2010

On K4 Of The Gaussian And Eisenstein Integers

Mathieu Dutour Sikiric
Herbert Gangl
Paul Gunnells, *University of Massachusetts - Amherst*
Jonathan Hanke
Achill Schürmann, et al.

ON K_4 OF THE GAUSSIAN AND EISENSTEIN INTEGERS

MATHIEU DUTOUR SIKIRIĆ, HERBERT GANGL, PAUL E. GUNNELLS, JONATHAN HANKE, ACHILL SCHÜRMANN, AND DAN YASAKI

Abstract. In this paper we investigate the structure of the algebraic K-groups $K_4(\mathbb{Z}[i])$ and $K_4(\mathbb{Z}[\rho])$, where $i := \sqrt{-1}$ and $\rho := (1 + \sqrt{-3})/2$. We exploit the close connection between homology groups of $\mathrm{GL}_n(R)$ for $n \leqslant 5$ and those of related classifying spaces, then compute the former using Voronoi's reduction theory of positive definite quadratic and Hermitian forms to produce a very large finite cell complex on which $\mathrm{GL}_n(R)$ acts. Our main results are (i) $K_4(\mathbb{Z}[i])$ is a finite abelian 3-group, and (ii) $K_4(\mathbb{Z}[\rho])$ is trivial.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Statement of results.** Let R be the ring of integers of a number field F. The goal of this paper is the explicit computation of the torsion in the algebraic K-groups $K_4(R)$ for R one of two special imaginary quadratic examples: the *Gaussian integers* $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ and the *Eisenstein integers* $\mathbb{Z}[\rho]$, where $i := \sqrt{-1}$ and $\rho := (1+\sqrt{-3})/2$. Our work is in the spirit of Lee–Szczarba [12, 13, 11], Soulé [20, 19], and Elbaz-Vincent–Gangl–Soulé [6, 7] who treated $K_N(\mathbb{Z})$, and Staffeldt [21] who investigated $K_3(\mathbb{Z}[i])$. As in these works, the first step is to compute the cohomology of $\mathrm{GL}_n(R)$ for $n \leqslant N+1$; information from this computation is then assembled into information about the K-groups following the program in §1.2. From these computations we derive our first main result (Theorem 4.1).

Theorem 1.1. *The orders of the groups* $K_4(\mathbb{Z}[i])$ *and* $K_4(\mathbb{Z}[\rho])$ *are not divisible by any primes* $p \geqslant 5$.

We then handle the remaining primes $p = 2$ and $p = 3$ separately. When $p = 2$ we use work of Rognes–Østvær [18] (which relies heavily on Voevodsky's celebrated proof of the Milnor Conjecture [22]) to see that the 2-parts of both groups are trivial. When $p = 3$ a statement of Weibel [25] which relies on further deep results by Voevodsky, and by Rost (formerly the Bloch-Kato Conjecture, cf. e.g. [23]; for a recent survey article see [16]) can be used to show that $p = 3$ does not divide the order of $K_4(\mathbb{Z}[\rho])$. This allows us to conclude (Corollary 5.1 and Theorem 5.2) that

Theorem 1.2. $K_4(\mathbb{Z}[i])$ *is a finite abelian* 3*-group and* $K_4(\mathbb{Z}[\rho]) = \{0\}$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 19D50; Secondary 11F75.

Key words and phrases. Cohomology of arithmetic groups, Voronoi reduction theory, linear groups over imaginary quadratic fields, K-theory of number rings.

MDS was partially supported by the Humboldt Foundation. PG was partially supported by the NSF under contract DMS 1101640. The authors thank the American Institute of Mathematics where this research was initiated.

1.2. **Outline of method.** We briefly outline the main ideas we will apply to understand the K-groups $K_4(\mathbb{Z}[i])$ and $K_4(\mathbb{Z}[\rho])$. These follow the classical approach for computing algebraic K-groups of number rings due to Quillen [14], which shifts the focus to computing the homology (with nontrivial coefficients) of certain arithmetic groups.

(i) **(Definition)** By definition the algebraic K-group $K_N(R)$ of a ring R is a particular homotopy group of a topological space associated to R: we have $K_N(R) = \pi_{N+1}(BQ(R))$, where $BQ(R)$ is a certain classifying space attached to the infinite general linear group $\mathrm{GL}(R)$. In particular $BQ(R)$ is the classifying space of the category $Q(R)$ of finitely generated R-modules. This is known as Quillen's *Q-construction* of algebraic K-theory [15].

(ii) **(Homotopy to Homology)** The Hurewicz homomorphism $\pi_{N+1}(BQ(R)) \to H_{N+1}(BQ(R))$ allows one to replace the homotopy group by a homology group without losing too much information; more precisely, what may get lost is information about small torsion primes appearing in its finite kernel.

