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#### Abstract

s In this paper, we verify a part of the Mirror Symmetry Conjecture for Schoen's Calabi-Yau 3-fold, which is a special complete intersection in a toric variety. We calculate a part of the prepotential of the A-model Yukawa couplings of the Calabi-Yau 3 -fold directly by means of a theta function and Dedekind's eta function. This gives infinitely many Gromov-Witten invariants, and equivalently infinitely many sets of rational curves in the Calabi-Yau 3-fold. Using the toric mirror construction Ba-Bd, HKTY, Sti, we also calculate the prepotential of the B-model Yukawa couplings of the mirror partner. Comparing the expansion of the B-model prepotential with that of the A-model prepotential, we check a part of the Mirror Symmetry Conjecture up to a high order.


## 1 Introduction

Let $W$ be a generic complete intersection variety in $\mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$ which is defined by two equations of multi-degrees $(1,3,0)$ and $(1,0,3)$ respectively. A generic $W$ is a non-singular Calabi-Yau 3 -fold, which we call Schoen's Calabi-Yau 3-fold Sch. The purpose of this paper is to verify a part of the Mirror Symmetry Conjecture for Schoen's Calabi-Yau 3-folds.

[^0]In COGP, Candelas et al. calculated the prepotential of the B-model Yukawa couplings of the mirror of generic quintic hypersurfaces $X$ in $\mathbf{P}^{4}$ and under the mirror hypothesis they gave predictions of numbers of rational curves of degree $d$ in $X$. Their predictions have been verified mathematically only for $d \leq 3$, that is, for numbers of lines, conics and cubic curves (cf. e.g. E-S ). On the B-model side one can compute as many coefficients as one wants and thus conjecturally count curves of any degree. However it is very hard to calculate the Gromov-Witten invariants directly on the A-model side. In this paper we calculate, (directly on the A-model side), a part of the prepotential of Schoen's Calabi-Yau 3-fold $W$ which gives infinitely many Gromov-Witten invariants of $W$.
The main strategy of our verification is as follows:

- We will calculate a part of the prepotential of the A-model Yukawa couplings (for genus zero) of Schoen's Calabi-Yau 3-fold by using a structure of fibration $h: W \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ by abelian surfaces. The theory of Mordell-Weil lattices Man1, Sh1, Sa allows us to calculate that part of the prepotential coming from sections of $h$. Under very plausible assumptions, we can count the "numbers of pseudo-sections", which makes it possible for us to obtain a very explicit description of the 1-sectional part of the A-model prepotential (cf. Theorem 4.2) in 19 variables by using a lattice theta function for $E_{8}$ and Dedekind's eta function.
- According to Batyrev-Borisov Ba-B0 we can construct a mirror partner $W^{*}$ of $W$. The prepotential of the B-model Yukawa couplings of $W^{*}$ can be defined by means of period integrals of $W^{*}$. Following the recipe in HKTY, Sti we expand the B-model prepotential in 3 variables by using the toric data. These 3-variables correspond to 3 elements of the Picard group of $W$ coming from line bundles on the ambient space $\mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$.
- By identifying the 3 variables with the corresponding 3 variables on the A-model side we have two expansions which should be compared. By a simple computer calculation we can verify the conjecture up to a high order.

To state the results for the A-model side let $f_{i}: S_{i} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}(i=1,2)$ be two generic rational elliptic surfaces. Then Schoen's generic Calabi-Yau 3-fold can be obtained as the fiber product $h: W=S_{1} \times_{\mathbf{P}^{1}} S_{2} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$. A general fiber of $h$ is a product of two elliptic curves. Hence after fixing the zero section the set of sections of $h$ becomes an abelian group. In this case the group of sections $M W(W)$ is a finitely generated abelian group and admits a Néron-Tate height pairing. Let $B$ be the symmetric bilinear form associated to the Néron-Tate height pairing. According to Shioda, we call the pair $(M W(W), B)$ of the group and the symmetric bilinear form a MordellWeil lattice. Under the genericity condition for $W$ and a suitable choice of a Néron-Tate height we can easily see that the Mordell-Weil lattice is isometric to $E_{8} \times E_{8}$. (Cf. Sa]). There is a very suitable set of 19 generators $[F],\left[L_{i}\right],\left[M_{j}\right](0 \leq i, j \leq 8)$ for the Picard group of $W$. We introduce the parameters $p, q_{i}, s_{j}$ corresponding to these generators. The divisor class $[F]$, which is the class of the fiber, has a special meaning in our context. A homology class $\eta$ is called $k$-sectional if the intersection number $([F],[\eta])=k$. Let $\Psi_{A}$ denote the prepotential of the A-model Yukawa couplings of $W$ and $\Psi_{A, k}$ its $k$-sectional part for $k \geq 0$. Then we have an expansion like

$$
\Psi_{A}=\text { topological part }+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Psi_{A, k}
$$

Our main theorem can be stated as follows. For detailed notation, see Section 1

Theorem 1.1 (cf. Theorem 4.1, 4.2). Assume that Conjecture 5.1 in Section 5 holds. Then for a generic Schoen's Calabi-Yau 3-fold $W$ the 1-sectional prepotential is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{A, 1}\left(p, q_{0}, \cdots q_{8}, s_{0}, \cdots, s_{8}\right) \\
= & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3}} \cdot\left(p \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{8}\left(q_{i} \cdot s_{i}\right)\right)^{n} \cdot A\left(n \tau_{1}, n z_{1}, \ldots, n z_{8}\right) \cdot A\left(n \tau_{2}, n y_{1}, \ldots, n y_{8}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
A\left(\tau, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{8}\right)=\Theta_{E_{8}}^{r o o t}\left(\tau, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{8}\right) \cdot\left[\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p(m) \cdot \exp (2 \pi m i \tau)\right]^{12}
$$

Here $p(m)$ denotes the number of partitions of $m$.
(For the definition of the various notations see Theorem 4.1).
Since we can prove $\Psi_{A, 0} \equiv 0$ (cf. Sa]) and $\Psi_{A, k}, k \geq 2$ consists of terms divisible by $p^{2}$, we obtain an asymptotic expansion of $\Psi_{A}$ with respect to $p$ :

$$
\Psi_{A}=\text { topological term }+p \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{8}\left(q_{i} s_{i}\right) \cdot A\left(\tau_{1}, \mathbf{z}\right) \cdot A\left(\tau_{2}, \mathbf{y}\right)+O\left(p^{2}\right)
$$

where $\mathbf{z}=\left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{8}\right), \mathbf{y}=\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{8}\right)$.
In Section 9 we show that $\Theta_{E_{8}}^{\text {root }}$ has a very simple expression in terms of the standard Jacobi theta functions.
On the other hand on the B-model side there are only 3 parameters involved in the calculation, because the Batyrev-Borisov construction can only deal with generators of the Picard group of $W$ coming from the ambient toric variety $\mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$. One can easily find the corresponding parameters $p=U_{0}, U_{1}=\exp \left(2 \pi i t_{1}\right), U_{2}=\exp \left(2 \pi i t_{2}\right)$ on the A-model side and one can obtain the following expansion:

$$
\Psi_{A}^{\text {res }}\left(p, t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=\text { topological term }+p \cdot A\left(3 t_{1}, t_{1} \gamma\right) \cdot A\left(3 t_{2}, t_{2} \gamma\right)+O\left(p^{2}\right)
$$

where $\gamma=(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,-1)$. We also obtain an expansion of the $B$-model prepotential

$$
\Psi_{B}\left(p, t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=\text { topological term }+p \cdot B\left(t_{1}\right) \cdot B\left(t_{2}\right)+O\left(p^{2}\right)
$$

Therefore in this context the mirror symmetry conjecture can be stated as

$$
\Psi_{A}^{r e s}\left(p, t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \equiv \Psi_{B}\left(p, t_{1}, t_{2}\right)
$$

From the above asymptotic expansion, we come to a concrete mathematical conjecture:

$$
A(3 t, t \gamma) \equiv B(t)
$$

At this moment we can calculate both sides up to high order of $U=\exp (2 \pi i t)$ by using computer programs. One can find the expansion of $A(t)$ up to the order of 50 at the end of Section 6 and also the expansion of $B(t)$ at the end of Section 7 .
The rough plan of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 we recall a basic property of Schoen's Calabi-Yau 3-fold and its toric description. In Section 3 we recall the Mordell-Weil lattice which will be essential in the later sections. In Section 1 we first recall the definition of Gromov-Witten invariants and the A-model prepotential. We calculate the Mordell-Weil part of the prepotential in terms of the lattice theta function $\Theta_{E_{8}}^{\text {root }}$ and state the main theorem (Theorem 4.2). Section 5
is devoted to counting the pseudo-sections in $W$. We also prove Theorem 4.2 here. In Section 6 we restrict the parameters of the A-model prepotential in order to compare the expansion with that of the B-model prepotential of the mirror. A table for the coefficients $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ of $A(3 t, t \gamma)$ is given up to order 50 (cf. Table 2 in Section (6). In Section 7 after recalling the formulation of the mirror symmetry conjecture we calculate the B-model prepotential of the mirror of Schoen's example following the recipe of HKTY, Sti]. We expand the function $B(t)$ whose coefficients $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ should coincide with $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ if the mirror symmetry conjecture is true. We check the coincidence up to order 50.
In Appendix I (Section 8) we derive the equation of the mirror according to the Batyrev-Borisov construction Ba-Bd. In Appendix II (Section 9) we give a formula for the theta function of the lattice $E_{8}$.

Let us mention some papers which are related to our work. In the paper D-G-W, Donagi, Grassi and Witten calculate the non-perturbative superpotential in $F$-theory compactification to four dimensions on $\mathbf{P}^{1} \times S$, where $S$ is a rational elliptic surface. It is interesting enough to notice that the supperpotential in their case is also described by the lattice theta function for $E_{8}$. It is interesting that they also mention the contribution of Dedekind's eta function $\eta(\tau)$ to the superpotential, though we do not know any direct relation between $F$-theory and the Type II theory. In G-P, Göttsche and Pandharipande calculated the quantum cohomology of blowingups of $\mathbf{P}^{2}$. Their calculation for the blowing-up of 9-points in general position on $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ is certainly related to our calculation for the rational elliptic surfaces. Moreover, in Y-Z S.-T. Yau and Zaslow describe the counting of BPS states of Type II on K3 surfaces. In the paper, they treated rational curves with nodes, which may have some relation to our treatment of pseudo-sections.

## 2 Schoen's Calabi-Yau 3-folds

Let $f_{i}: S_{i} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}(i=1,2)$ be two smooth rational surfaces defined over $\mathbf{C}$. In this paper we always assume that an elliptic surface has a section.
In Sch] C. Schoen showed that the fiber product of two rational elliptic surfaces

becomes a Calabi-Yau 3-fold after small resolutions of possible singularities of the fiber product. In what follows we consider such Calabi-Yau 3-folds which satisfy the following genericity assumption.

Assumption 2.1 1. The rational elliptic surfaces $f_{i}: S_{i} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}(i=1,2)$ are generic in the sense that the surfaces $S_{i}$ are smooth and every singular fiber of $f_{i}$ is of Kodaira type $I_{1}$, that is, a rational curve with one node. Then one can see that each fibration $f_{i}$ has exactly 12 singular fibers of type $I_{1}$. (cf. Kod).
2. Let $\Sigma_{i} \subset \mathbf{P}^{1}$ be the set of critical values of $f_{i}$. Then we assume that $\Sigma_{1} \cap \Sigma_{2}=\emptyset$.

Under Assumption 2.1 the fiber product $W=S_{1} \times{ }_{\mathbf{P}^{1}} S_{2}$ becomes a nonsingular Calabi-Yau 3-fold. The following facts are well-known. (See Kod or Man1).

Lemma 2.1 Let $S_{1}, S_{2}, W$ be as above.

1. All fibers of $h: W \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ have vanishing topological Euler numbers. Hence we have $e(W)=$ $2\left(h^{1,1}(W)-h^{2,1}(W)\right)=0$.
2. A generic rational elliptic surface with section is obtained by blowing-up the 9 base points of a cubic pencil on $\mathbf{P}^{2}$. Let $\pi_{1}: S_{1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{2}$ and $\pi_{2}: S_{2} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{2}$ be the blowing-ups and $E_{i}, i=1, \cdots, 9$ and $E_{j}^{\prime}, j=1, \cdots, 9$ the divisor classes of the exceptional curves of $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$ respectively. Set $H_{i}=\pi_{i}^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(1)\right)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Pic}\left(S_{1}\right) & =\mathbf{Z} H_{1} \oplus \mathbf{Z} E_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{Z} E_{9}  \tag{1}\\
\operatorname{Pic}\left(S_{2}\right) & =\mathbf{Z} H_{2} \oplus \mathbf{Z} E_{1}^{\prime} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{Z} E_{9}^{\prime} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

3. Let $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ be the divisor classes of the fibers of $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ respectively. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}=3 H_{1}-\sum_{i=1}^{9} E_{i}, \quad F_{2}=3 H_{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{9} E_{i}^{\prime} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. We have the following isomorphism of groups.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pic}(W) \simeq p_{1}^{*}\left(\operatorname{Pic}\left(S_{1}\right)\right) \oplus p_{2}^{*}\left(\operatorname{Pic}\left(S_{2}\right)\right) / \mathbf{Z}\left[p_{1}^{*}\left(F_{1}\right)-p_{2}^{*}\left(F_{2}\right)\right] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the Picard number of $W$ is $h^{11}(W)=19$. Also $h^{21}(W)=19$ because $e(W)=0$.

