

On Infinitesimally k -Flat Homogeneous Spaces

Jürgen Berndt* Evangelia Samiou

1 Introduction

A k -flat in a Riemannian manifold M is a k -dimensional, totally geodesic, complete, connected, flat submanifold. A homogeneous Riemannian manifold M is said to be k -flat homogeneous if every geodesic in M lies in a k -flat and if the isometry group of M acts transitively on the set of pairs (p, T) , where T is a k -flat in M and $p \in T$. A well-known result by Tits and Wang says that a 1-flat homogeneous space, or equivalently a two-point homogeneous space, is symmetric (for an elegant proof see [6]). This was generalized for arbitrary $k \geq 2$ to k -flat homogeneous spaces by Heintze, Palais, Terng and Thorbergsson in [2] for the compact case and by the second author in [3] and [4] for the general case. In this paper we investigate in how far these results are infinitesimal phenomena.

An infinitesimal curvature model (V, g, R) consists of a finite-dimensional real vector space V , a positive definite inner product g on V , and an algebraic curvature tensor R . An infinitesimal k -flat in (V, g, R) is a k -dimensional linear subspace F of V such that $R(X, Y)Z = 0$ for all $X, Y, Z \in F$. Let \mathcal{A} be the group of automorphisms of g and R , i.e. the isometries A of (V, g) satisfying $R(AX, AY)AZ = AR(X, Y)Z$ for all $X, Y, Z \in V$. We say that (V, g, R) is infinitesimally k -flat homogeneous if every one-dimensional linear subspace of V is contained in an infinitesimal k -flat in (V, g, R) and if \mathcal{A} acts transitively on the set of infinitesimal k -flats in (V, g, R) . A Riemannian manifold M with metric g and curvature tensor R is said to be infinitesimally k -flat homogeneous if for every $p \in M$ the infinitesimal curvature model $(T_p M, g_p, R_p)$ is infinitesimally k -flat homogeneous.

*Research supported by EPSRC Grant GR/M18355

Received by the editors May 2000.

Communicated by L. Vanhecke.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification* : 53C24, 53C25.

Key words and phrases : k -flat rigidity, infinitesimal flats, semi-symmetric spaces, pointwise Osserman spaces, two-point homogeneous spaces, cones.

Example. Let M be a connected Riemannian symmetric space of rank k . It is well-known that the isotropy subgroup K_p at p of the full isometry group of M acts transitively on the set of k -flats in M containing p . Moreover, if T is such a k -flat, it follows from the Gauss equation that $F = T_p T$ is an infinitesimal k -flat in $(T_p M, g_p, R_p)$. Conversely, given any infinitesimal k -flat F in $(T_p M, g_p, R_p)$, the image of F under the exponential map of M at p is a k -flat in M . Since $K_p \subset \mathcal{A}_p$ it follows that $(T_p M, g_p, R_p)$ is infinitesimally k -flat homogeneous. Hence a Riemannian symmetric space of rank k is infinitesimally k -flat homogeneous.

The Riemannian manifolds which have at every point the same infinitesimal curvature model as some symmetric space, are characterized by the property that $R_p(X, Y) \cdot R_p = 0$ for all $p \in M$ and $X, Y \in T_p M$, where $R_p(X, Y)$ acts as a derivation on R_p . Riemannian manifolds with this property are known as semi-symmetric spaces. Their local classification has been achieved by Szabó [5]. So the infinitesimal analoga of the results described above would be: If M is an infinitesimally k -flat homogeneous space then M is semi-symmetric.

In Section 2 we show that infinitesimally 1-flat homogeneous spaces are related to the Osserman Conjecture about the Jacobi operator of Riemannian manifolds. This implies that infinitesimally 1-flat homogeneous spaces of dimension $n \geq 3$ and $0 \neq n \pmod{4}$ are locally symmetric. For manifolds whose dimension is a multiple of four this remains an open problem.

In Section 3 we show that some cones over Riemannian symmetric spaces of rank one are infinitesimally 2-flat homogeneous, but not always semi-symmetric. This implies that k -flat rigidity of symmetric spaces is not an infinitesimal phenomenon for $k = 2$.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank EPSRC for the financial support. The second author would like to thank the University of Hull for the hospitality during the preparation of this paper.

