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#### Abstract

By an overring of an integral domain $A$ we mean a ring which contains $A$ and is contained in the quotient field of $A$. We consider the following question. If $D$ is a Krull overring of an affine ring $A$ is $D$ necessarily Noetherian? Our main result is an affirmative answer to this question when $A$ is a normal affine ring of dimension two defined over a field or pseudogeometric Dedekind domain such that each localization of $A$ has torsion class group.


We recall that an integral domain $J$ is called a Krull ring if $J$ is an intersection of rank one discrete valuation rings, say $J=\bigcap_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}$, such that each nonzero element of $J$ is a nonunit in only finitely many of the $V_{\alpha}$. One may assume that each $V_{\alpha}$ is an overring of $J$ and is irredundant in the representation $J=\bigcap_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}$. In this case each $V_{\alpha}$ is centered on a minimal prime (prime of height one) of $J$ and if $V_{\alpha}$ has center $P_{\alpha}$ on $J$, then $J_{P_{\alpha}}=V_{\alpha}$. The set $\left\{V_{\alpha}\right\}=\left\{J_{P_{\alpha}}\right\}$ is called the set of essential valuation rings for $J$. We use the notation $E(J)$ to denote the set of essential valuation rings of the Krull ring $J$.

A one dimensional Krull ring is a Dedekind domain and hence is Noetherian. There exist non-Noetherian 3 dimensional Krull rings, an example being given by Nagata [6, p. 207] who showed that the derived normal ring of a 3 dimensional local domain need not be Noetherian. Whether a 2 dimensional Krull ring is necessarily Noetherian remains open ${ }^{1}$. Since the derived normal ring of a 2 dimensional Noetherian domain is again Noetherian one can not hope to construct non-Noetherian 2 dimensional Krull rings by a method similar to Nagata's. Our results here show that in certain special cases 2 dimensional Krull rings are Noetherian. In fact, the original motivation for our work was to determine if each Krull overring of $Z[X]$ ( $Z$ the ring of integers and $X$ an indeterminate over $Z$ ) is Noetherian, a question brought to our attention by Jack Ohm. We are grateful to Ohm for several helpful conversations concerning this topic.
2. We will consistently use $A$ to denote a normal affine ring of dimension 2 defined over a field or pseudogeometric Dedekind domain. We will further assume that each localization $R$ of $A$ has torsion class

[^0]group. This, of course, is equivalent to the assumption that each minimal prime of $R$ is the radical of a principal ideal.

Our first results concern Krull overrings of a localization of $A$. Let $R$ be a localization of $A . \quad R$ has dimension either one or two and if $R$ has dimension one then $R$ is a rank one discrete valuation ring and has no nontrivial overrings. We assume therefore that $R$ is of dimension two with maximal ideal $M$. Let $D$ be a Krull overring of $R$. If $V$ is an essential valuation ring for $D$ then $V$ either has center $M$ on $R$ or else $V$ is centered on a minimal prime $P$ of $R$. In the latter case $R_{P} \subseteq V$, and since $R_{P}$ is also a rank one discrete valuation ring we have $R_{P}=V$ and $V \in E(R)$. Thus $E(D)-E(R)$ consists precisely of the essential valuation rings of $D$ having center $M$ on $R$ and the finiteness condition in the definition of a Krull ring insures that $E(D)-E(R)$ is a finite set.

If $V$ is a valuation overring of $R$ centered on $M$ we recall that the $R$-dimension of $V$ is defined to be the transcendence degree over $R / M$ of the residue field of $V$. (Here we are using the canonical embedding of $R / M$ in the residue field of $V$ ). Since $R$ is two dimensional and Noetherian each such $V$ has $R$-dimension either zero on one [1, p. 328]. Moreover, if $V$ has $R$-dimension zero then $V$ is necessarily centered on a maximal ideal of any domain between $R$ and $V$. Let $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ be the subset of $E(D)-E(R)$ consisting of those elements of $E(D)-E(R)$ which have $R$-dimension zero and let $D^{\prime}$ be the Krull ring having $E(D)-\left\{V_{i}\right\}$ as its set of essential valuation rings. We now observe that to show $D$ is Noetherian it will suffice to show that $D^{\prime}$ is Noetherian. This is a consequence of the following proposition.

