

Józef Burzyk
On K -sequences

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 43 (1993), No. 1, 1–6

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128383>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1993

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON K -SEQUENCES

JÓSEF BURZYK, Katowice

(Received February 2, 1988)

1. We recall that a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a topological group X is called a K -sequence if for every subsequence $\{y_n\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ there are a subsequence $\{t_n\}$ of $\{y_n\}$ and $t \in X$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n = t$$

(see [1]).

K -sequences converge to zero. Sequences converging to zero in a complete metric group are K -sequences.

In this note we prove

Theorem 1. *Assume that X is a topological group, $\{F_k\}$ is a nondecreasing sequence of closed subsets of X such that*

$$X = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k$$

and assume that $\{x_n\}$ is a K -sequence in X . Then there exists an index k_0 such that

$$x_n \in F_{k_0} + \left\{ - \sum_{m \in A} x_m : A \subset \{1, \dots, k_0\} \right\}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

As consequences of Theorem 1 we get the following theorems.

Theorem 2. *Assume that f_n for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and f are sequentially continuous non-negative mappings defined on X such that the following conditions hold:*

(a) f_n for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are triangle mappings, i.e.

$$f_n(x + y) \leq f_n(x) + f_n(y) \quad \text{for } x, y \in \mathbb{N};$$

(b) $f(0) = 0$;

(c) $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ for every $x \in X$,

and assume that $\{x_n\}$ is a K -sequence in X .

Then $f_n(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 3. *If X is a Fréchet topological group such that every sequence converging to zero in X is a K -sequence, then X is of the second category.*

We recall that X is a Fréchet topological group if for every subset A of X and for every element x which belongs to the closure \bar{A} of A there is a sequence $\{x_n\}$ of elements in A such that $x_n \rightarrow x$. In the case when X is a metric group, Theorem 3 was proved in [2]. Theorem 3 in the present form was proved in [3]. The proof of Theorem 3 produced in this paper is simpler than the proof in [3] and suggests a generalization of the theorem.

2. In this section we prove the theorems formulated in Section 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose that Theorem 1 does not hold. Then there are a topological group X , a nondecreasing sequence $\{F_k\}$ of closed subsets of X , a K -sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X and a subsequence $\{m_n\}$ of $\{n\}$ such that

$$x_{m_{n+1}} \notin F_{m_n} + \left\{ - \sum_{m \in A} x_m : A \subset \{1, \dots, m_n\} \right\}.$$

Since $\{F_k\}$ is a nondecreasing sequence of subsets of X and subsequences of K -sequences are K -sequences, we may assume that $m_n = n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &\notin G_1 = \{0\}, \\ x_{n+1} &\notin G_{n+1} = F_n + \left\{ - \sum_{m \in A} x_m : A \subset \{1, \dots, n\} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since G_n for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are closed subsets of X , there are continuous pseudonorms p_n on X and numbers $\varepsilon_n > 0$ such that

$$(1) \quad \inf \{ p_n(x_n - z) : z \in G_n \} > \varepsilon_n$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As $p_1(x_n) \rightarrow 0$, there is an index r_1 such that $p_1(x_{r_1}) < 2^{-2}\varepsilon_1$. As $p_2(x_n) \rightarrow 0$, there is an index r_2 such that

$$p_1(x_{r_2}) < 2^{-3}\varepsilon_1 \quad \text{and} \quad p_2(x_{r_2}) < 2^{-4}\varepsilon_2.$$

By induction, we select a subsequence $\{r_n\}$ or $\{n\}$ such that

$$(2) \quad p_n(x_{r_m}) < 2^{-n-m} \varepsilon_n$$

for $n \leq m$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\{x_{r_n}\}$ is a subsequence of the K -sequence $\{x_n\}$, there are a subsequence $\{s_n\}$ of $\{r_n\}$ and $x \in X$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{s_n} = x.$$

