

Vladimír Müller; Andrzej Sołtysiak
On local joint capacities of operators

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 43 (1993), No. 4, 743–751

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128433>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1993

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON LOCAL JOINT CAPACITIES OF OPERATORS

VLADIMÍR MÜLLER,* Praha and ANDRZEJ SOLTYSIAK, Poznań

(Received April 13, 1993)

Let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ be an n -tuple of commuting operators in a Banach space X . Then the set of all $x \in X$ for which the local (Halmos-Stirling) capacity $\text{cap}(T, x)$ is equal to the capacity $\text{cap} T$ is dense in X . This generalizes the corresponding result for one operator [5].

Denote by $B(X)$ the algebra of all bounded operators in a Banach space X . Let $S \in B(X)$ and $x \in X$. The problem of describing the behaviour of all powers $S^n x$ (or all polynomials $p(S)x$) appears naturally in many questions of operator theory (e.g. local spectral theory or invariant subspace problem, cf. [1]).

The present paper was originally inspired by the paper of Halmos [2] and his notions of capacity in Banach algebras and quasialebraic operators. He asked also whether every locally quasialebraic operator is (globally) quasialebraic, i.e. if there is a version of Kaplansky's theorem for quasialebraic operators. An affirmative answer to this question was given in [4] and the result was improved in [5]. The present paper continues this study and generalizes the results for n -tuples of commuting operators.

Let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ be an n -tuple of mutually commuting operators in a Banach space X .

We denote by $\sigma(T) \subset \mathbf{C}^n$ the Harte spectrum [3] of T , i.e. $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n$ does not belong to $\sigma(T)$ if and only if there exist operators $L_1, \dots, L_n, R_1, \dots, R_n \in B(X)$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n L_i(T_i - \lambda_i) = I = \sum_{i=1}^n (T_i - \lambda_i)R_i.$$

Denote by $\sigma_e(T)$ the essential spectrum of T , i.e. the Harte spectrum of the commuting n -tuple $\pi(T) = (\pi(T_1), \dots, \pi(T_n))$ in the Calkin algebra $B(X)|K(X)$, where

* The research was supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, Germany

$K(X)$ is the ideal of compact operators and $\pi: B(X) \rightarrow B(X)/K(X)$ is the canonical projection. We define formally $\sigma_e(T) = \emptyset$ for a commuting n -tuple T of operators in a finite-dimensional Banach space.

For an operator $S_1 \in B(X)$ denote by $r_e(S_1)$ the essential spectral radius of S_1 , i.e. $r_e(S_1) = \max\{|\mu|: \mu \in \sigma_e(S_1)\}$.

Denote further by $\sigma_{\pi_e}(T)$ the essential approximate point spectrum of T , i.e. $\lambda \in \sigma_{\pi_e}(T)$ if and only if

$$\inf\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n \|(T_i - \lambda_i)x\|: x \in M, \|x\| = 1\right\} = 0$$

for every subspace $M \subset X$ of finite codimension.

We denote by $\mathcal{P}_r(n)$ the set of all polynomials in n variables with degree $\deg p \leq r$. Every $p \in \mathcal{P}_r(n)$ can be written in the form

$$p(z) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq r} c_\alpha(p) z^\alpha$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is an n -tuple of non-negative integers, $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$, the coefficients $c_\alpha(p)$ are complex, $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $z^\alpha = z_1^{\alpha_1} \dots z_n^{\alpha_n}$. If p is a polynomial in n variables and $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ a compact set then $\|p\|_K = \max\{|p(z)|: z \in K\}$. We say that a set $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is algebraic if $p(K) \subset \{0\}$ for some non-zero polynomial p .

The first lemma uses the idea of extremal points of Fekete-Leja, see [8]. The authors are indebted to Professor J. Siciak for supplying the proof of it. Our proof is slightly modified.