Proposition 1. Let $J$ be a Krull ring and let $V$ be an essential valuation ring for $J$ whose center $P$ on $J$ is a maximal ideal. Let $J^{\prime}$ be the Krull overring of $J$ having $E(J)-\{V\}$ as its set of essential valuation rings. If $J^{\prime}$ is Noetherian, then $J$ is Noetherian.

Proof. We note that $J^{\prime}$ is the $P$-transform of $J$ as defined by Nagata in [7, p. 58]. Also $P J^{\prime} \cap J$ properly contains $P$ so that $P J^{\prime}=J^{\prime}$. Hence there is a one-to-one correspondence between the prime ideals of $J^{\prime}$ and the prime ideals of $J$ excluding $P$ where a prime ideal $Q^{\prime}$ of $J^{\prime}$ is associated with $Q^{\prime} \cap J=Q$ [7, p. 58] or [8, p. 198]. We choose $\left\{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right\}=X \subseteq P$ so that $X J^{\prime}=J^{\prime}$. We may also assume that $X J_{P}=P J_{P}$. Then $X J=P$ since $X J_{Q}=P J_{Q}=J_{Q}$ for each maximal ideal $Q$ of $J$ distinct from $P$. Hence $P$ is finitely generated ${ }^{2}$. Let $Q$ be a prime of $J$ distinct from $P$ with $Q^{\prime}$ being the unique prime of

[^1]$J^{\prime}$ such that $Q^{\prime} \cap J=Q$. By assumption $Q^{\prime}$ is finitely generated, say $\left\{y_{1}, \cdots, y_{m}\right\}=Y$ generates $Q^{\prime}$. There exists an integer $t$ such that $Y P^{t} \subseteq J$. Hence $Y J \cdot P^{t}=B$ is a finitely generated ideal of $J$ such that $B J^{\prime}=Q^{\prime}$. By enlarging $B$ if necessary we may assume that $B \nsubseteq P$. Thus $B J_{P}=Q J_{P}=J_{P}$. If $N$ is a maximal ideal of $J$ distinct from $P$ and $N^{\prime}$ is the unique maximal ideal of $J^{\prime}$ with $N^{\prime} \cap J=N$ then $J_{N}=J_{N^{\prime}}^{\prime}$. Hence $Q J_{N}=Q^{\prime} J_{N^{\prime}}^{\prime}=B J_{N^{\prime}}^{\prime}$. It follows that $B=$ $Q[9$, p. 94]. We have thus shown that each prime ideal of $J$ is finitely generated and hence that $J$ is Noetherian. This completes the proof of Proposition 1.

We now construct a normal Noetherian ring $R^{\prime}$ such that $R^{\prime}$ is finitely generated over $R$ and $E\left(D^{\prime}\right) \subseteq E\left(R^{\prime}\right)$. Let $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m}=E\left(D^{\prime}\right)-E(R)$ and let $T_{i}$ be the maximal ideal of $W_{i}$. Since $W_{i}$ is a quotient ring of $D^{\prime}$ we see that $D^{\prime} / T_{i} \cap D^{\prime}$ has quotient field $W_{i} / T_{i}$. By assumption $W_{i} / T_{i}$ is transcendental over $R / T_{i} \cap R=R / M$. We choose $a_{i}$ in $D^{\prime}$ such that the residue of $a_{i}$ in $W_{i} / T_{i}$ is transcendental over $R / M$. Then $W_{i}$ is not centered on a maximal ideal of $R\left[a_{i}\right]$ so that $W_{i}$ is necessarily an essential valuation ring for $R^{\prime}$, the integral closure of $R\left[a_{1}, \cdots, a_{m}\right]$. Since $R^{\prime}$ is a finite $R\left[a_{1}, \cdots, a_{m}\right]$-module we conclude that $R^{\prime}$ is again a quotient ring of a normal affine ring of dimension two defined over a field or pseudogeometric Dedekind domain. Moreover $E\left(D^{\prime}\right) \subseteq E\left(R^{\prime}\right)$.

