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# ON $k$-DOMATIC NUMBERS OF GRAPHS 

Bohdan Zelinka, Liberec
(Received March 4, 1982)

In [1] M. Borowiecki and M. Kuzak have generalized the concept of a dominating set in a graph. Let $G$ be an undirected graph without loops and multiple edges, let $k$ be a positive integer. A $k$-dominating set in the graph $G$ is a subset $D$ of the vertex set $V(G)$ of $G$ with the property that for each vertex $x \in V(G)-D$ there exists a vertex $y \in D$ such that $d(x, y) \leqq k$. (The symbol $d(x, y)$ denotes the distance of the vertices $x, y$ in the graph $G$.) For $k=1$ the $k$-dominating sets are dominating sets in the usual sense.
This leads to a generalization of the concept of the domatic number of a graph which was introduced by E. J. Cockayne and S. T. Hedetniemi in [2]. A $k$-domatic partition of $G$ is a partition of $V(G)$, all of whose classes are $k$-dominating sets in $G$. The maximum number of classes of a $k$-domatic partition of $G$ is called the $k$ domatic number of $G$ and denoted by $d_{k}(G)$.

For $k=1$ we have $d_{k}(G)=d(G)$, where $d(G)$ is the domatic number of $G$.
Proposition 1. Let $k, l$ be positive integers, $k<l$. Let $G$ be an undirected graph. Then $d_{k}(G) \leqq d_{l}(G)$.

Proof. From the definition of a $k$-dominating set it is clear that each $k$-dominating set in $G$ is also $l$-dominating in $G$ and hence each $k$-domatic partition of $G$ is an $l$ domatic partition of $G$. This implies the assertion.

Proposition 2. Let $G$ be an undirected graph with $n$ vertices, let $D(G)$ be its diameter. Then $d_{k}(G)=n$ for each $k \geqq D(G)$.

Proof. Let $k \geqq D(G)$, let $x \in V(G)$. For each $y \in V(G)$ we have $d(x, y) \leqq D(G) \leqq$ $\leqq k$, therefore $\{x\}$ is a $k$-dominating set in $G$. The partition of $V(G)$ into one-element sets is a $k$-domatic partition of $G$; it has $n$ classes and no partition of $V(G)$ can have more than $n$ classes. This implies $d_{k}(G)=n$.

Proposition 3. Let $G$ be an undirected graph, let $G^{\prime}$ be its spanning subgraph. Then $d_{k}(G) \geqq d_{k}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$.
Proof. The assertion follows from the fact that $V\left(G^{\prime}\right)=V(G)$ and the distance of arbitrary two vertices in $G^{\prime}$ is greater than or equal to that in $G$.

Proposition 4. Let $G$ be an undirected graph, let $k$ be a positive integer. Then $d_{k}(G)$ is equal to the minimum of $k$-domatic numbers of all connected components of $G$.
The proof is left to the reader.
Theorem 1. Let $G$ be a connected undirected graph with $n$ vertices, let $k$ be a positive integer. Then

