

Research Article

Amjad Ali, Hüseyin Işık*, Hassen Aydi, Eskandar Ameer, Jung Rye Lee*, and Muhammad Arshad

On multivalued Suzuki-type θ -contractions and related applications

<https://doi.org/10.1515/math-2020-0139>

received September 30, 2019; accepted March 11, 2020

Abstract: In this study, we develop the concept of multivalued Suzuki-type θ -contractions via a gauge function and established two new related fixed point theorems on metric spaces. We also discuss an example to validate our results.

Keywords: fixed point, θ -contraction, gauge function, metric space, multivalued mapping

MSC 2010: 47H10, 54H25

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Let (\mathbb{k}, \hat{d}) be a metric space. For $v \in \mathbb{k}$ and $A \subseteq \mathbb{k}$, let $\hat{d}(v, A) = \inf\{\hat{d}(v, v_2) : v_2 \in A\}$. Denote $N(\mathbb{k})$, $CL(\mathbb{k})$, $CB(\mathbb{k})$, and $K(\mathbb{k})$ by the class of all nonempty subsets of \mathbb{k} , the class of all nonempty closed subsets of \mathbb{k} , the class of all nonempty bounded closed subsets of \mathbb{k} , and the class of all nonempty compact subsets of \mathbb{k} , respectively. The Pompeiu-Hausdorff metric \hat{H} induced by \hat{d} on $CL(\mathbb{k})$ is defined as follows:

$$\hat{H}(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{v_1 \in A} \hat{d}(v_1, B), \sup_{v_2 \in B} \hat{d}(v_2, A) \right\},$$

for all $A, B \in CL(\mathbb{k})$.

A point $v \in \mathbb{k}$ is said to be a fixed point of $\tilde{T}: \mathbb{k} \rightarrow CL(\mathbb{k})$, if $v \in \tilde{T}v$. If, for $v_0 \in \mathbb{k}$, there exists a sequence $\{v_n\}$ in \mathbb{k} such that $v_n \in \tilde{T}v_{n-1}$, then $O(\tilde{T}, v_0) = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots\}$ is said to be an orbit of $\tilde{T}: \mathbb{k} \rightarrow CL(\mathbb{k})$. A mapping $f: \mathbb{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be \tilde{T} -orbitally lower semicontinuous, if $\{v_n\}$ is a sequence in $O(\tilde{T}, v_0)$ and $v_n \rightarrow \rho$ implies $f(\rho) \leq \liminf_n f(v_n)$.

A multivalued mapping $\tilde{T}: \mathbb{k} \rightarrow CL(\mathbb{k})$ is called a Nadler contraction, if there is $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\hat{H}(\tilde{T}v_1, \tilde{T}v_2) \leq \gamma \hat{d}(v_1, v_2), \quad \text{for all } v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{k}.$$

* **Corresponding author: Hüseyin Işık**, Department of Mathematics, Muş Alparslan University, 49250 Muş, Turkey, e-mail: isikhuseyin76@gmail.com

* **Corresponding author: Jung Rye Lee**, Department of Mathematics, Daejin University, Gyeonggi 11159, Korea, e-mail: jrlee@daejin.ac.kr

Amjad Ali: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, International Islamic University, Islamabad, Pakistan, e-mail: amjad.phdma98@iiu.edu.pk

Hassen Aydi: Université de Sousse, Institut Supérieur d'Informatique et des Techniques de Communication, H. Sousse 4000, Tunisia; China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan, e-mail: hassen.aydi@isima.rnu.tn

Eskandar Ameer: Department of Mathematics, Taiz University, Taiz, Yemen, e-mail: eskandrameer@yahoo.com

Muhammad Arshad: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, International Islamic University, Islamabad, Pakistan, e-mail: marshadzia@iiu.edu.pk

Nadler [1] acquired the variety of multivalued Banach contraction principle. Let $(\mathbb{k}, \widehat{d})$ be a complete metric space and $\widetilde{T}: \mathbb{k} \rightarrow CL(\mathbb{k})$ be a Nadler contraction. Then, \widetilde{T} possesses at least one fixed point. Thereafter, many researchers worked on existence of fixed point results for multivalued mappings satisfying different classes of contractive conditions [2–15]. Among them, Vetro [16] recently proved the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $(\mathbb{k}, \widehat{d})$ be a complete metric space and $\widetilde{T}: \mathbb{k} \rightarrow CB(\mathbb{k})$ be a multivalued mapping. Suppose that there exist $\theta \in \Xi$ and $k \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{k}, \widehat{H}(\widetilde{T}v_1, \widetilde{T}v_2) > 0 \Rightarrow \theta[\widehat{H}(\widetilde{T}v_1, \widetilde{T}v_2)] \leq [\theta(\widehat{d}(v_1, v_2))]^k, \tag{1}$$

where Ξ is the set of functions $\theta: (0, \infty) \rightarrow (1, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (θ_i) θ is nondecreasing and right continuous;
- (θ_{ii}) for every $\{s_n\}$ in $(0, \infty)$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta(s_n) = 1$ if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = 0$;
- (θ_{iii}) there exist $r \in (0, 1)$ and $\ell \in (0, +\infty]$ such that $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\theta(s)-1}{s^r} = \ell$.