We proceed to show that $D^{\prime}$ is Noetherian. If $J$ is a Krull ring let $C(J)$ denote the class group of $J$ and let $C_{1}(J)$ be the torsion free quotient group $C(J) / C_{2}(J)$ where $C_{2}(J)$ is the torsion subgroup of $C(J)$. As Claborn observed in [4, p. 220] if $J$ and $J^{\prime}$ are Krull rings with $E\left(J^{\prime}\right) \subseteq E(J)$ then $C\left(J^{\prime}\right)$ is a homomorphic image of $C(J)$ and the kernel of this canonical homomorphism is generated by the classes of all minimal primes $P$ of $J$ such that $J_{P} \in E(J)-E\left(J^{\prime}\right)$. Since $C_{1}(R)$ is trivial ${ }^{3}$ and $E\left(R^{\prime}\right)-E(R)$ is a finite set we see that $C_{2}\left(R^{\prime}\right)$ is finitely generated. Hence $C_{1}\left(R^{\prime}\right)$ is free abelian on a finite set of generators. The canonical homomorphism $\varphi: C\left(R^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow C\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ enduces an onto homomorphism $\varphi_{1}: C_{1}\left(R^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow C_{1}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$. Let $\left\{P_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{k}$ be minimal primes of $R^{\prime}$ whose equivalence classes in $C_{1}\left(R^{\prime}\right)$ generate the kernel of $\varphi_{1}$. Let $Q=$ $\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} P_{i}$ and let $S$ be the $Q$-transform of $R^{\prime}$. Since $R^{\prime}$ is a quotient ring of a normal affine ring of absolute dimension two, Nagata's results in [7] and [8] imply that $S$ is finitely generated over $R^{\prime}$. Moreover the canonical homomorphism $\psi_{1}: C_{1}(S) \rightarrow C_{1}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ is an isomorphism. This means that each minimal prime $P$ of $S$ such that $S_{P} \in E(S)-E\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ is the radical of a principal ideal which in turn implies that $D^{\prime}$ is a quotient ring of $S$. Since $S$ is Noetherian we conclude that $D^{\prime}$ is Noetherian ${ }^{4}$. We summarize the results of this section in the following theorem.

[^2]Theorem 2. Let $R$ be a localization of a normal affine ring $A$, where $A$ is defined over a field on pseudogeometric Dedekind domain and has dimension two. If the class group of $R$ is a torsion group, or more generally if $C_{1}(R)$ is finitely generated, and if $D$ is a Krull overring of $R$ then $D$ is Noetherian.
3. We turn now to the consideration of an arbitrary Krull overring $D$ of $A$. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 3. Let $A$ be a normal affine ring of dimension two defined over a field or psuedogeometric Dedekind domain and assume that each localization of $A$ has torsion class group. If $D$ is a Krull overring of $A$, then $D$ is Noetherian. ${ }^{5}$

Proof. Let $P^{\prime}$ be a prime ideal of $D$ and let $P=P^{\prime} \cap A$. If $S=A-P$ then $A_{S} \subseteq D_{S}$ and by Theorem $2 D_{S}$ is a Noetherian domain. Let X be a finite set of generators for $P$ and let $Y$ be a finite subset of $D$ such that $Y D_{S}=P^{\prime} D_{S}$. If $P$ is a maximal ideal of $A$ we observe that $X \cup Y=Z$ is a finite basis for $P^{\prime}$. For this purpose it will suffice to show that $Z D_{M I^{\prime}}=P^{\prime} D_{M^{\prime}}$, for each maximal ideal $M^{\prime}$ of $D$. If $P \nsubseteq M^{\prime}$ then $X \nsubseteq M^{\prime}$ and $Z D_{M^{\prime}}=P^{\prime} D_{M^{\prime}}=D_{M^{\prime}}$. However if $P \subseteq M^{\prime}$ then $D_{S} \subseteq D_{M^{\prime}}$. Hence $P^{\prime} D_{M^{\prime}}=Y D_{M^{\prime}}=Z D_{M^{\prime}}$. We conclude that $P^{\prime}$ is finitely generated when $P^{\prime} \cap A=P$ is a maximal ideal of $A$.