Lemma 1. *Let n, r be positive integers and $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ a compact set. Then there exists a finite subset $K' \subset K$ with $\text{card } K' = m \leq \binom{n+r}{n}$ such that*

$$\|p\|_K \leq m \cdot \|p\|_{K'} \quad (p \in \mathcal{P}_r(n)).$$

Proof. Denote by $L = \{p \in \mathcal{P}_r(n): \|p\|_K = 0\}$ and let M be a complementary space of L in $\mathcal{P}_r(n)$, i.e. $M \cap L = \{0\}$ and $M + L = \mathcal{P}_r(n)$. Let $m = \dim M \leq \dim \mathcal{P}_r(n) = \binom{n+r}{n}$ and let $q_1, \dots, q_m \in M$ be a basis of M . For $x_1, \dots, x_m \in K$ denote by $V(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \det(q_i(x_j))_{i,j=1}^m$. The polynomials q_1, \dots, q_m are linearly independent on K , so that there exist points $x_1, \dots, x_m \in K$ such that the matrix $(q_i(x_j))_{i,j=1}^m$ is regular, i.e. $V(x_1, \dots, x_m) \neq 0$. Let $k_1, \dots, k_m \in K$ satisfy

$$|V(k_1, \dots, k_m)| = \max\{|V(y_1, \dots, y_m)|: y_1, \dots, y_m \in K\}.$$

Then $V(k_1, \dots, k_m) \neq 0$. For $j = 1, \dots, m$ define polynomials $L^{(j)} \in \mathcal{P}_r(n)$ by

$$L^{(j)}(z) = V(k_1, \dots, k_{j-1}, z, k_{j+1}, \dots, k_m) / V(k_1, \dots, k_m).$$

Clearly $|L^{(j)}(z)| \leq 1$ for every $z \in K$. The polynomials $L^{(j)}$ are linear combinations of polynomials q_1, \dots, q_m , so that $L^{(j)} \in M$ ($j = 1, \dots, m$). Further $L^{(j)}(k_i) = \delta_{ij}$ (the Kronecker symbol), so that the polynomials $L^{(1)}, \dots, L^{(m)}$ are linearly independent and every polynomial $p \in M$ is a linear combination of them. Obviously

$$p(z) = \sum_{j=1}^m p(k_j) L^{(j)}(z) \quad (p \in M, z \in K).$$

Set $K' = \{k_1, \dots, k_m\}$. Every polynomial $p \in \mathcal{P}_r(n)$ can be written in the form $p = p_1 + p_2$ for some $p_1 \in L$ and $p_2 \in M$, and $p_2 = \sum_{j=1}^m p_2(k_j) L^{(j)}$. Hence

$$\|p\|_K = \|p_2\|_K = \max \left\{ \left| \sum_{j=1}^m p_2(k_j) L^{(j)}(z) \right| : z \in K \right\} \leq \sum_{j=1}^m |p_2(k_j)| \leq m \cdot \|p\|_{K'}.$$

□

Lemma 2. *Let E be a finite-dimensional subspace of an infinite dimensional Banach space X , let \mathcal{M} be a finite-dimensional subspace of $B(X)$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists a subspace $Z \subset X$ with $\text{codim } Z < \infty$ such that*

$$\|T(e + z)\| \geq (1 - \varepsilon) \max\{\|Te\|, \frac{1}{2}\|Tz\|\}$$

for every $e \in E$, $z \in Z$ and $T \in \mathcal{M}$.

Proof. Let T_1, \dots, T_r be a basis in \mathcal{M} . Set $F = \bigvee_{i=1}^r T_i E = \{Te : T \in \mathcal{M}, e \in E\}$. Clearly F is a finite-dimensional subspace of X . By [5], Lemma 1 there exists a subspace $Y \subset X$ with $\text{codim } Y < \infty$ such that

$$\|f + y\| \geq (1 - \varepsilon) \max\{\|f\|, \frac{1}{2}\|y\|\} \quad (f \in F, y \in Y).$$