Let n_0 be an index such that $x \in F_{s_{n_0-1}}$. We put

$$z = x - \sum_{n < n_0} x_{s_n}.$$

Then

$$z \in G_{s_{n_0}} \quad \text{and} \quad x_{s_{n_0}} - z = \sum_{n=n_0+1}^{\infty} x_{s_n}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, by (2), we get

$$p_{s_{n_0}}(x_{s_{n_0}} - z) \leq \varepsilon_{s_{n_0}},$$

which contradicts (1). This contradiction completes the proof. \square

Remark 1. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1 there is an index k_0 such that $x_n \in F_{k_0} - F_{k_0}$, and there are subsequence $\{y_n\}$ of $\{x_n\}$, an index k_0 , a set $A \subset \{1, \dots, k_0\}$ and a sequence $\{z_n\}$ in F_{k_0} such that

$$y_n = - \sum_{m \in A} x_m + z_n$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If, moreover, F_k for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ are subgroups of X , then there is an index k_0 such that $x_n \in F_{k_0}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof of Theorem 2. Suppose that Theorem 2 does not hold. Then there are number $\varepsilon > 0$ and a subsequence $\{m_n\}$ of $\{n\}$ such that

$$(3) \quad f_{m_n}(x_{m_n}) > \varepsilon$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since f is continuous, $f(0) = 0$ and $x_n \rightarrow 0$, there is a subsequence $\{p_n\}$ of $\{m_n\}$ such that

$$(4) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [f(x_{p_n}) + f(-x_{p_n})] < \varepsilon/3.$$

We put

$$(5) \quad F_k = \{x \in X : |f_{p_n}(x) - f(x)| \leq \varepsilon/4 \text{ for } n \geq k\}.$$

We note that F_k for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ are closed subsets of X ,

$$X = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k$$

and $\{x_{p_n}\}$ is a K -sequence. Hence, by Theorem 1, there is an index k_0 such that

$$x_{p_n} \in F_{k_0} + \left\{ - \sum_{k \in A} x_{p_n} : A \subset \{1, \dots, k_0\} \right\}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. According to Remark 1, there is a subsequence $\{q_n\}$ of $\{p_n\}$, a set $A \subset \{1, \dots, k_0\}$ and a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in F_{k_0} such that

$$(6) \quad x_{q_n} = - \sum_{m \in A} x_{p_m} + y_n$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows from (a) that

$$f_{q_n}(x_{q_n}) \leq f_{q_n} \left(- \sum_{m \in A} x_{p_m} \right) + |f_{q_n}(y_n) - f(y_n)| + f(y_n).$$

Since $y_n \in F_k$ and for sufficiently large n we have $q_n > k_0$, in view of (5) we get

$$|f_{q_n}(y_n) - f(y_n)| < \varepsilon/3$$

for sufficiently large n . Note that, by (6), (a), (c) and (4), we can write

$$f(y_n) \leq f(x_{q_n}) + \sum_{m \in A} f(x_{p_m}) < \varepsilon/3.$$

Since A is a finite set, we infer from (c) and (2) that

$$f_{q_n} \left(- \sum_{m \in A} x_{p_m} \right) < \varepsilon/3$$

for sufficiently large n . From the above estimates we get $f_{q_n}(x_{q_n}) < \varepsilon$ for sufficiently large n , which contradicts (3). This contradiction prove the theorem. \square

We precede the proof of Theorem 3 with two lemmas.

Lemma 1. *If X is a Fréchet topological group, $x_{ij} \in X$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_{ij} \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then there are two subsequences $\{p_i\}$, $\{q_i\}$ of $\{i\}$ such that $x_{p_i, q_i} \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. We may assume that, under the assumptions of Lemma 1, there is a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $x_n \neq 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_n \rightarrow 0$. Otherwise the lemma is trivially true. We see that $x_{ij} + x_i \rightarrow x_i$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_i \neq 0$. Therefore, there is a subsequence $\{m_i\}$ of $\{i\}$ such that $x_{ij} \neq 0$ for $j \geq m_i$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that

$$A = \{x_{ij} : j \geq m_i, i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Then $0 \notin A$ but $0 \in \text{cl } A$. Since X is a Fréchet topological group, there are two sequences $\{r_i\}$ and $\{s_i\}$ of positive integers such that $m_i \leq s_i$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_{r_i, s_i} \rightarrow 0$. We assert that $r_i \rightarrow \infty$. Otherwise there would exist a constant subsequence $\{v_i\}$ of $\{r_i\}$ such that $v_i = v$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_{v, s_i} \rightarrow 0$ but $x_{v, s_i} \rightarrow x_v$ and $x_v \neq 0$. Consequently, $r_i \rightarrow \infty$ and $s_i \rightarrow \infty$. Thus there is a subsequence $\{k_i\}$ of $\{i\}$ such that $\{r_{k_i}\}$ and $\{s_{k_i}\}$ are subsequences of $\{i\}$. Assuming $p_i = r_{k_i}$ and $q_i = s_{k_i}$, for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we get the lemma. \square