We now show that Schoen's Calabi-Yau 3-fold $W$ can also be realized as a complete intersection in the toric variety $\mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$. Let $z_{0}, z_{1}, x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}$ be the homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$ and let

$$
a_{0}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right), a_{1}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right), b_{0}\left(y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right), b_{1}\left(y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)
$$

be generic homogeneous cubic polynomials. Then we can assume that the generic rational elliptic surfaces $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ in Lemma 2.1 are obtained as hypersurfaces in $\mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$ as in the following way.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{1}=\left\{P_{1}=z_{1} \cdot a_{0}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)-z_{0} \cdot a_{1}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=0\right\} \subset \mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \\
& S_{2}=\left\{P_{2}=z_{1} \cdot b_{0}\left(y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)-z_{0} \cdot b_{1}\left(y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=0\right\} \subset \mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have the natural morphisms

where $f_{1}=\left(p_{1}\right)_{\mid S_{i}}, \pi_{i}=\left(p_{2}\right)_{\mid S_{i}}$. Moreover, one can easily see that $W=S_{1} \times{ }_{\mathbf{P}^{1}} S_{2}$ can be obtained as a complete intersection in the toric variety $\mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$ of types (1, 3, 0), (1, 0, 3):

$$
W=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
{\left[z_{0}: z_{1}\right] \times\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}\right] \times\left[y_{0}: y_{1}: y_{2}\right]} & P_{1}=0 \\
\in \mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} & P_{2}=0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

## 3 Mordell-Weil lattices

The purpose of this section is a review of results on Mordell-Weil lattices which is needed to calculate a part of the prepotential of the A-model Yukawa couplings of Schoen's Calabi-Yau 3 -folds. For more complete treatments the reader may refer to Man1], Sh1], [Sa].
We keep the notation and assumptions of the previous section, that is, let $f_{i}: S_{i} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ be rational elliptic surfaces and let $h: W=S_{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{1} S_{2} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ be the fiber product.
Let $M W\left(S_{i}\right), i=1,2$ and $M W(W)$ denote the set of sections of $f_{i}$ and $h$ respectively. Since the exceptional curves of the blowing-ups $\pi_{i}: S_{i} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{2}$ are the images of sections of $f_{i}$, we denote by $e_{1}$ and $e_{1}^{\prime}$ the sections of $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ respectively such that $e_{1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)=E_{1}$ and $e_{1}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)=E_{1}^{\prime}$. We take $e_{1}$ and $e_{1}^{\prime}$ as zero sections of $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ respectively. Then $M W\left(S_{1}\right)$ and $M W\left(S_{2}\right)$ become finitely generated abelian groups with the identity elements $e_{1}$ and $e_{1}^{\prime}$ respectively. The group $M W\left(S_{i}\right)$ is called the Mordell-Weil group of the rational elliptic surface $f_{i}: S_{i} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$.
Take the line bundles

$$
L_{0}=E_{1}+F_{1} \in \operatorname{Pic}\left(S_{1}\right), \quad M_{0}=E_{1}^{\prime}+F_{2} \in \operatorname{Pic}\left(S_{2}\right)
$$

Note that these line bundles are symmetric 3 and numerically effective and $\left(L_{0}\right)^{2}=\left(M_{0}\right)^{2}=1$. Hence $L_{0}$ and $M_{0}$ are nearly ample line bundles and $E_{1}$ (resp. $E_{1}^{\prime}$ ) is the only irreducible effective curve on $S_{1}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.S_{2}\right)$ with $\left(L_{0}, E_{1}\right)_{S_{1}}=0\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\left(M_{0}, E_{1}^{\prime}\right)_{S_{2}}=0\right)$. (Here $(C, D)_{S_{i}}$ denotes the intersection pairing of curves on the surface $S_{i}$. Later we sometimes identify this pairing with the natural pairing $H^{2}\left(S_{i}\right) \times H_{2}\left(S_{i}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ via Poincaré duality. ) Thanks to Manin Man1 we can define Néron-Tate heights with respect to $2 L_{0}$ and $2 M_{0}$, that is, quadratic forms on $M W\left(S_{i}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{1}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)=\left(2 L_{0}, \sigma_{1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)\right)_{S_{1}}, \quad Q_{2}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)=\left(2 M_{0}, \sigma_{2}\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)\right)_{S_{2}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\sigma_{1} \in M W\left(S_{1}\right)$ and $\sigma_{2} \in M W\left(S_{2}\right)$.
Let $B_{i}$ denote the positive definite symmetric bilinear form associated to the quadratic form $Q_{i}$, i.e. $B_{i}\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left\{Q_{i}\left(\sigma+\sigma^{\prime}\right)-Q_{i}(\sigma)-Q_{i}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)\right\}$.

According to Shioda Sh1 we call $\left(M W\left(S_{i}\right), B_{i}\right)$ the Mordell-Weil lattice of $f_{i}: S_{i} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$. Noting that our Néron-Tate height coincides with Shioda's Sh1 we can show the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1 Under Assumption 2.1 in § 园, we have the following isometry of lattices.

$$
\left(M W\left(S_{i}\right), B_{i}\right) \simeq E_{8}, \quad(i=1,2)
$$

where $E_{8}$ is the unique positive-definite even unimodular lattice of rank 8.

Next we consider the Mordell-Weil group $M W(W)$ of $h: W \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$, whose zero section corresponds to $\left(e_{1}, e_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ (cf. (6)). From a property of the fiber product we have the following isomorphism:

$$
\begin{align*}
& M W(W) \xrightarrow{ } M W\left(S_{1}\right) \oplus M W\left(S_{2}\right)  \tag{6}\\
& \sigma \mapsto \\
&\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)=\left(p_{1} \circ \sigma, p_{2} \circ \sigma\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Since the Picard group $\operatorname{Pic}(W)$ can be described as in ( 4 ), we will use the following notation for the line bundles on $W$ pulled back by $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ :

$$
\left.\begin{array}{lll}
{[F]=p_{1}^{*}\left(F_{1}\right)=p_{2}^{*}\left(F_{2}\right),} & & \\
{\left[H_{1}\right]=p_{1}^{*}\left(H_{1}\right),} & {\left[L_{0}\right]=p_{1}^{*}\left(L_{0}\right),} & {\left[E_{i}\right]=p_{1}^{*}\left(E_{i}\right),} \\
{\left[H_{2}\right]=p_{2}^{*}\left(H_{2}\right),} & {\left[M_{0}\right]=p_{2}^{*}\left(M_{0}\right),} & {\left[E_{j}^{\prime}\right]=p_{2}^{*}\left(E_{j}^{\prime}\right),}
\end{array}(j=1, \cdots, 9), 9\right) .
$$

[^1]We can easily see that $\left[J_{0}\right]:=\left[L_{0}\right]+\left[M_{0}\right]$ is a symmetric numerically effective line bundle on $W$. It defines a Néron-Tate height on $M W(W)$ as follows. For each $\sigma \in M W(W)$ we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{W}(\sigma):=\left(\left[2 J_{0}\right],\left[\sigma\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)\right]\right)_{W} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $(, \quad)_{W}$ denotes the natural pairing $H^{2}(W) \times H_{2}(W) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$. Note that the zero section of $M W(W)$ is $0_{W}=\left(e_{1}, e_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $Q_{W}\left(0_{W}\right)=0$. From this we obtain the Mordell-Weil lattice $\left(M W(W), B_{W}\right)$ where $B_{W}$ denotes the symmetric bilinear form associated to $Q_{W}$. Moreover we obtain the following relation for each section $\sigma \in M W(W)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{W}(\sigma) & =\left(\left[2 J_{0}\right],\left[\sigma\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)\right]\right)_{W} \\
& =\left(2 L_{0},\left[\sigma_{1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)\right]\right)_{S_{1}}+\left(2 M_{0},\left[\sigma_{2}\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)\right]\right)_{S_{2}}=Q_{1}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)+Q_{2}\left(\sigma_{2}\right) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore we find the following
Proposition 3.2 The Néron-Tate height with respect to $\left[2 J_{0}\right]$ on $M W(W)$ gives a lattice structure on $M W(W)$ which induces the isometry:

$$
\left(M W(W), B_{W}\right) \simeq\left(M W\left(S_{1}\right), B_{1}\right) \oplus\left(M W\left(S_{2}\right), B_{2}\right) \simeq E_{8} \oplus E_{8}
$$

There are natural maps

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
j: & M W\left(S_{i}\right) & \longrightarrow H_{2}\left(S_{i}\right) \\
\sigma & \mapsto j(\sigma)=\left[\sigma\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)\right]=\text { the homology class of the curve } \sigma\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right) \\
& & \\
j: & M W(W) & \longrightarrow H_{2}(W) \\
& \sigma & \longmapsto(\sigma)=\left[\sigma\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)\right] .
\end{array}
$$

For each section $\sigma_{i} \in M W\left(S_{i}\right)$, we can always find a birational morphism $\varphi_{i}: S_{i} \longrightarrow \overline{S_{i}}$ which contracts only the image of the section $\sigma_{i}\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)$. This implies the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1 The maps $j$ are injective.

Note that the maps $j$ are not homomorphisms of groups.

Next we will choose other generators of $\operatorname{Pic}\left(S_{i}\right)$. These generators will be used for defining the parameters in which we will expand the prepotential of the A-model Yukawa coupling of Schoen's Calabi-Yau 3-folds. Let $\left(M W\left(S_{i}\right), B_{i}\right)$ be the Mordell-Weil lattices of $S_{i}$, which are isometric to the lattice $E_{8}$. We choose a set of simple roots $\left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \cdots \alpha_{8}\right\}$ of $E_{8}$ whose intersection pairing will be given by the following Dynkin diagram.

[^2]

Figure 1.
We also choose $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{8} \in M W\left(S_{1}\right)$ (resp. $b_{1}, \cdots, b_{8} \in M W\left(S_{2}\right)$ ) corresponding with the roots of $M W\left(S_{1}\right)$ (resp. $M W\left(S_{2}\right)$ ) so that the numbering of the roots is the same as in Figure 1. For each section $\sigma \in M W\left(S_{i}\right)$, one can define a translation automorphism $T_{\sigma}: S_{i} \longrightarrow S_{i}$ :


Pulling back the line bundles $L_{0}$ and $M_{0}$ by the translation automorphisms $T_{a_{i}}$ and $T_{b_{j}}$ respectively, we define the line bundles

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{i}=T_{a_{i}}^{*}\left(L_{0}\right) \in \operatorname{Pic}\left(S_{1}\right), \quad M_{j}=T_{b_{j}}^{*}\left(M_{0}\right) \in \operatorname{Pic}\left(S_{2}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1 \leq i, j \leq 8$.
Then for each section $\sigma_{i} \in M W\left(S_{i}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(L_{i}, j\left(\sigma_{1}\right)\right)_{S_{1}} & =\left(T_{a_{i}}^{*}\left(L_{0}\right), j\left(\sigma_{1}\right)\right)_{S_{1}}=\left(L_{0}, j\left(\sigma_{1}+a_{i}\right)\right)_{S_{1}}=\frac{1}{2} Q_{1}\left(\sigma+a_{i}\right) \\
\left(M_{i}, j\left(\sigma_{2}\right)\right)_{S_{2}} & =\left(T_{b_{i}}^{*}\left(M_{0}\right), j\left(\sigma_{2}\right)\right)_{S_{2}}=\left(M_{0}, j\left(\sigma_{2}+b_{i}\right)\right)_{S_{2}}=\frac{1}{2} Q_{2}\left(\sigma_{2}+b_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now it is easy to see the following:
Lemma 3.2 1. The classes $F_{1}, L_{0}, L_{1}, \cdots, L_{8}$ (resp. $F_{2}, M_{0}, M_{1}, \cdots, M_{8}$ ) are generators of $\operatorname{Pic}\left(S_{1}\right)$ (resp. $\operatorname{Pic}\left(S_{2}\right)$ ).
2. $\operatorname{Pic}(W)$ is generated by $[F],\left[L_{0}\right], \cdots,\left[L_{8}\right],\left[M_{0}\right],\left[M_{1}\right], \cdots,\left[M_{8}\right]$.
3. For $\sigma \in M W(W)$ set $\sigma_{i}=\sigma \circ p_{i}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
([F], j(\sigma))_{W} & =1 \\
\left(\left[L_{i}\right], j(\sigma)\right)_{W} & =\frac{1}{2} Q_{1}\left(\sigma_{1}+a_{i}\right) \\
\left(\left[M_{i}\right], j(\sigma)\right)_{W} & =\frac{1}{2} Q_{2}\left(\sigma_{2}+b_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, in order to see the relation between the A -model and the B -model later, we have to express $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ by $F_{1},\left\{L_{i}\right\}$ and $F_{2},\left\{M_{j}\right\}$. Obviously, we only have to see the case of $H_{1}$.

Recall that the exceptional curves $\left\{E_{i}\right\}$ in (11) are the images of sections of $f_{1}$. We denote by $e_{i} \in M W\left(S_{1}\right)$ the section corresponding to $E_{i}$; hence we have $e_{i}\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)=E_{i}$. In particular, $e_{1}$ is the zero section of $f_{1}: S_{1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$. As for the system of roots, one can take the following elements:

$$
a_{1}=e_{2}, a_{2}=e_{3}-e_{2}, a_{3}=e_{4}-e_{3}, \cdots, a_{7}=e_{8}-e_{7},
$$

and

$$
a_{8}=e_{2}+e_{3}-\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=2}^{9} e_{i}
$$

Here all sums are taken in the Mordell-Weil group. We denote by $(\sigma) \in H^{2}\left(S_{1}, \mathbf{Z}\right)$ the divisor class of the curve $\sigma\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right) \subset S_{1}$. Since $L_{0}=E_{1}+F_{1}=\left(e_{1}\right)+F_{1}$, we see that

$$
L_{i}=T_{a_{i}}^{*}\left(L_{0}\right)=T_{a_{i}}^{*}\left(\left(e_{1}\right)+F_{1}\right)=\left(-a_{i}\right)+F_{1} .
$$

Moreover we can see the following relation. (For divisor classes $\left(-a_{i}\right)$ one may refer to Sa]).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{0}=E_{1}+F_{1} \\
& L_{1}=\left(-a_{1}\right)+F_{1}=2 E_{1}-E_{2}+3 F_{1} \\
& L_{2}=\left(-a_{2}\right)+F_{1}=E_{1}+E_{2}-E_{3}+2 F_{1} \\
& L_{3}=\left(-a_{3}\right)+F_{1}=E_{1}+E_{3}-E_{4}+2 F_{1} \\
& L_{4}=\left(-a_{4}\right)+F_{1}=E_{1}+E_{4}-E_{5}+2 F_{1} \\
& L_{5}=\left(-a_{5}\right)+F_{1}=E_{1}+E_{5}-E_{6}+2 F_{1} \\
& L_{6}=\left(-a_{6}\right)+F_{1}=E_{1}+E_{6}-E_{7}+2 F_{1} \\
& L_{7}=\left(-a_{7}\right)+F_{1}=E_{1}+E_{7}-E_{8}+2 F_{1} \\
& L_{8}=\left(-a_{8}\right)+F_{1}=\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{9} E_{i}-\left(E_{2}+E_{3}\right)+\frac{4}{3} F_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall also the relation (3):

$$
F_{1}=3 H_{1}-\sum_{i=1}^{9} E_{i}
$$

From these linear relations one easily derives the following:
Lemma 3.3 One has the following relation in $\operatorname{Pic}\left(S_{1}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1}=2 F_{1}+5 L_{0}-2 L_{1}-L_{2}+L_{8} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4 The prepotential of the A-model Yukawa couplings and its 1-sectional part

In this section we summarize a result in (Sa) on the Mordell-Weil part of the prepotential of the A-model Yukawa coupling of Schoen's Calabi-Yau 3-folds. The main theorems are Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2.
Following Section 3.3 in Mo-1], we define the (full) A-model Yukawa coupling for a Calabi-Yau 3 -fold $X$ as a triple product on $H^{2}(X, \mathbf{Z})$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{A}\left(M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}\right)=\left(M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}\right)+\sum_{0 \not \equiv \eta \in H_{2}(X, \mathbf{Z})} \Phi_{\eta}\left(M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}\right) \frac{q^{\eta}}{1-q^{\eta}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}$ are elements of $H^{2}(X, \mathbf{Z}) \cong \operatorname{Pic}(X)$ and $\Phi_{\eta}\left(M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}\right)$ is the Gromov-Witten Invariant for $\eta \in H_{2}(X, \mathbf{Z})$ and $M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}$.
Moreover, we have (cf. Section 3.2, Mo-1 ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\eta}\left(M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}\right)=n(\eta)\left(M_{1}, \eta\right)\left(M_{2}, \eta\right)\left(M_{3}, \eta\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\left(M_{i}, \eta\right)$ denote the natural pairing of $M_{i} \in H^{2}(X)$ and $\eta \in H_{2}(X)$ and $n(\eta)$ denotes the number of simple rational curves $\varphi: \mathbf{P}^{1} \longrightarrow X$ with $\varphi_{*}\left(\left[\mathbf{P}^{1}\right]\right)=\eta$. A more precise definition by $J$-holomorphic curves can be found in McD-S1. and Lecture 3 of Mo-1.