Set $Z = \bigcap_{i=1}^r T_i^{-1} Y$. As $\text{codim } S^{-1} Y < \infty$ for every $S \in B(X)$, we have $\text{codim } Z < \infty$. Let $e \in E$, $z \in Z$ and $T \in \mathcal{M}$. Then $Te \in F$ and $T_i z \in Y$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$) so that $Tz \in Y$. Hence

$$\|T(e + z)\| \geq (1 - \varepsilon) \max\{\|Te\|, \frac{1}{2}\|Tz\|\}.$$

□

Lemma 3. Let n, r be positive integers, let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ be an n -tuple of mutually commuting operators on a Banach space X such that $\sigma_e(T)$ is not algebraic. Let Y be a subspace of X with $\text{codim } Y < \infty$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists $x \in Y$ such that $\|x\| = 1$ and

$$\|p(T)x\| \geq \frac{1-\varepsilon}{2} \binom{n+r}{n}^{-2} r_e(p(T)) \quad (p \in \mathcal{P}_r(n)).$$

Proof. Clearly X is infinite dimensional since $\sigma_e(T)$ is not algebraic.

Denote by $K = \sigma_{\pi e}(T)$. As the polynomially convex hulls of $\sigma_{\pi e}(T)$ and of $\sigma_e(T)$ coincide [7] and by the spectral mapping property for σ_e , we have, for every $p \in \mathcal{P}_r(n)$,

$$\|p\|_K = \max\{|p(z)| : z \in \sigma_{\pi e}(T)\} = \max\{|p(z)| : z \in \sigma_e(T)\} = r_e(p(T)).$$

Further $\|p\|_K \neq 0$ for $p \neq 0$ as the set $\sigma_e(T)$ is not algebraic. For a polynomial $p \in \mathcal{P}_r(n)$, $p = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq r} c_\alpha(p) z^\alpha$ define a new norm by $|p| = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq r} |c_\alpha(p)|$. The norms $|\cdot|$ and $\|\cdot\|_K$ are equivalent on $\mathcal{P}_r(n)$ so that there exists a positive constant c such that

$$(1) \quad |p| \leq c \|p\|_K \quad (p \in \mathcal{P}_r(n)).$$

By Lemma 1 there exist elements $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in K$, $m \leq \binom{n+r}{n}$ such that

$$(2) \quad \|p\|_K \leq m \cdot \max\{|p(\lambda_i)| : i = 1, \dots, m\} \quad (p \in \mathcal{P}_r(n)).$$

We construct inductively points $x_1, \dots, x_m \in Y$. Suppose x_1, \dots, x_k ($0 \leq k \leq m-1$) are already found. Let E_k be the subspace generated by the vectors x_1, \dots, x_k and let $\mathcal{M} = \{p(T) : p \in \mathcal{P}_r(n)\}$. By Lemma 2 there exists a subspace $Z_k \subset X$, $\text{codim } Z_k < \infty$ such that

$$(3) \quad \|p(T)(e+z)\| \geq (1-\varepsilon') \max\{\|p(T)e\|, \frac{1}{2}\|p(T)z\|\} \quad (e \in E_k, z \in Z_k, p \in \mathcal{P}_r(n))$$

where ε' is a positive number satisfying $\varepsilon' < 1$ and $(1-\varepsilon')^2(1-m\varepsilon') \geq 1-\varepsilon$.

Write $\lambda_{k+1} = (\lambda_{k+1,1}, \dots, \lambda_{k+1,n})$ and consider the subspace $W_k = Y \cap \bigcap_{i=0}^k Z_i$. Clearly $\text{codim } W_k < \infty$. By the definition of $\sigma_{\pi e}(T)$ we have

$$\inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \|(T_i - \lambda_{k+1,i})w\| : w \in W_k, \|w\| = 1 \right\} = 0$$

so that there exists $x_{k+1} \in W_k$, $\|x_{k+1}\| = 1$ such that

$$\|(T^\alpha - \lambda_{k+1}^\alpha)x_{k+1}\| \leq c^{-1}\varepsilon'$$

for every multiindex α , $|\alpha| \leq r$. Let $p = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq r} c_\alpha(p)z^\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_r(n)$. Then by (1),