Then, \widetilde{T} possesses at least one fixed point.

Remark 1.2. Let $(\mathbb{k}, \widehat{d})$ be a metric space. If $\widetilde{T}: \mathbb{k} \rightarrow CL(\mathbb{k})$ is a multivalued mapping satisfying (1.1), then

$$\ln \theta(\widehat{H}(\widetilde{T}v_1, \widetilde{T}v_2)) \leq k \ln \theta(\widehat{d}(v_1, v_2)) < \ln \theta(\widehat{d}(v_1, v_2)).$$

Since θ is nondecreasing, we obtain

$$\widehat{H}(\widetilde{T}v_1, \widetilde{T}v_2) < \widehat{d}(v_1, v_2), \quad \text{for all } v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{k}, \widetilde{T}v_1 \neq \widetilde{T}v_2.$$

Example 1.3. The following functions $\theta_1, \theta_2: (0, \infty) \rightarrow (1, \infty)$ defined by $\theta_1(r) = e^{\sqrt{r}}$ and $\theta_2(r) = 1 + \sqrt{r}$ are in Ξ .

Lemma 1.4. [16]. *Let $(\mathbb{k}, \widehat{d})$ be a metric space and $A, B \in CL(\mathbb{k})$ with $\widehat{H}(A, B) > 0$. Then, for every $h > 1$ and $v \in A$, there exists $v = v(v) \in B$ such that*

$$\widehat{d}(v, v) < h\widehat{H}(A, B).$$

Lemma 1.5. *Let $(\mathbb{k}, \widehat{d})$ be a metric space, $B \in CL(\mathbb{k})$ and $v \in \mathbb{k}$. Then, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $v \in B$ such that*

$$\widehat{d}(v, v) \leq \widehat{d}(v, B) + \varepsilon.$$

In [17], the following family of mappings is considered:

$$\Phi = \left\{ \psi: \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \psi \text{ satisfies } \psi(r_1, r_2) \leq \frac{1}{2}r_1 - r_2 \right\}.$$

The following functions ψ_1 and ψ_2 are elements of Φ :

- (i) $\psi_1: \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\psi_1(r_1, r_2) = v(r_1) - u(r_2)$, where $v, u: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ are given as $v(r_1) = \frac{r_1}{2}$ and $u(r_2) = r_2$.
- (ii) $\psi_2: \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\psi_2(r_1, r_2) = \frac{r_1}{2} - \frac{v(r_1, r_2)}{u(r_1, r_2)}r_2$, where $v, u: \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ are given as $v(r_1, r_2) = r_1r_2$ and $u(r_1, r_2) = r_1r_2 + r_2$ for all $r_1, r_2 > 0$.

Recently, Khojasteh et al. [18] investigated the notion of a simulation function (see also [19–21]).

Definition 1.6. [18] A mapping $\Gamma: \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a simulation function if:

- (Γ1) $\Gamma(0, 0) = 0$;
- (Γ2) $\Gamma(r, t) < t - r$ for all $r, t > 0$;
- (Γ3) if $\{r_n\}, \{t_n\}$ are sequences in $(0, \infty)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n > 0$, then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma(r_n, t_n) < 0.$$

Due to the self-domain in (Γ2), we have $\Gamma(r, r) < 0$ for each $r > 0$. Denote by ∇ the set of all functions satisfying the conditions (Γ1)–(Γ3).

Example 1.7. [18–21] For $i = 1, 2$, let $\vartheta_i: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be continuous functions with $\vartheta_i(r) = 0$ if and only if $r = 0$. The following functions $\Gamma_j: \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($j = 1, \dots, 6$) are in ∇ :

- (i) $\Gamma_1(r, t) = \vartheta_1(t) - \vartheta_2(r)$ for all $r, t \geq 0$, where $\vartheta_1(r) \leq r \leq \vartheta_2(r)$ for all $r > 0$;
- (ii) $\Gamma_2(r, t) = t - \frac{l_1(r, t)}{l_2(r, t)}r$ for all $r, t \geq 0$, where $l_1, l_2: \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ are continuous functions such that $l_1(r, t) > l_2(r, t)$ for all $r, t > 0$;
- (iii) $\Gamma_3(r, t) = t - \vartheta_3(t) - r$ for all $r, t \geq 0$;
- (iv) $\Gamma_4(r, t) = t\varphi(t) - r$ for all $r, t \geq 0$, where $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow (0, 1)$ is a function such that $\lim_{r \rightarrow s^+} \sup \varphi(r) < 1$ for all $s > 0$;
- (v) $\Gamma_5(r, t) = \phi(t) - r$ for all $r, t \geq 0$, where $\phi: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is an upper semicontinuous function such that $\phi(r) < r$ for all $r > 0$ and $\phi(0) = 0$;
- (vi) $\Gamma_6(r, t) = t - \int_0^r \zeta(u)du$ for all $r, t \geq 0$, where $\zeta: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a function such that $\int_0^\varepsilon \zeta(u)du$ exists and $\int_0^\varepsilon \zeta(u)du > \varepsilon$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