The full Yukawa coupling $\Phi_{A}$ has the prepotential $\Psi_{A}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{A}=(\text { topological term })+\sum_{0 \neq \eta \in H_{2}(X, \mathbf{Z})} n(\eta) \operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(q^{\eta}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Li}_{3}(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n}}{n^{3}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the trilogarithm function.
In general it is very difficult to calculate the prepotential of the A-model Yukawa coupling. Even for Schoen's Calabi-Yau 3-fold, we can not calculate the full prepotential, but by using the structure of its Mordell-Weil lattice, we can calculate a part of the prepotential $\Psi_{A}$ whose summation is taken just over the homology 2-cycles of sections of $h: W \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$. Later we will extend the summation to all homology classes of pseudo-sections (see Section 5). (Cf. Sa]).

Definition 4.1 For Schoen's generic Calabi-Yau 3-fold $W$ we define the Mordell-Weil part of the prepotential of the $A$-model Yukawa coupling by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{A, M W(W)}=\sum_{\sigma \in M W(X)} n(j(\sigma)) \operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(q^{j(\sigma)}\right) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here again $j(\sigma)$ denotes the homology class of the image $\sigma\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)$.

Definition 4.2 We define the $k$-sectional part of the prepotential of the A-model Yukawa coupling by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{A, k}=\sum_{0 \neq \eta \in H_{2}(X, \mathbf{Z}),(F, \eta)=k} n(\eta) \operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(q^{\eta}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that we denote by $[F]$ the divisor class of the fiber of $h: W \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$.
Obviously, we have the expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{A}=\text { topological term }+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Psi_{A, k} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are interested in calculating the functions $\Psi_{A, M W(W)}$ and $\Psi_{A, 1}$.

Remark 4.1 We will find a difference in $\Psi_{A, M W(W)}$ and $\Psi_{A, 1}$, which will be explained in the next section by introducing the notion pseudo-section.

We first recall a result in Sa on the calculation of $\Psi_{A, M W(W)}$ by using the theta function of the Mordell-Weil lattice. We need to introduce the special formal parameters in order to get explicit expansions of $\Psi_{A, M W(W)}$.
Let $f_{i}: S_{i} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ be two generic rational elliptic surfaces and let $h: W \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ be the CalabiYau 3-fold as in Section 2. Then from Lemma $3.2 \operatorname{Pic}(W)$ is generated by $[F],\left[L_{0}\right], \cdots,\left[L_{8}\right]$, $\left[M_{0}\right],\left[M_{1}\right], \cdots,\left[M_{8}\right]$. We introduce formal parameters $p, q_{i}, s_{j}$ for $0 \leq i, j \leq 8$ corresponding to these generators:

$$
\begin{equation*}
[F] \leftrightarrow p, \quad\left[L_{i}\right] \leftrightarrow q_{i}, \quad\left[M_{j}\right] \leftrightarrow s_{j} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the formal parameters we can associate to $\sigma \in M W(W)$ the monomials

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{\sigma}=\prod_{i=0}^{8} q_{i}^{\left(\left[L_{i}\right], j(\sigma)\right)_{W}}, \quad s^{\sigma}=\prod_{i=0}^{8} s_{i}^{\left(\left[M_{i}\right], j(\sigma)\right)_{W}} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{\sigma}=p^{([F], j(\sigma))_{W}} \cdot q^{\sigma} \cdot s^{\sigma}=p \cdot q^{\sigma} \cdot s^{\sigma} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $(, \quad)_{W}: H^{2}(W) \times H_{2}(W) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ is the natural pairing. Note that all line bundles $[F],\left[L_{i}\right],\left[M_{j}\right]$ are numerically effective. Hence all exponents in $T^{\sigma}$ are non-negative. Now we can expand $\Psi_{A, M W(W)}$ in the parameters $p, q_{i}, s_{j}$.

## Theorem 4.1

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{A, M W(W)}\left(p, q_{0}, \cdots q_{8}, s_{0}, \cdots, s_{8}\right) \\
= & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3}} \cdot\left(p \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{8}\left(q_{i} \cdot s_{i}\right)\right)^{n} \cdot \Theta_{E_{8}}^{r o o t}\left(n \tau_{1}, n \cdot \mathbf{z}\right) \cdot \Theta_{E_{8}}^{r o o t}\left(n \tau_{2}, n \cdot \mathbf{y}\right) \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, we set

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{z} & =\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{8}\right), \quad \mathbf{y}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{8}\right) \\
\exp \left(2 \pi i \tau_{1}\right) & =\prod_{i=0}^{8} q_{i}, \quad \exp \left(2 \pi i z_{i}\right)=q_{i} \quad \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq 8  \tag{22}\\
\exp \left(2 \pi i \tau_{2}\right) & =\prod_{i=0}^{8} s_{i}, \quad \exp \left(2 \pi i y_{j}\right)=s_{j} \quad \text { for } 1 \leq j \leq 8 \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{E_{8}}^{r o o t}\left(\tau, z_{1}, \cdots, z_{8}\right)=\sum_{\gamma \in E_{8}} \exp \left(2 \pi i\left((\tau / 2) Q(\gamma)+B\left(\gamma, \sum_{j=1}^{8} z_{j} \alpha_{j}\right)\right)\right. \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{8}\right\}$ is the root system of $E_{8}$ as in Figure 1 and $B$ is the symmetric bilinear form on $E_{8}$.

The following lemma is easy but essential to calculate the prepotential.
Lemma 4.1 For each section $\sigma \in M W(W)$ the contribution of the homology 2-cycle $j(\sigma)=$ $\left[\sigma\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)\right]$ to the Gromov-Witten invariant (12) is one, that is, $n(j(\sigma))=1$

Proof. According to Lemma $3.1 M W(W)$ can be considered as a subset of $H_{2}(W, \mathbf{Z})$ via the map $j$. Moreover the rational curve $C=\sigma\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right) \subset W$ has the normal bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}(-1)$. Hence we have $n(j(\sigma))=1$.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Recalling the isomorphism (6), one can write $\sigma \in M W(W)$ as $\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right) \in$ $M W\left(S_{1}\right) \oplus M W\left(S_{2}\right) \simeq E_{8} \oplus E_{8}$. Since $Q_{1}\left(a_{i}\right)=Q_{2}\left(b_{j}\right)=2$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq 8$ we obtain from Lemma 3.2

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\left[L_{i}\right],\left[\sigma\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)\right]\right)_{W}=\frac{1}{2} Q_{1}\left(\sigma_{1}+a_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{2} Q_{1}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)+B_{1}\left(\sigma_{1}, a_{i}\right)+1  \tag{25}\\
& \left(\left[M_{j}\right],\left[\sigma\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)\right]\right)_{W}=\frac{1}{2} Q_{2}\left(\sigma_{2}+b_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{2} Q_{2}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)+B_{2}\left(\sigma_{2}, b_{j}\right)+1 \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
q^{\sigma}= & \prod_{i=0}^{8}\left(q_{i}\right)^{(1 / 2) Q_{1}\left(\sigma_{1}+a_{i}\right)} \\
& =\left(\prod_{i=0}^{8}\left(q_{i}\right)\right)^{(1 / 2) Q_{1}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)} \cdot\left(\prod_{i=1}^{8}\left(q_{i}\right)^{B_{1}\left(\sigma_{1}, a_{i}\right)}\right) \cdot\left(\prod_{i=1}^{8} q_{i}\right) \\
& =\left(\prod_{i=1}^{8} q_{i}\right) \cdot \exp \left(2 \pi i\left((1 / 2) Q_{1}\left(\sigma_{1}\right) \tau_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{8} z_{i} B\left(\sigma_{1}, a_{i}\right)\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

and a similar expression for $s^{\sigma}$. From these formulas one can obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(T^{\sigma}\right)^{n}=\left(p \cdot q^{\sigma} \cdot s^{\sigma}\right)^{n} \\
& =\left(p \prod_{i=1}^{8}\left(q_{i} \cdot s_{i}\right)\right)^{n} \times  \tag{27}\\
& \quad \times \exp \left(2 \pi i n\left(\left(\tau_{1} / 2\right) Q_{1}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)+B_{1}\left(\sigma_{1}, \mathbf{z}\right)+\left(\tau_{2} / 2\right) Q_{2}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)+B_{2}\left(\sigma_{2}, \mathbf{y}\right)\right)\right.
\end{align*}
$$

where we set $\mathbf{z}=\sum_{i=1}^{8} z_{i} a_{i}$ and $\mathbf{y}=\sum_{i=1}^{8} y_{i} b_{i}$. Therefore, if we take the summation of $\left(T^{\sigma}\right)^{n}$ over $\sigma=\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right) \in E_{8} \oplus E_{8}$, we obtain the following formula:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\sigma \in M W(W)}\left(T^{\sigma}\right)^{n} \\
& \quad=\left(p \prod_{i=1}^{8}\left(q_{i} \cdot s_{i}\right)\right)^{n} \cdot \Theta_{E_{8}}^{r o o t}\left(n \tau_{1}, n \cdot z\right) \cdot \Theta_{E_{8}}^{r o o t}\left(n \tau_{2}, n \cdot \mathbf{y}\right) \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Now thanks to Lemma 4.1, we can calculate the prepotential as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{A, M W(W)}= & \sum_{\sigma \in M W(W)} \operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(T^{\sigma}\right) \\
= & \sum_{\sigma \in M W(W)}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(T^{\sigma}\right)^{n}}{n^{3}}\right) \\
= & \left.\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3}} \times\left[\sum_{\sigma \in M W(W)}\left(T^{\sigma}\right)^{n}\right)\right] \\
= & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3}} \cdot\left(p \prod_{i=1}^{8}\left(q_{i} \cdot s_{i}\right)\right)^{n} \times \\
& \quad \times \Theta_{E_{8}}^{r o o t}\left(n \tau_{1}, n \cdot \mathbf{z}\right) \cdot \Theta_{E_{8}}^{r o o t}\left(n \tau_{2}, n \cdot \mathbf{y}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.
For the 1-sectional part $\Psi_{A, 1}$ of the prepotential, we can show the following theorem, whose proof can be found in Section 5 .
Theorem 4.2 Assume that Conjecture 5.1 in Section 5 holds. Then, under the same notation as in Theorem 4.1, for a generic Schoen's Calabi-Yau 3-fold $W$ the 1-sectional prepotential is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{A, 1}\left(p, q_{0}, \cdots q_{8}, s_{0}, \cdots, s_{8}\right)  \tag{29}\\
= & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{3}} \cdot\left(p \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{8}\left(q_{i} \cdot s_{i}\right)\right)^{n} \cdot A\left(n \tau_{1}, n \cdot \mathbf{z}\right) \cdot A\left(n \tau_{2}, n \cdot \mathbf{y}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
A(\tau, \mathbf{x}) & =\Theta_{E_{8}}^{r o o t}(\tau, \mathbf{x}) \cdot\left[\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p(m) \cdot \exp (2 \pi m i \tau)\right]^{12}  \tag{30}\\
& =\Theta_{E_{8}}^{r o o t}(\tau, \mathbf{x}) \cdot\left[\frac{1}{\prod_{n \geq 1}(1-\exp (2 \pi n i \tau))}\right]^{12} \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $p(m)$ denotes the number of partitions of $m$.
Remark 4.2 In order to identify the theta function $\Theta_{E_{8}}^{\text {root }}(\tau, \mathbf{z})$ in (24) with the theta function $\Theta_{E_{8}}(\tau, \mathbf{w})$ of (108) in Appendix II we should apply the linear transformation $\mathbf{w} \longrightarrow \mathbf{z}$, for $\mathbf{w}=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{8} w_{i} \epsilon_{i}$ and $\mathbf{z}=\sum_{i=1}^{8} z_{i} \alpha_{i}$. Fix an embedding $E_{8} \subset \mathbf{R}^{8}$, that is, $\alpha_{i}$ should have coordinates in $\mathbf{R}^{8}$. For example, we can choose

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\alpha_{1}= & \frac{1}{2}\left(\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{8}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(\epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{3}+\epsilon_{4}+\epsilon_{5}+\epsilon_{6}+\epsilon_{7}\right) \\
& \alpha_{2}=\epsilon_{2}-\epsilon_{1} \\
\alpha_{3}=\epsilon_{3}-\epsilon_{2} & \alpha_{4}=\epsilon_{4}-\epsilon_{3} \\
\alpha_{6}=\epsilon_{6}-\epsilon_{5} & \alpha_{7}=\epsilon_{7}-\epsilon_{6}
\end{array} \quad \alpha_{8}=\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}-\epsilon_{4}\right)
$$

(Note that the numbering of roots is the same as in Figure 1.)
Remark 4.3 In expansion (17), we see that each term of the expansion of $\Psi_{A, k}$ for $k \geq 2$ is divisible by $p^{2}$. Moreover we can see that $\Psi_{A, 0} \equiv 0$. (Cf. Sa]). Therefore, Theorem 4.2 shows that if we expand the full A-model prepotential $\Psi_{A}$ in the variables in $p, q_{i}, s_{j}$, we have the following expansion:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{A}\left(p, q_{0}, \cdots q_{8}, s_{0}, \cdots, s_{8}\right) \\
& \quad=\text { topological term }+\left(p \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{8}\left(q_{i} \cdot s_{i}\right)\right) \cdot A\left(\tau_{1}, \mathbf{z}\right) \cdot A\left(\tau_{2}, \mathbf{y}\right)+O\left(p^{2}\right) \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

## 5 Counting Pseudo-Sections and Proof of Theorem 4.2

In Section 4, we see differences between the two prepotentials $\Psi_{A, M W(W)}$ and $\Psi_{A, 1}$. Looking at the formulas (21) and (30) one can observe that $\Psi_{A, M W(W)}$ and $\Psi_{A, 1}$ are essentially produced by the functions

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{A, M W(W)} & \leftrightarrow \Theta_{E_{8}}^{r o o t}(\tau, \mathbf{x})  \tag{33}\\
\Psi_{A, 1} & \leftrightarrow A(\tau, \mathbf{x})=\Theta_{E_{8}}^{r o o t}(\tau, \mathbf{x}) \cdot\left[\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p(m) \cdot \exp (2 \pi m i \tau)\right]^{12} \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

As we see in Section the geometric meaning of the function $\Theta_{E_{8}}^{r o o t}$ is clear, that is, it is the generating function of the contributions of pure sections of $h: W \xrightarrow{C} \mathbf{P}^{1}$. However, the meaning of the factor

$$
\left[\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p(m) \cdot \exp (2 \pi m i \tau)\right]^{12}=\exp (\pi i \tau) \cdot \eta(\tau)^{-12}
$$

was mysterious at least in the geometric sense. In this section, we give a geometric explanation of this factor assuming one very plausible Conjecture 5.1, and we give a proof of Theorem 4.2. Our answer seems to be very simple and natural at least in a mathematical sense.
For this purpose we give the following:
Definition 5.1 We call a 1-dimensional connected subscheme $C$ of $W$ a pseudo-section if $C \subset W$ has no embedded point and

$$
\begin{equation*}
([F], C)_{W}=1 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the normalization $\tilde{C}_{r e d}$ of the reduced structure $C_{r e d}$ is a sum of $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ s.

Example 5.1 The image $\sigma\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)$ of a section $\sigma \in M W(W)$ is a pseudo-section.