Consider now the case when $P$ is a minimal prime of $A$. We have $A_{P} \subseteq D_{P}$, and $A_{P}$ is a discrete rank one valuation ring. Hence $A_{P}=D_{P^{\prime}}$ and $D_{P^{\prime}}$ is an essential valuation ring for $D$. Now the nonzero elements of $P$ are positive in only finitely many of the essential valuation rings for $D$. Let $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ be the essential valuation rings for $D$ distinct from $D_{P}$, in which the elements of $P$ are positive. (Of course the set $\left\{V_{i}\right\}$ may be empty). Each $V_{i}$ is centered on a maximal ideal $M_{i}$ of $A$. Let $S_{i}=A-M_{i}$. Then $A_{S_{i}} \subseteq D_{S_{i}}$ and again by Theorem 2, $D_{s_{i}}$ is a Noetherian domain. Let $Y_{i}$ be a finite subset of $D$ such that $Y_{i} D_{S_{i}}=P^{\prime} D_{S_{i}}$ and again let $X$ be a finite basis for $P$. In this case we set $Z=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i} \cup X$. If $M^{\prime}$ is a maximal ideal of $D$ and $P \nsubseteq M^{\prime}$ then as before $X \nsubseteq M^{\prime}$ and $Z D_{M^{\prime}}=P^{\prime} D_{M^{\prime}}=D_{M^{\prime}}$. If $P \subseteq M^{\prime}$ and $M^{\prime} \cap A=M_{i}$ then $Z D_{M I^{\prime}}=Y_{j} D_{M^{\prime}}=P^{\prime} D_{M H^{\prime}}$. In the remaining case let $M=M^{\prime} \cap A$ and $S=A-M$. We have $A_{S} \subseteq D_{S}$ and $E\left(D_{S}\right) \subseteq E\left(A_{S}\right)$. Moreover $C\left(A_{S}\right)$ is a torsion group so that $D_{s}$ is a quotient ring of $A_{s}[4, \mathrm{p} .219]$. Hence $P^{\prime} D_{S}=P D_{s}=Z D_{S}$, and $P^{\prime} D_{M^{\prime}}=Z D_{M^{\prime}}$. We conclude that $P^{\prime}=Z D$ and hence that $D$ is Noetherian.

[^3]Corollary 4. If $A$ is a polynomial ring in two variables over a field or more generally a polynomial ring in one variable over a pseudogeometric Dedekind domain, then each Krull overring of $A$ is Noetherian.

Proof. We need only observe that each localization of $A$ has torsion class group. If $A=D[X]$ with $D$ a Dedekind domain and if $P$ is a prime of height 2 in $A$ with $Q=P \cap D$ then $A_{P}$ is a quotient ring of the unique factorization domain $D_{Q}[X]$. Thus each localization of $A$ has torsion class group.

Added in proof. In a paper submitted to Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., the author has now shown that each Krull overring of a 2 -dimensional Noetherian domain is again Noetherian.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ An exercise in Bourbaki [3, p. 83] outlines a method for constructing a two dimensional Krull ring which is asserted not to be Noetherian. However in [5] an argument is given to the effect that the Bourbaki construction must necessarily yield a Noetherian Krull ring. Recently Paul Eakin has constructed a non-Noetherian 2 dimensional Krull ring.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ We have in fact established that $P$ is invertible, for $P$ is finitely generated and localized at any maximal ideal $P$ is principal.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ It would suffice here to assume that $C_{1}(R)$ is finitely generated.
    ${ }^{4}$ We have actually shown that $D^{\prime}$ is a quotient ring of a normal affine ring.

[^3]:    ${ }_{5}$ That not every Krull overring of a 3 dimensional normal affine ring need be Noetherian has recently been shown in joint work of the author and Paul Eakin.