Let (\mathbb{k}, \hat{d}) be a metric space, \tilde{T} be a self-mapping on \mathbb{k} , and $\Gamma \in \nabla$. \tilde{T} is said to be a ∇ -contraction w.r.t. Γ , if

$$\Gamma(\hat{d}(\tilde{T}v_1, \tilde{T}v_2), \hat{d}(v_1, v_2)) \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{k}.$$

Due to (Γ2), we have $\hat{d}(\tilde{T}v_1, \tilde{T}v_2) \neq \hat{d}(v_1, v_2)$ for all distinct points $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{k}$. Thus, \tilde{T} is not an isometry, whenever \tilde{T} is a ∇ -contraction w.r.t. Γ . Conversely, if a ∇ -contraction mapping \tilde{T} on a metric space possesses a fixed point, then it is necessarily unique [18].

Theorem 1.8. [18] Every ∇ -contraction \tilde{T} on a metric space possesses a fixed point v^* . Also, every Picard sequence converges to v^* .

Samet et al. [22] and then Ali et al. [23] theorized a new type of contractions to integrate several existing theorems in the literature by classical functions.

Definition 1.9. [23] Let (\mathbb{k}, \hat{d}) be a metric space and Λ be a nonempty subset of \mathbb{k} . A map $\tilde{T}: \Lambda \rightarrow CL(\mathbb{k})$ is called α -admissible, if there exists a mapping $\alpha: \Lambda \times \Lambda \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\alpha(a, b) \geq 1 \Rightarrow \alpha(v, v) \geq 1,$$

for all $v \in \tilde{T}a \cap \Lambda$ and $v \in \tilde{T}b \cap \Lambda$.

Throughout this article, E denotes an interval on \mathbb{R}^+ containing 0, that is, an interval of the form $[0, R]$, $[0, R)$, or $[0, \infty)$.

Lemma 1.10. [24] Given $v_0 \in \Lambda$ (Λ is a closed subset of \mathbb{k}) such that

$$\widehat{d}(v_0, \widetilde{T}v_0) \in E,$$

and $v_n \in \Lambda$ for some $n \geq 0$. Then, we have $\widehat{d}(v_n, \widetilde{T}v_n) \in E$.

Definition 1.11. [24] Suppose $v_0 \in \Lambda$ and $\widehat{d}(v_0, \widetilde{T}v_0) \in E$. Then, for every iterate $v_n (n \geq 0)$, which belongs to Λ , we define the closed ball $\overline{b}(v_n, \rho)$ with center v_n and radius $\rho > 0$.

Lemma 1.12. [24] If an element $v_0 \in \Lambda$ satisfies $\widehat{d}(v_0, \widetilde{T}v_0) \in E$ and $\overline{b}(v_n, \rho) \subset \Lambda$ for some $n \geq 0$, then $v_{n+1} \in \Lambda$ and $\overline{b}(v_{n+1}, \rho) \subset \overline{b}(v_n, \rho)$.

Definition 1.13. [24] Let $i \geq 1$. A function $\xi: E \rightarrow E$ is said to be a gauge function of order i on E , if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $\xi(\lambda v) < \lambda^i \xi(v)$ for all $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $v \in E$;
- (ii) $\xi(v) < v$ for all $v \in E - \{0\}$.

It is easy to see that the first condition of Definition 1.13 is equivalent to the following: $\xi(0) = 0$ and $\xi(v)/v^i$ is nondecreasing on $E - \{0\}$.

Definition 1.14. [24] A gauge function $\xi: E \rightarrow E$ is said to be a Bianchini-Grandolfi gauge function on E if

$$\sigma(v) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \xi^i(v) < \infty, \quad \text{for all } v \in E. \tag{2}$$

Note that a Bianchini-Grandolfi gauge function also satisfies the following functional equation:

$$\sigma(v) = \sigma(\xi(v)) + v. \tag{3}$$

2 Multivalued Suzuki-type $(\theta - \xi)$ -contractions

We start with the following.

