

- [3] J. Väisälä, *Free quasiconformality in Banach spaces I*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. 15 (1990), 355–379.

MATEMATIIKAN LAITOS
 HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO
 HALLITUSKATU 15
 SF-00100 HELSINKI
 FINLAND
 E-mail : JVAISALA@CC.HELSINKI.FI

Received March 17, 1992

(2917)

On linear operators having supercyclic vectors

by

GERD HERZOG (Karlsruhe)

Abstract. We show that for a real separable Banach space X there are operators in $B(X)$ having supercyclic vectors if and only if $\dim X \leq 2$ or $\dim X = \infty$.

1. Introduction. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real (or complex) Banach space and $B(X)$ the set of linear continuous mappings from X into itself. Let $T \in B(X)$. A vector $x \in X$ is called (a) *cyclic*, (b) *supercyclic*, (c) *hypercyclic* if the orbit

$$\text{Orb}(T, x) := \{T^n x : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$$

satisfies

- (a) $\overline{\text{span}(\text{Orb}(T, x))} = X$,
- (b) $\overline{\{\lambda y : y \in \text{Orb}(T, x), \lambda \in \mathbb{R}(\mathbb{C})\}} = X$,
- (c) $\overline{\text{Orb}(T, x)} = X$

(see [5]).

As far as we know it is still an open problem whether there is an operator with hypercyclic vectors in every separable infinite-dimensional Banach space, and it is well known that there are none in finite dimensions (see [8]). In this paper we will characterize those separable Banach spaces which have operators with supercyclic vectors. Of course, a Banach space having such operators is separable. The main result is:

THEOREM 1. *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real separable Banach space. Then there exist operators in $B(X)$ having supercyclic vectors if and only if*

$$\dim X \in \{0, 1, 2\} \quad \text{or} \quad \dim X = \infty.$$

To prove Theorem 1 we will use methods of the theory of universal functions developed by K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann [4].

For further properties of the operator classes defined above compare, e.g., [1], [2], [5], [6] and [8].

2. Universal elements. Let X_1 and Y_1 be topological spaces and $A = (L_n)$ a sequence of continuous mappings $L_n : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). Then $x \in X_1$ is called A -universal if the set $\{L_n x : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is dense in Y_1 . In [4, p. 11] Große-Erdmann showed that if X_1 is a Baire space and Y_1 is a metrizable and separable space, then the set of A -universal elements is residual in X_1 if and only if the set $\{(\xi, L_n \xi) : \xi \in X_1, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is dense in the topological product of X_1 and Y_1 . Therein a subset of a Baire space is called *residual* if its complement is of first category.

3. Proof of Theorem 1. In the proof of Theorem 1 we will use the following lemma (see [8]).

LEMMA. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a finite-dimensional Banach space, $T \in B(X)$ and $x \in X$. Then, for the sequence $(T^n x)_{n=0}^\infty$, one of the following three possibilities holds:

- (a) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x = 0$;
- (b) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^n x\| = \infty$;
- (c) $\overline{\text{Orb}(T, x)}$ is compact and $0 \notin \overline{\text{Orb}(T, x)}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.

1) $\dim X < \infty$. If $\dim X \in \{0, 1\}$, then the identity has supercyclic vectors. If $\dim X = 2$, then for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ the operator

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(2\pi\alpha) & \sin(2\pi\alpha) \\ -\sin(2\pi\alpha) & \cos(2\pi\alpha) \end{pmatrix}$$

has supercyclic vectors, since for irrational α the sequence $(n\alpha)_{n=0}^\infty$ is uniformly distributed modulo 1 (see [7, p. 71]).