2 Infinitesimally 1-flat homogeneous spaces

Let M be an infinitesimally 1-flat homogeneous space. We fix a point $p \in M$ and choose a unit tangent vector $X \in T_p M$. The Jacobi operator of M with respect to X is the self-adjoint endomorphism

$$R_X : T_p M \rightarrow T_p M, Y \mapsto R_X Y := R(Y, X)X$$

of $(T_p M, g_p)$. Let Y be an eigenvector of R_X with eigenvalue κ . For any $A \in \mathcal{A}_p$ we have

$$R_{AX} AY = R(A Y, A X) A X = A R(Y, X) X = A R_X Y = \kappa A Y.$$

Since M is infinitesimally 1-flat homogeneous it follows that the spectrum of the Jacobi operator is independent of the choice of the unit tangent vector X at p . Riemannian manifolds with such a property are known as pointwise Osserman spaces [1].

The only known examples of pointwise Osserman spaces are two-dimensional Riemannian manifolds, four-dimensional self-dual Einstein manifolds and Riemannian manifolds which are locally isometric to two-point homogeneous spaces. A

Riemannian manifold which is locally isometric to a two-point homogeneous space is infinitesimally 1-flat homogeneous. For a two-dimensional Riemannian manifold M we have $\mathcal{A}_p = O(T_p M, g_p)$ for all $p \in M$, which implies that M is infinitesimally 1-flat homogeneous. The results in [1] also imply that any infinitesimally 1-flat homogeneous space M with $\dim M = 2m + 1$ or $\dim M = 4m + 2$ for some $m \geq 1$ is a real space form (in both cases) or a complex space form (only in the second case). We summarize the previous discussion about infinitesimally 1-flat homogeneous spaces in

Theorem 1. *The following statements hold:*

- (a) *Every infinitesimally 1-flat homogeneous space is a pointwise Osserman space;*
- (b) *Every two-dimensional Riemannian manifold is infinitesimally 1-flat homogeneous;*
- (c) *An odd-dimensional Riemannian manifold is infinitesimally 1-flat homogeneous if and only if it is a space of constant sectional curvature;*
- (d) *A $(4m+2)$ -dimensional ($m \geq 1$) Riemannian manifold is infinitesimally 1-flat homogeneous if and only if it is a space of constant sectional curvature or a Kähler manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature.*

From Theorem 1 we conclude that an infinitesimally 1-flat homogeneous space of dimension $n \geq 3$ and $0 \neq n \pmod{4}$ is locally symmetric. If $0 = n \pmod{4}$ this remains an open problem.

3 Infinitesimally 2-flat homogeneous spaces

We first describe some properties of the curvature tensor of cones. Let I be some open interval in \mathbb{R} equipped with the canonical Riemannian metric dt^2 and let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a \neq 0$ and $f(t) = at + b > 0$ for all $t \in I$. Let M be a Riemannian manifold with Riemannian metric g . Then the cone $M_I^{a,b}$ is the smooth manifold $I \times M$ equipped with the Riemannian metric $\pi_1^* dt^2 + (f^2 \circ \pi_1) \pi_2^* g$, where $\pi_1 : I \times M \rightarrow I$ and $\pi_2 : I \times M \rightarrow M$ denote the canonical projections. The following lemma can be obtained by a straightforward calculation.

Lemma 1. *Let $M_I^{a,b}$ be a cone over a Riemannian manifold (M, g) with $\dim M \geq 2$. Let $(t, q) \in M_I^{a,b}$ and $X, Y \in T_{(t,q)} M_I^{a,b}$ be orthonormal vectors perpendicular to the unit vector $T := \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(t) \in T_t I \subset T_t I \oplus T_q M = T_{(t,q)} M_I^{a,b}$. We denote by R and R^\times the curvature tensor of $M_I^{a,b}$ and the Riemannian product $I \times M$ at (t, q) , respectively. Then*

$$R(X, T) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad R(Y, X)X = R^\times(Y, X)X - \frac{a^2}{(at + b)^2} Y .$$

We briefly recall the classification of two-point homogeneous spaces: The Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 1$); the sphere S^n ($n \geq 1$); the projective spaces $\mathbb{F}P^n$ ($n \geq 2$) over $\mathbb{F} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}\}$; the Cayley projective plane $\mathbb{O}P^2$; the hyperbolic spaces $\mathbb{F}H^n$

($n \geq 2$) over $\mathbb{F} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}\}$; the Cayley hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{O}H^2$. The metric on \mathbb{R}^n is the standard Euclidean metric, on S^1 one may take the metric which is induced from \mathbb{R}^2 , and the metric on any other space is the unique (up to homothety) Riemannian metric turning it into a Riemannian symmetric space. The main result of this section is

Theorem 2. *Let M be a two-point homogeneous space. Then the cone $M_I^{a,b}$ over M is infinitesimally 2-flat homogeneous if and only if*

- (a) $M \in \{\mathbb{R}^n, S^n, \mathbb{R}P^n, \mathbb{R}H^n, \mathbb{C}H^n, \mathbb{H}H^n, \mathbb{O}H^2\}$, or
- (b) $M \in \{\mathbb{C}P^n, \mathbb{H}P^n, \mathbb{O}P^2\}$ and a^2 is different from the minimum and the maximum of the sectional curvature of M .