(iii) **(Stability)** By a stability result of Quillen [14, p. 198] one can pass from $Q(R)$ to the category $Q_{N+1}(R)$ of finitely generated R-modules of rank $\leqslant N + 1$ for sufficiently large N. This amounts to passing from $\mathrm{GL}(R)$ to the finite-dimensional general linear group $\mathrm{GL}_{N+1}(R)$.

(iv) **(Sandwiching)** The homology groups to be determined are then $H_*(BQ_n(R))$ for $n \leqslant N + 1$. Rather than compute these directly, one uses the fact that they can be sandwiched between homology groups of $\mathrm{GL}_n(R)$, where the homology is taken with (nontrivial) coefficients in the Steinberg module St_n associated to $\mathrm{GL}_n(R)$.

(v) **(Voronoi homology)** The standard method to compute the homology groups $H_m(\mathrm{GL}_n(R), St_n)$ for a number ring R is via *Voronoi complexes*. These are the chain complexes of certain explicit polyhedral reduction domains of a space of positive definite quadratic or Hermitian forms of a given rank, depending respectively on whether $R = \mathbb{Z}$ or R is imaginary quadratic. The Voronoi complex provides most of the desired information on the homology in question: as in (iv), one might again lose information about small primes—in particular, such information could be hidden in the higher differentials of a spectral sequence involving the stabilizers of cells in the Voronoi complex. In any case, one can usually find a small upper bound on the sizes of those primes, which means that one can effectively determine the homology and ultimately the K-groups modulo small primes.

(vi) **(Vanishing Results)** There are various techniques to show vanishing of homology groups. As a starting point one has vanishing results for $H_n(BQ_1)$ as in Theorem 3.1 below, and for $H_0(GL_n, St_n)$ as in Lee–Szczarba [13].

For a given N, using (ii) and knowing the results of (iv)–(vi) for all $0 \leqslant n \leqslant N + 1$ is often enough to give a bound $p \leqslant B$ on the primes p dividing the order of the torsion subgroup $K_{N,\mathrm{tors}}(R)$ of $K_N(R)$. Then we further check the "p-regularity" property for each of the primes $p \leqslant B$; when this holds we can also conclude that p doesn't divide the order of $K_{N,\mathrm{tors}}(R)$.

1.3. **Outline of paper.** In this paper the sections of work backwards through the method outlined in §1.2 to determine the structure of $K_4(\mathbb{Z}[i])$ and $K_4(\mathbb{Z}[\rho])$. In §2, we describe the computation of the Voronoi homology of these two number rings (i.e., step (v) above). In §3 we use the Voronoi homology and some vanishing results to determine the groups $H_m(BQ_n(R))$ (i.e., step (iv) above). A key role here is played by Quillen's stability result (iii) for BQ_n, which serves as a stopping criterion. In §4 we work out the potential primes entering the kernel of the Hurewicz homomorphism (i.e., step (ii) above), which gives Theorem 1.1. Finally in §5 we consider p-regularity for $p = 2$ for both rings R and for $p = 3$ in the case of $K_4(\mathbb{Z}[\rho])$ to end up with the information we need for step (i), and which allows us to deduce our main structural Theorem 1.2.

***Remark* 1.3.** We conclude with a few remarks about earlier results on the K-groups in Theorem 1.2. An at the time conditional determination of the groups $K_4(\mathbb{Z}[i])$ and $K_4(\mathbb{Z}[\rho])$ (and two others) had been given by Kolster [10], who combined a "relative higher class number formula" with Rognes's result [17] that $K_4(\mathbb{Z})$ is trivial, together with the Quillen-Lichtenbaum conjecture for all odd primes; the latter is now also a consequence of the result by Rost and Voevodsky alluded to above.

2. Homology of Voronoi complexes

We first collect the results from [8] concerning the Voronoi complexes attached to $\Gamma = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}[i])$ or $\Gamma = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}[\rho])$; this is the necessary information needed for step (v) from §1.2 above. More details about these computations, including background about how the computations are performed, can be found in [8].

Let F be an imaginary quadratic field with ring of integers R, and let $X_n := \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})/\mathrm{U}(n)$ be the symmetric space of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R})$. The space X_n can be realized as the quotient of the cone of rank n positive definite Hermitian matrices C_n modulo homotheties (i.e. non-zero scalar multiplication), and a partial Satake compactification X_n^* of X_n is given by adjoining boundary components to X_n given by the cones of positive semi-definite Hermitian forms with an F-rational nullspace (again taken up to homotheties). We let $\partial X_n^* := X_n^* \smallsetminus X_n$ denote the *boundary* of X_n^*. Then $\Gamma := \mathrm{GL}_n(R)$ acts by left multiplication on both X_n and X_n^*, and the quotient $\Gamma\backslash X_n^*$ is a compact Hausdorff space.