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \|(p(T) - p(\lambda_{k+1}))x_{k+1}\| &= \left\| \sum_{|\alpha| \leq r} c_\alpha(p)(T^\alpha - \lambda_{k+1}^\alpha)x_{k+1} \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq r} |c_\alpha(p)| \max\{\|(T^\alpha - \lambda_{k+1}^\alpha)x_{k+1}\| : |\alpha| \leq r\} \leq |p| \cdot c^{-1}\varepsilon' \leq \varepsilon' \|p\|_K. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that we have found elements x_1, \dots, x_m in this way. Set $x = a^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^m x_i$, where $a = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m x_i \right\|$. Then

$$a \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \|x_i\| = m \quad \text{and} \quad a \geq (1 - \varepsilon') \|x_1\| = 1 - \varepsilon'$$

as $x_1 \in E_1$ and $x_2, \dots, x_m \in Z_1$. Clearly $x \in Y$ and $\|x\| = 1$. Let $p \in \mathcal{P}_r(n)$. Then, for $k = 1, \dots, m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|p(T)x\| &= \left\| a^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^m p(T)x_i \right\| \geq (1 - \varepsilon') a^{-1} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k p(T)x_i \right\| \geq \frac{1}{2} (1 - \varepsilon')^2 a^{-1} \|p(T)x_k\| \\ &\geq \frac{(1 - \varepsilon')^2}{2m} \left(\|p(\lambda_k)x_k\| - \|(p(T) - p(\lambda_k))x_k\| \right) \\ &\geq \frac{(1 - \varepsilon')^2}{2m} \left(|p(\lambda_k)| - \varepsilon' \|p\|_K \right) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \|p(T)x\| &\geq \frac{(1 - \varepsilon')^2}{2m} \left(\max\{|p(\lambda_k)| : k = 1, \dots, m\} - \varepsilon' \|p\|_K \right) \\ &\geq \frac{(1 - \varepsilon')^2}{2m} \|p\|_K (m^{-1} - \varepsilon') \\ &\geq \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{2m^2} \|p\|_K = \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{2m^2} r_\varepsilon(p(T)). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 4. Let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ be an n -tuple of mutually commuting operators in a Banach space X such that $\sigma_\varepsilon(T)$ is not algebraic, let $x \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists $y \in X$ and a constant $C = C(\varepsilon)$ such that $\|y - x\| < \varepsilon$ and

$$\|p(T)y\| \geq C(1 + \deg p)^{-(2n+\varepsilon)} r_\varepsilon(p(T))$$

for every polynomial p .

Proof. Find $k_0 \geq 1$ such that $\sum_{i=k_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^2} < \varepsilon$, $2^{k_0} \geq n$ and $k^2 \leq 2^{\varepsilon(k-1)}$ ($k \geq k_0$). Denote by $C = \frac{1}{8k_0^2}(n+2^{k_0})^{-2n}$. Choose positive numbers ε_i ($i \geq k_0$) such that $\varepsilon_i < 1$ and $\prod_{i=k_0}^{\infty} (1 - \varepsilon_i) \geq \frac{1}{2}$. We construct inductively points $y_{k_0}, y_{k_0+1}, \dots \in X$, $\|y_i\| = 1$. Suppose that y_{k_0}, \dots, y_{k-1} are already given. Set $E_k = \bigvee\{x, y_{k_0}, \dots, y_{k-1}\}$. By Lemma 2 for $\mathcal{M} = \{p(T): p \in \mathcal{P}_{2^k}(n)\}$ there exists a subspace $Z \subset X$ with $\text{codim } Z < \infty$ such that

$$\|p(T)(e + z)\| \geq \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon_k}{2}\right) \max\left\{\|p(T)e\|, \frac{1}{2}\|p(T)z\|\right\}$$

for every $e \in E_k$, $z \in Z$ and $p \in \mathcal{P}_{2^k}(n)$. By Lemma 3 there exists $y_k \in Z$ such that $\|y_k\| = 1$ and

$$\|p(T)y_k\| \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon_k}{2}\right) \binom{n+2^k}{n}^{-2} r_e(p(T)) \quad (p \in \mathcal{P}_{2^k}(n)).$$