Example 5.2 Both rational elliptic surfaces $f_{i}: S_{i} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}(i=1,2)$ have 12 singular fibers of type $I_{1}$ (in Kodaira's notation Kod):

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{1}, D_{2}, \cdots, D_{12} \subset S_{1}  \tag{36}\\
& D_{1}^{\prime}, D_{2}^{\prime}, \cdots, D_{12}^{\prime} \subset S_{2} \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

We set $d_{i}=f_{1}\left(D_{i}\right) \in \mathbf{P}^{1}$ and $d_{i}^{\prime}=f_{2}\left(D_{i}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbf{P}^{1}$, the supports of the singular fibers. By Assumption 2.1 in Section 2, the points $d_{1}, \cdots, d_{12}, d_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, d_{12}^{\prime}$ are distinct on $\mathbf{P}^{1}$. Take any $\sigma \in M W(W)$ and set $\sigma_{1}=p_{1} \circ \sigma \in M W\left(S_{1}\right), \sigma_{2}=p_{2} \circ \sigma \in M W\left(S_{2}\right)$. Hence $\sigma\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right) \subset W_{\mid \sigma_{2}\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)}$. Now we take a singular fiber $D_{1} \subset W_{\mid \sigma_{2}\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)} \simeq S_{1}$, then

$$
\sigma\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)+D_{1} \subset W_{\mid \sigma_{2}\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)} \subset W
$$

is a pseudo-section. Since we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\left[L_{i}\right], D_{1}\right)_{W} & =\left(L_{i}, D_{1}\right)_{S_{1}}=\left(L_{i}, F_{1}\right)_{S_{1}}=1  \tag{38}\\
\left(\left[M_{j}\right], D_{1}\right)_{W} & =\left(M_{j}, \sigma_{2}\left(d_{1}\right)\right)_{S_{2}}=0, \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

[^3]we obtain
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\left[L_{i}\right], \sigma\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)+D_{1}\right)_{W}=\left(\left[L_{i}\right], \sigma\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)\right)_{W}+1,  \tag{40}\\
& \quad\left(\left[M_{j}\right], \sigma\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)+D_{1}\right)_{W}=\left(\left[M_{j}\right], \sigma\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)\right)_{W} . \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

Example 5.3 More generally, to a pure section of $h: W \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ we can add many rational curves coming from singular fibers of type $I_{1}$ and also with multiplicity. Fix a section $\sigma \in M W(W)$ and set $\sigma_{1}=p_{1} \circ \sigma, \sigma_{2}=p_{2} \circ \sigma$ as before. Consider the following (reduced) closed points:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{1}\left(d_{i}^{\prime}\right) \in S_{1}, \quad \sigma_{2}\left(d_{i}\right) \in S_{2} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we set

$$
\begin{align*}
D^{\prime}\left[\sigma_{1}, d_{i}^{\prime}\right] & =p_{1}^{-1}\left(\sigma_{1}\left(d_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right) \subset W_{\mid \sigma_{1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)}\left(\simeq S_{2}\right) \subset W  \tag{43}\\
D\left[\sigma_{2}, d_{i}\right] & =p_{2}^{-1}\left(\sigma_{2}\left(d_{i}\right)\right) \subset W_{\mid \sigma_{2}\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)}\left(\simeq S_{1}\right) \subset W \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $D^{\prime}\left[\sigma_{1}, d_{i}^{\prime}\right]$ and $D\left[\sigma_{2}, d_{i}\right]$ are reduced rational curves each of which has one node as its singularities. From (38), (39) it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left([F], D\left[\sigma_{2}, d_{i}\right]\right)_{W}=0, \quad\left(\left[L_{i}\right], D\left[\sigma_{2}, d_{i}\right]\right)_{W}=1,\left(\left[M_{j}\right], D\left[\sigma_{2}, d_{i}\right]\right)_{W}=0  \tag{45}\\
\left([F], D^{\prime}\left[\sigma_{1}, d_{i}^{\prime}\right]\right)_{W}=0, \quad\left(\left[L_{i}\right], D^{\prime}\left[\sigma_{1}, d_{i}^{\prime}\right]\right)_{W}=0,\left(\left[M_{j}\right], D^{\prime}\left[\sigma_{1}, d_{i}^{\prime}\right]\right)_{W}=1 \tag{46}
\end{gather*}
$$

We denote by $\mathcal{I}\left(k_{i}, \sigma_{2}\left(d_{i}\right)\right)$ an ideal sheaf on $S_{2}$ such that the quotient sheaf

$$
\mathcal{O}_{S_{2}} / \mathcal{I}\left(k_{i}, \sigma_{2}\left(d_{i}\right)\right)
$$

is supported on the point $\sigma_{2}\left(d_{i}\right)$ and length $\mathcal{O}_{S_{2}} / \mathcal{I}\left(k_{i}, \sigma_{2}\left(d_{i}\right)\right)=k_{i}$. We call such an ideal $\mathcal{I}\left(k_{i}, \sigma_{2}\left(d_{i}\right)\right)$ a punctual ideal of colength $k_{i}$ supported on $\sigma_{2}\left(d_{i}\right)$. And similarly for $\mathcal{I}\left(k_{j}^{\prime}, \sigma_{1}\left(d_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right)$. For each of $1 \leq i \leq 12($ resp. $1 \leq j \leq 12)$, let $\mathcal{I}\left(k_{i}, \sigma_{2}\left(d_{i}\right)\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathcal{I}\left(k_{j}^{\prime}, \sigma_{1}\left(d_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)$ be a punctual ideal of colength $k_{i}$ (resp. $k_{j}^{\prime}$ ) supported on $\sigma_{2}\left(d_{i}\right)$ (resp. $\left.\sigma_{1}\left(d_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right)$. We denote by

$$
D\left[\mathcal{I}\left(k_{i}, \sigma_{2}\left(d_{i}\right)\right)\right] \quad\left(\operatorname{resp} . D^{\prime}\left[\mathcal{I}\left(k_{j}^{\prime}, \sigma_{1}\left(d_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right]\right)
$$

the one-dimensional subscheme of $W$ defined by the pullback of the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}\left(k_{i}, \sigma_{2}\left(d_{i}\right)\right.$ ) (resp. $\left.\mathcal{I}\left(k_{j}^{\prime}, \sigma_{1}\left(d_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)$ via $p_{2}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.p_{1}\right)$. Note that

$$
D\left[\mathcal{I}\left(k_{i}, \sigma_{2}\left(d_{i}\right)\right)\right]_{\text {red }}=D\left[\sigma_{2}, d_{i}\right], \quad D^{\prime}\left[\mathcal{I}\left(k_{j}^{\prime}, \sigma_{1}\left(d_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right]_{\text {red }}=D^{\prime}\left[\sigma_{1}, d_{j}^{\prime}\right]
$$

Now we take the following subscheme of $W$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\sigma\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{12} D\left[\mathcal{I}\left(k_{i}, \sigma_{2}\left(d_{i}\right)\right)\right]+\sum_{j=1}^{12} D^{\prime}\left[\mathcal{I}\left(k_{j}^{\prime}, \sigma_{1}\left(d_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right] \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

This one dimensional subscheme $C$ in (47) is actually a pseudo-section.

Definition 5.2 The pseudo-section $C$ in (47) is called of type

$$
\left(\sigma, k_{1}, \cdots, k_{12}, k_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, k_{12}^{\prime}\right) \in M W(W) \times\left(\mathbf{Z}_{+}\right)^{24}
$$

Proposition 5.1 Every pseudo-section $C$ of $h: W \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ can be written as in (47).
Proof. Since $\left.\left.([F], C)_{W}=\left(F_{1},\left(p_{1}\right)_{*} C\right)\right)_{S_{1}}=\left(F_{2},\left(p_{2}\right)_{*} C\right)\right)_{S_{2}}=1$, it is easy to see that there are sections $\sigma_{i} \in M W\left(S_{i}\right)$,

$$
\left(p_{1}\right)_{*}(C)=\sigma_{1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)+\text { fibers }, \quad\left(p_{2}\right)_{*}(C)=\sigma_{2}\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)+\text { fibers }
$$

Then by definition of a pseudo-section, we can easily see that $C$ can be written in the form of (47) where $\sigma$ corresponds to $\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$.

Fix a type $\mu=\left(\sigma, k_{1}, \cdots, k_{12}, k_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, k_{12}^{\prime}\right) \in M W(W) \times\left(\mathbf{Z}_{+}\right)^{24}$ of a pseudo-section of $h: W \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$. We would like to count the "number" $n(\mu)$ of rational curves which gives the correct contribution to the Gromov-Witten invariant in the formula (12). Since a pseudo-section of type $\mu$ is a non-reduced subscheme of $W$ if some $k_{i}$ or $k_{j}^{\prime}$ is greater than 1 , it is not easy to determine $n(\mu)$. Of course, the Gromov-Witten invariant should be defined as the number of $J$-holomorphic curves with a fixed homology class after perturbing the complex structure of $W$ to a generic almost complex structure $J$ (Mo-1], Theorem 3.3). However at this moment we do not know how to perturb the almost complex structure and how a pseudo-section $C$ of type $\mu$ arises as a limit of $J$-holomorphic curves. (Different $J$-holomorphic curves for generic $J$ may have the same limit in our complex structure of Schoen's Calabi-Yau 3-fold W.)
Here we propose the following:
Conjecture 5.1 The contribution $n(\mu)$ of all pseudo-sections of type $\mu$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(\mu)=e\left(\operatorname{Hilb}_{W}^{\mu}\right)=\text { Topological Euler number of }\left(\operatorname{Hilb}_{W}^{\mu}\right) \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Hilb}_{W}^{\mu}$ is the Hilbert scheme parameterizing pseudo-sections $C \subset W$ of type $\mu$.
Let $\mathbf{C}^{2}$ be the complex affine space of dimension 2 and denote by $\operatorname{Hilb}^{k}\left(\mathbf{C}^{2}, 0\right)$ the Hilbert scheme parameterizing the punctual ideal sheaves $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}^{2}}$ of colength $k$ supported on the origin $0 \in \mathbf{C}^{2}$

Lemma 5.1 Fix a type $\mu=\left(\sigma, k_{1}, \cdots, k_{12}, k_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, k_{12}^{\prime}\right)$ of pseudo-section. Then we have a natural isomorphism of schemes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hilb}_{W}^{\mu} \simeq \prod_{i=1}^{12}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}^{k_{i}}\left(\mathbf{C}^{2}, 0\right)\right) \times \prod_{j=1}^{12}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}^{k_{j}^{\prime}}\left(\mathbf{C}^{2}, 0\right)\right) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From the definition of pseudo-section $C$ of type $\mu$ in (47), we have the natural morphism $\varphi$ from $\mathrm{Hilb}_{W}^{\mu}$ to

$$
\operatorname{Hilb}\left(\sigma\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right) \subset W\right) \times \prod_{i=1}^{12}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}^{k_{i}}\left(S_{2}, \sigma_{2}\left(d_{i}\right)\right)\right) \times \prod_{j=1}^{12}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}^{k_{j}^{\prime}}\left(S_{1}, \sigma_{1}\left(d_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)
$$

of $W$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(C) & =\varphi\left(\sigma\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{12} D\left[\mathcal{I}\left(k_{i}, \sigma_{2}\left(d_{i}\right)\right)\right]+\sum_{j=1}^{12} D^{\prime}\left[\mathcal{I}\left(k_{j}^{\prime}, \beta\left[\sigma_{1}, d_{j}^{\prime}\right]\right)\right]\right) \\
& =\left(\sigma\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right), \mathcal{I}\left[k_{i}, \sigma_{2}\left(d_{i}\right)\right], \mathcal{I}\left[k_{j}^{\prime}, \alpha\left[\sigma_{1}, d_{j}^{\prime}\right]\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

(Here $\operatorname{Hilb}\left(\sigma\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right) \subset W\right)$ denotes the connected component of the Hilbert scheme which contains the subscheme $\sigma\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)$ of $W$. )
Noting that $C$ is connected and $\sigma\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right) \subset W$ has no deformation (in particular $\operatorname{Hilb}\left(\sigma\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right) \subset W\right)=$ $1 p t$ ), we can easily see that $\varphi$ is an isomorphism and obtain (49).

The following important lemma is a kind suggestion of Kota Yoshioka.
Lemma 5.2 The Hilbert scheme $\operatorname{Hilb}^{k}\left(\mathbf{C}^{2}, 0\right)$ is irreducible scheme of dimension $k-1$ and

$$
e\left(\operatorname{Hilb}^{k}\left(\mathbf{C}^{2}, 0\right)\right)=p(k)
$$

where $p(k)$ denotes the number of partitions of $k$.
Proof. The irreduciblity of $\operatorname{Hilb}^{k}\left(\mathbf{C}^{2}, 0\right)$ is due to Briançon B]. Moreover $\operatorname{Hilb}^{k}(\mathbf{C}\{x, y\})$ has a natural $S^{1}$-action induced by $(x, y) \rightarrow\left(t^{a} \cdot x, t^{b} \cdot y\right)$ for any weight $(a, b)$. Then for a general choice of a weight $(a, b)$ its fixed points set becomes just the set of monomial ideals of length $k$. Now a standard argument shows that the topological Euler number of $\operatorname{Hilb}^{k}\left(\mathbf{C}^{2}, 0\right)$ is equal to the number of fixed points, and it is an easy exercise to see that the number of monomial ideals of $\mathbf{C}[x, y]$ with colength $k$ is equal to $p(k)$.

From Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.2, we obtain the following result.
Corollary 5.1 Let $\mu$ and $n(\mu)$ as in Conjecture (5.1), then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(\mu)=e\left(\operatorname{Hilb}_{W}^{\mu}\right)=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{12} p\left(k_{i}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\prod_{j=1}^{12} p\left(k_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right) \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 5.3 Let $\mu=\left(\sigma, k_{1}, \cdots, k_{12}, k_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, k_{12}^{\prime}\right), \mu^{\prime}=\left(\sigma^{\prime}, l_{1}, \cdots, l_{12}, l_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, l_{12}^{\prime}\right)$ be two types of pseudo-sections. Then a pseudo-section $C$ of type $\mu$ and $C^{\prime}$ of type $\mu^{\prime}$ have the same homology class in $H_{2}(W)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\sigma^{\prime}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{12} k_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{12} l_{i}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{12} k_{j}^{\prime}=\sum_{j=1}^{12} l_{j}^{\prime} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The "only if" part is obvious. Lemma 3.1 shows that the first equality in (51) is necessary. Moreover noting that $\left[D\left[\mathcal{I}\left(k_{i}, \sigma_{2}, d_{i}\right)\right]\right]$ is homologous to $k_{i} \cdot\left(p_{2}\right)^{-1}\left(\sigma_{2}\left(d_{i}\right)\right)$ and $\left[D^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{I}\left[k_{j}^{\prime}, \sigma_{1}, d_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right]\right]$ is homologous to $k_{j}^{\prime} \cdot\left[\left(p_{1}\right)^{-1}\left(\sigma_{1}\left(d_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right]$ we have the other implication.

Let $\eta \in H_{2}(W)$ be such that $([F], \eta)_{W}=1$, then in order to have non-vanishing contribution $n(\eta)$, $\eta$ must be the class of a pseudo-section, so write $\eta$ as $\left(\sigma, m \cdot p_{2}^{-1}(1 p t), n p_{1}^{-1}(1 p t)\right)$. We call $\eta$ of type $(\sigma, m, n)$.
Proposition 5.2 For $\eta \in H_{2}(W)$ with $([F], \eta)_{W}=1$ of type $(\sigma, m, n)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(\eta)=n(\sigma, m, n):=\left(\sum_{k_{1}+\cdots+k_{12}=n} \prod_{i=1}^{12} p\left(k_{i}\right)\right)\left(\sum_{k_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+k_{12}^{\prime}=m} \prod_{j=1}^{12} p\left(k_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right) . \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $k_{i}$ and $k_{j}^{\prime}$ run over the non-negative integers.

