

ON $\Lambda(p)$ SETS WITH MINIMAL CONSTANT IN DISCRETE NONCOMMUTATIVE GROUPS

MAREK BOŻEJKO¹

ABSTRACT. We compute the minimal constants for infinite $\Lambda(2n)$ sets in discrete noncommutative groups and as a consequence we obtain an alternate proof of Leinert's theorem on $\Lambda(\infty)$ sets.

1. Introduction. Let G be a discrete group. Let $l^2(G)$ denote the space of square summable complex functions on G with the norm $\|f\|_{l^2} = (\sum_x |f(x)|^2)^{1/2}$. A *convolver* of l^2 is a function g on G such that for each $f \in l^2$ the convolution

$$(g * f)(x) = \sum_{y \in G} g(xy^{-1})f(y)$$

is defined and belongs to $l^2(G)$.

In accordance with the terminology of Eymard [3], we shall denote the space of "convolvers" by $VN(G)$. The norm of an element of $VN(G)$ will be the norm of the corresponding convolution operator (which is necessarily continuous) on $l^2(G)$. It is clear that $VN(G) \subseteq l^2(G)$. In this paper we study subsets $E \subseteq G$ with the property that every function $g \in l^2(G)$ supported on E is a convolver. The existence of infinite sets E satisfying this property was first established by M. Leinert [7]. He proved that if a set E satisfies a certain condition, which we shall call Leinert's condition, then every square summable function f supported on E is a convolver, and

$$\|f\|_{VN(G)} \leq \sqrt{5} \|f\|_{l^2(G)}.$$

The purpose of this paper is to give an alternate proof of Leinert's theorem which improves the constant $\sqrt{5}$. We prove that if E satisfies Leinert's condition, and f is supported on E , then

$$\|f\|_{VN(G)} \leq 2 \|f\|_{l^2(G)}.$$

We also show that the constant 2 is the best possible if E is an infinite set. To prove our result we use estimates involving L^p -convolution norm in

Received by the editors January 23, 1974 and, in revised form, June 11, 1974.
 AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 42A55, 46A80.

Key words and phrases. Convolver, $\Lambda(p)$ set.

¹This work was done during the author's stay in Genoa, Italy.

the sense which was considered in [10] and [1].

We remark that sets satisfying Leinert's condition are always subsets of a free group with at least two generators. On the other hand every set with no relation among its members satisfies this condition. (See [7], [8].) These sets have been used in [5] to construct multipliers of $A(G)$ which are not elements of $B(G)$.

The author wishes to thank A. Figà-Talamanca for several helpful remarks and advice in the preparation of this paper.

2. For a finitely supported function f defined on G we set

$$\|f\|_{2s}^{2s} = (f * f^*)^s(1) = \text{tr}(f * f^*)^s$$

for $s = 1, 2, \dots$, where $(f * f^*)^s$ denotes the convolution power. It is not difficult to see that $\|f\|_{2s}$ is a norm. From a theorem of I. Kaplansky ([6, Theorem 1.8.1], [2]) we also have $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \|f\|_{2s} = \|f\|_{VN(G)}$.

Definition 1. Let E be a subset of G and n a positive integer. We say that E is of type L_{2n} if for every finite sequence $\{x_i: x_i \in E, i = 1, \dots, 2k, k \leq n\}$ the following relation holds:

$$x_{i_1} x_{i_2}^{-1} \dots x_{i_{2k-1}} x_{i_{2k}}^{-1} \neq 1$$

if $x_{i_j} \neq x_{i_{j+1}}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2k-1$.

Definition 2. A set E is said to satisfy Leinert's condition if E is of type L_{2n} for every natural n .

We can now state our main results:

Theorem. (i) If E is of type L_{2n} in a discrete group G , then E is $\Lambda(2n)$, i.e., $\|f\|_{2n} \leq C_{2n} \|f\|_2$ for every function f with support in E , where $C_{2n}^{2n} = (n+1)^{-1} \binom{2n}{n}$.

(ii) If E is an infinite set of type L_{2n} , then

$$\sup \{\|f\|_{2n} : \text{supp } f \subseteq E, \|f\|_2 = 1\} = C_{2n}$$

and C_{2n} is the minimal constant for all infinite $\Lambda(2n)$ sets.