Definition 2.1. Let $(\mathbb{k}, \widehat{d})$ be a metric space, Λ be a closed subset of \mathbb{k} , and ξ be a Bianchini-Grandolfi gauge function on an interval E . A mapping $\widetilde{T}: \Lambda \rightarrow CL(\mathbb{k})$ is said to be a multivalued Suzuki-type $(\theta - \xi)$ -contraction, if there exist $\psi \in \Phi$ and $\theta \in \Xi$ such that for $\widetilde{T}v \cap \Lambda \neq \emptyset$

$$\psi[\widehat{d}(v, \widetilde{T}v \cap \Lambda), \widehat{d}(v, v)] < 0,$$

implies that

$$\theta[\widehat{H}(\widetilde{T}v \cap \Lambda, \widetilde{T}v \cap \Lambda)] \leq [\theta(\xi(\widehat{d}(v, v)))]^k, \tag{4}$$

for all $v \in \Lambda$, $v \in \widetilde{T}v \cap \Lambda$ with $\widehat{d}(v, v) \in E$, where $0 < k < 1$.

Our first main result is as follows.

Theorem 2.2. Let $(\mathbb{K}, \widehat{d})$ be a complete metric space, Λ be a closed subset of \mathbb{K} , and $\widetilde{T}: \Lambda \rightarrow CB(\mathbb{K})$ be a multivalued Suzuki-type $(\theta - \xi)$ -contraction. In addition, suppose $v_0 \in \Lambda$ such that $\widehat{d}(v_0, c^*) \in E$ for some $c^* \in \widetilde{T}v_0 \cap \Lambda$. Then, the following assertions hold:

- (i) there exist an orbit $\{v_n\}$ of \widetilde{T} in Λ and $a^* \in \Lambda$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n = a^*$;
- (ii) a^* is a fixed point of \widetilde{T} if and only if the function $g(v) := \widehat{d}(v, \widetilde{T}v \cap \Lambda)$ is \widetilde{T} -orbitally lower semicontinuous at a^* .

Proof. Choose $v_1 = c^* \in \widetilde{T}v_0 \cap \Lambda$. In the case that $\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1) = 0$, then v_0 is a fixed point of \widetilde{T} . Thus, we assume that $\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1) \neq 0$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi[\widehat{d}(v_0, \widetilde{T}v_0 \cap \Lambda), \widehat{d}(v_0, v_1)] &\leq \frac{1}{2} \widehat{d}(v_0, \widetilde{T}v_0 \cap \Lambda) - \widehat{d}(v_0, v_1) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \widehat{d}(v_0, \widetilde{T}v_0) - \widehat{d}(v_0, v_1) \\ &< \widehat{d}(v_0, \widetilde{T}v_0) - \widehat{d}(v_0, v_1) \\ &\leq \widehat{d}(v_0, v_1) - \widehat{d}(v_0, v_1) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Define $\rho = \sigma(\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1))$. From (3), we have $\sigma(r) \geq r$. Hence, $\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1) \leq \rho$ and so $v_1 \in \bar{b}(v_0, \rho)$. Since $\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1) \in E$, so that from (2.1) and (2.2) it follows that

$$\theta[\widehat{H}(\widetilde{T}v_0 \cap \Lambda, \widetilde{T}v_1 \cap \Lambda)] \leq [\theta(\xi(\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1)))]^k < [\theta(\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1))]^k. \tag{6}$$

By the property of right continuity of θ , there exists a real number $h_1 > 1$ such that

$$\theta[h_1 \widehat{H}(\widetilde{T}v_0 \cap \Lambda, \widetilde{T}v_1 \cap \Lambda)] \leq [\theta(\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1))]^k. \tag{7}$$

From

$$\widehat{d}(v_1, \widetilde{T}v_1 \cap \Lambda) \leq \widehat{H}(\widetilde{T}v_0 \cap \Lambda, \widetilde{T}v_1 \cap \Lambda) < h_1 \widehat{H}(\widetilde{T}v_0 \cap \Lambda, \widetilde{T}v_1 \cap \Lambda),$$

by Lemma 1.4, there exists $v_2 \in \widetilde{T}v_1 \cap \Lambda$ such that $\widehat{d}(v_1, v_2) \leq h_1 \widehat{H}(\widetilde{T}v_0 \cap \Lambda, \widetilde{T}v_1 \cap \Lambda)$. Since θ is nondecreasing, by (7), this inequality gives that

$$\theta(\widehat{d}(v_1, v_2)) \leq \theta[h_1 \widehat{H}(\widetilde{T}v_0 \cap \Lambda, \widetilde{T}v_1 \cap \Lambda)] \leq [\theta(\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1))]^k. \tag{8}$$

We assume that $\widehat{d}(v_1, v_2) \neq 0$, otherwise v_1 is a fixed point of \widetilde{T} . From Remark 1.2, we have $\widehat{d}(v_1, v_2) < \widehat{d}(v_0, v_1)$ and so $\widehat{d}(v_1, v_2) \in E$. Next, $v_2 \in \bar{b}(v_0, \rho)$ because that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{d}(v_0, v_2) &\leq \widehat{d}(v_0, v_1) + \widehat{d}(v_1, v_2) \\ &\leq \widehat{d}(v_0, v_1) + \xi(\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1)) \\ &\leq \widehat{d}(v_0, v_1) + \sigma(\xi(\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1))) \\ &= \sigma(\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1)) = \rho. \end{aligned}$$