Proof. From Lemma 5.3 and the remark above, we have

$$
n(\eta)=\sum_{\mu} n(\mu)
$$

where the summation is taken over the types $\mu=\left(\sigma, k_{1}, \cdots, k_{12}, k_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, k_{12}^{\prime}\right)$ of pseudo-sections such that

$$
n=\sum_{i=1}^{12} k_{i}, \quad m=\sum_{j=1}^{12} k_{j}^{\prime}
$$

Combining this with (50), we obtain the assertion (52).

## Proof of Theorem 4.2

Let us fix a homology class $\eta \in H_{2}(W)$ with $([F], \eta)=1$ of type $(\sigma, n, m)$. From (45), (46) it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{align*}
([F], \eta)_{W} & =1  \tag{53}\\
\left(\left[L_{i}\right], \eta\right)_{W} & =\left(\left[L_{i}\right], j(\sigma)\right)_{W}+n  \tag{54}\\
\left(\left[M_{j}\right], \eta\right)_{W} & =\left(\left[M_{j}\right], j(\sigma)\right)_{W}+m \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

We introduce parameters $z_{0}=\log q_{0}, y_{0}=\log s_{0}$. Note that we have set in Theorem4.1

$$
\tau_{1}=\sum_{j=0}^{8} z_{j}, \quad \tau_{2}=\sum_{j=0}^{8} y_{j}
$$

Moreover just for notation in the proof, we set $v_{l}=\exp \left(2 \pi i \tau_{l}\right)$ for $l=1,2$. Recalling the definition of $T^{\sigma}$ (cf. (20)), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
T^{\eta} & =\exp \left(2 \pi i\left(t_{0}[F]+\sum_{i=0}^{8} z_{i}\left[L_{i}\right]+\sum_{j=1}^{8} y_{j}\left[M_{j}\right], \eta\right)_{W}\right) \\
& =p \cdot \exp \left(2 \pi i\left(\sum_{i=0}^{8} z_{i}\left[\left(\left[L_{i}\right], j(\sigma)\right)_{W}+n\right]+\sum_{i=0}^{8} y_{j}\left[\left(\left[M_{j}\right], j(\sigma)\right)_{W}+m\right]\right)\right) \\
& =T^{\sigma} \cdot\left(v_{1}\right)^{n} \cdot\left(v_{2}\right)^{m} \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{A, 1}\left(p, q_{0}, \cdots q_{8}, s_{0}, \cdots, s_{8}\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{\eta \in H_{2}(W),([F], \eta)=1}^{n} n(\eta) \operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(T^{\eta}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\sigma \in M W(W), n \geq 0, m \geq 0}^{\infty} n(\sigma, n, m) \cdot \operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(T^{\sigma} \cdot\left(v_{1}\right)^{n} \cdot\left(v_{2}\right)^{m}\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{N=1}^{\infty}\left[\sum_{\sigma \in M W(W), n \geq 0, m \geq 0} n(\sigma, n, m) \cdot \frac{\left(T^{\sigma}\right)^{N} \cdot\left(v_{1}\right)^{N n} \cdot\left(v_{2}\right)^{N m}}{N^{3}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N^{3}} \cdot\left[\sum_{\sigma \in M W(W)}\left(T^{\sigma}\right)^{N}\right] \cdot\left[\sum_{n \geq 0, m \geq 0} n(\sigma, n, m)\left(v_{1}\right)^{N n} \cdot\left(v_{2}\right)^{N m}\right] \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the last equality follows from the fact that $n(\sigma, n, m)$ does not depend on $\sigma$.
On the other hand, from equality (52) we have

$$
\left[\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p(k)\left(v_{1}\right)^{k}\right)\left(\sum_{k^{\prime}=0}^{\infty} p\left(k^{\prime}\right)\left(v_{2}\right)^{k^{\prime}}\right)\right]^{12}=\sum_{n \geq 0, m \geq 0} n(\sigma, n, m)\left(v_{1}\right)^{n} \cdot\left(v_{2}\right)^{m}
$$

Moreover as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 we can see that

$$
\left[\sum_{\sigma \in M W(W)}\left(T^{\sigma}\right)^{N}\right]=\left(p \prod_{i=1}^{8}\left(q_{i} \cdot s_{i}\right)\right)^{N} \Theta_{E_{8}}^{r o o t}\left(N \tau_{1}, N \cdot \mathbf{z}\right) \cdot \Theta_{E_{8}}^{r o o t}\left(N \tau_{2}, N \cdot \mathbf{y}\right)
$$

Combining these equalities with (57), we obtain the proof of Theorem 4.2 .

## 6 The restricted A-model Prepotential

In order to compare the prepotential of the A-model Yukawa coupling of $W$ with the B-model Yukawa coupling of the mirror partner $W^{*}$, which we obtain in Section 7 , we need to take a special restriction of the variables of the prepotential, that is, we have to specify the parameters which correspond to the line bundles which are induced from the ambient space $\mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$. Let $\iota: W \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$ be the natural embedding. Then we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
[F]=\pi_{1}^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}(1)\right), \quad\left[H_{1}\right]=\pi_{2}^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(1)\right), \quad\left[H_{2}\right]=\pi_{3}^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(1)\right) \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

and introduce corresponding parameters as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
{[F] \leftrightarrow p=U_{0}=\exp \left(2 \pi i t_{0}\right)} \\
{\left[H_{1}\right] \leftrightarrow U_{1}=\exp \left(2 \pi i t_{1}\right)}  \tag{59}\\
{\left[H_{2}\right] \leftrightarrow U_{2}}
\end{array}=\exp \left(2 \pi i t_{2}\right) . ~ \$
$$

Now we consider the following restricted prepotential

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{A}^{\text {res }}=\text { topological term }+\sum_{0 \neq \eta \in H_{2}(W)} n(\eta) \operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(U^{\eta}\right) \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
U^{\eta} & =\exp \left(2 \pi i\left(t_{0}[F]+t_{1}\left[H_{1}\right]+t_{2}\left[H_{2}\right], \eta\right)_{W}\right)  \tag{61}\\
& =p^{([F], \eta)_{W}} \cdot\left(U_{1}\right)^{\left(\left[H_{1}\right], \eta\right)_{W}} \cdot\left(U_{2}\right)^{\left(\left[H_{2}\right], \eta\right)_{W}} . \tag{62}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, we can define the $k$-sectional part and the Mordell-Weil part of the restricted prepotential by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{A, k}^{r e s}=\sum_{0 \not \equiv \eta \in H_{2}(X, \mathbf{Z}),(F, \eta)=k} n(\eta) \operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(U^{\eta}\right) \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{A, M W(W)}^{\text {res }}=\sum_{\sigma \in M W(W)} \operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(U^{j(\sigma)}\right) \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively.

## Proposition 6.1

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Psi_{A, M W(W)}^{r e s}\left(p, t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p^{n}}{n^{3}} \cdot \Theta_{E_{8}}\left(3 n t_{1}, n t_{1} \gamma\right) \cdot \Theta_{E_{8}}\left(3 n t_{2}, n t_{2} \gamma\right)  \tag{65}\\
\Psi_{A, 1}^{r e s}\left(p, t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p^{n}}{n^{3}} \cdot A^{r e s}\left(n t_{1}\right) \cdot A^{r e s}\left(n t_{2}\right) \tag{66}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\gamma=(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,-1)
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
A^{\text {res }}(t) & =\Theta_{E_{8}}(3 t, t \cdot \gamma) \cdot\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n) \exp (2 \pi i n(3 t))^{12}\right.  \tag{67}\\
& =\Theta_{E_{8}}(3 t, t \cdot \gamma) \cdot \frac{\exp (3 \pi i t)}{[\eta(3 t)]^{12}} \\
& =\Theta_{E_{8}}(3 t, t \cdot \gamma) \cdot \frac{1}{\left[\prod_{m \geq 1}(1-\exp (2 \pi i m(3 t)))\right]^{12}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. From Relation (10), we obtain for every $\sigma \in M W(W)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left[H_{1}\right], j(\sigma)\right)_{W}=\left(H_{1}, j\left(\sigma_{1}\right)\right)_{S_{1}} \\
& \quad=\left(2 F_{1}+5 L_{0}-2 L_{1}-L_{2}+L_{8}, j\left(\sigma_{1}\right)\right)_{S_{1}} \\
& \quad=2+1 / 2\left(5 Q_{1}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)-2 Q_{1}\left(\sigma_{1}+a_{1}\right)-Q_{1}\left(\sigma_{1}+a_{2}\right)+Q_{1}\left(\sigma_{1}+a_{8}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{3}{2} Q_{1}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)+B_{1}\left(\sigma_{1},-2 a_{1}-a_{2}+a_{8}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and a similar equation for $\left(\left[H_{2}\right], j(\sigma)\right)_{W}$. Then from Remark 4.2, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma=-2 a_{1}-a_{2}+a_{8}= & -\left[\left(\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{8}\right)-\left(\epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{3}+\epsilon_{4}+\epsilon_{5}+\epsilon_{6}+\epsilon_{7}\right)\right]+ \\
& -\left(\epsilon_{2}-\epsilon_{1}\right)+\left(\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}\right) \\
= & \epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{3}+\epsilon_{4}+\epsilon_{5}+\epsilon_{6}+\epsilon_{7}-\epsilon_{8}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
U^{j(\sigma)} & =\exp \left(2 \pi i\left(t_{0}+\left(\left[H_{1}\right], j(\sigma)\right)_{W} t_{1}+\left(\left[H_{2}\right], j(\sigma)\right)_{W} t_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =p \cdot \exp \left(2 \pi i\left(\frac{3 t_{1}}{2} Q_{1}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)+B_{1}\left(\sigma_{1}, t_{1} \gamma\right)\right) \exp \left(2 \pi i\left(\frac{3 t_{2}}{2} Q_{2}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)+B_{2}\left(\sigma_{2}, t_{2} \gamma\right)\right)\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then as in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we can obtain Assertion (65). The proof of Assertion (66) is similar.

Now we consider the expansion of (65) and (66) with respect to the variable $p$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Psi_{A, M W(W)}^{r e s}=p \cdot \Theta_{E_{8}}\left(3 t_{1}, t_{1} \gamma\right) \cdot \Theta_{E_{8}}\left(3 t_{2}, t_{2} \gamma\right)+O\left(p^{2}\right)  \tag{68}\\
\Psi_{A}-\text { topological term }=\Psi_{A, 1}^{r e s}+O\left(p^{2}\right)=p \cdot A^{\text {res }}\left(t_{1}\right) \cdot A^{\text {res }}\left(t_{2}\right)+O\left(p^{2}\right) \tag{69}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let us define sequences of positive integers $\left\{c_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
\Theta_{E_{8}}(3 t, t \gamma) & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{m} \exp (2 \pi m i t) \tag{70}
\end{align*}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{m} U^{m} .
$$

Let us also expand functions as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
p \cdot \Theta_{E_{8}}\left(3 t_{1}, t_{1} \gamma\right) \cdot \Theta_{E_{8}}\left(3 t_{2}, t_{2} \gamma\right) & =\sum_{n_{1} \geq 0, n_{2} \geq 0} M_{1, n_{1}, n_{2}} \cdot p \cdot\left(U_{1}\right)^{n_{1}}\left(U_{2}\right)^{n_{2}}  \tag{72}\\
p \cdot A^{r e s}\left(t_{1}\right) \cdot A^{r e s}\left(t_{2}\right) & =\sum_{n_{1} \geq 0, n_{2} \geq 0} N_{1, n_{1}, n_{2}} \cdot p \cdot\left(U_{1}\right)^{n_{1}}\left(U_{2}\right)^{n_{2}} \tag{73}
\end{align*}
$$

The proof of the following proposition follows from (72), (73) and Proposition 6.1.

## Proposition 6.2 1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1, n_{1}, n_{2}}=c_{n_{1}} \cdot c_{n_{2}}, \quad N_{1, n_{1}, n_{2}}=a_{n_{1}} \cdot a_{n_{2}} \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Let $f: S \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ be a generic rational elliptic surface as in section E S $^{\text {. Then }}$ in the expansion (70) the coefficient $c_{m}$ is the number of sections of $f: S \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ with degree $\left(H,\left[\sigma\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)\right]\right)_{S}=m$, that is,

$$
c_{m}=\sharp\left\{\quad \sigma \in M W(S) \quad \mid \quad\left(H,\left[\sigma\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)\right]\right)_{S}=m \quad\right\},
$$

where $H$ is the class of the total transform of a line on $\mathbf{P}^{2}$.
3. The integer $M_{1, n_{1}, n_{2}}$ (resp. $N_{1, n_{1}, n_{2}}$ ) is the number of sections $\sigma \in M W(W)$ (resp. pseudosections $\eta$ ) of $h: W \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ with bidegree $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$ where $n_{i}=\left(\left[H_{i}\right], j(\sigma)\right)_{W}$ (resp. $n_{i}=$ $\left.\left(\left[H_{i}\right], \eta\right)_{W}\right)$ is the degree with respect to $\left[H_{i}\right]$.

Remark 6.1 The factorization property of $M_{1, n_{1}, n_{2}}$ and $N_{1, n_{1}, n_{2}}$ in (74) follows from the fact that sections and pseudo-sections of $h: W \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ can be split as in (6), (47).

Remark 6.2 Note that the sequences $\left\{c_{m}\right\}$ and $\left\{a_{m}\right\}$ are connected to each other by the formula:

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{m} U^{m}=\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{m} U^{m}\right]\left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p(k) U^{3 k}\right]^{12}
$$

The number $a_{m}$ can be considered as the number of pseudo-sections $C$ of a generic rational elliptic surface $f: S \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ of degree $m$ with respect to the divisor class $[H]$. The term

$$
\left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p(k) U^{3 k}\right]^{12}
$$

is nothing but the contribution of 12 singular fibers of type $I_{1}$, when we count the contribution of one singular fiber of type $I_{1}$ with multiplicity $k$ as $p(k)$.

Here we will expand $\Theta_{E_{8}}(3 t, t \gamma)$ and $A^{r e s}(t)$ in the variable $U=\exp (2 \pi i t)$ and give the table of coefficients $c_{n}$ and $a_{n}$ up to order 50. (See also the last remark of Section 7). We can use Proposition 9.1 to obtain the following expansion.