$$
d_{k}(G) \geqq \min (n, k+1) .
$$

Proof. If $n \leqq k+1$, then the diameter of $G$ is at most $k$, therefore $d_{k}(G)=n$. Suppose that $n>k+1$. Choose a spanning tree $T$ of $G$. If the diameter of $T$ is less than or equal to $k$, then so is the diameter of $G$ and $d_{k}(G)=n$. If the diameter of $T$ is greater than $k$, let $c$ be a centre of $T$. Let $P$ be a diametral path in $T$; the vertex $c$ lies on $P$. Let $P_{1}, P_{2}$ be two subpaths of $P$ whose union is the whole $P$ and which have exactly one vertex in common, namely $c$. If $T$ has two centres, then we suppose (without loss of generality) that the centre different from $c$ lies on $P_{1}$. Let $B_{1}$ be the subtree of $T$ whose vertex set consists of all vertices $x$ with the property that $c$ does not lie between $x$ and any vertex of $P_{1}$. We shall colour the vertices of $T$ by the colours $0,1, \ldots, k$ in the following way. The vertex $c$ is coloured by 0 . Any vertex of $B_{1}$ is coloured by the colour $i$ such that $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, k\}$ and $i \equiv-d(c, x)(\bmod (k+1))$. Any vertex $x$ of $T$ not lying in $B_{1}$ is coloured by the colour $i$ such that $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, k\}$ and $i \equiv d(c, x)(\bmod (k+1))$. In both these cases $d(c, x)$ denotes the distance of $c$ and $x$ in $T$. As the diameter of $T$ is greater than $k$, the path $P_{1}$ has a length at least $] k / 2\left[\right.$ and contains the vertices of all the colours $[k / 2]+1, \ldots, k$; the path $P_{2}$ has a length at least $[k / 2]$ and contains the vertices of all the colours $1, \ldots,[k / 2]$. (Here and in the sequel for an arbitrary real number $a$ the symbol [a] denotes the greatest integer which is less than or equal to $a$ and the symbol ] [ denotes the least integer which is greater than or equal to $a$.) Let $D_{i}$ be the set of all vertices of $T$ which are coloured by the colour $i$ (for $i=0,1, \ldots, k$ ). Let $i$ be an arbitrary one from the numbers $0,1, \ldots, k$; we shall prove that $D_{i}$ is a $k$-dominating set in $T$. Let $x \in$ $\in V(T)-D_{i}$; then $x \in D_{j}$ for some $j$ distinct from $i$. Suppose $i<j$. If $x$ does not lie in $B_{1}$, then on the path connecting $x$ with $c$ there is a vertex $y$ such that $d(c, y)=$ $=d(c, x)-j+i$; we have $y \in D_{i}$ and $d(x, y)=j-i \leqq k$. If $x$ lies in $B_{1}$ and $d(c, x) \geqq k+1$, then there exists a vertex $y$ in $B_{1}$ such that $d(c, y)=d(c, x)-$ $-k-1-i+j$; we have $y \in D_{i}$ and $d(x, y)=k+1+i-j \leqq k$. If $x$ lies in $B_{1}$ and $d(c, x) \leqq k$, then $d(c, x)=k+1-j$ and there exists a vertex $y$ on $P_{2}$ such that $d(c, y)=i$; we have $y \in D_{i}$ and $d(x, y)=k+1-j+i \leqq k$. Now suppose $i>j$. If $x$ lies in $B_{1}$, then on the path connecting $x$ with $c$ there is a vertex $y$ such that $d(c, y)=d(c, x)-i+j$; we have $y \in D_{i}$ and $d(x, y)=i-j \leqq k$. If $x$ does not lie in $B_{1}$ and $d(c, x) \geqq k+1$, then on the path connecting $x$ and $c$ there exists a vertex $y$ such that $d(c, y)=d(c, x)-k-1+i-j$; we have $y \in D_{i}$ and $d(x, y)=$ $=k+1-i+j \leqq k$. If $x$ does not lie in $B_{1}$ and $d(c, x) \leqq k$, then $d(c, x)=j$
and on $P_{1}$ there exists a vertex $y$ such that $d(c, y)=k+1-i$; then $y \in D_{i}$ and $d(x, y)=k+1-i+j \leqq k$. We have proved that $D_{i}$ is a $k$-dominating set in $T$. As $i$ was chosen arbitrarily, $\left\{D_{0}, D_{1}, \ldots, D_{k}\right\}$ is a $k$-domatic partition of $T$ with $k+1$ classes and $d_{k}(T) \geqq k+1$. According to Proposition 3 we have $d_{k}(G) \geqq$ $\geqq d_{k}(T) \geqq k+1$.

A graph consisting of one path will be called a snake.
Theorem 2. Let $G$ be a snake with $n$ vertices, let $k$ be a positive integer. Then

$$
d_{k}(G)=\min (n, k+1) .
$$

Proof. According to Theorem 1 the $k$-domatic number of $G$ is at least $\min (n, k+1)$. If $n \leqq k+1$, it evidently cannot be greater. Thus suppose that $n>k+1$. Let $u$ be a terminal vertex of $G$. There are exactly $k+1$ vertices of $G$ whose distances from $u$ are at most $k$. If $\mathscr{P}$ is a partition of $V(G)$ into at least $k+2$ classes, then at least one class of $\mathscr{P}$ contains none of these vertices. This class is not a $k$-dominating set in $G$, thus $\mathscr{P}$ is not a $k$-domatic partition of $G$. Hence $d_{k}(G)=$ $=k+1=\min (n, k+1)$.