A generalization—due to Ash [2, Chapter II] and Koecher [9]—of the polyhedral reduction theory of Voronoi [24] yields a Γ-equivariant explicit decomposition of X_n^* into (Voronoi) cells. Moreover, there are only finitely many cells modulo Γ. Let $\Sigma_d^* := \Sigma_d(\Gamma)^*$ be a set of representatives of the Γ-inequivalent d-dimensional Voronoi cells that meet the interior X_n, and let $\Sigma_d := \Sigma_d(\Gamma)$ be the subset of representatives of the Γ-inequivalent *orientable* cells in this dimension; here we call a cell *orientable* if all the elements in its stabilizer group preserve its orientation. One can form a chain complex Vor_*, the *Voronoi complex*, and one can prove that modulo small primes the homology of this complex is the homology $H_*(\Gamma, St_n)$, where St_n is the rank n *Steinberg module* (cf. [4, p. 437]). To keep track of these small primes explicitly, we make the following definition.

Definition 2.1 (Serre class of small prime power groups). *Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we let $\mathcal{S}_{p\leqslant k}$ denote the Serre class of finite abelian groups G whose cardinality $|G|$ has all of its prime divisors p satisfying $p \leqslant k$.*

For any finitely generated abelian group G, there is a unique maximal subgroup $G_{p\leqslant k}$ of G in the Serre class $\mathcal{S}_{p\leqslant k}$. We say that two finitely generated abelian *groups G and G' are **equivalent modulo $\mathcal{S}_{p\leqslant k}$** and write $G \simeq_{/p\leqslant k} G'$ if the quotients $G/G_{p\leqslant k} \cong G'/G'_{p\leqslant k}$ are isomorphic.*

Theorem 2.2 ([8, Theorem 3.7])**.** *Let b be an upper bound on the torsion primes for $\mathrm{GL}_n(R)$. Then $H_m(\mathrm{Vor}_*) \simeq_{/p\leqslant b} H_{m-n+1}(\mathrm{GL}_n(R), St_n)$.*

2.1. **Voronoi data for $R = \mathbb{Z}[i]$.** We now give results for the Voronoi complexes and their homology in the cases relevant to our paper. This subsection treats the Gaussian integers; in §2.2 we treat the Eisenstein integers.

Theorem 2.3 ([21])**.**

1. *There is one d-dimensional Voronoi cell for $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[i])$ for each $1 \leqslant d \leqslant 3$, and only the 3-dimensional cell is orientable.*
2. *The number of d-dimensional Voronoi cells for $\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{Z}[i])$ is given by:*

d	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$\lvert\Sigma_d(\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{Z}[i]))^*\rvert$	2	3	4	5	3	1	1
$\lvert\Sigma_d(\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{Z}[i]))\rvert$	0	0	1	4	3	0	1

Theorem 2.4 ([8, Table 12])**.** *The number of d-dimensional Voronoi cells for $\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{Z}[i])$ is given by:*

d	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
$\lvert\Sigma_d(\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{Z}[i]))^*\rvert$	4	10	33	98	258	501	704	628	369	130	31	7	2
$\lvert\Sigma_d(\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{Z}[i]))\rvert$	0	0	5	48	189	435	639	597	346	120	22	2	2

We remark that for $\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{Z}[i])$ the Voronoi complexes and their homology ranks were originally computed by Staffeldt [21], who even distilled the 3-part for each homology group. After calculating the differentials for this complex one obtains the following homology groups, in agreement with Staffeldt's results:

Theorem 2.5 ([21, Theorems IV, 1.3 and 1.4, p.785])**.**

$$H_m(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[i]), St_2) \simeq_{/p\leqslant 3} \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } m = 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

$$H_m(\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{Z}[i]), St_3) \simeq_{/p\leqslant 3} \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } m = 2, 3, 6, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

In particular, from the above theorem we deduce that the only possible torsion primes for $H_m(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}[i]), St_n)$ for $n = 2, 3$ are the primes 2 and 3.

For $\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{Z}[i])$, the last column of [8, Table 12] shows that the elementary divisors of all the differentials in the Voronoi complex are supported on primes $\leqslant 5$. In fact a closer examination of this table reveals the following:

Theorem 2.6 ([8, Theorem 7.2 and Table 12])**.**

$$H_m(\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{Z}[i]), St_4) \simeq_{/p\leqslant 5} \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^2 & \text{if } m = 5, \\ \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } m = 4, 7, 8, 10, 13, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} . \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

Moreover, the only degrees where 5-torsion could occur are $m = 1, 6$ or $m \geqslant 10$.