Thus

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \|p(T)(e + y_k)\| &\geq \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon_k}{2}\right) \max\left\{\|p(T)e\|, \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon_k}{2}\right) \binom{n+2^k}{n}^{-2} r_e(p(T))\right\} \\ &\geq (1 - \varepsilon_k) \max\left\{\|p(T)e\|, \frac{1}{4} \binom{n+2^k}{n}^{-2} r_e(p(T))\right\} \end{aligned}$$

for every $e \in E_k$ and $p \in \mathcal{P}_{2^k}(n)$.

Set $y = x + \sum_{i=k_0}^{\infty} \frac{y_i}{i^2}$. Clearly $\|y - x\| \leq \sum_{i=k_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^2} < \varepsilon$. Let p be a polynomial of degree r . We distinguish two cases:

1) Let $r \leq 2^{k_0}$. Then, by (5), we have for $N \geq k_0$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\|p(T)x + \sum_{i=k_0}^N \frac{1}{i^2} p(T)y_i\right\| \geq (1 - \varepsilon_N) \left\|p(T)x + \sum_{i=k_0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{i^2} p(T)y_i\right\| \geq \dots \\ &\geq \prod_{i=k_0+1}^N (1 - \varepsilon_i) \cdot \left\|p(T)x + \frac{1}{k_0^2} p(T)y_{k_0}\right\| \geq \prod_{i=k_0}^N (1 - \varepsilon_i) \cdot \frac{1}{4k_0^2} \binom{n+2^{k_0}}{n}^{-2} r_e(p(T)) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{8k_0^2} (n+2^{k_0})^{-2n} r_e(p(T)) \geq C \cdot r_e(p(T)). \end{aligned}$$

2) Let $2^{k-1} < r \leq 2^k$ for some $k > k_0$. Then for $N \geq k$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| p(T)x + \sum_{i=k_0}^N \frac{1}{i^2} p(T)y_i \right\| &\geq \prod_{i=k+1}^N (1 - \varepsilon_i) \cdot \left\| p(T)x + \sum_{i=k_0}^k \frac{1}{i^2} p(T)y_i \right\| \\ &\geq \prod_{i=k}^N (1 - \varepsilon_i) \cdot \frac{1}{4k^2} \binom{n+2^k}{n}^{-2} r_\varepsilon(p(T)) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{8} 2^{-\varepsilon(k-1)} (n+2^k)^{-2n} r_\varepsilon(p(T)) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{8} r^{-\varepsilon} (3r)^{-2n} r_\varepsilon(p(T)) \\ &\geq Cr^{-(2n+\varepsilon)} r_\varepsilon(p(T)). \end{aligned}$$

So for every polynomial p we have

$$\|p(T)y\| = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| p(T)x + \sum_{i=k_0}^N \frac{1}{i^2} p(T)y_i \right\| \geq C(1 + \deg p)^{-(2n+\varepsilon)} r_\varepsilon(p(T)).$$

□

The notion of capacity for elements of a Banach algebra was introduced by Halmos [2] and extended to commuting n -tuples by Stirling [9].

Denote by $\mathcal{P}_k^1(n)$ the set of all polynomials $p(z) = \sum_{|\mu| \leq k} a_\mu(p) z^\mu \in \mathcal{P}_k(n)$ with $\sum_{|\mu|=k} |a_\mu(p)| = 1$. These polynomials were called monic in [9].

Let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ be an n -tuple of mutually commuting operators in a Banach space X . The joint capacity of T was defined in [9] by

$$\text{cap}(T) = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{cap}_k(T)^{1/k}$$

where

$$\text{cap}_k(T) = \inf \{ \|p(T)\| : p \in \mathcal{P}_k^1(n) \}$$

(note that the liminf in the definition of $\text{cap} T$ can be replaced by limit by [6]). For a compact subset $K \subset \mathbf{C}^n$ define the corresponding capacity by

$$\text{cap} K = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\text{cap}_k K)^{1/k}$$

where

$$\text{cap}_k K = \inf \{ \|p\|_K : p \in \mathcal{P}_k^1(n) \}.$$

This capacity was studied in [10] and called the *homogeneous Tshebyshev constant* of a compact set K .