Theorem 3. Let $k, n$ be two positive integers, let $2 \leqq k<n$. Then for each integer $m$ such that $k+1 \leqq m \leqq n$ there exists a tree $T_{m}$ with $n$ vertices such that $d_{k}\left(T_{m}\right)=$ $=m$.

Proof. According to Theorem 2 a snake with $n$ vertices may be taken as $T_{k+1}$. Now let $k+2 \leqq m \leqq n$. Let $a=[n / m]$. Take a snake $S$ with $a(k+1)$ vertices. Let $u$ be a terminal vertex of $S$. Let $v$ be the vertex of $S$ adjacent to $u$. To each vertex of $S$ distinct from $v$ whose distance from $u$ is congruent with 1 modulo $k+1$ (there are exactly $a-1$ such vertices) we add $m-k-1$ new vertices and join them with it by edges. To $v$ we add $n-a m+m-k-1$ new vertices and join them with by edges. We obtain a tree $T_{m}$ which has evidently $n$ vertices. Now we colour the vertices of $T_{m}$ by the colours $0,1, \ldots, m-1$. If $x$ is a vertex of $S$, then we colour it by the colour $i$ such that $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, k\}$ and $i \equiv d(u, x)(\bmod (k+1))$. If $y$ is a vertex of $S$ such that $y \neq v$ and $d(u, y) \equiv 1(\bmod (k+1))$, then to $y$ we have added $m-k-1$ new vertices; we colour them by the colours $k+1, \ldots, m-1$. The vertices adjacent to $v$ and not belonging to $S$ will be coloured also by the colours $k+1, \ldots, m-1$; some of these colours may be repeated. (We have $n-a m+$ $+m-k-1 \geqq m-k-1$, because $a \leqq n / m$.) Let $D_{i}$ be the set of all vertices of $T_{m}$ coloured by the colour $i\left(\right.$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, m-1$ ). We shall prove that each $D_{i}$ is a $k$-dominating set in $T_{m}$. First suppose $i \leqq k$. Let $x \in V\left(T_{m}\right)-D_{i}$; then $x \in D_{j}$ for some $j \neq i$. If $j<i$, then $x$ belongs to $S$. If $d(u, x) \leqq k$, then $d(u, x)=j$. There exists a vertex $y$ of $S$ such that $d(u, y)=i$; we have $y \in D_{i}$ and $d(x, y)=i-j \leqq k$. If $d(u, x) \geqq k+1$, then there exists a vertex $y$ of $S$ such that $d(u, y)=d(u, x)-$ $-k+i-j-1$; we have $y \in D_{i}$ and $d(x, y)=k-i+j+1 \leqq k$. If $i<j \leqq k$, then $x$ belongs to $S$. There exists a vertex $y$ of $S$ such that $d(u, y)=d(u, x)+i-j$;
we have $y \in D_{i}$ and $d(x, y)=j-i \leqq k$. If $j>k$, then $x$ does not belong to $S$ and is adjacent to a vertex $z \in D_{1}$. If $z=v$, then there exists a vertex $y$ of $S$ such that $d(u, y)=i$; we have $y \in D_{i}$ and $d(x, y)=i \leqq k$. If $z \neq v, i \neq 0, i \neq 1, i \neq 2$, then there exists a vertex $y$ of $S$ such that $d(u, y)=d(u, z)-k+i-2$; we have $d(x, y)=k-i+3 \leqq k$. If $i=1$, then we have $z \in D_{i}$ and $d(x, z)=1 \leqq k$. If $i=0$ or $i=2$, then the vertex $y$ of $S$ adjacent to $z$ has the property that $y \in D_{i}$ and $d(x, y)=2 \leqq k$.

Now suppose $i>k$. Let again $x \in V\left(T_{m}\right)-D_{i}$; then $x \in D_{j}$ for some $j \neq i$. If $j \leqq k$, then there exists a vertex $z$ of $S$ such that $d(u, z)=d(u, x)-j+1$; we have $z \in D_{1}$ and $d(x, z)=j-1$. There exists a vertex $y \in D_{i}$ adjacent to $z$ and $d(x, y)=$ $=j \leqq k$. If $j>k$, then $x$ is adjacent to a vertex $z \in D_{1}$ and there exists another vertex $y$ adjacent to $z$ such that $y \in D_{i}$, while $d(x, y)=2 \leqq k$.