From this we see that there is the potential for 5-torsion for $H_m(\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{Z}[i]), St_4)$. While there is 5-torsion in H_{10}, and possibly further 5-torsion in H_m for $m \geqslant 6$, we will show that for degree $m = 1$ (the only relevant degree for the K-groups we consider) the group H_1 contains no 5-torsion (Proposition 2.7).

In order to analyze $H_1(\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{Z}[i]), St_4)$ more closely, we will need to use spectral sequences. According to [5, VII.7] there is a spectral sequence $E^r_{d,q}$ converging to the equivariant homology groups $H^\Gamma_{d+q}(X^*_n, \partial X^*_n; \mathbb{Z})$ of the homology pair $(X^*_n, \partial X^*_n)$, and such that

$$E^1_{d,q} = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma^*_d} H_q(\Gamma_\sigma, \mathbb{Z}_\sigma),$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_\sigma$ is the orientation module of the cell σ.

Proposition 2.7. *The group* $H_1(\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{Z}[i]), St_4) \simeq_{/p\leqslant 3} \{0\}$.

Proof. Since $H_1(\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{Z}[i]), St_4)$ is a subquotient of $\bigoplus_{d+q=4} E^1_{d,q}$, we consider the individual summands $E^1_{d,4-d}$ for $0 \leqslant p \leqslant 4$:

- Since there are no cells in Σ^*_d for $d \leqslant 2$, we have $E^1_{0,4} = E^1_{1,3} = E^1_{2,2} = 0$.
- Consider now $d = 3$. There are four cells in Σ^*_3, and for each of them the index 2 subgroup acting trivially on the orientation module has an abelianization $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ up to 2-groups. Thus in particular we have

  $$E^1_{3,1} = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma^*_3} H_1(\mathrm{Stab}_\sigma, \mathbb{Z}_\sigma) \in \mathcal{S}_{p\leqslant 3},$$

  and this term contains no 5-torsion.
- Finally, for $d = 4$, there is only one cell (out of ten) in Σ^*_4, denoted by σ^1_4, that contains a subgroup of order 5. We must therefore show that there is no 5-torsion in $H_1(\mathrm{Stab}(\sigma^1_4), \tilde{\mathbb{Z}})$ (where $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the orientation module $\mathbb{Z}_{\sigma^1_4}$). Indeed, the order-preserving subgroup K_1 of $\mathrm{Stab}(\sigma^1_4)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times A_5$, where A_5 is the alternating group on five letters, with abelianization $H_1(\mathrm{Stab}(\sigma^1_4), \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}) = H_1(K_1, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$, which lies in $\mathcal{S}_{p\leqslant 3}$. Thus there can be no 5-torsion from here, which completes the proof.

$\square$

2.2. **Voronoi homology data for** $R = \mathbb{Z}[\rho]$**.** Now we turn to the Eisenstein case.

Theorem 2.8 ([8, Tables 1 and 11])**.**

1. *There is one d-dimensional Voronoi cell for* $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[\rho])$ *for each* $1 \leqslant d \leqslant 3$, *and only the 3-dimensional cell is orientable.*
2. *The number of d-dimensional Voronoi cells for* $\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{Z}[\rho])$ *is given by:*

d	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$\lvert\Sigma_d(\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{Z}[\rho]))^*\rvert$	1	2	3	4	3	2	2
$\lvert\Sigma_d(\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{Z}[\rho]))\rvert$	0	0	1	2	1	1	2

3. *The number of d-dimensional Voronoi cells for* $\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{Z}[\rho])$ *is given by:*

d	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
$\lvert\Sigma_d(\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{Z}[\rho]))^*\rvert$	2	5	12	34	82	166	277	324	259	142	48	15	5
$\lvert\Sigma_d(\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{Z}[\rho]))\rvert$	0	0	0	8	50	129	228	286	237	122	36	10	5

After calculating the differentials we find the same results as for the homology of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ above:

Theorem 2.9 ([8, Theorems 7.1 and 7.2])**.**

$$H_m(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[\rho]), St_2) \simeq_{/p\leqslant 3} \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } m = 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

$$H_m(\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{Z}[\rho]), St_3) \simeq_{/p\leqslant 3} \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } m = 2, 3, 6, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

$$H_m(\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{Z}[\rho]), St_4) \simeq_{/p\leqslant 5} \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^2 & \text{if } m = 5, \\ \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } m = 4, 7, 8, 10, 13, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

Proof. Since the ranks of the homology groups in question have been computed in [8], we only have to consider the torsion in the respective groups. For fixed n, any torsion prime of the homology groups $H_m(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}[\rho]), St_n)$ must either divide the order of the stabilizer of some cell in Σ_d^* for appropriate d, or must divide an elementary divisor of the differentials in the corresponding Voronoi complex. We consider these two possibilities in turn.