Also, since

$$\begin{aligned} \psi[\widehat{d}(v_1, \widetilde{T}v_1 \cap \Lambda), \widehat{d}(v_1, v_2)] &\leq \frac{1}{2}\widehat{d}(v_1, \widetilde{T}v_1 \cap \Lambda) - \widehat{d}(v_1, v_2) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\widehat{d}(v_1, \widetilde{T}v_1) - \widehat{d}(v_1, v_2) \\ &< \widehat{d}(v_1, \widetilde{T}v_1) - \widehat{d}(v_1, v_2) \\ &\leq \widehat{d}(v_1, v_2) - \widehat{d}(v_1, v_2) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

from (4), we get

$$\theta[\widehat{H}(\widetilde{T}v_1 \cap \Lambda, \widetilde{T}v_2 \cap \Lambda)] \leq [\theta(\xi(\widehat{d}(v_1, v_2)))]^k < [\theta(\widehat{d}(v_1, v_2))]^k. \tag{9}$$

Since θ is right continuous, there exists a real number $h_2 > 1$ such that

$$\theta[h_2\widehat{H}(\widetilde{T}v_1 \cap \Lambda, \widetilde{T}v_2 \cap \Lambda)] \leq [\theta(\widehat{d}(v_1, v_2))]^k. \tag{10}$$

Next, from

$$\widehat{d}(v_2, \widetilde{T}v_2 \cap \Lambda) \leq \widehat{H}(\widetilde{T}v_1 \cap \Lambda, \widetilde{T}v_2 \cap \Lambda) < h_2\widehat{H}(\widetilde{T}v_1 \cap \Lambda, \widetilde{T}v_2 \cap \Lambda),$$

by Lemma 1.4, there exists $v_3 \in \widetilde{T}v_2 \cap \Lambda$ such that $\widehat{d}(v_2, v_3) \leq h_2\widehat{H}(\widetilde{T}v_1 \cap \Lambda, \widetilde{T}v_2 \cap \Lambda)$. By (10), this inequality gives that

$$\theta(\widehat{d}(v_2, v_3)) \leq \theta[h_2\widehat{H}(\widetilde{T}v_1 \cap \Lambda, \widetilde{T}v_2 \cap \Lambda)] \leq [\theta(\widehat{d}(v_1, v_2))]^k \leq [\theta(\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1))]^{k^2}.$$

We assume that $\widehat{d}(v_2, v_3) \neq 0$, otherwise v_2 is a fixed point of \widetilde{T} . From Remark 1.2, we have $\widehat{d}(v_2, v_3) < \widehat{d}(v_1, v_2)$ and so $\widehat{d}(v_2, v_3) \in E$. Also, we have $v_3 \in \overline{b}(v_0, \rho)$, since

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{d}(v_0, v_3) &\leq \widehat{d}(v_0, v_1) + \widehat{d}(v_1, v_2) + \widehat{d}(v_2, v_3) \\ &\leq \widehat{d}(v_0, v_1) + \xi(\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1)) + \xi^2(\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1)) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \xi^i(\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1)) \\ &= \sigma(\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1)) = \rho. \end{aligned}$$

Continuing in this manner, we build two sequences $\{v_n\} \subset \overline{b}(v_0, \rho)$ and $\{h_n\} \subset (1, \infty)$ such that $v_{n+1} \in \widetilde{T}v_n \cap \Lambda$, $v_n \neq v_{n+1}$ with $\widehat{d}(v_n, v_{n+1}) \in E$ and

$$1 < \theta(\widehat{d}(v_n, v_{n+1})) \leq \theta(h_n\widehat{H}(\widetilde{T}v_{n-1} \cap \Lambda, \widetilde{T}v_n \cap \Lambda)) \leq [\theta(\widehat{d}(v_{n-1}, v_n))]^k,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,

$$1 < \theta(\widehat{d}(v_n, v_{n+1})) \leq [\theta(\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1))]^{k^n}, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{11}$$

which gives that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta(\widehat{d}(v_n, v_{n+1})) = 1,$$

and by (θ_{ii}) , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{d}(v_n, v_{n+1}) = 0. \tag{12}$$

Next, we prove that $\{v_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{k} . Setting $\delta_n := \widehat{d}(v_n, v_{n+1})$, from (θ_{iii}) , there exist $r \in (0, 1)$ and $\ell \in (0, \infty]$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta(\delta_n) - 1}{(\delta_n)^r} = \ell.$$

Take $\lambda \in (0, \ell)$. From the definition of limit, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$[\delta_n]^r \leq \lambda^{-1}[\theta(\delta_n) - 1], \quad \text{for all } n > n_0.$$