Corollary. If $E \subseteq G$ is a set which satisfies Leinert's condition, then $\|f\|_{VN(G)} \leq 2\|f\|_2$ and 2 is the minimal constant for all infinite $\Lambda(\infty)$ sets.

Proof of (i). Let f be a function of the form

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \delta_{x_i}, \quad x_i \in E, \quad \|f\|_2 = 1.$$

For a subset $A \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ we define

$$p_n(A) = \text{tr} \sum_{i \in A; i = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{2n})} \alpha_{i_1} \bar{\alpha}_{i_2} \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_{i_{2n-1}} \bar{\alpha}_{i_{2n}} \delta_{x_{i_1} x_{i_2}^{-1}} \cdot \dots \cdot \delta_{x_{i_{2n-1}} x_{i_{2n}}^{-1}}.$$

Because the set E is of type L_{2n} we note that p_n is a positive measure on subsets of $\Phi^{2n} = \Phi \times \dots \times \Phi$. It follows by induction from the following facts:

$$(1) \quad p_n(\{i\}) = 0$$

if for $i = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{2n}) \in \Phi^{2n}$, $i_k \neq i_{k+1}$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2n-1$, and

$$(2) \quad p_n(\{i\}) = |\alpha_{i_{k_0}}|^2 p_{n-1}(\{i'\})$$

if for some $1 \leq k_0 < 2n$, $i_{k_0} = i_{k_0+1}$ and $i' = (i_1, \dots, i_{k_0-1}, i_{k_0+2}, \dots, i_{2n-1}, i_{2n})$. Let

$$S^n = \|f\|_{2n}^{2n} = p_n(\Phi^{2n}),$$

$$A_k = \{i : i = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{2n}), i_k = i_{k+1}\}$$

and

$$S_k^n = p_n(A_1^c \cap A_2^c \cap \dots \cap A_{k-1}^c),$$

where $A_m^c = \Phi^{2n} \setminus A_m$. Since $p_n(A_k) = S^{n-1}$ for every natural $k < 2n$, so we obtain

$$(3) \quad S^n = S^{n-1} + S_2^n.$$

Since $p_n(A_1^c) = p_n(A_1^c \cap A_2) + p_n(A_1^c \cap A_2^c)$, but $p_n(A_1^c \cap A_2) \leq p_n(A_2) = S^{n-1}$, therefore

$$(4) \quad S_2^n \leq S^{n-1} + S_3^n.$$

Now because $p_n(A_1^c \cap A_2^c) = p_n(A_1^c \cap A_2^c \cap A_3) + S_4^n$ and $p_n(A_1^c \cap A_3) = p_{n-1}(A_1^c)$, we obtain

$$(5) \quad S_3^n \leq S_4^n + S_2^{n-1}.$$

By that same argument we have

$$(6) \quad S_{k+1}^n \leq S_{k+2}^n + S_k^{n-1}.$$

But the set E is of type L_{2n} so from (6) we obtain

$$(7) \quad S_{2n}^n = S_k^n = 0 \quad \text{for } n < k \leq 2n.$$

Applying (6) and (7) we have

$$(8) \quad S_n^n \leq S_2^2 \leq 1.$$

From (6) we obtain

$$(9) \quad S_{n-1}^n \leq S_n^n + S_{n-2}^{n-1}.$$

Since $S^1 = 1$, $S^2 = 2$ and $S_2^3 \leq 3$, therefore from (9) we have

$$(10) \quad S_{n-1}^n \leq \binom{n}{1} \quad \text{for } n > 2.$$

By this same way, from (6) we obtain

$$(11) \quad S_{n-2}^n \leq S_{n-1}^n + S_{n-3}^{n-1}$$

and from (4) and (10) and also $S_2^4 \leq 9$ we have

$$(12) \quad S_{n-2}^n \leq \binom{n+1}{2} - \binom{n+1}{0} \quad \text{for } n > 3.$$

And now by the induction argument we obtain

$$(13) \quad S_{n-k}^n \leq \binom{n+k-1}{k} - \binom{n+k-1}{k-2} \quad \text{for } k \geq 2.$$

