

ON LIMITING DIRECTIONS OF JULIA SETS

Jianyong Qiao

China University of Mining & Technology, Center of Mathematics, Beijing 100083
Chinese Academy of Sciences, Academy of Mathematics & System Sciences, Beijing 100080
P. R. China; qjy@mail.cumtb.edu.cn

Abstract. We deal with the iteration of transcendental entire functions, and prove some properties on the Julia sets.

1. Introduction and main results

Let $f: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a transcendental entire function; we define the iterated sequence of f by $f^0(z) = z$, $f^{n+1}(z) = f \circ f^n(z)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. The Fatou set and the Julia set are defined by $N(f) = \{z \in \mathbf{C} \mid \{f^n\} \text{ is normal at } z\}$ and $J(f) = \mathbf{C} \setminus N(f)$ respectively. Qiao ([7]) proved that the Julia set of a transcendental entire function of finite order has infinitely many limiting directions; here a limiting direction of $J(f)$ means a limit of the set $\{\arg z_n \mid z_n \in J(f) \text{ is an unbounded sequence}\}$. The example in [1] shows that there exists an entire function of infinite order whose Julia set has only one limiting direction. In this note we shall prove

Theorem 1. *Let f be a transcendental entire function of lower order $\lambda < \infty$. Then there exists a closed interval $I \in \mathbf{R}$ such that all $\theta \in I$ are the common limiting directions of $J(f^{(n)})$, $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$, and $\text{mes } I \geq \pi / \max(\frac{1}{2}, \lambda)$. Here $f^{(n)}$ denotes the n -th derivative or the n -th integral primitive of f for $n \geq 0$ or $n \leq 0$ respectively.*

We know that Mittag-Leffler's function

$$E_\alpha(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\Gamma(1 + \alpha n)}, \quad 0 < \alpha < 2,$$

is a transcendental entire function of order $1/\alpha$. Put $\Omega(-\theta, \theta) = \{z \in \mathbf{C} \mid -\theta < \arg z < \theta\}$. By the discussion used in [3] it is easy to verify that for any $E_\alpha(z)$, $0 < \alpha < 2$, there exists a constant $k > 0$, such that

$$f_{\alpha k} \left(\mathbf{C} \setminus \bar{\Omega} \left(-\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}, \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right) \right) \subset \mathbf{C} \setminus \bar{\Omega} \left(-\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}, \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right),$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 30D35, 37F10.

Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

here $f_{\alpha k} = E_{\alpha}(z) - k$. Hence $J(f_{\alpha k}) \subset \overline{\Omega}(-\alpha/2\pi, \alpha/2\pi)$. This shows that the estimate of the length of the closed interval I in Theorem 1 is sharp.

Liverpool ([5]) proved that: if $J(f)$ lies in the half-plane $\{z \in \mathbf{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} z \geq 0\}$ for a transcendental entire function f of order ≤ 1 , then there exists a positive constant c such that for any horizontal strip region S with width c , $J(f) \cap S$ is unbounded; here a strip region means a region between two parallel straight lines. It is easy to see from Theorem 1 that f is of lower order ≥ 1 provided $J(f)$ lies in a half-plane. Therefore, Liverpool's result is valid only for entire functions with order and lower order one. We shall prove

Theorem 2. *Let f be a transcendental entire function of lower order 1, $J(f)$ lie in the half plane $\{z \in \mathbf{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} z \geq 0\}$. Then there exists a positive constant c such that all $J(f^{(n)}) \cap S$, $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$, are unbounded for any non-vertical strip region S with width c .*

Liverpool ([5]) has pointed out that

$$\{z \in \mathbf{C} \mid (2n + \frac{1}{2})\pi < \operatorname{Im} z < (2n + \frac{3}{2})\pi\} \cap J(e^z - 1) = \emptyset, \quad n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$$

But we shall prove this kind of "gap strips" will disappear for a class of entire functions of order > 1 .