Using (11) and the above inequality, we deduce

$$n [\delta_n]^r \leq \lambda^{-1}n([\theta(\delta_0)]^{k^n} - 1), \quad \text{for all } n > n_0.$$

This implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n [\delta_n]^r = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n [d(v_n, v_{n+1})]^r = 0.$$

Thence, there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$d(v_n, v_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{n^{1/r}}, \quad \text{for all } n > n_1. \tag{13}$$

Let $p > n > n_1$. Then, using the triangular inequality and (13), we get

$$\widehat{d}(v_n, v_p) \leq \sum_{j=n}^{p-1} \widehat{d}(v_j, v_{j+1}) \leq \sum_{j=n}^{p-1} \frac{1}{j^{1/r}} < \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^{1/r}}.$$

Due to the convergence of the series $\sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^{1/r}}$, we deduce that $\{v_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the closed ball $\bar{b}(v_0, \rho)$. Since $\bar{b}(v_0, \rho)$ is closed in \mathbb{k} , there exists an $a^* \in \bar{b}(v_0, \rho)$ such that $v_n \rightarrow a^*$. Note that $a^* \in \Lambda$, because $v_{n+1} \in \tilde{T}v_n \cap \Lambda$. Obviously,

$$\frac{1}{2} \widehat{d}(v_n, \tilde{T}v_n \cap \Lambda) < \widehat{d}(v_n, \tilde{T}v_n) \leq \widehat{d}(v_n, v_{n+1}),$$

which implies that

$$\psi[\widehat{d}(v_n, \tilde{T}v_n \cap \Lambda), \widehat{d}(v_n, v_{n+1})] < 0.$$

Also, we know that $\widehat{d}(v_n, v_{n+1}) \in E$ for all n . Thus, from (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(\widehat{d}(v_{n+1}, \tilde{T}v_{n+1} \cap \Lambda)) &\leq \theta[\widehat{H}(\tilde{T}v_n \cap \Lambda, \tilde{T}v_{n+1} \cap \Lambda)] \\ &\leq [\theta(\xi(\widehat{d}(v_n, v_{n+1})))]^k \\ &< \theta[(\widehat{d}(v_n, v_{n+1}))]^k. \end{aligned}$$

From Remark 1.2, we deduce that

$$\widehat{d}(v_{n+1}, \tilde{T}v_{n+1} \cap \Lambda) < \widehat{d}(v_n, v_{n+1}). \tag{14}$$

Taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (14), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{d}(v_{n+1}, \widetilde{T}v_{n+1} \cap \Lambda) = 0.$$

Since $g(v) = \widehat{d}(v, \widetilde{T}v \cap \Lambda)$ is \widetilde{T} -orbitally lower semicontinuous at a^* , then

$$\widehat{d}(a^*, \widetilde{T}a^* \cap \Lambda) = g(a^*) \leq \liminf_n g(v_{n+1}) = \liminf_n \widehat{d}(v_{n+1}, \widetilde{T}v_{n+1} \cap \Lambda) = 0.$$

Since $\widetilde{T}a^*$ is closed, we have $a^* \in \widetilde{T}a^*$. Conversely, if a^* is a fixed point of \widetilde{T} , then $g(a^*) = 0 \leq \liminf_n g(v_n)$, since $a^* \in \Lambda$. □

Corollary 2.3. *Let $(\mathbb{k}, \widehat{d})$ be a complete metric space, Λ be a closed subset of \mathbb{k} , ξ be a Bianchini-Grandolfi gauge function on an interval E , and $\widetilde{T}: \Lambda \rightarrow CL(\mathbb{k})$ be a given multivalued mapping. If there exist $\psi \in \Phi$ and $k \in (0, 1)$ such that for $\widetilde{T}v \cap \Lambda \neq \emptyset$*

$$\psi[\widehat{d}(v, \widetilde{T}v \cap \Lambda), \widehat{d}(v, v)] < 0,$$

implies that

$$\sqrt{\widehat{H}(\widetilde{T}v \cap \Lambda, \widetilde{T}v \cap \Lambda)} \leq k\sqrt{\xi(\widehat{d}(v, v))},$$

for all $v \in \Lambda$, $v \in \widetilde{T}v \cap \Lambda$ with $\widehat{d}(v, v) \in E$. In addition, suppose $v_0 \in \Lambda$ such that $\widehat{d}(v_0, c^*) \in E$ for some $c^* \in \widetilde{T}v_0 \cap \Lambda$. Then, the following assertions hold:

- (i) there exist an orbit $\{v_n\}$ of \widetilde{T} in Λ and $a^* \in \Lambda$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n = a^*$;
- (ii) a^* is a fixed point of \widetilde{T} if and only if the function $g(v) := \widehat{d}(v, \widetilde{T}v \cap \Lambda)$ is \widetilde{T} -orbitally lower semicontinuous at a^* .