Proof. Let M be a two-point homogeneous space. Each cone over a one-dimensional Riemannian manifold is flat and hence infinitesimally 2-flat homogeneous. We therefore assume $\dim M \geq 2$ from now on. With the above notations let $M_I^{a,b}$ be a cone over M and let $(t, q) \in M_I^{a,b}$ be arbitrary.

Lemma 1 shows that the 2-dimensional linear subspaces $\sigma_{T,X}$ spanned by T and some unit vector $X \in T_{(t,q)}(\{t\} \times M) \subset T_{(t,q)}M_I^{a,b}$ are infinitesimal 2-flats. Every isometry k of M with $k(q) = q$ extends to an isometry \bar{k} of $M_I^{a,b}$ by $\bar{k}(s, p) := (s, k(p))$ for $(s, p) \in M_I^{a,b}$. Let $\sigma_{T,X}$ and $\sigma_{T,Y}$ be two infinitesimal 2-flats of $M_I^{a,b}$ at (t, q) . Since M is two-point homogeneous there exists an isometry k of M with $k(q) = q$ and $k_*X = Y$. Then \bar{k}_* maps $\sigma_{T,X}$ to $\sigma_{T,Y}$ and we see that the automorphism group $\mathcal{A}_{(t,q)}$ acts transitively on the set of all infinitesimal 2-flats of the form $\sigma_{T,X}$.

Let σ be an arbitrary 2-dimensional linear subspace of $T_{(t,q)}M_I^{a,b}$ which does not contain T . Then there exist $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and orthonormal vectors $X, Y \in T_{(t,q)}(\{t\} \times M) \subset T_{(t,q)}M_I^{a,b}$ such that σ is the span of $\lambda T + X$ and Y . If σ is an infinitesimal 2-flat, Lemma 1 implies

$$0 = R(Y, \lambda T + X)(\lambda T + X) = R(Y, X)X = R^\times(Y, X)X - \frac{a^2}{(at + b)^2}Y .$$

The restriction of R^\times to $T_qM \subset T_{(t,q)}(I \times M)$ is the curvature tensor R^M of M at q . The previous equation thus shows that $a^2/(at + b)^2$ is an eigenvalue of the Jacobi operator $T_qM \rightarrow T_qM$, $Z \mapsto R^M(Z, X)X$ of M with respect to X . Note that $(at + b)X$ is a unit tangent vector of M . If M is a space of constant curvature κ , the orthogonal complement of $\mathbb{R}X$ in T_qM is an eigenspace of the Jacobi operator of M with respect to $(at + b)X$ with corresponding eigenvalue κ . If $M \in \{\mathbb{R}H^n, \mathbb{C}H^n, \mathbb{H}H^n, \mathbb{O}H^2\}$ then M has negative sectional curvature and hence all eigenvalues of its Jacobi operators are nonpositive. Let $M \in \{\mathbb{C}P^n, \mathbb{H}P^n, \mathbb{O}P^2\}$ and denote by κ the maximum of the sectional curvature on M . Then $\kappa/4$ is the minimum of the sectional curvature on M , and the eigenvalues of the Jacobi operator of M with respect to $(at + b)X$ corresponding to eigenvectors perpendicular to X are κ and $\kappa/4$. This discussion shows that every infinitesimal 2-flat in $T_{(t,q)}M_I^{a,b}$ contains T if and only if

- (1) $M \in \{\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}H^n, \mathbb{C}H^n, \mathbb{H}H^n, \mathbb{O}H^2\}$, or
- (2) $M \in \{S^n, \mathbb{R}P^n\}$ and a^2 is different from the sectional curvature of M , or

- (3) $M \in \{\mathbb{C}P^n, \mathbb{H}P^n, \mathbb{O}P^2\}$ and a^2 is different from the minimum and the maximum of the sectional curvature of M .

In all these cases we can now conclude that $M_I^{a,b}$ is infinitesimally 2-flat homogeneous.

If $M \in \{S^n, \mathbb{R}P^n\}$ and a^2 is equal to the sectional curvature of M then, by Lemma 1,

$$0 = R^\times(Y, X)X - \frac{a^2}{(at+b)^2}Y = R(Y, X)X = R(Y, \lambda T + X)(\lambda T + X)$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and all orthonormal vectors $X, Y \in T_{(t,q)}(\{t\} \times M) \subset T_{(t,q)}M_I^{a,b}$. Using the fact that the subspaces $\sigma_{T,Y}$ are infinitesimal 2-flats we see that every 2-dimensional linear subspace of $T_{(t,q)}M_I^{a,b}$ is an infinitesimal 2-flat. This shows that $M_I^{a,b}$ is flat, and hence in particular infinitesimally 2-flat homogeneous.