First we consider the stabilizers. For ranks $n = 2, 3$, all stabilizers of cells in Σ_d^* lie in $\mathcal{S}_{p\leqslant 3}$. For rank $n = 4$, the prime $p = 5$ is the only torsion prime > 3 occurring for stabilizer orders in Σ_d^*, more precisely it occurs for $d = 9$ (two cells), $d = 14$ (two cells) and $d = 15$ (one cell).

Next we consider elementary divisors. In rank $n = 2$, the elementary divisors occurring are all even, and apart from $m = 2$, where $H_2(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[\rho]), St_2) = H_3(\mathrm{Vor}_*) = \mathbb{Z}$ modulo $\mathcal{S}_{p\leqslant 3}$, we have $H_m(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[\rho]), St_2) = H_{m+1}(\mathrm{Vor}_*) = 0$ modulo $\mathcal{S}_{p\leqslant 3}$. In rank $n = 3$, the only non-trivial elementary divisor for any of the differentials involved is 9, arising from $d_8^1 : E_{8,0}^1 \longrightarrow E_{7,0}^1$. Moreover, we get $H_m(\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{Z}[\rho]), St_3) = H_{m+2}(\mathrm{Vor}_*) = \mathbb{Z}$ modulo $\mathcal{S}_{p\leqslant 3}$ for $m = 2, 3$ or 6, and is zero otherwise. Finally, for rank $n = 4$, the only torsion prime > 3 for the homology groups $H_{m+3}(\mathrm{Vor}_*)$ is $d = 5$, which divides the elementary divisor 15 of d_{14}^1. This completes the proof. $\square$

As with $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, a more refined analysis of the $GL_4(\mathbb{Z}[\rho])$ case shows that H_1 contains no 5-torsion:

Proposition 2.10.

$$H_1(\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{Z}[\rho]), St_4) \simeq_{/p\leqslant 3} \{0\}. \tag{7}$$

Proof. The argument is very similar to that of the proof of Proposition 2.7. In rank 4, we have that $H_1(\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{Z}[\rho]), St_4)$ is a subquotient of

$$\bigoplus_{d+q=4} E_{d,q}^1 = \bigoplus_{d+q=4} \bigoplus_{\sigma\in\Sigma_d^*} H_q(\Gamma_\sigma, \mathbb{Z}_\sigma). \tag{8}$$

We consider each of these summands in turn.

If $d \leqslant 2$, then there are no cells of dimension d to worry about. For $d = 3$, there are two cells in Σ_3^*, with stabilizer in $\mathcal{S}_{p\leqslant 3}$, and hence

$$E^1_{3,1} = \bigoplus_{\sigma\in\Sigma_3^*} H_1(\mathrm{Stab}(\sigma), \mathbb{Z}_\sigma) \in \mathcal{S}_{p\leqslant 3}.$$

Finally suppose $d = 4$. Then $E^1_{4,0} = 0 \mod \mathcal{S}_{p\leqslant 2}$, as none of the 5 classes in dim 4 is orientable. Thus modulo $\mathcal{S}_{p\leqslant 3}$ all summands in (8) vanish, which completes the proof. □

3. Vanishing and sandwiching

In this section, we carry out the sandwiching argument (step (iv) of §1.2). As a first step we invoke a vanishing result for homology groups for BQ_1 due to Quillen [14, p.212]. In our cases this result boils down to the following statement:

Theorem 3.1. *For the rings $R = \mathbb{Z}[i]$ and $\mathbb{Z}[\rho]$, we have*

$$H_n(BQ_1) = 0 \qquad \text{whenever } n \geqslant 3\,.$$

For $R = \mathbb{Z}[i]$ a slightly stronger result is proved in [21, Lemma I.1.2]. However, we will not need this stronger result for $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, or its analogue for $\mathbb{Z}[\rho]$.