Table. 1

$$
\Theta_{E_{8}}(3 t, t \gamma)=\sum_{m \geq 0} c_{m} U^{m}
$$

| $n$ | $c_{n}$ | $n$ | $c_{n}$ | $n$ | $c_{n}$ | $n$ | $c_{n}$ |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 9 | 13 | 8892 | 26 | 68922 | 39 | 197136 |
| 1 | 36 | 14 | 12168 | 27 | 68796 | 40 | 241920 |
| 2 | 126 | 15 | 13104 | 28 | 86580 | 41 | 227556 |
| 3 | 252 | 16 | 17766 | 29 | 84168 | 42 | 276948 |
| 4 | 513 | 17 | 18648 | 30 | 103824 | 43 | 262080 |
| 5 | 756 | 18 | 24390 | 31 | 101556 | 44 | 319410 |
| 6 | 1332 | 19 | 25200 | 32 | 127647 | 45 | 298116 |
| 7 | 1764 | 20 | 33345 | 33 | 121212 | 46 | 357912 |
| 8 | 2808 | 21 | 33516 | 34 | 148878 | 47 | 341460 |
| 9 | 3276 | 22 | 43344 | 35 | 143964 | 48 | 410958 |
| 10 | 4914 | 23 | 43092 | 36 | 178776 | 49 | 382356 |
| 11 | 5616 | 24 | 55692 | 37 | 170352 | 50 | 458208 |
| 12 | 8190 | 25 | 54684 | 38 | 205380 |  |  |

Table 2. (Table for $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ ).

|  | $A^{\text {res }}(t)=\sum_{m \geq 0} a_{m} U^{m}=\left(\sum_{n \geq 0} c_{n} U^{n}\right) \cdot\left(\sum_{k \geq 0} p(k) U^{3 k}\right)^{12} .$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $a_{n}$ | $n$ | $a_{n}$ | $n$ | $a_{n}$ |
| 0 | 9 | 17 | 5421132 | 34 | 11208455370 |
| 1 | 36 | 18 | 9131220 | 35 | 16538048640 |
| 2 | 126 | 19 | 15195600 | 36 | 24282822798 |
| 3 | 360 | 20 | 25006653 | 37 | 35487134928 |
| 4 | 945 | 21 | 40722840 | 38 | 51626878470 |
| 5 | 2268 | 22 | 65670768 | 39 | 74779896240 |
| 6 | 5166 | 23 | 104930280 | 40 | 107861179482 |
| 7 | 11160 | 24 | 166214205 | 41 | 154945739844 |
| 8 | 23220 | 25 | 261141300 | 42 | 221711362038 |
| 9 | 46620 | 26 | 407118726 | 43 | 316042958880 |
| 10 | 90972 | 27 | 630048384 | 44 | 448856366490 |
| 11 | 172872 | 28 | 968272605 | 45 | 635216766732 |
| 12 | 321237 | 29 | 1478208420 | 46 | 895854679650 |
| 13 | 584640 | 30 | 2242463580 | 47 | 1259213600736 |
| 14 | 1044810 | 31 | 3381344280 | 48 | 1764210946995 |
| 15 | 1835856 | 32 | 5069259342 | 49 | 2463949037340 |
| 16 | 3177153 | 33 | 7557818940 | 50 | 3430694064888 |

## 7 The prepotential of the B-model Yukawa coupling

In this section we study the prepotential of the B-model Yukawa coupling for the mirror $W^{*}$ of Schoen's example in the sense of Batyrev-Borisov Ba-Bd and compare it with the prepotential for the A-model Yukawa coupling of $W$. Formula (80) gives this B-model prepotential $\Psi_{B}$ explicitly. In order to determine the B-model prepotential we will basically follow the recipe of HKTY, Sti] which uses only the toric data of the A-model side. However in order to give an intuitive picture of the mirror $W^{*}$ we will put here the orbifold construction of the mirror $W^{*}$ of Schoen's example and the Picard-Fuchs equations of the periods of a holomorphic 3-form of $W^{*}$.
Based on the Batyrev-Borisov mirror construction (cf. Ba-Bo, HKTY) for complete intersection Calabi-Yau manifolds in toric varieties we can derive the following

Proposition 7.1 The family of mirror Calabi-Yau 3-folds of $W$ is obtained by the orbifold construction with group $\mathbf{Z}_{3} \times \mathbf{Z}_{3}$ from the subfamily $W_{\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \beta_{0}, \beta_{1}}$ of $W$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \beta_{0}, \beta_{1}}= \\
& \quad\left\{\left[z_{0}: z_{1}\right] \times\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}\right] \times\left[y_{0}: y_{1}: y_{2}\right] \in \mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \mid P_{1}=P_{2}=0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}=\left(x_{0}^{3}+x_{1}^{3}+x_{2}^{3}+\alpha_{0} x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}\right) z_{1}+\alpha_{1} x_{0} x_{1} x_{2} z_{0} \\
& P_{2}=\left(y_{0}^{3}+y_{1}^{3}+y_{2}^{3}+\beta_{0} y_{0} y_{1} y_{2}\right) z_{0}+\beta_{1} y_{0} y_{1} y_{2} z_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the group $\mathbf{Z}_{3} \times \mathbf{Z}_{3}$ is generated by

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{1}:\left(\left[z_{0}: z_{1}\right],\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}\right],\left[y_{0}: y_{1}: y_{2}\right]\right) \\
& \mapsto\left(\left[z_{0}: z_{1}\right],\left[x_{0}: \omega x_{1}: \omega^{2} x_{2}\right],\left[y_{0}: y_{1}: y_{2}\right]\right),  \tag{75}\\
& g_{2}:\left(\left[z_{0}: z_{1}\right],\right. {\left.\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}\right],\left[y_{0}: y_{1}: y_{2}\right]\right) } \\
& \mapsto\left(\left[z_{0}: z_{1}\right],\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}\right],\left[y_{0}: \omega y_{1}: \omega^{2} y_{2}\right]\right),
\end{align*}
$$

with $\omega=e^{2 \pi i / 3}$. That is, the mirror $W^{*}$ is

$$
W^{*}=W_{\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \beta_{0}, \beta_{1}} /\left(\mathbf{Z}_{3} \times \mathbf{Z}_{3}\right)
$$

Proof. See Section 8, Appendix I.
In the equations $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ above we have kept four parameters $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \beta_{0}, \beta_{1}$ for symmetry reasons. However only three of them are essential because of the scaling of the variables $z_{0}, z_{1}$. After the orbifoldization this three parameter deformation describes a three dimensional subspace in the complex structure (B-model) moduli space of $W^{*}$. The full complex structure moduli space has dimension 19. Under the mirror symmetry the three dimensional subspace will be mapped to the subspace in the complexified Kähler moduli space parameterized by ( $t_{0}, t_{1}, t_{2}$ ) in (59). The B-model calculations are local calculations based on the variation of the Hodge structure for the family $W^{*}$ about the Large complex structure limit (LCSL). A mathematical characterization of LCSL is given in Mo-2. Here we simply follow a general recipe applicable to CICYs in toric varieties to find a LCSL and write the Picard-Fuchs differential equations HKTY. We find that the origin of the local coordinate system $u=\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ with $u_{0}=\frac{\alpha_{1} \beta_{1}}{\alpha_{0} \beta_{0}}, u_{1}=-\frac{1}{\alpha_{0}^{3}}$ and $u_{2}=-\frac{1}{\beta_{0}^{3}}$
is a LCSL, and that the Picard-Fuchs (PF) differential operators about this point are

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{1}= & \left(3 \theta_{u_{1}}-\theta_{u_{0}}\right) \theta_{u_{1}}-9 u_{1}\left(3 \theta_{u_{1}}+\theta_{u_{0}}+2\right)\left(3 \theta_{u_{1}}+\theta_{u_{0}}+1\right) \\
& +u_{0} \theta_{u_{1}}\left(3 \theta_{u_{2}}+\theta_{u_{0}}+1\right) \\
D_{2}= & \left(3 \theta_{u_{2}}-\theta_{u_{0}}\right) \theta_{u_{2}}-9 u_{2}\left(3 \theta_{u_{2}}+\theta_{u_{0}}+2\right)\left(3 \theta_{u_{2}}+\theta_{u_{0}}+1\right)  \tag{76}\\
& +u_{0} \theta_{u_{2}}\left(3 \theta_{u_{1}}+\theta_{u_{0}}+1\right), \\
D_{3}= & \theta_{u_{0}}^{2}-u_{0}\left(3 \theta_{u_{1}}+\theta_{u_{0}}+1\right)\left(3 \theta_{u_{2}}+\theta_{u_{0}}+1\right),
\end{align*}
$$

with $\theta_{u_{i}}=u_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{i}}$. We note that if we set $u_{0}=0$ in (76), the operators $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ reduce to the PF equations for the Hesse pencil of elliptic curves. Local solutions about $u=0$ have several interesting properties. To state these, we denote the three elements $[F],\left[H_{1}\right]$ and $\left[H_{2}\right]$ in the Picard group $\operatorname{Pic}(W)$ by $J_{0}, J_{1}$ and $J_{2}$, respectively. By the notation $K_{i j k}(i, j, k=0,1,2)$ we denote the classical intersection numbers among the corresponding divisors. Then the nonzero components are calculated, up to obvious permutations of the indices, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{012}=9 \quad, \quad K_{112}=K_{122}=3 \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 7.2 1. The Picard-Fuchs equation (76) has only one regular solution, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{(0)}(u):=\sum_{m_{0}, m_{1}, m_{2} \geq 0} \frac{\left(m_{0}+3 m_{1}\right)!\left(m_{0}+3 m_{2}\right)!}{\left(m_{0}!\right)^{2}\left(m_{1}!\right)^{3}\left(m_{2}!\right)^{3}} u_{0}^{m_{0}} u_{1}^{m_{1}} u_{2}^{m_{2}} \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. All other solutions of (76) have logarithmic regular singularities and have the following form in terms of the classical Frobenius method

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Omega_{i}^{(1)}(u):=\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_{i}} \Omega(u, \rho)\right|_{\rho=0} \\
\Omega_{i}^{(2)}(u):=\left.\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j, k=0,1,2} K_{i j k} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_{j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_{k}} \Omega(u, \rho)\right|_{\rho=0}  \tag{79}\\
\Omega^{(3)}(u):=-\left.\frac{1}{3!} \sum_{i, j, k=0,1,2} K_{i j k} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_{j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_{k}} \Omega(u, \rho)\right|_{\rho=0}
\end{gather*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega(u, \rho):= \\
& \sum_{m_{0}, m_{1}, m_{2} \geq 0} \frac{\left(1+\rho_{0}+3 \rho_{1}\right)_{m_{0}+3 m_{1}}\left(1+\rho_{0}+3 \rho_{2}\right)_{m_{0}+3 m_{2}}}{\left(1+\rho_{0}\right)_{m_{0}}^{2}\left(1+\rho_{1}\right)_{m_{1}}^{3}\left(1+\rho_{2}\right)_{m_{2}}^{3}} u_{0}^{m_{0}+\rho_{0}} u_{1}^{m_{1}+\rho_{1}} u_{2}^{m_{2}+\rho_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $K_{i j k}$ being the coupling in (77). The notation $(x)_{m}$ represents the Pochhammer symbol: $(x)_{m}:=x(x+1) \cdots(x+m-1)$.

Now we are ready to define the B-model prepotential and the mirror map:
Definition 7.1 We define the $B$-model prepotential by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{B}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\Omega^{(0)}(u)}\right)^{2}\left\{\Omega^{(0)}(u) \Omega^{(3)}(u)+\sum_{i} \Omega_{i}^{(1)}(u) \Omega_{i}^{(2)}(u)\right\} \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 7.2 We define the special coordinates on the B-model moduli space by

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{j}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \frac{\Omega_{j}^{(1)}(u)}{\Omega^{(0)}(u)}, \quad U_{j}:=e^{2 \pi i t_{j}} \quad(j=0,1,2) \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $U_{0}, U_{1}, U_{2}$ are functions of $u_{0}, u_{1}, u_{2}$ and $U_{j}=u_{j}+$ higher order terms. The inverse map $\left(u_{0}(U), u_{1}(U), u_{2}(U)\right)$ is called the mirror map.

Conjecture 7.1 (Mirror Conjecture) The B-model prepotential $\Psi_{B}(u)$ combined with the mirror map has the expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{B}(u(U))=\frac{(2 \pi i)^{3}}{3!} \sum_{i, j, k=0,1,2} K_{i j k} t_{i} t_{j} t_{k}+\sum_{n_{0}, n_{1}, n_{2} \geq 0} N_{n_{0}, n_{1}, n_{2}} \operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(U_{0}^{n_{0}} U_{1}^{n_{1}} U_{2}^{n_{2}}\right) \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{n_{0}, n_{1}, n_{2}}$ is the number of rational curves $\varphi: \mathbf{P}^{1} \mapsto W$ with $\left(J_{i}, \varphi_{*}\left(\left[\mathbf{P}^{1}\right]\right)\right)=n_{i}, \quad(i=0,1,2)$. In our context, we can state the conjecture in more precise form as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{A}^{r e s}\left(U_{0}, U_{1}, U_{2}\right)=\Psi_{B}\left(u\left(U_{0}, U_{1}, U_{2}\right)\right) \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Psi_{A}^{r e s}\left(U_{0}, U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$ is the restricted $A$-model prepotential defined in (60).

Next we briefly sketch the approach of Sti] for calculating the B-model prepotential by using only toric data of the A-model side. This starts from the observation that Schoen's example $W$ can be embedded in $\mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$ as the intersection of a hypersurface of degree $(1,3,0)$ and a hypersurface of degree $(1,0,3)$. (cf. Section 2). So $W$ is the zero locus of a (general) section of the rank 2 vector bundle $\mathcal{O}(1,3,0) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1,0,3)$ on $\mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$. This vector bundle can be constructed as a quotient of an open part of $\mathbf{C}^{10}$ by a 3-dimensional subtorus of $\left(\mathbf{C}^{*}\right)^{10}$ acting by coordinatewise multiplication. The subtorus is the image of the homomorphism $\left(\mathbf{C}^{*}\right)^{3}=\mathbf{Z}^{3} \otimes \mathbf{C}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^{10} \otimes \mathbf{C}^{*}=\left(\mathbf{C}^{*}\right)^{10}$ given by the $3 \times 10$-matrix

$$
\mathrm{B}:=\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrr}
-1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{84}\\
-3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

The open part of $\mathbf{C}^{10}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigcup_{(i, j, k) \in\{3,4\} \times\{5,6,7\} \times\{8,9,10\}} \mathbf{C}_{(i, j, k)}^{10} \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\mathbf{C}_{(i, j, k)}^{10}:=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{10}\right) \in \mathbf{C}^{10} \mid x_{i} \neq 0, x_{j} \neq 0, x_{k} \neq 0\right\}
$$

We view $\{3,4\} \times\{5,6,7\} \times\{8,9,10\}$ as a collection of 18 subsets of $\{1, \ldots, 10\}$ and note that the complement of the union of these 18 subsets is $\{1,2\}$. As explained in Sti this bit of combinatorial input suffices to explicitly write down the hypergeometric function from which one can subsequently compute the B-model prepotential.
This hypergeometric function is a priori a function in 10 variables $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{10}$, which correspond to the a priori 10 coefficients in the equations $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ in proposition 7.1:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi & :=\left(\bar{J}_{0}+3 \bar{J}_{1}\right)\left(\bar{J}_{0}+3 \bar{J}_{2}\right) \times v_{1}^{-1} v_{2}^{-1} \times u_{1}^{\bar{J}_{0}} u_{2}^{\bar{J}_{1}} u_{3}^{\bar{J}_{2}} \times \\
& \times \sum_{m_{0}, m_{1}, m_{2} \geq 0} \frac{\left(1+\bar{J}_{0}+3 \bar{J}_{1}\right)_{m_{0}+3 m_{1}} \cdot\left(1+\bar{J}_{0}+3 \bar{J}_{2}\right)_{m_{0}+3 m_{2}}}{\left(1+\bar{J}_{0}\right)_{m_{0}}{ }^{2} \cdot\left(1+\bar{J}_{1}\right)_{m_{1}}^{3} \cdot\left(1+\bar{J}_{2}\right)_{m_{2}}{ }^{3}} u_{0}^{m_{0}} u_{1}^{m_{1}} u_{2}^{m_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
u_{0}:=v_{1}^{-1} v_{2}^{-1} v_{3} v_{4}, \quad u_{1}:=-v_{1}^{-3} v_{5} v_{6} v_{7}, \quad u_{2}:=-v_{2}^{-3} v_{8} v_{9} v_{10}
$$

and where $\bar{J}_{0}, \bar{J}_{1}, \bar{J}_{2}$ are elements in the ring

$$
\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}}:=\mathbf{Z}\left[\bar{J}_{0}, \bar{J}_{1}, \bar{J}_{2}\right] /\left(\bar{J}_{0}^{2}, \bar{J}_{1}^{3}, \bar{J}_{2}^{3}\right) .
$$