Now let $k = \dim X \geq 3$. Assume that $T \in B(X)$ has supercyclic vectors. Then T must be regular and since cT has supercyclic vectors for every $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ if T has, we can assume without loss of generality that T has an eigenvalue λ with $|\lambda| = 1$. So we can find a one(two)-dimensional T -invariant subspace U if λ is (not) real and a $(k - 1)$ (resp. $(k - 2)$)-dimensional T -invariant subspace W such that $X = U \oplus W$, and $\{T^n u : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ is compact and does not contain 0 for every $u \in U \setminus \{0\}$. If λ is not real this follows from the fact that together with λ also $\bar{\lambda}$ is an eigenvalue, since X is a real vector space. Since T has supercyclic vectors, there must be an $x \in X$ of the form $x = u + w$, $u \in U \setminus \{0\}$, $w \in W \setminus \{0\}$, such that $\{\lambda y : y \in \text{Orb}(T, x), \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is dense in X .

By our lemma, there are three possibilities for the sequence $(T^n w)_{n=0}^\infty$:

(a) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n w = 0$. There must be a subsequence $(T^{n_m} w)_{m=0}^\infty$ and a sequence of real numbers $(\mu_m)_{m=0}^\infty$ such that $\mu_m T^{n_m} w + \mu_m T^{n_m} u \rightarrow u + w$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$. But from this it follows that $(\mu_m)_{m=0}^\infty$ is bounded and, since $T^{n_m} w \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, we have a contradiction.

(b) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^n w\| = \infty$. In this case it follows from $\mu_m T^{n_m} u + \mu_m T^{n_m} w \rightarrow u$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ that $\mu_m T^{n_m} w \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ and so $\mu_m T^{n_m} x \rightarrow 0$, which is also a contradiction.

(c) $0 \notin \overline{\text{Orb}(T, w)}$ compact. Then from $\mu_m T^{n_m} u + \mu_m T^{n_m} w \rightarrow w$ we conclude $\mu_m \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ and so $\mu_m T^{n_m} x \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, which is again a contradiction.

So T cannot have supercyclic vectors.

2) $\dim X = \infty$. In this case, by a theorem due to Ovsepian and Pełczyński there exists a sequence $(x_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ in X and a sequence $(\varphi_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ in X^* with the following properties:

- (1) $\varphi_k(x_l) = \delta_{k,l}$, $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (2) $\overline{\text{span}\{x_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}} = X$.
- (3) $\varphi_k(x) = 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow x = 0$.
- (4) $\|x_k\| = 1$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$; $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|\varphi_k\| = C < \infty$.

We define $T : X \rightarrow X$ by $Tx = \sum_{k=1}^\infty (1/2)^k \varphi_{k+1}(x)x_k$ and so $T \in B(X)$ as a consequence of (4). We will show that T has supercyclic vectors to do that it is enough to show that there is an $x \in X$ and a sequence $(\mu_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ of real numbers such that $\{\mu_n T^n x : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ is dense in X .

We choose $\mu_n = 2^{n^2}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and will show now that the sequence $A = (2^{n^2} T^n)_{n=0}^\infty$ has A -universal elements. We find that

$$T^n x = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{kn+n(n-1)/2} \varphi_{k+n}(x)x_k, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in X.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $u = \sum_{j=1}^\nu \alpha_j x_j$, $v = \sum_{j=1}^\nu \beta_j x_j$ with $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\nu, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_\nu \in \mathbb{R}$. We will prove that there is a $w \in X$ and an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\|w - u\| \leq \varepsilon$ and $\|2^{n_0^2} T^{n_0} w - v\| \leq \varepsilon$. From (2) we then deduce that $\{(\xi, 2^{n^2} T^n \xi) : \xi \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ is dense in $X \times X$ and, using the results of Große-Erdmann, we are done. Let

$$w = u + \sum_{j=1}^\nu 2^{j n_0 + n_0(n_0-1)/2 - n_0^2} \beta_j x_{j+n_0}$$

with $n_0 \geq \nu$ such that $\|w - u\| \leq \varepsilon$. Since $n_0 \geq \nu$, we get from (1)

$$T^{n_0} u = 0$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{n_0} T^{n_0} w &= T^{n_0} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} 2^{jn_0+n_0(n_0-1)/2} \beta_j x_{j+n_0} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{jn_0+n_0(n_0-1)/2} 2^{jn_0+n_0(n_0-1)/2} \beta_j x_j = v. \end{aligned}$$

So $\|2^{n_0} T^{n_0} w - v\| = 0 \leq \varepsilon$. ■

4. Final remarks. 1) By analogy with the proof of Theorem 1, one can prove that for a complex separable Banach space there are operators with supercyclic vectors if and only if $\dim X \in \{0, 1\}$ or $\dim X = \infty$.