Corollary 2.4. *Let $(\mathbb{k}, \widehat{d})$ be a complete metric space, ξ be a Bianchini-Grandolfi gauge function on an interval E , and $\widetilde{T}: \mathbb{k} \rightarrow CB(\mathbb{k})$ be a given multivalued mapping. If there exist $\psi \in \Phi$, $\theta \in \Xi$, and $k \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$\psi[\widehat{d}(v, \widetilde{T}v), \widehat{d}(v, v)] < 0 \Rightarrow \theta[\widehat{H}(\widetilde{T}v, \widetilde{T}v)] \leq [\theta(\xi(\widehat{d}(v, v)))]^k, \tag{15}$$

for all $v \in \mathbb{k}$, $v \in \widetilde{T}v$ with $\widehat{d}(v, v) \in E$. Suppose that $v_0 \in \mathbb{k}$ such that $\widehat{d}(v_0, c^*) \in E$ for some $c^* \in \widetilde{T}v_0$. Then, there exists an orbit $\{v_n\}$ of \widetilde{T} in \mathbb{k} that converges to the fixed point $a^* \in \mathcal{F} = \{v \in \mathbb{k}: \widehat{d}(v, a^*) \in E\}$ of \widetilde{T} .

Example 2.5. Let $\mathbb{k} = [-10, \infty)$ be endowed with the usual metric \widehat{d} and let $E = [0, \infty)$. Consider the mapping $\widetilde{T}: \mathbb{k} \rightarrow CB(\mathbb{k})$ defined by

$$\widetilde{T}(v) = \begin{cases} \left[0, \frac{v}{8}\right], & v \in [0, 4], \\ \{0, v\}, & v \in [-10, 0) \cup (4, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Let $\psi(r, s) = \frac{r}{2} - s$, if $r, s \in [0, 4]$ and $\psi(r, s) = 2s$, otherwise. Clearly, $\psi[\widehat{d}(v, \widetilde{T}v), \widehat{d}(v, v)] < 0$ if and only if $v, v \in [0, 4]$. Let $v_0 = 4$, then we have $c^* = \frac{1}{2} \in \widetilde{T}v_0$ such that $\widehat{d}(v_0, c^*) \in E$. First, we examine that \widetilde{T} satisfies the inequality (15) with $\theta(r) = e^{\sqrt{re^r}}$, $\xi(r) = \frac{r}{2}$, and $k = \frac{1}{2}$. For $v \in [0, 4]$ and $v \in \widetilde{T}v$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta[\hat{H}(\tilde{T}v, \tilde{T}v)] &= \theta\left(\frac{|v-v|}{8}\right) \\
&= e^{\sqrt{\frac{|v-v|}{8}} e^{\frac{|v-v|}{8}}} \\
&\leq e^{\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{|v-v|}{2}} e^{\frac{|v-v|}{2}}} \\
&= e^{\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\xi(\hat{d}(v,v))} e^{\xi(\hat{d}(v,v))}} \\
&= [\theta(\xi(\hat{d}(v,v)))]^k.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, all the conditions of Corollary 2.4 are fulfilled and 0 is a fixed point of \tilde{T} . Next, observe that for $v = 0$ and $v = 5$

$$\theta[\hat{H}(\tilde{T}v, \tilde{T}v)] = \theta[\hat{H}(\tilde{T}0, \tilde{T}5)] = \theta(5) > [\theta(5)]^k = [\theta(\hat{d}(v, v))]^k,$$

for all $\theta \in \mathcal{E}$ and $k \in (0, 1)$. Therefore, Theorem 1.1 cannot be applied to this example.

3 Multivalued Suzuki-type $(\alpha - \nabla)$ -contractions

Definition 3.1. Let (\mathbb{k}, \hat{d}) be a metric space, Λ be a closed subset of \mathbb{k} , and ξ be a Bianchini-Grandolfi gauge function on an interval E . A mapping $\tilde{T}: \Lambda \rightarrow CL(\mathbb{k})$ is said to be a multivalued Suzuki-type $(\alpha - \nabla)$ -contraction, if there exist $\psi \in \Phi$ and $\Gamma \in \nabla$ such that for $\tilde{T}v \cap \Lambda \neq \emptyset$

$$\psi[\hat{d}(v, \tilde{T}v \cap \Lambda), \hat{d}(v, v)] < 0,$$

implies that

$$\Gamma[\alpha(v, v)\hat{H}(\tilde{T}v \cap \Lambda, \tilde{T}v \cap \Lambda), \xi(\hat{d}(v, v))] \geq 0, \quad (16)$$

for all $v \in \Lambda$, $v \in \tilde{T}v \cap \Lambda$ with $\hat{d}(v, v) \in E$.

The second one of our results is as follows.