Theorem 3. *Let f be a transcendental entire function of order $\rho > 1$, and all limiting directions of $J(f)$ belong to $(-\pi/\rho, \pi/\rho)$. Then all $J(f^{(n)}) \cap S$, $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$, are unbounded for an arbitrary horizontal strip region S .*

Theorem 4. *Let f be a transcendental entire function of order $\rho > 1$, and lower order $\lambda > \frac{1}{2}$. If all limiting directions of $J(f)$ belong to $[-\pi/2\lambda, \pi/2\lambda]$, then all $J(f^{(n)}) \cap S$, $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$, are unbounded for an arbitrary strip region S which is parallel to $\theta \in (-\pi/2\lambda, \pi/2\lambda)$.*

2. Some lemmas

In order to prove the above results, we investigate the growth of f on its Fatou set. The following two lemmas are the improvements of the main results in [2] and [5] respectively. For $z_0 \in \mathbf{C}$ and $\theta, \delta \in \mathbf{R}$, put

$$\Omega(z_0, \theta, \delta) = \{z \in \mathbf{C} \mid |\arg(z - z_0) - \theta| < \delta\}.$$

We have

Lemma 1. *Let f be a transcendental entire function, and $\Omega(z_0, \theta, \delta) \subset N(f)$. Then*

$$|f(z)| = O(|z|^{\pi/\delta}), \quad z \in \Omega(z_0, \theta, \delta')$$

for arbitrary $\delta' \in (0, \delta)$.

Proof. Since $\Omega(z_0, \theta, \delta) \subset N(f)$, there is an unbounded component G_0 of $N(f)$ such that $\Omega(z_0, \theta, \delta) \subset G_0$. By [1] we know that every component of $N(f)$ is a simply connected hyperbolic domain. Let $f(G_0)$ belong to some component G of $N(f)$. It is easy to verify that the mapping

$$w = h(z) = \frac{(e^{-i\theta} z - e^{-i\theta} z_0)^{\pi/2\delta} - 1}{(e^{-i\theta} z - e^{-i\theta} z_0)^{\pi/2\delta} + 1}$$

maps $\Omega(z_0, \theta, \delta)$ conformally onto the unit disk $\{|w| < 1\}$. Put $h^{-1}(0) = a \in \Omega(z_0, \theta, \delta)$. By the Riemann theorem, there is a conformal mapping $w = g(z): G \rightarrow \{|w| < 1\}$ satisfying $g(f(a)) = 0$ and $g'(f(a)) > 0$. Hence $F(w) = g \circ f \circ h^{-1}(w)$ is an analytic mapping from the unit disk to itself. By the Schwarz lemma,

$$(1) \quad |F(w)| \leq |w|, \quad |w| < 1.$$

Since g^{-1} is univalent on $\{|w| < 1\}$, by Koebe's distortion theorem we have

$$(2) \quad |(g^{-1}(w) - f(a))g'(f(a))| \leq \frac{|w|}{(1 - |w|)^2}, \quad |w| < 1.$$

Since $f = g^{-1} \circ F \circ h$, it follows from (1) and (2) that

$$(3) \quad |f(z)| \leq |f(a)| + \frac{1}{|g'(f(a))|(1 - |h(z)|^2)}, \quad z \in \Omega(z_0, \theta, \delta).$$

