

 Open access • Journal Article • DOI:10.1086/148461

On graphite and interstellar extinction — Source link

Theodore P. Stecher, Bertram Donn

Institutions: Goddard Space Flight Center

Published on: 01 Jan 1965 - The Astrophysical Journal

Topics: Scattering, Mie scattering, Interstellar medium and Light scattering

Related papers:

- [Interstellar Ectinction in the Ultraviolet.](#)
- [Optical properties of interstellar graphite and silicate grains](#)
- [An analysis of the shapes of ultraviolet extinction curves. I - The 2175 A bump](#)
- [The Size distribution of interstellar grains](#)
- [Infrared Emission from Interstellar Dust. II. The Diffuse Interstellar Medium](#)

Share this paper:    

View more about this paper here: <https://typeset.io/papers/on-graphite-and-interstellar-extinction-4w3wk8yhqk>

ON GRAPHITE AND INTERSTELLAR EXTINCTION

GPO PRICE \$

CFSTI PRICE(S) \$

Hard copy (HC) 1.00

Microfiche (MF) .52

653 July 65

It has been argued by Cayrel and Schatzman (1954) and by Hoyle and Wickramasinghe (1962) that graphite particles could be responsible for interstellar extinction. It is the purpose of this letter to present evidence that further supports this contention. The complex dielectric constant for graphite has been measured as a function of energy by Taft and Philipp (1965). The optical constants as a function of wavelength were obtained from this measurement and are plotted in the insert in Figure 1. A large variation occurs in both n and k at wavelengths below 3000A. In view of the results reported in the preceding letter we were prompted to carry out Mie scattering calculations on graphite. We have used the measured values of the complex index of refraction corresponding to 17 wavelengths in the calculation for spherical particles. The IBM 7094 computer program for Mie scattering follows van de Hulst (1957). The Oort-van de Hulst (van de Hulst 1949) size distribution, which may not be applicable to the type of particle discussed here, has been used to obtain an integrated cross-section for extinction. The steps were in terms of 0.8×10^{-6} cm for the particle radius with the $1/e^{\text{th}}$ value of the frequency function being 5.6×10^{-6} cm. In Figure 1 this curve is compared with the mean observed interstellar extinction of Boggess and Borgman (1964) and the further observational results reported in the preceding letter by one of us. The maximum in the theoretical extinction curve at $\lambda^{-1} = 4.4$ inverse microns is the signature of graphite. This maximum rapidly increases as the relative

AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC
 NASA DOCUMENT CENTER

XERO COPY

FACILITY FORM 802

N 66 22 241
 (ACCESSION NUMBER)

5
 (PAGES)

TMX-56630
 (NASA CR OR TMX OR AD NUMBER)

(THRU) _____

(CODE) **1**

(CATEGORY) **30**

size of the particle radius decreases. At the same time, the extinction for $\lambda^{-1} < 2$ decreases. A fifty percent increase in the relative radius of the particles appears sufficient to produce the variation in the ratio of selective to total extinction observed by Johnson (1965). A maximum in the observed interstellar extinction curve also occurs at $\lambda^{-1} = 4.4$ microns. The authors feel that this coincidence provides a strong argument in favor of graphite. For the first time there is structure in the extinction curve and it can be accounted for by a particular substance. It is of course possible that some other material could have the same signature and also be abundant in interstellar space but it seems improbable. The albedo for this particle size distribution is some what larger than that found by Wickramasinghe (1963) and may be sufficiently high to account for reflection nebula (van Houtin, 1961).

For shorter wavelengths, the calculated curve is inconsistent with the observations. It seems unlikely that a particle size distribution could be found that would be satisfactory. The addition of a dielectric material either as a coating of the graphite or as separate small particles could easily bring up the curve. A promising "dielectric" which is already present is graphite itself. Graphite is strongly anisotropic. Our calculations were made with optical constants measured for the electric vector in the basal planes. When the electric vector is perpendicular to the basal planes, the conductivity is at least 100 times smaller (Wickramasinghe 1963) and graphite then acts like a dielectric. Since graphite is presumed to be present in the form of flakes that are almost randomly oriented, a sizeable proportion of the flakes will present this thin dielectric face to the radiation.

Both the ratio of crystal axis and the "dielectric" index of refraction are uncertain which precludes a quantitative calculation at this time. This conjecture could be

observationally checked by polarization measurements at $\lambda^{-1} = 6 \mu^{-1}$. If graphite is assumed to be the sole cause of interstellar extinction a reversal in the sign of polarization would be expected when the "dielectric" extinction exceeds that of the conducting plane.

In addition to the proposal of Hoyle and Wickramasinghe for interstellar carbon grains it has recently been pointed out (Donn, 1965) that graphite flakes may grow in space if countering effects can be neglected. The exponential whisker growth mechanism (Sears, 1955) was shown to apply. For a plate of 10^{-6} cm thickness, the length reaches 5×10^{-6} cm in 6×10^8 years for $N_H = 1/\text{cm}^3$ and 6×10^7 years for $N_H = 10/\text{cm}^3$.

Grains such as these are intermediate in structure and mass between classical grains proposed by van de Hulst (1949) and Platt particles (Platt, 1956).

With the assumption of graphite it appears that it will be possible to obtain considerable detailed information concerning the particle size distribution in the interstellar clouds between us and any particular star by means of rocket observations

We wish to express our gratitude to Mrs. Jaylee Burley for interpreting her investigations to follow the calculations through the computer.

Theodore P. Stecher
Bertram Donn

Goddard Space Flight Center
National Aeronautics & Space Administration
Greenbelt, Maryland

REFERENCES

- Bogges III, A. and Borgman, J. 1964, Ap. J. 140, 1636.
- Cayrel, P. and Schatzman, E. 1954, Ann d'Ap. 17, 555.
- Donn, B. 1965, A. J. 70, 320.
- Hoyle, F. and Wickramasinghe, N. C. 1962, M.N., 124, 417.
- Hulst, H. C. van de 1949, Rech. Obs. Astr. Utrecht, 11, Part 2.
- Hulst, H.-C. van de 1957, Light Scattering by Small Particles
(New York, John Wiley & Sons, Inc.).
- Houten, C. J. van 1961, B.A.N. 16, #509, 1.
- Johnson, H. L. 1965, Ap. J., 141, 923.
- Platt, J. R. 1956, Ap. J. 123, 486.
- Sears, G. W. 1955, Acta/Met. 3, 301.
- Taft, E. A. and Philipp, H. R. 1965, Phy. Rev., 138, A197.
- Wickramasinghe, 1963a, M.N. 125, 87.

LEGEND

Figure 1 - Observed and theoretical interstellar extinction.

Large filled circles - The mean observed interstellar extinction reported in the

preceding letter. Open circles - Mean observed extinction of Boggess and Bergman (1964).

Points marked H and C - Observed extinction obtained from others. Curve G - Theoretical interstellar extinction for graphite grains.

Curve #15 - Theoretical extinction by van de Hulst (1949). Inset - The optical constants for graphite as a function of wavelength.




FIGURE # 1