{G}_{k} \backslash S^{\prime}$; where $S^{\prime}$ is an at most countable set which does not accumulate in $\mathcal{G}_{k}$ and satisfies $\operatorname{dist}\left(S^{\prime}\right.$, bdry $\left.\mathcal{G}_{k}\right)<\varepsilon$ (see [3, Proposition 1.8]). Let $Y_{\lambda}=\operatorname{ker}(\lambda-T) \cap\{y\}^{\perp}$, for $\lambda \in \mathcal{G}_{k}$, and let $Y=$ c.l.m. $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\in \mathcal{G}_{k}}\left\{Y_{\lambda}\right\}$; here, c.l.m. is an abbreviation for closed linear manifold. Obviously, $T Y \subset Y$ and relative to the decomposition $H=Y \oplus Y^{\perp}$,

$$
T=\left(\begin{array}{lc}
T_{Y} & * \\
0 & T_{Y^{\perp}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

here, $T_{Y}$ is the restriction of $T$ to $Y$ and $T_{Y^{\perp}}$ is the compression of $T$ to $Y^{\perp}$. It is easy to establish that $\lambda-T_{Y}$ is onto for $\lambda \in \mathcal{G}_{k}$ and clearly $\left(\lambda-T_{Y^{+}}\right)$is onto for $\lambda \in \mathcal{G}_{k}$. It follows that for $\lambda \in \mathcal{G}_{k} \backslash S^{\prime}$, dimension $\operatorname{ker}\left(\lambda-T_{Y}\right)$ $=k-1$ and dimension $\operatorname{ker}\left(\lambda-T_{Y^{\perp}}\right)=1$. The induction hypothesis can be combined with Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 to complete the proof.

Following [3] we introduce the notations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{r}(T)=\mathrm{c} .1 . \mathrm{m} \cdot \lambda \in \rho_{s}^{\prime}-F(T) \\
& H_{l}(T)=\mathrm{cr}(\lambda-T) \mathrm{m}_{\cdot \lambda \in \rho_{s}^{\prime}}(T) \operatorname{ker}(\lambda-T)^{*} \\
& H_{0}(T)=H \ominus\left(H_{r}(T) \oplus H_{l}(T)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof that $H_{r}(T)$ and $H_{l}(T)$ are orthogonal subspaces appears in [3].
Relative to the decomposition $H=H_{r}(T) \oplus H_{0}(T) \oplus H_{l}(T)$ the operator $T$ has the $3 \times 3$ matrix form

$$
T=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
T_{r} & A & B  \tag{3}\\
0 & T_{0} & C \\
0 & 0 & T_{l}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The relevant spectral properties of $T_{r}, T_{0}, T_{l}$ are:
(i) $\rho_{s-F}(T) \subset \rho_{r}\left(T_{r}\right) \cap \rho_{l}\left(T_{l}\right)$,
(ii) $\rho_{s-F}^{r}(T) \subset \rho\left(T_{0}\right)$ (the resolvent set of $T_{0}$ ),
(iii) $\rho_{s-F}^{s}(T)$ is a subset of the isolated eigenvalues of $T_{0}$ which have finite algebraic multiplicity.

The proofs of the inclusions (i)-(iii) appear in [3].
Next let $\mathcal{G}$ be an open set and $T$ an operator having the $3 \times 3$ matrix form (3) relative to the decomposition $H=H_{r}(T) \oplus H_{0}(T) \oplus H_{l}(T)$. Assume further that $T_{r}$ has a right inverse function $R$ in $\mathcal{G}, T_{l}$ has a left inverse function $L$ in $\mathcal{G}$ and that $\mathcal{G} \subset \rho\left(T_{0}\right)$.

For $\lambda$ in $\mathcal{G}$, set
(4) $F(\lambda)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}R(\lambda) & R(\lambda) A R\left(\lambda: T_{0}\right) & R(\lambda)\left[A R\left(\lambda: T_{0}\right) C+B\right] L(\lambda) \\ 0 & R\left(\lambda: T_{0}\right) & R\left(\lambda: T_{0}\right) C L(\lambda) \\ 0 & 0 & L(\lambda)\end{array}\right]$;

Licene, $R\left(\lambda: T_{T}\right)=\left(\lambda-T_{0}\right)^{-1}$.

The operator valued function has the following properties [4]:
(a) $F$ is a generalized inverse function for $F$ in $\mathcal{G}$.
(b) If $R, L$ are analytic in $\mathcal{G}$, then $F$ is an analytic generalized inverse function for $T$ in $\mathcal{G}$.
(c) If $R, L$ are right and left resolvents of $T_{r}, T_{l}$, respectively, then $F$ is a generalized resolvent of $T$ in $\mathcal{G}$.
2. Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\varepsilon>0$. It suffices to construct a generalized resolvent for $T$ on each component $\mathcal{G}$ of $\rho_{F}^{r}(T)$ except for an at most countable set $S$ which does not accumulate in $\mathcal{G}$ and satisfies $\operatorname{dist}(S$, bdry $\mathcal{G})$ $<\varepsilon$. For such a $\mathcal{G}$ we have $\mathcal{G} \subset \rho_{r}\left(T_{r}\right) \cap \rho_{l}\left(T_{l}\right)$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { dimension } \operatorname{ker}\left(\lambda-T_{r}\right) & \equiv n<\infty \\
\text { dimension } \operatorname{ker}\left(\lambda-T_{l}\right)^{*} & \equiv m<\infty \quad \text { on } \mathcal{G}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from Lemma 3 that we can construct a right resolvent $R$ for $T_{r}$ in all of $\mathcal{G}$ except for an at most countable set $S^{\prime}$ which does not accumulate in $\mathcal{G}$ and satisfies $\operatorname{dist}\left(S^{\prime}\right.$, bdry $\left.\mathcal{G}\right)<\varepsilon$. The same argument applied to $T_{l}^{*}$ in $\mathcal{G} *$ implies the existence of a left resolvent $L$ for $T_{l}$ in all of $\mathcal{G}$ except for an at most countable set $S^{\prime \prime}$ which does not accumulate in $\mathcal{G}$ and satisfies $\operatorname{dist}\left(S^{\prime \prime}, \operatorname{bdry} \mathcal{G}\right)<\varepsilon$.

From the inclusion $\mathcal{G} \subset \rho\left(T_{0}\right)$ it follows that the function $F$ defined by (4) is a generalized resolvent for $T$ in $\mathcal{G}$ except for the at most countable set $S=S^{\prime} \cup S^{\prime \prime}$, where $S$ does not accumulate in $\mathcal{G}$ and satisfies $\operatorname{dist}(S$, bdry $\mathcal{G}$ ) $<\varepsilon$. This completes the proof.

Remark. It would be possible to extend the above argument to construct a generalized resolvent in all of $\rho_{r}(T)$ if the following question has an affirmative answer.

Question. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be an open connected subset in $\rho_{r}(T)$. Assume

$$
\text { dimension } \operatorname{ker}(\lambda-T)=1, \text { for all } \lambda \in \mathcal{G}
$$

Does there exist a $y$ in $H$ such that $P_{\operatorname{ker}(\lambda-T)} y \neq 0$, for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{G}$ ?
In connection with the above question we refer the reader to [8] and the example of A. Douady. In [8, p. 244] an example is given of a holomorphic Hilbert space valued function on the unit disc $D$ with the following properties: (i) For each compact subset $K \subset D$, there is an $x \in H$ such that ( $h(z)$, $x$ ) has no zeroes on $K$. (ii) For all $y \in H$, the function $(h(z), y)$ has a zero in D.
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