For arbitrary $z \in \Omega(z_0, \theta, \delta')$, put

$$\eta = z - z_0 = re^{i\alpha}, \quad \sigma = \frac{\pi}{2\delta}, \quad \lambda = \sin \frac{\delta'\pi}{2\delta} > 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |h(z)|^2 &= \left| \frac{1 - (\cos \sigma(\alpha - \theta))/r^\alpha + i(\sin \sigma(\alpha - \theta))/r^\alpha + o(1/r^\alpha)}{1 + (\cos \sigma(\alpha - \theta))/r^\alpha - i(\sin \sigma(\alpha - \theta))/r^\alpha + o(1/r^\alpha)} \right|^2 \\ &= \frac{1 - 2(\cos \sigma(\alpha - \theta))/r^\alpha + o(1/r^\alpha)}{1 + 2(\cos \sigma(\alpha - \theta))/r^\alpha + o(1/r^\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - |h(z)| &> \frac{1 - |h(z)|^2}{2} = \frac{4(\cos \sigma(\alpha - \theta))/r^\alpha + o(1/r^\alpha)}{1 + 2(\cos \sigma(\alpha - \theta))/r^\alpha + o(1/r^\alpha)} \\ &\geq \frac{4\lambda/r^\alpha + o(1/r^\alpha)}{1 + 2/r^\alpha + o(1/r^\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

By the above inequality and (3) we can easily deduce the result of Lemma 1. The proof of Lemma 1 is complete.

For any real numbers $a > 0$ and $A > 0$, put

$$H(a, A) = \{z \in \mathbf{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} z > a, |\operatorname{Im} z| < A\}.$$

We have

Lemma 2. *Let f be a transcendental entire function, and $H(a, A) \subset N(f)$, then*

$$|f(z)| = O\left(\exp \frac{\pi}{A}|z|\right), \quad z \in H(a, A')$$

for arbitrary $A' \in (0, A)$.

Proof. Let G_0, G be two components of $N(f)$ such that $H(a, A) \subset G_0$, $f(G_0) \subset G$. It is easy to verify that

$$w = h_1(z) = \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2A}z - \frac{\alpha\pi}{2A}\right)$$

maps $H(a, A)$ conformally onto $\{\operatorname{Re} w > 0\} \setminus \{|w| < 1\}$, and $w = h_2(z) = (z-2)/z$ maps $\{\operatorname{Re} z > 1\}$ conformally onto $\{|w| < 1\}$. By the Riemann theorem, there exists an univalent analytic function $g(w)$ which maps G onto $\{|w| < 1\}$. Hence $F(w) = g \circ f \circ h_1^{-1} \circ h_2^{-1}(w)$ is an analytic mapping from the unit disk to itself. As in the proof of Lemma 1 we obtain

$$(4) \quad |g^{-1} \circ F(w)| = O\left(\frac{|w|}{(1-|w|)^2}\right), \quad |w| < 1.$$

Obviously, $f = g^{-1} \circ F \circ h_2 \circ h_1$ and $h_1(z) \in \{\operatorname{Re} w > 1\}$ for $z \in H(a, A')$ and sufficiently large $|z|$. By similar calculations as in the proof of Lemma 1, we can deduce the result of Lemma 2. The proof of Lemma 2 is complete.

Below we shall use the fundamental concepts and basic notations of Nevanlinna's theory ([4]).

Lemma 3 ([7]). *Let f be a transcendental entire function satisfying*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f)}{r^m} = 0$$

for some fixed natural number m . Then for arbitrary $\alpha \in [0, 2\pi)$, the set

$$J(f) \cap \left[\bigcup_{k=1}^m \left\{ z \in \mathbf{C} \mid \frac{2k-1}{m}\pi + \alpha < \arg z < \frac{2k}{m}\pi + \alpha \right\} \right]$$

is unbounded.

Lemma 4 ([4]). *Let f be a transcendental entire function. Then*

$$m\left(r, \frac{f'}{f}\right) = O(\log r T(r, f)), \quad r \rightarrow \infty,$$

at most with an exceptional set of r whose linear measure is finite.