2) In the infinite-dimensional case, the operator T in the proof of Theorem 1 is compact. An operator with hypercyclic vectors cannot be compact (see [6]).

3) In [2, p. 42] a supercyclic vector $x \in X$ for $T \in B(X)$ and X real is defined by $\{\lambda y : y \in \text{Orb}(T, x), \lambda > 0\} = X$. Also with this definition, Theorem 1 holds with exactly the same proof with the only difference that in the case $\dim X = 1$ we take minus identity.

References

- [1] B. Beauzamy, *An operator in a separable Hilbert space with many hypercyclic vectors*, Studia Math. 87 (1987), 71–78.
- [2] —, *Introduction to Operator Theory and Invariant Subspaces*, North-Holland, 1988.
- [3] P. S. Bourdon and J. H. Shapiro, *Cyclic composition operators in H^2* , in: Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 51, Amer. Math. Soc., 1990, 43–53.
- [4] K.-G. Große-Erdmann, *Holomorphe Monster und universelle Funktionen*, Mitt. Math. Sem. Gießen 176 (1987).
- [5] D. A. Herrero and Z.-Y. Wang, *Compact perturbations of hypercyclic and supercyclic operators*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 39 (1990), 819–829.
- [6] G. Herzog und R. Lemmert, *Endomorphismen mit dichten Bahnen*, preprint.
- [7] G. Pólya und G. Szegő, *Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis*, Band I, Springer, 1964.
- [8] S. Rolewicz, *On orbits of elements*, Studia Math. 32 (1960), 17–22.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT I
UNIVERSITÄT KARLSRUHE
POSTFACH 6980
D-7500 KARLSRUHE 1, GERMANY

Received April 13, 1992
Revised version July 8, 1992

(2928)

Functionals on transient stochastic processes with independent increments

by

K. URBANIK (Wrocław)

Abstract. The paper is devoted to the study of integral functionals $\int_0^\infty f(X(t, \omega)) dt$ for a wide class of functions f and transient stochastic processes $X(t, \omega)$ with stationary and independent increments. In particular, for nonnegative processes a random analogue of the Tauberian theorem is obtained.

1. Notation and preliminaries. Let μ be a Borel measure on the real line $(-\infty, \infty)$. We denote by $L(\mu)$ the space of all complex-valued Borel functions f with the finite norm

$$\|f\|_\mu = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)| \mu(dx).$$

The measure μ is said to be *shift-bounded* if

$$\sup\{\mu([a+x, b+x]) : x \in (-\infty, \infty)\} < \infty$$

for every bounded interval $[a, b]$. All measures under consideration in the sequel will tacitly be assumed to be shift-bounded and not identically equal to 0. The support of a function f is denoted by $\text{supp } f$. The indicator of a set A is denoted by 1_A .

Put $\gamma(dx) = e^{-|x|} dx$. The space $L_\infty(\gamma)$ consists of all complex-valued Borel functions f with the finite norm

$$\|f\|_\infty = \text{vrai sup}\{|f(x)| : x \in (-\infty, \infty)\}.$$

In the sequel we shall briefly say “almost everywhere” instead of “ γ -almost everywhere”.

If the integral $\int_{-\infty}^\infty |f(x+y)| \mu(dy)$ is finite for almost all x , then the convolution $f * \mu$ is defined by the formula

$$(f * \mu)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x+y) \mu(dy).$$