Lemma 2. *If X is a Fréchet topological group and $\{A_n\}$ is a nonincreasing sequence of dense subsets A_n of X , then there is a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_n \in A_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_n \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. Under the assumptions of Lemma 2, for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a sequence $\{x_{ij}\}$ such that $x_{ij} \in A_i$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_{ij} \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 1, there are two subsequences $\{p_i\}$ and $\{q_i\}$ of $\{i\}$ such that $x_{p_i, q_i} \rightarrow 0$. Moreover, we have $x_{p_i, q_i} \in A_{p_i} \subset A_i$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Putting $x_i = x_{p_i, q_i}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we get the assertion. \square

Proof of Theorem 3. Suppose that X is a Fréchet topological group in which null sequences are K -sequences and X is not of the second category. Then there are closed subsets F_k of X such that $\text{int } F_k = \emptyset$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$X = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k.$$

To get a contradiction we construct a matrix $\{x_{ij}\}$ such that

$$(i) \quad x_{ij} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{for } i \in \mathbb{N}$$

and

$$(ii) \quad x_{ij} \in \left[F_j + \left\{ - \sum_{(m,n) \in A} x_{mn} : A \subset \{(k,l) : 1 \leq k \leq i, 1 \leq l \leq j\} \right\}' \right]$$

for $i = 2, 3, \dots$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\{x_{1j}\}$ be a sequence in X such that $x_{1j} \rightarrow 0$. Suppose that the first $(n-1)$ rows of the matrix have been constructed in such a way that (i) and (ii) hold. Assume that

$$F_{nj} = F_j + \left\{ - \sum_{(m,n) \in A} x_{mn} : A \subset \{(k,l) : 1 \leq k \leq n, 1 \leq l \leq j\} \right\}$$

for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then F_{nj} for $n, j \in \mathbb{N}$ are closed subsets of X , $\text{int } F_{nj} = \emptyset$ and $F_{nj} \subset F_{n,j+1}$. Consequently, the components F'_{nj} are open dense subsets of X and $F'_{nj} \supset F'_{n,j+1}$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, by Lemma 2, there a sequence $\{x_{nj}\}$ such that

$$x_{nj} \in F'_{nj} \text{ for } j \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{and} \quad x_{nj} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

Consequently, (i) and (ii) hold for $i = n$. Hence, by induction, the existence of a matrix $\{x_{ij}\}$ such that (i) and (ii) hold follows. By Lemma 1, there are subsequences $\{p_i\}$ and $\{q_i\}$ of $\{i\}$ such that $x_{p_i, q_i} \rightarrow 0$.

It follows from (ii) that

$$x_{p_i, q_i} \notin F_{q_i} + \left\{ - \sum_{k \in A} x_{p_k, q_k} : A \subset \{1, \dots, i\} \right\}'$$

for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, $\{x_{p_i, q_i}\}$ is a K -sequence. Hence, by Theorem 1, there exists an index i_0 such that

$$x_{p_i, q_i} \in F_{i_0} + \left\{ - \sum_{k \in A} x_{p_k, q_k} : A \subset \{1, \dots, i_0\} \right\}$$

for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. This obvious contradiction completes the proof of Theorem 3. □

Remark 2. Observe that we can modify the proof of Theorem 3 in such a way that the elements of $\{x_{ij}\}$ are in a given dense subset G of X . Therefore the assertion of Theorem 3 is valid whenever there exists a dense subset G of a Fréchet topological group X such that null sequences in G are K -sequences in X .

References

- [1] *Antosik P., Schwartz Ch.*: Matrix methods in analysis, vol. 1113, Springer-Verlag.
- [2] *Burzyk J., Kliś C., Lipecki Z.*: On metrizable abelian groups with a completeness-type property, *Colloq. Math.* 49 (1984), 33–39.
- [3] *Foget L.*: The Baire category theorem for Fréchet groups in which every null sequence has a summable subsequence, *Proceedings of Conference on Topologies in Houston, 1983.*

Author's address: Oddział IM PAN, ul. Staromiejska 8, 40-013 Katowice, Poland.