3. The proofs of the theorems

The proof of Theorem 1. We distinguish the following two cases:

(A) Suppose f is of lower order $\lambda < \frac{1}{2}$. We shall prove that, all $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ are the limiting directions of all $J(f^{(n)})$, $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$. Assume this statement is not true, then there exist $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ and an integer n_0 such that θ is not a limiting direction of $J(f^{n_0})$. Therefore $J(f^{n_0}) \cap \Omega(0, \theta, \delta)$ is bounded for some constant $\delta > 0$. By Lemma 1,

$$(5) \quad |f^{(n_0)}(z)| = O(|z|^k), \quad \arg z = \theta;$$

here k is a positive constant. Since the lower order of $f^{n_0}(z)$ is less than $\frac{1}{2}$, by (5) and Wiman's theorem on minimum modulus (see [4]) we get a contradiction.

(B) Suppose f is of lower order $\lambda \geq \frac{1}{2}$, put

$$E_n = \{e^{i\theta} \mid \theta \text{ is a limiting direction of } J(f^{(n)})\}.$$

Obviously, E_n is a closed set on the unit circle Γ . Denote $E = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} E_n$, here \mathbf{Z} is the set of integers. It is easy to see that the arguments of the points in E are the common limiting directions of all $J(f^{(n)})$, and the components of E are closed arcs on Γ . Put

$$\gamma = \left\{ \alpha \mid \alpha \text{ is an open arc on } \Gamma \text{ with length } < \frac{\pi}{\lambda}, \text{ and its endpoints are not in } E \right\}.$$

Assume the maximum component of E is of length $< \pi/\lambda$, then the set γ covers Γ . So there exist finitely many $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p \in \gamma$ such that $\bigcup_{j=1}^p \alpha_j \supset \Gamma$. Denote the arguments of two endpoints of α_j by $\theta_{j_1}, \theta_{j_2}$, $\theta_{j_1} < \theta_{j_2}$, respectively, and suppose θ_{j_1} is not the limiting direction of $J(f^{(n_{j_1})})$, θ_{j_2} is not the limiting direction of $J(f^{(n_{j_2})})$. By Lemma 1,

$$(6) \quad |f^{(n_{j_1})}(z)| = O(|z|^{k_{j_1}}), \quad \arg z = \theta_{j_1},$$

$$(7) \quad |f^{(n_{j_2})}(z)| = O(|z|^{k_{j_2}}), \quad \arg z = \theta_{j_2}.$$

Here k_{j_1}, k_{j_2} are two positive constants.

Put $m = \min_{1 \leq j \leq p} (n_{j_1}, n_{j_2})$. Note

$$f^{(n_{j_1}-1)}(z) = \int_0^z f^{(n_{j_1})}(\eta) d\eta + c,$$

where c is a constant, and the above integral path is the segment of a straight line from 0 to z . From the above equality and (10) we deduce

$$|f^{(n_{j_1}-1)}(z)| = O(|z|^{k_{j_1}+1}), \quad \arg z = \theta_{j_1}.$$

Repeating the above discussion, we can obtain

$$|f^{(m)}(z)| = O(|z|^{k_1}), \quad \arg z = \theta_{j_1},$$

where k_1 is a positive constant. By the same method we have

$$|f^{(m)}(z)| = O(|z|^{k_2}), \quad \arg z = \theta_{j_2},$$

where k_2 is a positive constant. Note that $\theta_{j_2} - \theta_{j_1} < \pi/\lambda$. By the Phragmén–Lindelöf principle we have

$$|f^{(m)}(z)| = O(|z|^k), \quad \theta_{j_1} \leq \arg z \leq \theta_{j_2},$$

where $k = \max(k_1, k_2)$. Since $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_p$ cover Γ , it follows that f is a polynomial. This contradicts the transcendence of f . The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

The proof of Theorem 2. Assume the conclusion of this theorem is not true; then there exists a sequence of non-vertical strip regions S_j with width $c_j \rightarrow \infty$, and a sequence of $J(f^{(n_j)})$ such that $J(f^{(n_j)}) \cap S_j$ is bounded. Let S_j be parallel to the ray $\arg z = \theta_j \in (-\frac{1}{2}\pi, \frac{1}{2}\pi)$. Choose points $z_j \in S_j$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$. Then the ray $L_j : z = z_j + te^{i\theta_j}$, $t > 0$, lies on S_j . By Lemma 2,

$$(8) \quad |f^{(n_j)}(z)| = O\left(\exp \frac{2\pi}{c_j} |z|\right), \quad z \in L_j.$$