Using our homology data from §2 and Theorem 3.1, we can get for both rings $R = \mathbb{Z}[i]$ and $R = \mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ the following result:

Proposition 3.2. $H_5(BQ) \simeq_{/p\leqslant 3} \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. We will successively determine $H_5(BQ_j)$ for $j = 1, \ldots, 5$ and then identify the last group via stability with $H_5(BQ)$. For this, we will combine results from §2 with Quillen's long exact sequence for different r, given by

(9)
$$\cdots \longrightarrow H_n(BQ_{r-1}) \longrightarrow H_n(BQ_r) \longrightarrow H_{n-r}(\mathrm{GL}_r, St_r) \longrightarrow H_{n-1}(BQ_{r-1}) \longrightarrow \cdots\,.$$

The case $j = 1$. By Theorem 3.1 we have $H_n(BQ_1) = 0$ for $n \geqslant 3$.

The case $j = 2$. From the above sequence (9) for $r = 2$, we get

$$\underbrace{H_5(BQ_1)}_{=0} \longrightarrow H_5(BQ_2) \longrightarrow H_3(\mathrm{GL}_2, St_2) \longrightarrow \underbrace{H_4(BQ_1)}_{=0},$$

whence $H_5(BQ_2) = 0 \mod \mathcal{S}_{p\leqslant 3}$ by (1) and (4).

The case $j = 3$. Now we invoke another result of Staffeldt's who showed (see [21, proof of Theorem I.1.1] that

$$H_4(BQ_2) = H_4(BQ_3) = \mathbb{Z} \mod \mathcal{S}_{p\leqslant 3}\,. \tag{10}$$

From (9) for $r = 3$ we get the exact sequence, working mod $\mathcal{S}_{p\leqslant 3}$,

$$H_5(BQ_2) \longrightarrow H_5(BQ_3) \longrightarrow \underbrace{H_2(\mathrm{GL}_3, St_3)}_{=\mathbb{Z}\ \text{(by (2), (5))}} \longrightarrow \underbrace{H_4(BQ_2)}_{=\mathbb{Z}\ \text{(by (10))}} \longrightarrow \underbrace{H_4(BQ_3)}_{=\mathbb{Z}\ \text{(by (10))}} \longrightarrow \underbrace{H_1(\mathrm{GL}_3, St_3)}_{=0\ \text{(by (2), (5))}}\,.$$

Since the leftmost group $H_5(BQ_2)$ vanishes modulo $\mathcal{S}_{p\leqslant 3}$ by the case $j = 2$, this sequence implies that $H_5(BQ_3) = \mathbb{Z} \mod \mathcal{S}_{p\leqslant 3}$.

The case $j = 4$. Moreover, since $H_2(\mathrm{GL}_4, St_4) = H_1(\mathrm{GL}_4, St_4) = 0 \mod \mathcal{S}_{p\leqslant 3}$ by Theorem 2.6 and Proposition 2.7, the sequence (9) for $r = 4$ gives in a similar way that

$$H_5(BQ_4) = H_5(BQ_3) = \mathbb{Z} \mod \mathcal{S}_{p\leqslant 3}\,. \tag{11}$$

The case $j = 5$. This is the most complicated of all the cases to handle. Note that BQ is an H-space which implies that $H_*(BQ) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is the enveloping algebra of $\pi_*(BQ) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. We know that $K_0(\mathbb{Z}[i]) = \mathbb{Z}$, $K_1(\mathbb{Z}[i]) = \mathbb{Z}/2$ and $K_2(\mathbb{Z}[i]) = 0$ [3, Appendix] as well as $K_3(\mathbb{Z}[i]) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/24$ (cf. Weibel [25], Theorem 73 in combination with Example 28), so modulo $\mathcal{S}_{p\leqslant 3}$ we have that

$$\pi_1(BQ) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = K_0(\mathbb{Z}[i]) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}\,,$$

that $\pi_2(BQ) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \pi_3(BQ) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$, and that

$$\pi_4(BQ) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = K_3(\mathbb{Z}[i]) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}\,.$$

Hence $H_5(BQ) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ contains the product of $\pi_1(BQ) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ by $\pi_4(BQ) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ and so its dimension is at least 1.

The stability result forehadowed in step (iii) of §1.2 (resulting for a Euclidean domain Λ from $H_0(\mathrm{GL}_n(\Lambda), St_n) = 0$ for $n \geqslant 3$, [12, Corollary to Theorem 4.1]), now implies that one has $H_5(BQ) = H_5(BQ_5)$. By the above we get that the rank of $H_5(BQ_5) = H_5(BQ)$ is at least 1.