By [6] $\text{cap } T = \text{cap } \sigma(T) = \text{cap } \sigma_\epsilon(T)$.

Let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B(X)^n$ be a commuting n -tuple and let $x \in X$. We define the local capacity $\text{cap}(T, x)$ by

$$\text{cap}(T, x) = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{cap}_k(T, x)^{1/k}$$

where

$$\text{cap}_k(T, x) = \inf\{\|p(T)x\| : p \in \mathcal{P}_k^1(n)\}.$$

Clearly $\text{cap}(T, x) \leq \text{cap } T$ for every $x \in X$.

Theorem 5. *Let $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ be an n -tuple of mutually commuting operators in a Banach space X . Then the set of all $y \in X$ with $\text{cap}(T, y) = \text{cap } T$ is dense in X .*

Proof. If $\sigma_\epsilon(T)$ is an algebraic set then $\text{cap } \sigma_\epsilon(T) = 0$ so that $\text{cap } T = 0$ and the assertion of Theorem 5 is satisfied trivially for every $y \in X$.

Suppose $\sigma_\epsilon(T)$ is not algebraic. Let $x \in X$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists $y \in X$ with $\|y - x\| < \epsilon$ and

$$\|p(T)y\| \geq C(1 + \deg p)^{-(2n+\epsilon)} r_\epsilon(p(T))$$

for every polynomial p . Thus

$$\text{cap}_k(T, y) = \inf\{\|p(T)y\| : p \in \mathcal{P}_k^1(n)\} \geq C(1+k)^{-(2n+\epsilon)} \inf\{r_\epsilon(p(T)) : p \in \mathcal{P}_k^1(n)\}$$

where

$$r_\epsilon(p(T)) = \sup\{|p(z)| : z \in \sigma_\epsilon(T)\}$$

so that

$$\text{cap}_k(T, y) \geq C(1+k)^{-(2n+\epsilon)} \text{cap}_k(\sigma_\epsilon(T)).$$

Hence

$$\text{cap}(T, y) = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{cap}_k(T, y)^{1/k} = \text{cap}(\sigma_\epsilon(T)) = \text{cap } T.$$

□

References

- [1] *B. Beauzamy*: Introduction to operator theory and invariant subspaces, North-Holland Mathematical Library Vol. 42, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1988.
- [2] *P.R. Halmos*: Capacity in Banach algebras, *Indiana U. Math. J.* *20* (1971), 855–863.
- [3] *R. Harte*: Tensor products, multiplication operators and the spectral mapping theorem, *Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A* *73* (1973), 285–302.
- [4] *V. Müller*: On quasiagebraic operators in Banach spaces, *J. Operator Theory* *17* (1987), 291–300.
- [5] *V. Müller*: Local behaviour of the polynomial calculus of operators, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, to appear.
- [6] *V. Müller*: A note on joint capacities in Banach algebras, to appear.
- [7] *V. Müller*: On the joint essential spectrum of commuting operators, to appear.
- [8] *J. Siciak*: Extremal points in the space \mathbf{C}^n , *Colloq. Math.* *11* (1964), 157–163.
- [9] *D.S.G. Stirling*: The joint capacity of elements of Banach algebras, *J. London Math. Soc.* *10* (1975), 212–218.
- [10] *V.P. Zakharyuta*: Transfinite diameter, Tshebyshev constant and a capacity of a compact set in \mathbf{C}^n , *Mat. Sb.* *96* (1975), 374–389. (In Russian.)

Authors' addresses: Institute of Mathematics, Academy of Sciences of Czech Republic, Žitná 25, 115 67 Praha 1, Czech Republic; Institute of Mathematics, A. Mickiewicz University, Matejki 48/49, 60-769 Poznań, Poland.