We distinguish two cases:

(A) Suppose there are infinitely many $n_j > 0$ such that (8) holds. Integrating $f^{(n_j)}(z)$, by (8) we easily obtain

$$|f^{(n_j-1)}(z)| = O\left(|z| \exp \frac{2\pi}{c_j} |z|\right), \quad z \in L_j.$$

Repeating this procedure we can get

$$(9) \quad |f(z)| = O\left(|z|^{n_j} \exp \frac{2\pi}{c_j} |z|\right), \quad z \in L_j.$$

Since L_j is not vertical, we can draw two rays:

$$L'_j : z = z_j + te^{i\alpha_j}, \quad t > 0, \quad L''_j : z = z_j + te^{i\beta_j}, \quad t > 0,$$

satisfying $\alpha_j < \beta_j$, $\alpha_j, \beta_j \in (\frac{1}{2}\pi, \frac{3}{2}\pi)$. The angle from L_j to L'_j and the angle from L''_j to L_j are both less than π . Since there are no points of $J(f)$ in the left half-plane, by Lemma 1

$$(10) \quad |f(z)| = O(|z|^2), \quad z \in L'_j \text{ or } L''_j.$$

By (9), (10) and the Phragmén–Lindelöf principle we have

$$|f(z)| = O\left(|z|^{n_j} \exp \frac{2\pi}{c_j} |z|\right), \quad z \in \mathbf{C}.$$

We thus obtain

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f)}{r} \leq \frac{2\pi}{c_j}.$$

Letting $c_j \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f)}{r} = 0.$$

By Lemma 3, we deduce a contradiction.

(B) Suppose there are infinitely many $n_j \leq 0$ such that (8) holds. As in (A), we can draw the ray L'_j and the ray L''_j ; hence (10) follows. Using (10) to estimate the integrand, we can deduce

$$|f^{(n_j)}(z)| = O(|z|^{2+n_j}), \quad z \in L'_j \text{ or } L''_j.$$

It follows from this equality, (8) and the Phragmén–Lindelöf principle that

$$(11) \quad \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f^{(n_j)})}{r} \leq \frac{2\pi}{c_j}.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 4,

$$T(r, f^{(n_j+1)}) \leq T(r, f^{(n_j)}) + m\left(r, \frac{(f^{(n_j)})'}{f^{(n_j)}}\right) \leq (1 + o(1))T(r, f^{(n_j)}) + k_j \log r$$

for sufficiently large r , $r \notin E_j^1$, $\text{mes } E_j^1 < \infty$. Here k_j is a positive constant. Note that $n_j \leq 0$. Repeating the above estimation, we obtain

$$(12) \quad T(r, f) \leq (1 + o(1))T(r, f^{(n_j)}) + K_j \log r$$

for $r \notin E_j^1$, $\text{mes } E_j^1 < \infty$. Here K_j is a positive constant. By (11) and (12), we have

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f)}{r} \leq \frac{2\pi}{c_j}.$$

Furthermore, by the same method as used in (A), we can deduce a contradiction. The proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

The proof of Theorem 3. Assume there exist a horizontal strip region S and an integer n such that $J(f^{(n)}) \cap S$ is bounded. Denote the width of S by c . Choose a point $z_0 \in S$, and draw the ray $L : z = z_0 + t, t > 0$. By Lemma 2,

$$(13) \quad |f^{(n)}(z)| = O\left(\exp \frac{2\pi}{c}|z|\right), \quad z \in L.$$