So, $v_{1} v_{2} \Phi$ is an element of

$$
\left(\left(\bar{J}_{0}+3 \bar{J}_{1}\right)\left(\bar{J}_{0}+3 \bar{J}_{2}\right) \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}}\right) \otimes \mathbf{Q}\left[\left[u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right]\right]\left[\log u_{1}, \log u_{2}, \log u_{3}\right] .
$$

The map multiplication by $\left(\bar{J}_{0}+3 \bar{J}_{1}\right)\left(\bar{J}_{0}+3 \bar{J}_{2}\right)$ on $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}}$ induces an isomorphism of linear spaces from the ring

$$
\mathcal{R}_{\text {toric }}:=\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}} / \operatorname{Ann}\left(\left(\bar{J}_{0}+3 \bar{J}_{1}\right)\left(\bar{J}_{0}+3 \bar{J}_{2}\right)\right)
$$

onto the ideal $\left(\bar{J}_{0}+3 \bar{J}_{1}\right)\left(\bar{J}_{0}+3 \bar{J}_{2}\right) \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}}$.
$\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}}$ is in fact the cohomology ring of the ambient toric variety $\mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\text {toric }}$ is a subring of the Chow ring of $W$. The classes of $\bar{J}_{0}, \bar{J}_{1}, \bar{J}_{2}$ in $\mathcal{R}_{\text {toric }}$ correspond to the elements $J_{0}, J_{1}, J_{2}$ of $\operatorname{Pic}(W)$ defined earlier. One easily checks that $\mathcal{R}_{\text {toric }}$ is a free $\mathbf{Z}$-module of rank 8 with basis $\left\{1, J_{0}, J_{1}, J_{2}, J_{1}^{2}, J_{1} J_{2}, J_{2}^{2}, J_{1}^{2} J_{2}\right\}$ and that the following relations hold

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{0}^{2}=J_{1}^{3}=J_{2}^{3}=J_{0} J_{1}^{2}=J_{0} J_{2}^{2}=0 \\
& J_{0} J_{1}=3 J_{1}^{2}, \quad J_{0} J_{2}=3 J_{2}^{2}, \quad J_{1} J_{2}^{2}=J_{1}^{2} J_{2}, \quad J_{0} J_{1} J_{2}=3 J_{1}^{2} J_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Instead of $\bar{\Phi}$ we may as well work with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega(u, J):= \\
& \sum_{m_{0}, m_{1}, m_{2} \geq 0} \frac{\left(1+J_{0}+3 J_{1}\right)_{m_{0}+3 m_{1}} \cdot\left(1+J_{0}+3 J_{2}\right)_{m_{0}+3 m_{2}}}{\left(1+J_{0}\right)_{m_{0}}^{2} \cdot\left(1+J_{1}\right)_{m_{1}}^{3} \cdot\left(1+J_{2}\right)_{m_{2}}^{3}} u_{0}^{m_{0}+J_{0}} u_{1}^{m_{1}+J_{1}} u_{2}^{m_{2}+J_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the notations (77), (78), (79) and $J_{0}^{\vee}:=\frac{1}{9} J_{1} J_{2}-\frac{1}{27} J_{0} J_{1}-\frac{1}{27} J_{0} J_{2}, J_{1}^{\vee}:=\frac{1}{9} J_{0} J_{2}, J_{2}^{\vee}:=$ $\frac{1}{9} J_{0} J_{1}$ and vol $:=\frac{1}{9} J_{0} J_{1} J_{2}$ the relation between the two approaches may now be formulated as

## Proposition 7.3

$$
\Omega(u, J)=\Omega^{(0)}(u)+\sum_{i=0}^{2} \Omega_{i}^{(1)}(u) J_{i}+\sum_{i=0}^{2} \Omega_{i}^{(2)}(u) J_{i}^{\vee}-\Omega^{(3)}(u) v o l
$$

$\Omega(u, J)$ is an element of the ring $\mathcal{R}_{\text {toric }} \otimes \mathbf{Q}\left[\left[u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right]\right]\left[\log u_{1}, \log u_{2}, \log u_{3}\right]$. It is 1 modulo $J_{0}, J_{1}, J_{2}, u_{0}, u_{1}, u_{2}$ and hence its logarithm also exists in the ring $\mathcal{R}_{\text {toric }} \otimes \mathbf{Q}\left[\left[u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right]\right]\left[\log u_{1}, \log u_{2}, \log u_{3}\right]$. Expanding $\log \Omega(u, J)$ with respect to the basis $\left\{1, J_{0}, J_{1}, J_{2}, J_{0}^{\vee}, J_{1}^{\vee}, J_{2}^{\vee}\right.$, vol $\}$ of $\mathcal{R}_{\text {toric }}$ one finds

$$
\log \Omega(u, J)=\log \Omega^{(0)}(u)+\sum_{j=0}^{2} \log U_{j} J_{j}+\sum_{j=0}^{2} P_{j} J_{j}^{\vee}+P \text { vol }
$$

with $U_{j}$ as in (81) and hence $\log U_{j}=2 \pi i t_{j}$. A straightforward computation shows (see also (80) and (82))

$$
\begin{align*}
P & =-\left(\frac{\Omega^{(3)}(u)}{\Omega^{(0)}(u)}+\sum_{j=0}^{2} \frac{\Omega_{j}^{(1)}(u)}{\Omega^{(0)}(u)} \frac{\Omega_{j}^{(2)}(u)}{\Omega^{(0)}(u)}-\frac{(2 \pi i)^{3}}{3} \sum_{m, j, k=0,1,2} K_{m j k} t_{m} t_{j} t_{k}\right) \\
& =-2\left(\Psi_{B}(u)-\frac{(2 \pi i)^{3}}{3!} \sum_{m, j, k=0,1,2} K_{m j k} t_{m} t_{j} t_{k}\right) \\
& =-2 \sum_{n_{0}, n_{1}, n_{2} \geq 0} N_{n_{0}, n_{1}, n_{2}} \operatorname{Li}_{3}\left(U_{0}^{n_{0}} U_{1}^{n_{1}} U_{2}^{n_{2}}\right) \tag{86}
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 7.4 Let the numbers $N_{n_{0}, n_{1}, n_{2}}$ be defined by (86). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{0, n_{1}, n_{2}}=0 \quad \text { for all } n_{1}, n_{2} \geq 0 \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Note that modulo $u_{0}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{0}^{-J_{0}} u_{1}^{-J_{1}} u_{2}^{-J_{2}} \Omega(u, J) \equiv \\
& \quad \equiv\left(\sum_{m_{1} \geq 0} \frac{\left(1+J_{0}+3 J_{1}\right)_{3 m_{1}}}{\left(1+J_{1}\right)_{m_{1}}^{3}} u_{1}^{m_{1}}\right)\left(\sum_{m_{2} \geq 0} \frac{\left(1+J_{0}+3 J_{2}\right)_{3 m_{2}}}{\left(1+J_{2}\right)_{m_{2}}^{3}} u_{2}^{m_{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and take logarithms. The logarithms involve no mixed terms $J_{1} J_{2}$. This shows $P \equiv 0 \bmod u_{0}$.

As explained in [F, Sti] a theorem of Bryant and Griffiths shows

$$
P_{j}=-\frac{1}{2} U_{j} \frac{\partial P}{\partial U_{j}}
$$

for $j=0,1,2$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{j}=\sum_{n_{0}, n_{1}, n_{2} \geq 0} n_{j} N_{n_{0}, n_{1}, n_{2}} \operatorname{Li}_{2}\left(U_{0}^{n_{0}} U_{1}^{n_{1}} U_{2}^{n_{2}}\right) \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Li}_{2}(x):=\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{x^{n}}{n^{2}}$ is the dilogarithm function.
It follows from (88) and (87) that we can get all numbers $N_{n_{0}, n_{1}, n_{2}}$ from $P_{0}$. The computations are now greatly simplified by observing:

Lemma 7.1 In $\mathcal{R}_{\text {toric }}$ the intersection of the $\mathbf{Z}$-module with basis
$\left\{1, J_{1}, J_{2}, J_{1} J_{2}\right\}$ and the ideal generated by $J_{0}, J_{1}^{2}, J_{2}^{2}$ is 0 .
So for studying $\log U_{1}, \log U_{2}$ and $P_{0}$ we may reduce modulo the ideal $\left(J_{0}, J_{1}^{2}, J_{2}^{2}\right)$; i.e. replace $\mathcal{R}_{\text {toric }}$ by $\mathbf{Z}\left[\bar{J}_{1}, \bar{J}_{2}\right] /\left(\bar{J}_{1}^{2}, \bar{J}_{2}^{2}\right)$. From now on we use $J_{1}$ resp. $J_{2}$ to denote the classes of $\bar{J}_{1}$ resp. $\bar{J}_{2}$ in the latter ring; so we have in particular from now on

$$
J_{1}^{2}=J_{2}^{2}=0
$$

Let

$$
\tilde{\Omega}\left(u, J_{1}, J_{2}\right):=\sum_{m_{0}, m_{1}, m_{2} \geq 0} \frac{\left(1+3 J_{1}\right)_{m_{0}+3 m_{1}} \cdot\left(1+3 J_{2}\right)_{m_{0}+3 m_{2}}}{m_{0}!^{2} \cdot\left(1+J_{1}\right)_{m_{1}}{ }^{3} \cdot\left(1+J_{2}\right)_{m_{2}}{ }^{3}} u_{0}^{m_{0}} u_{1}^{m_{1}} u_{2}^{m_{2}}
$$

Then clearly

$$
\begin{align*}
\log \tilde{\Omega}\left(u, J_{1}, J_{2}\right)= & \log \Omega^{(0)}(u)+\left(\log U_{1}-\log u_{1}\right) J_{1}+  \tag{89}\\
& +\left(\log U_{2}-\log u_{2}\right) J_{2}+\frac{1}{9} P_{0} J_{1} J_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

We have the following expansion of $\tilde{\Omega}\left(u, J_{1}, J_{2}\right)$ w.r.t. $u_{0}$

$$
\tilde{\Omega}\left(u, J_{1}, J_{2}\right)=\phi_{0}\left(u_{1}, J_{1}\right) \phi_{0}\left(u_{2}, J_{2}\right)+\phi_{1}\left(u_{1}, J_{1}\right) \phi_{1}\left(u_{2}, J_{2}\right) u_{0}+\mathcal{O}\left(u_{0}^{2}\right)
$$

where we define

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{0}(w, \rho) & :=\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(1+3 \rho)_{3 n}}{(1+\rho)_{n}{ }^{3}} w^{n}  \tag{90}\\
\phi_{1}(w, \rho) & :=\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(1+3 \rho)_{1+3 n}}{(1+\rho)_{n}{ }^{3}} w^{n}
\end{align*}
$$

Note

$$
\phi_{1}(w, \rho)=(1+3 \rho) \phi_{0}(w, \rho)+3 w \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \phi_{0}(w, \rho) .
$$

This shows that modulo $u_{0}^{2}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\log \tilde{\Omega}\left(u, J_{1}, J_{2}\right) \equiv \log \phi_{0}\left(u_{1}, J_{1}\right)+\log \phi_{0}\left(u_{2}, J_{2}\right)+  \tag{91}\\
+\left(1+3 J_{1}+3 u_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{1}} \log \phi_{0}\left(u_{1}, J_{1}\right)\right)\left(1+3 J_{2}+3 u_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{2}} \log \phi_{0}\left(u_{2}, J_{2}\right)\right) u_{0}
\end{gather*}
$$

Comparing (89) and (91) we see that we have proved:
Proposition 7.5 Define for $j=1,2$ the function $\bar{U}_{j}$ by

$$
\log \bar{U}_{j}:=\log u_{j}+\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \log \phi_{0}\left(u_{j}, \rho\right)\right|_{\rho=0}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\log U_{j} & =\log \bar{U}_{j}+\mathcal{O}\left(u_{0}\right) \\
\frac{1}{9} P_{0} & =9\left(u_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{1}} \log \bar{U}_{1}\right)\left(u_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{2}} \log \bar{U}_{2}\right) u_{0}+\mathcal{O}\left(u_{0}^{2}\right) \tag{92}
\end{align*}
$$

Before we can draw conclusions for the numbers $N_{1, n_{1}, n_{2}}$ we must first analyse $U_{0}$ modulo $u_{0}^{2}$. Let

$$
\tilde{\tilde{\Omega}}\left(u, J_{0}\right):=\sum_{m_{0}, m_{1}, m_{2} \geq 0} \frac{\left(1+J_{0}\right)_{m_{0}+3 m_{1}} \cdot\left(1+J_{0}\right)_{m_{0}+3 m_{2}}}{\left(1+J_{0}\right)_{m_{0}}^{2} \cdot m_{1}!^{3} \cdot m_{2}!^{3}} u_{0}^{m_{0}} u_{1}^{m_{1}} u_{2}^{m_{2}}
$$

with as before $J_{0}^{2}=0$. Then

$$
\log \tilde{\tilde{\Omega}}\left(u, J_{0}\right)=\log \Omega^{(0)}(u)+\left(\log U_{0}-\log u_{0}\right) J_{0}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi(w, \rho):=\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(1+\rho)_{3 n}}{n!^{3}} w^{n} \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\tilde{\tilde{\Omega}}\left(u, J_{0}\right)=\xi\left(u_{1}, J_{0}\right) \cdot \xi\left(u_{2}, J_{0}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(u_{0}\right)
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{0}=u_{0} \cdot \psi\left(u_{1}\right) \cdot \psi\left(u_{2}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(u_{0}^{2}\right) \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\psi(w):=\exp \left(\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \log \xi(w, \rho)\right|_{\rho=0}\right)
$$

By combining (88), (92) and (94) we find

## Corollary 7.1

$$
\sum_{n_{1}, n_{2} \geq 0} N_{1, n_{1}, n_{2}} \bar{U}_{1}^{n_{1}} \bar{U}_{2}^{n_{2}}=81\left(\frac{1}{\psi\left(u_{1}\right)} u_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{1}} \log \bar{U}_{1}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\psi\left(u_{2}\right)} u_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{2}} \log \bar{U}_{2}\right)
$$

The number $N_{1, n_{1}, n_{2}}$ factorizes as

$$
N_{1, n_{1}, n_{2}}=b_{n_{1}} b_{n_{2}}
$$

where the numbers $b_{n}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \geq 0} b_{n} \bar{U}_{1}^{n}:=9\left(\frac{1}{\psi\left(u_{1}\right)} u_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{1}} \log \bar{U}_{1}\right) \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 7.2 Let $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ be the sequence of integers defined by the expansion (95). We obtain the asymptotic expansion of the $B$-model prepotential as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{B}\left(U_{0}, U_{1}, U_{2}\right)=\text { topological term }+U_{0} B\left(t_{1}\right) B\left(t_{2}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(U_{0}^{2}\right) \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B(t)$ is defined by the series

$$
B(t)=\sum_{n \geq 0} b_{n} \exp (2 \pi i n t)=\sum_{n \geq 0} b_{n} U^{n}
$$

From the asymptotic expansions of (69) and (96) we obtain the following precise identity between two functions, which actually follows from the Mirror Conjecture 7.1.