Therefore, invoking yet again Quillen's exact sequence (9), this time for $r = 5$, and using the above result that $H_5(BQ_4)$ is equal to $\mathbb{Z}$ modulo $\mathcal{S}_{p\leqslant 3}$, we deduce from

$$\underbrace{H_5(BQ_4)}_{=\mathbb{Z} \text{ by } (11)} \longrightarrow H_5(BQ_5) \longrightarrow \underbrace{H_0(\mathrm{GL}_5, St_5)}_{=0}$$

that $H_5(BQ) = H_5(BQ_5)$ must be equal to $\mathbb{Z}$ modulo $\mathcal{S}_{p\leqslant 3}$ as well. Thus $H_5(BQ)$ cannot contain any p-torsion with $p > 3$. □

4. Relating $K_4(\mathcal{O})$ and $H_5(BQ(\mathcal{O}))$ via the Hurewicz homomorphism

It is well known that for a number ring R the space $BQ(R)$ is an infinite loop space, hence a theorem due to Arlettaz [1, Theorem 1.5] shows that the kernel of the corresponding Hurewicz homomorphism $K_4(R) = \pi_5(BQ) \to H_5(BQ)$ is certainly annihilated by 144 (cf. Definition 1.3 in loc.cit., where this number is denoted R_5). Thus $K_4(R)$ lies in $\mathcal{S}_{p\leqslant 3}$.

Therefore this Hurewicz homomorphism is injective modulo $\mathcal{S}_{p\leqslant 3}$. For $R = \mathbb{Z}[i]$ or $\mathbb{Z}[\rho]$, Proposition 3.2 implies that $H_5(BQ)$ contains no p-torsion for $p > 3$. After invoking Quillen's result that $K_{2n}(R)$ is finitely generated and Borel's result that the rank of $K_{2n}(R)$ is zero for any number ring R and $n > 0$, we obtain the following intermediate result:

Theorem 4.1. *The groups $K_4(\mathbb{Z}[i])$ and $K_4(\mathbb{Z}[\rho])$ lie in $\mathcal{S}_{p\leqslant 3}$.*

5. p-regularity of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ and $\mathbb{Z}[\rho]$

For our final conclusion, we use p-regularity for $p = 2, 3$ for the rings $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ and $\mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ to rule out more torsion in K_4. Recall that a ring of integers R is called *p-regular* for some prime p if p is not split in R and if the narrow class number of $R[\frac{1}{p}]$ is coprime to p.

First we consider 2-regularity. Rognes and Østvær [18] show that the group $K_{2n}(R)$ has trivial 2-part if R is the ring of integers of a 2-regular number field F. This applies to both imaginary quadratic fields we consider, since 2 ramifies (respectively is inert) in $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ (respectively $\mathbb{Q}(\rho)$), and both fields have class number 1 and no real places. In particular, $|K_4(\mathbb{Z}[i])|$ and $|K_4(\mathbb{Z}[\rho])|$ must both be odd. Combining this with Theorem 4.1, we obtain the following result:

Corollary 5.1. *The groups $K_4(\mathbb{Z}[i])$ and $K_4(\mathbb{Z}[\rho])$ are 3-groups.*

Next we consider 3-regularity. For $R = \mathbb{Z}[i]$, we are unfortunately unable to go further, but following a suggestion of Soulé we can say more for $R = \mathbb{Z}[\rho]$: we can apply the fact that if ℓ is a regular odd prime, then there is no ℓ-torsion in $K_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_\ell])$, where ζ_ℓ is a primitive ℓth root of unity (cf. [25, Example 75]). Since 3 is a regular prime (the first irregular prime is 37), and since $\mathbb{Z}[\rho] = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_3]$, we obtain the following result:

Theorem 5.2. *The group $K_4(\mathbb{Z}[\rho])$ is trivial.*

References

[1] D. Arlettaz, *The Hurewicz homomorphism in algebraic K-theory*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **71** (1991), no. 1, 1–12.

[2] A. Ash, D. Mumford, M. Rapoport, and Y.-S. Tai, *Smooth compactifications of locally symmetric varieties*, second ed., Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010, With the collaboration of Peter Scholze.

[3] H. Bass and J. Tate, *The Milnor ring of a global field*, Algebraic K-theory, II: "Classical" algebraic K-theory and connections with arithmetic (Proc. Conf., Seattle, Wash., Battelle Memorial Inst., 1972), Springer, Berlin, 1973, pp. 349–446. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 342.

[4] A. Borel and J.-P. Serre, *Corners and arithmetic groups*, Comment. Math. Helv. **48** (1973), 436–491, Avec un appendice: Arrondissement des variétés à coins, par A. Douady et L. Hérault.

[5] K. S. Brown, *Cohomology of groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 87, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994, Corrected reprint of the 1982 original.

[6] P. Elbaz-Vincent, H. Gangl, and C. Soulé, *Quelques calculs de la cohomologie de* $\mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{Z})$ *et de la K-théorie de* $\mathbb{Z}$, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **335** (2002), no. 4, 321–324.