Since the following equality is true:

$$(14) \quad \frac{1}{n} \binom{2n-2}{n-1} + \binom{2n-3}{n-2} - \binom{2n-3}{n-4} = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}$$

we obtain from (13) and (3), by induction,

$$(15) \quad S^n \leq \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}$$

Proof of (ii). Let E be an infinite set of type L_{2n} ; $E = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ and $f_N = N^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{x_i}$. We prove by induction that

$$(16) \quad S^N(f_N) = \|f_N\|_{2n}^{2n} = C_{2n}^{2n} + R_n(N),$$

where $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} R_n(N) = 0$. That fact follows from the formula

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} S^n = p_n \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k \right) &= \sum_{k=1}^n p_n(A_k) - \sum_{i_1 < i_2} p_n(A_{i_1} \cap A_{i_2}) \\ &+ \dots + (-1)^{n-1} p_n(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_n). \end{aligned}$$

Note also that if $i_m + 1 \neq i_{m+1}$ for $m = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$, then

$$(18) \quad p_n \left(\bigcap_{m=1}^k A_{i_m} \right) = S^{n-k}$$

and

$$(19) \quad p_n \left(\bigcap_{m=1}^n A_{i_m} \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad (N \rightarrow \infty),$$

if for some $m < n$, $i_m + 1 = i_{m+1}$. In order to prove (19), it suffices to note that

$$(20) \quad p_n(A_1 \cap A_2) \rightarrow 0 \quad (N \rightarrow \infty),$$

but

$$(21) \quad p_n(A_1 \cap A_2) = N^{-1} \|f_N\|_{2n-2}^{2n-2} \rightarrow 0 \quad (N \rightarrow \infty).$$

We shall prove the induction step in (16) if we show that

$$(22) \quad K = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^k D^k B_n^{n-k}$$

equals zero, where $D^k = C_{2k}^{2k}$ and B_n^m denote the number of subsequences of the sequence $(1, 2, \dots, n)$ of the form (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m) where for every $1 \leq s < m-1$, $k_s + 1 \neq k_{s+1}$. It is easy to see that

$$(23) \quad B_n^m = \binom{n+1-m}{m}.$$

Applying the following formulas (see [4])

$$(24) \quad \sum_{\nu=0}^n (-1)^\nu \binom{a}{\nu} = (-1)^n \binom{a-1}{n},$$

$$(25) \quad \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \binom{2k+1}{\nu} = 0,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} K &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^k \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{k+1}{n-k} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_k (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \binom{2k}{n-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_k \sum_{\nu} (-1)^{n+k+\nu-1} \binom{n}{k} \binom{2k+1}{\nu} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The Corollary follows at once from the following inequality (see [11]):

$$\frac{2^{2n-1}}{n} \leq \binom{2n}{n} \leq 2^{2n-1}.$$

REFERENCES

1. M. Bożejko, *The existence of $\Lambda(p)$ sets in discrete noncommutative groups*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. **8** (1973), 579–582.
2. J. Dixmier, *Les C^* -algèbres et leurs représentations*, Cahiers Scientifiques, vol. 29, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1964. MR 30:11404

3. P. Eymard, *L'algèbre de Fourier d'un groupe localement compact*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **92** (1964), 181–236. MR 37 #4208.
4. W. K. Feller, *An introduction to probability theory and its applications*. Vol I, 2nd ed., Wiley, New York, 1957. MR 12, 424; 19, 466.
5. A. Figà-Talamanca and M. A. Picardello, *Multiplicateurs de $A(G)$ qui ne sont pas dans $B(G)$* , C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **277** (1973), 117–119.
6. I. Kaplansky, *Normed algebras*, Duke Math. J. **16** (1949), 399–418. MR 11, 115.
7. M. Leinert, *Multiplicatoren diskreter Gruppen*, Doctoral Dissertation, University of Heidelberg, 1972.
8. ———, *Multiplikatoren gewisser diskreter Gruppen*, Studia Math. (to appear).
9. ———, *Convoluteurs de groupes discrets*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **271** (1970), 630–631.
10. M. A. Picardello, *Lacunary sets in discrete noncommutative groups*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. **8** (1973).
11. W. Sierpiński, *Theory of numbers*. Part 2, Monografie Mat., Tom 38, PWN, Warsaw, 1959. MR 22 #2572.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, WROCŁAW, POLAND

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, WROCŁAW UNIVERSITY, WROCŁAW, POLAND