Conjecture 7.2 We will obtain the following identity

$$
A^{\text {res }}(t) \equiv B(t)
$$

or equivalently

$$
\sum_{n \geq 0} b_{n} U^{n}=\Theta_{E_{8}}(3 t, t \gamma) \prod_{n \geq 1}\left(1-U^{3 n}\right)^{-12}
$$

where $U=\exp (2 \pi i t)$ and $\gamma=(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,-1)$.

Unfortunately we are unable to prove Conjecture 7.2. However since we can explicitly expand the right hand side of (95), we can obtain the expansion of $B(t)$ by using a computer and compare the result with the expansion of $A^{\text {res }}(t)$.
Proposition 7.6 Conjecture 7.8 is true up to order $U^{50}$.

To get started on the computer one may notice:

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{0}(u, 0)=\xi(u, 0) & =\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(3 n)!}{n!^{3}} u^{n}  \tag{97}\\
\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \phi_{0}(u, \rho)\right|_{\rho=0} & =\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(3 n)!}{n!^{3}} 3(g(3 n)-g(n)) u^{n}  \tag{98}\\
\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \xi(u, \rho)\right|_{\rho=0} & =\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(3 n)!}{n!^{3}} g(3 n) u^{n} \tag{99}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
g(n)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k}, \quad g(3 n)=\sum_{k=1}^{3 n} \frac{1}{k}
$$

A simple PARI program then yields:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad B(t)=9 \frac{1}{\psi(u)} u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \log U= \\
& 9+36 U+126 U^{2}+360 U^{3}+945 U^{4}+2268 U^{5}+5166 U^{6}+11160 U^{7} \\
& +23220 U^{8}+46620 U^{9}+90972 U^{10}+172872 U^{11}+321237 U^{12} \\
& +584640 U^{13}+1044810 U^{14}+1835856 U^{15}+3177153 U^{16}+5421132 U^{17} \\
& +9131220 U^{18}+15195600 U^{19}+25006653 U^{20}+40722840 U^{21} \\
& +65670768 U^{22}+104930280 U^{23}+166214205 U^{24}+261141300 U^{25} \\
& +407118726 U^{26}+630048384 U^{27}+968272605 U^{28}+1478208420 U^{29} \\
& +2242463580 U^{30}+3381344280 U^{31}+5069259342 U^{32}+7557818940 U^{33} \\
& +11208455370 U^{34}+16538048640 U^{35}+24282822798 U^{36} \\
& +35487134928 U^{37}+51626878470 U^{38}+74779896240 U^{39} \\
& +107861179482 U^{40}+154945739844 U^{41}+221711362038 U^{42} \\
& +316042958880 U^{43}+448856366490 U^{44}+635216766732 U^{45} \\
& +895854679650 U^{46}+1259213600736 U^{47}+1764210946995 U^{48} \\
& +2463949037340 U^{49}+3430694064888 U^{50}+O\left(U^{51}\right) \tag{100}
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing this expansion (100) with Table 2 in Section 6 , we see that $a_{n}=b_{n}$ for $n \leq 50$.

## 8 Appendix I: B-model equation

In this appendix we derive the equations stated in proposition 7.1 for the mirror $W^{*}$ of Schoen's example $W$. We use the mirror construction of Batyrev-Borisov Ba-Bo by means of reflexive

Gorenstein cones of index 2. As explained in Stid the story in Ba-Bd about split Gorenstein cones and NEF partitions can for examples like $W$ be reformulated in terms of triangulations of the polytope $\Delta$ on the mirror side; more specifically, $W$ can be embedded in $\mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$ as the intersection of a hypersurface of degree $(1,3,0)$ and a hypersurface of degree $(1,0,3)$. This leads to the matrix $B$ in (84) and to the set $\{3,4\} \times\{5,6,7\} \times\{8,9,10\}$ in (85). To get the reflexive Gorenstein cone $\Lambda$ from which the mirror of Schoen's example can be constructed one should take a $7 \times 10$-matrix $\mathrm{A}=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ with rank 7 and with integer entries such that $\mathrm{A} \cdot \mathrm{B}^{t}=0$. We take

$$
A:=\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrr}
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{10} \in \mathbf{Z}^{7}$ be the columns of $A$. Then

$$
\Lambda:=\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \mathrm{a}_{1}+\ldots+\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \mathrm{a}_{10} \subset \mathbf{R}^{7}
$$

The polytope $\Delta$ is the convex hull of the points $\mathrm{a}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{a}_{10}$ in $\mathbf{R}^{7}$. With $\{3,4\} \times\{5,6,7\} \times\{8,9,10\}$ viewed as a collection of subsets of $\{1, \ldots, 10\}$ the complements of these 18 subsets are the index sets for the maximal simplices in a triangulation of $\Delta$.
Let $\mathbf{S}_{\Lambda}$ denote the subalgebra of the algebra of Laurent polynomials

$$
\mathbf{C}\left[u_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, u_{7}^{ \pm 1}\right]
$$

generated by the monomial $u_{1}^{m_{1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot u_{7}^{m_{7}}$ with $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{7}\right) \in \Lambda \cap \mathbf{Z}^{7}$. Giving such a monomial degree $m_{1}+m_{2}$ makes $\mathbf{S}_{\Lambda}$ a graded ring. The scheme $\mathbf{P}_{\Lambda}:=\operatorname{Proj} \mathbf{S}_{\Lambda}$ is a projective toric variety of dimension 6. A global section of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_{\Lambda}}(1)$ is given by a Laurent polynomial (with coefficients $\left.v_{1}, \ldots, v_{10}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{s}= & u_{1}\left(v_{1}+v_{5} u_{4} u_{5}+v_{6} u_{4}^{-1}+v_{7} u_{5}^{-1}+v_{3} u_{3}\right)+ \\
& u_{2}\left(v_{2}+v_{8} u_{6} u_{7}+v_{9} u_{6}^{-1}+v_{10} u_{7}^{-1}+v_{4} u_{3}^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For generic coefficients $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{10}$ the zero locus of $s$ in $\mathbf{P}_{\Lambda}$ is a generalized Calabi-Yau manifold of dimension 5 in the sense of $\mathrm{Ba}-\mathrm{Bo}$. This is one mirror of $W$ suggested by $\mathrm{Ba}-\mathrm{Bo}$.
As in $\mathrm{Ba}-\mathrm{Bd}$ Section 4, one can also realize a mirror as a complete intersection Calabi-Yau threefold in a 5-dimensional toric variety, as follows. $\mathbf{P}_{\Lambda}$ is a compactification of the torus $\left(\mathbf{C}^{*}\right)^{7} / \mathbf{C}^{*}$ where $\mathbf{C}^{*}:=\left\{(u, u, 1,1,1,1,1) \in\left(\mathbf{C}^{*}\right)^{7}\right\}$. The morphism

$$
\left(\mathbf{C}^{*}\right)^{7} \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{3} \times \mathbf{P}^{3}
$$

given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{7}\right) \mapsto\left(\left[u_{1}: u_{1} u_{3}\right],\left[u_{2}: u_{2} u_{3}^{-1}\right]\right. \\
& \left.\quad\left[u_{1}: u_{1} u_{4} u_{5}: u_{1} u_{4}^{-1}: u_{1} u_{5}^{-1}\right],\left[u_{2}: u_{2} u_{6} u_{7}: u_{2} u_{6}^{-1}: u_{2} u_{7}^{-1}\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

extends to a morphism $\mathbf{P}_{\Lambda} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{3} \times \mathbf{P}^{3}$. The image is

$$
V:=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[p_{0}: p_{1}\right] \times\left[q_{0}: q_{1}\right] \times\left[s_{0}: s_{1}: s_{2}: s_{3}\right] \times\left[t_{0}: t_{1}: t_{2}: t_{3}\right]} \\
\in \mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{3} \times \mathbf{P}^{3} \\
p_{0} q_{0}=p_{1} q_{1}, \quad s_{0}^{3}=s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}, \quad t_{0}^{3}=t_{1} t_{2} t_{3}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

As noted in Ba-Bo Cor.3.4 the complement of the generalized Calabi-Yau 5 -fold $\mathrm{s}=0$ in $\mathbf{P}_{\Lambda}$ is a C-bundle over the complement in $V$ of the complete intersection Calabi-Yau 3-fold with equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(v_{1} s_{0}+v_{5} s_{1}+v_{6} s_{2}+v_{7} s_{3}\right) p_{0}+v_{3} s_{0} p_{1} & =0 \\
\left(v_{2} t_{0}+v_{8} t_{1}+v_{9} t_{2}+v_{10} t_{3}\right) q_{0}+v_{4} t_{0} q_{1} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

This complete intersection Calabi-Yau 3-fold itself is another realization for a mirror of $W$. Now note that the morphism

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{3} \times \mathbf{P}^{3} \\
\left(\left[z_{0}: z_{1}\right],\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}\right],\left[y_{0}: y_{1}: y_{2}\right]\right) \mapsto \\
\left(\left[z_{0}: z_{1}\right],\left[z_{1}: z_{0}\right],\left[x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}: x_{0}^{3}: x_{1}^{3}: x_{2}^{3}\right],\left[y_{0} y_{1} y_{2}: y_{0}^{3}: y_{1}^{3}: y_{2}^{3}\right]\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

realizes $V$ also as the quotient of $\mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$ by the group $\mathbf{Z}_{3} \times \mathbf{Z}_{3}$ acting as in Proposition 7.1. This completes the proof of Proposition 7.1.

## 9 Appendix II: The Theta function of the $E_{8}$ lattice

Let $\Lambda$ be a lattice of rank $d$ with positive definite quadratic form $Q: \Lambda \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}$. We can fix an embedding $\Lambda \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}^{d}$ such that the quadratic form $Q$ is induced by the usual Euclidean inner product (, ). Let $\mathcal{H}=\{\tau \in \mathbf{C} \mid \operatorname{Im}(\tau)>0\}$ be the upper half plane. We denote by $\mathbf{w}=\left(w_{1}, \cdots, w_{d}\right)$ the standard complex coordinates of $\mathbf{C}^{d}=\mathbf{R}^{d} \otimes \mathbf{C}$. We define the theta function associated to the lattice $\Lambda$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{\Lambda}(\tau, \mathbf{w})=\sum_{\sigma \in \Lambda} \exp (2 \pi i((\tau / 2) Q(\sigma)+(\sigma, \mathbf{w})) \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

For certain Calabi-Yau 3-folds with a fibration by abelian surfaces one can calculate a part of the prepotential of the Yukawa coupling arising from the sections of the fibration by using the theta function associated to the Mordell-Weil lattice Sa]. Since the Mordell-Weil lattice of a generic Schoen's example is isometric to $E_{8} \times E_{8}$, we would like to calculate the theta function of $E_{8}$ and write it in an explicit form.
For that purpose we fix a standard embedding of $D_{8}$ and $E_{8}$ into $\mathbf{R}^{8}$. (C-S p. $117 \sim$ p. 121). Let $e_{1}, e_{2}, \cdots, e_{8}$ be the standard orthonormal basis of $\mathbf{R}^{8}$. An element of $\mathbf{R}^{8}$ is written as $\sum_{i=1}^{8} x_{i} e_{i}$. We define lattices in $\mathbf{R}^{8}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{Z}^{8}:=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{8} x_{i} e_{i}, x_{i} \in \mathbf{Z}\right\} \supset D_{8}:=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{8} x_{i} e_{i} \in \mathbf{Z}^{8}, \sum_{i=1}^{8} x_{i} \equiv 0(2)\right\}, \\
E_{8}=D_{8} \cup\left(D_{8}+s_{0}\right), \quad s_{0}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{8} e_{i}
\end{gathered}
$$

The inner product (, ) induces positive definite bilinear forms on these lattices and $E_{8}$ and $D_{8}$ have integral bases whose intersection matrices are the Cartan matrices of $E_{8}$ and $D_{8}$ respectively. The theta function for the one dimensional lattice $\Lambda=\mathbf{Z}$ with $Q(n)=n^{2}$ is the Jacobi theta function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vartheta(\tau, w):=\Theta_{\mathbf{Z}}(\tau, w)=\sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \exp \left(\pi i n^{2} \tau+2 \pi i n w\right) \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also have the following 4 theta functions (cf. Mum1):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \vartheta_{0,0}(\tau, w)=\vartheta(\tau, w)  \tag{103}\\
& \vartheta_{0,1}(\tau, w)=\vartheta\left(\tau, w+\frac{1}{2}\right)  \tag{104}\\
& \vartheta_{1,0}(\tau, w)=\exp \left(\frac{\pi i \tau}{4}+\pi i w\right) \cdot \vartheta\left(\tau, w+\frac{\tau}{2}\right)  \tag{105}\\
& \vartheta_{1,1}(\tau, w)=\exp \left(\frac{\pi i \tau}{4}+\pi i\left(w+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right) \cdot \vartheta\left(\tau, w+\frac{\tau+1}{2}\right) \tag{106}
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 9.1 Let $\mathbf{w}=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \cdots, w_{8}\right) \in \mathbf{C}^{8}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Theta_{\mathbf{Z}^{8}}(\tau, \mathbf{w})=\prod_{i=1}^{8} \vartheta_{0,0}\left(\tau, w_{i}\right)  \tag{107}\\
& \Theta_{E_{8}}(\tau, \mathbf{w})=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{(a, b) \in(\mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z})^{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{8} \vartheta_{a, b}\left(\tau, w_{i}\right) \tag{108}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Straightforward exercise. See also D-G-W.
Recall $\gamma=(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,-1)$. The above formulas show (cf. Mum1):

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\vartheta_{0,0}(\tau,-w)=\vartheta_{0,0}(\tau, w), & \vartheta_{0,1}(\tau,-w)=\vartheta_{0,1}(\tau, w) \\
\vartheta_{1,0}(\tau,-w)=\vartheta_{1,0}(\tau, w), & \vartheta_{1,1}(\tau,-w)=-\vartheta_{1,1}(\tau, w)
\end{array}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{E_{8}}(3 t, t \gamma)=\frac{1}{2}\left\{\vartheta_{0,0}(3 t, t)^{8}+\vartheta_{0,1}(3 t, t)^{8}+\vartheta_{1,0}(3 t, t)^{8}-\vartheta_{1,1}(3 t, t)^{8}\right\} \tag{109}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next note:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vartheta_{0,0}(3 t, t)=\exp (-\pi i t / 3) \sum_{m \equiv \pm 1(3)} \exp \left(\pi i t m^{2} / 3\right) \\
& \vartheta_{0,1}(3 t, t)=-\exp (-\pi i t / 3) \sum_{m \equiv \pm 1(3)}(-1)^{m} \exp \left(\pi i t m^{2} / 3\right) \\
& \vartheta_{1,0}(3 t, t)=\exp (-\pi i t / 3) \sum_{m \equiv \pm 1(6)} \exp \left(\pi i t m^{2} / 12\right) \\
& \vartheta_{1,1}(3 t, t)=-i \exp (-\pi i t / 3) \sum_{m \equiv \pm 1(6)} \chi(m) \exp \left(\pi i t m^{2} / 12\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the summations run over $m \in \mathbf{N}$ with the indicated restrictions and $\chi(m)=1$ (resp. $=-1$ ) if $m \equiv \pm 1 \bmod 12($ resp. $\equiv \pm 5 \bmod 12)$. Another useful observation is that the Jacobi product formula for $\vartheta_{1,1}(\tau, w)$ (see Mum1) implies

$$
\vartheta_{1,1}(3 t, t)=-i \exp (-\pi i t / 4) \prod_{m \geq 1}(1-\exp (2 \pi i m t))
$$

Now the computer can do its work and compute the expansion of $\Theta_{E_{8}}(3 t, t \gamma)$.
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