Finally, let $M \in \{\mathbb{C}P^n, \mathbb{H}P^n, \mathbb{O}P^2\}$ and assume that a^2 is equal to the minimum or to the maximum of the sectional curvature of M . Let $X, Y \in T_{(t,q)}(\{t\} \times M) \subset T_{(t,q)}M_I^{a,b}$ be orthonormal such that Y is an eigenvector of the Jacobi operator of M with respect to $(at+b)X$ corresponding to the eigenvalue a^2 . Then X is an eigenvector of the Jacobi operator of M with respect to $(at+b)Y$ corresponding to the same eigenvalue a^2 , and from Lemma 1 we get

$$0 = R^\times(Y, X)X - \frac{a^2}{(at+b)^2}Y = R(Y, X)X$$

and

$$0 = R^\times(X, Y)Y - \frac{a^2}{(at+b)^2}X = R(X, Y)Y .$$

Therefore the 2-dimensional linear subspace $\sigma_{X,Y}$ of $T_{(t,q)}M_I^{a,b}$ spanned by X and Y is an infinitesimal 2-flat. On the other hand, if $Z \in T_{(t,q)}(\{t\} \times M) \subset T_{(t,q)}M_I^{a,b}$ is a unit vector which is an eigenvector of the Jacobi operator of M with respect to $(at+b)X$ corresponding to the non-zero eigenvalue different from a^2 , we get from Lemma 1

$$0 \neq R^\times(Z, X)X - \frac{a^2}{(at+b)^2}Z = R(Z, X)X .$$

This shows that not every 2-dimensional linear subspace of $T_{(t,q)}M_I^{a,b}$ containing X is an infinitesimal 2-flat. Eventually, using again Lemma 1, we get

$$R(Z, \lambda T + X)(\lambda T + X) = R(Z, X)X \neq 0$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. From this we see that T and $-T$ are the only unit vectors in $T_{(t,q)}M_I^{a,b}$ for which every 2-dimensional linear subspace containing this vector is an infinitesimal 2-flat. This implies that there cannot be an automorphism in $\mathcal{A}_{(t,q)}$ which maps $\sigma_{T,X}$ to $\sigma_{X,Y}$. It follows that $M_I^{a,b}$ is not infinitesimally 2-flat homogeneous. \blacksquare

It can be seen from the classification of semi-symmetric spaces by Szabó in [5] that the cones over \mathbb{R}^n , S^n , $\mathbb{R}P^n$ and $\mathbb{R}H^n$ are semi-symmetric spaces, whereas the cones over $\mathbb{C}P^n$, $\mathbb{H}P^n$, $\mathbb{O}P^2$, $\mathbb{C}H^n$, $\mathbb{H}H^n$ and $\mathbb{O}H^2$ are not semi-symmetric. We therefore conclude from Theorem 2 that there exist infinitesimally 2-flat homogeneous spaces which are not semi-symmetric. Thus the infinitesimal version of the rigidity result by Heintze-Palais-Terng-Thorbergsson and the second author does not hold.

References

- [1] P. Gilkey, A. Swann, L. Vanhecke: Isoparametric geodesic spheres and a conjecture of Osserman concerning the Jacobi operator, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2)* 46 (1995), 299-320.
- [2] E. Heintze, R. Palais, C.L. Terng, G. Thorbergsson: Hyperpolar actions and k -flat homogeneous spaces, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 454 (1994), 163-179.
- [3] E. Samiou: The symmetry of 2-point homogeneous spaces, *Geom. Dedicata* 65 (1997), 161-165.
- [4] E. Samiou: Flats and symmetry of homogeneous spaces, *Math. Z.* 234 (2000), 145-162.
- [5] Z.I. Szabó: Structure theorems on Riemannian manifolds satisfying $R(X, Y) \cdot R = 0$, I. The local version, *J. Differential Geom.* 17 (1982), 531-582.
- [6] Z.I. Szabó: A short topological proof for the symmetry of 2-point homogeneous spaces, *Invent. Math.* 106 (1991), 61-64.

J. Berndt: University of Hull,
Department of Mathematics,
Hull HU6 7RX, England

E. Samiou: University of Cyprus,
Department of Mathematics and Statistics,
P.O.Box 20537,
1678 Nicosia, Cyprus