[7] P. Elbaz-Vincent, H. Gangl, and C. Soulé, *Perfect forms, K-theory and the cohomology of modular groups*, Adv. Math. **245** (2013), 587–624.

[8] H. Gangl, P. E. Gunnells, J. Hanke, A. Schürmann, and M. D. Sikirić, *On the cohomology of linear groups over imaginary quadratic fields*, arxiv:1307.1165, 2013.

[9] M. Koecher, *Beiträge zu einer Reduktionstheorie in Positivitätsbereichen I*, Math. Ann. **141** (1960), 384–432.

[10] M. Kolster, *K-theory and arithmetic*, Contemporary developments in algebraic K-theory, ICTP Lect. Notes, XV, Abdus Salam Int. Cent. Theoret. Phys., Trieste, 2004, pp. 191–258 (electronic).

[11] R. Lee and R. H. Szczarba, *The group* $K_3(\mathbf{Z})$ *is cyclic of order forty-eight*, Ann. of Math. (2) **104** (1976), no. 1, 31–60.

[12] R. Lee and R. H. Szczarba, *On the homology and cohomology of congruence subgroups*, Invent. Math. **33** (1976), no. 1, 15–53.

[13] R. Lee and R. H. Szczarba, *On the torsion in* $K_4(\mathbb{Z})$ *and* $K_5(\mathbb{Z})$, Duke Math. J. **45** (1978), no. 1, 101–129.

[14] D. Quillen, *Finite generation of the groups* K_i *of rings of algebraic integers*, Algebraic K-theory, I: Higher K-theories (Proc. Conf., Battelle Memorial Inst., Seattle, Wash., 1972), Springer, Berlin, 1973, pp. 179–198. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 341.

[15] D. Quillen, *Higher algebraic K-theory I*, Algebraic K-theory, I: Higher K-theories (Proc. Conf., Battelle Memorial Inst., Seattle, Wash., 1972), Springer, Berlin, 1973, pp. 85–147. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 341.

[16] J. Riou, *La conjecture de Bloch-Kato, d'après M. Rost et V. Voevodsky*, Seminar Bourbaki talk no. 1073, 2013.

[17] J. Rognes, $K_4(\mathbf{Z})$ *is the trivial group*, Topology **39** (2000), no. 2, 267–281.

[18] J. Rognes and P. A. Østvær, *Two-primary algebraic K-theory of two-regular number fields*, Math. Z. **233** (2000), no. 2, 251–263.

[19] C. Soulé, *On the* 3*-torsion in* $K_4(\mathbf{Z})$, Topology **39** (2000), no. 2, 259–265.

[20] C. Soulé, *The cohomology of* $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbf{Z})$, Topology **17** (1978), no. 1, 1–22.

[21] R. E. Staffeldt, *Reduction theory and* K_3 *of the Gaussian integers*, Duke Math. J. **46** (1979), no. 4, 773–798.

[22] V. Voevodsky, *Motivic cohomology with* $\mathbf{Z}/2$*-coefficients*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. (2003), no. 98, 59–104, URL: `http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10240-003-0010-6`, doi:10.1007/s10240-003-0010-6.

[23] V. Voevodsky, *On motivic cohomology with* $\mathbf{Z}/l$*-coefficients*, Ann. of Math. (2) **174** (2011), no. 1, 401–438, URL: `http://dx.doi.org/10.4007/annals.2011.174.1.11`, doi:10.4007/annals.2011.174.1.11.

[24] G. Voronoi, *Nouvelles applications des paramètres continues à la théorie des formes quadratiques 1: Sur quelques propriétés des formes quadratiques positives parfaites*, J. Reine Angew. Math **133** (1908), no. 1, 97–178.

[25] C. Weibel, *Algebraic K-theory of rings of integers in local and global fields*, Handbook of *K*-theory. Vol. 1, 2, Springer, Berlin, 2005, pp. 139–190.

Mathieu Dutour Sikirić, Rudjer Bosković Institute, Bijenicka 54, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia
E-mail address: `mathieu.dutour@gmail.com`

Department of Mathematical Sciences, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE, United Kingdom
E-mail address: `herbert.gangl@durham.ac.uk`

P. E. Gunnells, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, LGRT 1115L, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003, USA
E-mail address: `gunnells@math.umass.edu`

J. Hanke, Princeton, NJ 08542, USA
E-mail address: `jonhanke@gmail.com`
URL: `http://www.jonhanke.com`

A. Schürmann, Universität Rostock, Institute of Mathematics, 18051 Rostock, Germany
E-mail address: `achill.schuermann@uni-rostock.de`

D. Yasaki, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of North Carolina at Greensboro, Greensboro, NC 27412, USA
E-mail address: `d_yasaki@uncg.edu`