Thus we have proved that each $D_{i}$ is a $k$-dominating set in $T_{m}$ and $\left\{D_{0}, D_{1}, \ldots\right.$ $\left.\ldots, D_{m-1}\right\}$ is a $k$-domatic partition of $T_{m}$, which implies $d_{k}\left(T_{m}\right) \geqq m$. Now let $w$ be the terminal vertex of $S$ distinct from $u$. There are exactly $m$ vertices (including $w$ itself) whose distance from $w$ in $T_{m}$ is less than or equal to $m$. By the same consideration as in the proof of Theorem 2 we prove that $d_{k}\left(T_{m}\right)$ cannot be greater than $m$ and thus $d_{k}\left(T_{m}\right)=m$.

In Fig. 1 there is a tree $T_{m}$ for $k=4, m=7, n=23$.


Fig. 1.

Theorem 4. Let $C_{n}$ be a circuit with $n$ vertices, let $k$ be a positive integer. Then

$$
d_{k}\left(C_{n}\right)=\left[\frac{n}{] \frac{n}{2 k+1}}[] .\right.
$$

Proof. If $n<2 k+1$, then $d_{k}\left(C_{n}\right)=n$ according to Proposition 2 and

$$
n=\left[\frac{n}{] \frac{n}{2 k+1}}[]\right.
$$

If $n \geqq 2 k+1$, then to each vertex of $C_{n}$ there exist exactly $2 k+1$ vertices (including this vertex itself) whose distances from this vertex are at most $k$. Therefore each $k$ dominating set in $C_{n}$ has at least $] n /(2 k+1)$ [ vertices and each domatic partition
of $C_{n}$ has at most

$$
\left[\frac{n}{] \frac{n}{2 k+1}[ }\right]
$$

classes.
Now denote

$$
q=\left[\frac{n}{] \frac{n}{2 k+1}}[], \quad r=(2 k+1) q-n, \quad s=\right] r / q[.
$$

The circuit $C_{n}$ can be divided into $q$ edge-disjoint paths such that $q s-r$ of them have the length $2 k+2-s$ and the remaining $q+r-q s$ of them have the length $2 k+1-s$. (The reader may verify that $q s-r<q$ and that the sum of the lengths of the described paths is equal to $n$.) Let $P$ be the set of the described paths. We colour the vertices of $C_{n}$ by the colours $0,1, \ldots, 2 k-s$ in the following way. The terminal vertices of the paths of $P$ (each of them common for two of these paths) are coloured by 0 . Now we choose a sense of running around $C_{n}$. If a path from $P$ has the length $2 k+1-s$ ( or $2 k+2-s$ ), we run along it in the chosen sense and colour its inner vertices consecutively by the colours $1, \ldots, 2 k-s$ (or $0,1, \ldots, 2 k-s$, respectively). Let $D_{i}$ be the set of vertices of $C_{n}$ which are coloured by the colour $i$ for $i=0,1, \ldots, 2 k-s$. We see that for any fixed $i$ the distance between two vertices of $D_{i}$ is at most $2 k+2-s$ for $s \geqq 1$ and at most $2 k+1-s$ for $s=0$; thus in both the cases at most $2 k+1$. This implies that any vertex not belonging to $D_{i}$ has the distance at most $k$ from some vertex of $D_{i}$. Hence $D_{i}$ is a $k$-dominating set in $C_{n}$, $\left\{D_{0}, D_{1}, \ldots, D_{2 k-s}\right\}$ is a $k$-domatic partition of $C_{n}$ and $d_{k}\left(C_{n}\right) \geqq 2 k-s+1$. We shall compute $2 k-s+1$. We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
2 k-s+1=2 k-] r / q[+1=2 k-]((2 k+1) q-n) / q[+1= \\
\quad=2 k-(2 k+1)+[n / q]+1=[n / q]=\left[\frac{n}{] \frac{n}{2 k+1}[ }\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore $d_{k}\left(C_{n}\right)$ is greater than or equal to this number; as the converse inequality was proved above, it is equal to it.
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