Since all limiting directions of $J(f)$ belong to $(-\pi/\varrho, \pi/\varrho)$, we can draw two rays: $L' : z = z_0 + te^{i\theta}, t > 0$, and $L'' : z = z_0 + te^{-i\theta}, t > 0$, such that $(-\theta, \theta) \subset (-\pi/\varrho, \pi/\varrho)$ and all limiting directions of $J(f)$ belong to $(-\theta, \theta)$. By Lemma 1,

$$(14) \quad |f(z)| = O(|z|^k), \quad z \in L' \text{ or } L'';$$

here k is a positive constant. Put $m = \min(n, 0)$. Using (13) and (14) to estimate the integrand, we can obtain

$$(15) \quad |f^{(m)}(z)| = O\left(|z|^{k_1} \exp \frac{2\pi}{c}|z|\right), \quad z \in L,$$

$$(16) \quad |f^{(m)}(z)| = O(|z|^{k_2}), \quad z \in L' \text{ or } L'';$$

here k_1, k_2 are two positive constants. It follows from (15), (16) and the Phragmén–Lindelöf principle that

$$(17) \quad |f^{(m)}(z)| = O\left(|z|^{k_1} \exp \frac{2\pi}{c}|z|\right), \quad -\theta \leq \arg(z - z_0) \leq \theta.$$

Since all limiting directions of $J(f)$ belong to $(-\pi/\varrho, \pi/\varrho)$, by Lemma 1, there exists a positive constant k such that (14) holds for $\theta \leq \arg(z - z_0) \leq 2\pi - \theta$. This and (17) imply that $f^{(m)}$ is of order ≤ 1 . This is a contradiction. The proof of Theorem 3 is complete.

The proof of Theorem 4. Assume there exist a strip region S which parallels $\theta \in (-\pi/2\lambda, \pi/2\lambda)$, and some $J(f^{(n)})$ such that $J(f^{(n)}) \cap S$ is bounded. Denote the width of S by c . Choose a point $z_0 \in S$, by Lemma 2,

$$|f^{(n)}(z)| = O\left(\exp \frac{2\pi}{c}|z|\right), \quad z \in L : z = z_0 + te^{i\theta}, t > 0.$$

Obviously, we can draw two rays

$$L' : z = z_0 + te^{i\theta_1}, t > 0, \quad L'' : z = z_0 + te^{i\theta_2}, t > 0,$$

such that $(\theta_1, \theta_2) \supset [-\pi/2\lambda, \pi/2\lambda]$, $\theta_2 - \theta < \pi/\lambda$ and $\theta - \theta_1 < \pi/\lambda$. Using the same method as in the proof of Theorem 3, we can deduce

$$|f^{(n)}(z)| = O\left(\exp \frac{2\pi}{c}|z|\right), \quad \theta_1 \leq \arg(z - z_0) \leq \theta_2,$$

$$|f^{(n)}(z)| = O(|z|^2), \quad \theta_2 \leq \arg(z - z_0) \leq \theta_1 + 2\pi.$$

It follows that f is of order ≤ 1 . This is a contradiction. The proof of Theorem 4 is thus complete.

References

- [1] BAKER, I.N.: The domains of normality of an entire function. - *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* 1, 1975, 177–283.
- [2] BHATTACHARYYA, P.: On the domain of normality of an attractive fixpoint. - *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 153, 1971, 89–98.
- [3] CARTWRIGHT, M.L.: *Integral Functions*. - Cambridge University Press, 1953.
- [4] HAYMAN, W.K.: *Meromorphic Functions*. - Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [5] LIVERPOOL, L.S.O.: On entire functions with infinite domains of normality. - *Aequationes Math.* 10, 1974, 189–200.
- [6] QIAO, J.: The value distribution of entire functions of finite order. - *Kodai Math. J.* 12, 1989, 429–436.
- [7] QIAO, J.: The value distribution and the Julia sets of entire functions. - *Chinese Acta Math.* 36, 1993, 418–422 (Chinese).

Received 2 February 2000