Theorem 3.2. Let (\mathbb{k}, \hat{d}) be a complete metric space, Λ be a closed subset of \mathbb{k} , and $\tilde{T}: \Lambda \rightarrow CL(\mathbb{k})$ be a multivalued Suzuki-type $(\alpha - \nabla)$ -contraction. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) \tilde{T} is α -admissible;
- (ii) there exists $v_0 \in \Lambda$ with $\hat{d}(v_0, v_1) \in E$ for some $v_1 \in \tilde{T}v_0 \cap \Lambda$ such that $\alpha(v_0, v_1) \geq 1$.

Then,

- (a) there exist an orbit $\{v_n\}$ of \tilde{T} in Λ and $a^* \in \Lambda$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n = a^*$;
- (b) a^* is a fixed point of \tilde{T} if and only if the function $g(v) := \hat{d}(v, \tilde{T}v \cap \Lambda)$ is \tilde{T} -orbitally lower semi-continuous at a^* .

Proof. By the hypothesis, there exists $v_0 \in \Lambda$ with $\hat{d}(v_0, v_1) \in E$ for some $v_1 \in \tilde{T}v_0 \cap \Lambda$ such that $\alpha(v_0, v_1) \geq 1$. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi[\widehat{d}(v_0, \widetilde{T}v_0 \cap \Lambda), \widehat{d}(v_0, v_1)] &\leq \frac{1}{2} \widehat{d}(v_0, \widetilde{T}v_0 \cap \Lambda) - \widehat{d}(v_0, v_1) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \widehat{d}(v_0, \widetilde{T}v_0) - \widehat{d}(v_0, v_1) \\
 &< \widehat{d}(v_0, \widetilde{T}v_0) - \widehat{d}(v_0, v_1) \\
 &\leq \widehat{d}(v_0, v_1) - \widehat{d}(v_0, v_1) \\
 &= 0.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{17}$$

In the case that $\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1) = 0$, then v_0 is a fixed point of \widetilde{T} . Thus, we assume that $\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1) \neq 0$. Define $\rho = \sigma(\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1))$. From (3), we have $\sigma(r) \geq r$. Hence $\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1) \leq \rho$ and so $v_1 \in \overline{b}(v_0, \rho)$. Since $\alpha(v_0, v_1) \geq 1$ and $\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1) \in E$, so that from (3.1) and (3.2) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq \Gamma[\alpha(v_0, v_1)\widehat{H}(\widetilde{T}v_0 \cap \Lambda, \widetilde{T}v_1 \cap \Lambda), \xi(\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1))] \\
 &< \xi(\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1)) - \alpha(v_0, v_1)\widehat{H}(\widetilde{T}v_0 \cap \Lambda, \widetilde{T}v_1 \cap \Lambda),
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\alpha(v_0, v_1)\widehat{H}(\widetilde{T}v_0 \cap \Lambda, \widetilde{T}v_1 \cap \Lambda) < \xi(\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1)).$$

We can choose an $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that

$$\alpha(v_0, v_1)\widehat{H}(\widetilde{T}v_0 \cap \Lambda, \widetilde{T}v_1 \cap \Lambda) + \varepsilon_1 \leq \xi(\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1)).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \widehat{d}(v_1, \widetilde{T}v_1 \cap \Lambda) + \varepsilon_1 &\leq \widehat{H}(\widetilde{T}v_0 \cap \Lambda, \widetilde{T}v_1 \cap \Lambda) + \varepsilon_1 \\
 &\leq \alpha(v_0, v_1)\widehat{H}(\widetilde{T}v_0 \cap \Lambda, \widetilde{T}v_1 \cap \Lambda) + \varepsilon_1 \\
 &\leq \xi(\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1)).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{18}$$

It follows from Lemma 1.5 that there exists $v_2 \in \widetilde{T}v_1 \cap \Lambda$ such that

$$\widehat{d}(v_1, v_2) \leq \widehat{d}(v_1, \widetilde{T}v_1 \cap \Lambda) + \varepsilon_1.
 \tag{19}$$

From (18) and (19), we infer

$$\widehat{d}(v_1, v_2) \leq \xi(\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1)).
 \tag{20}$$

We assume that $\widehat{d}(v_1, v_2) \neq 0$, otherwise v_1 is a fixed point of \widetilde{T} . Since $\widehat{d}(v_1, v_2) \leq \xi(\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1)) < \widehat{d}(v_0, v_1)$, we deduce that $\widehat{d}(v_1, v_2) \in E$. Next, $v_2 \in \overline{b}(v_0, \rho)$ because that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \widehat{d}(v_0, v_2) &\leq \widehat{d}(v_0, v_1) + \widehat{d}(v_1, v_2) \\
 &\leq \widehat{d}(v_0, v_1) + \xi(\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1)) \\
 &\leq \widehat{d}(v_0, v_1) + \sigma(\xi(\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1))) \\
 &= \sigma(\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1)) = \rho.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since \widetilde{T} is α -admissible, $\alpha(v_1, v_2) \geq 1$. Also, since

$$\begin{aligned} \psi[\widehat{d}(v_1, \widetilde{T}v_1 \cap \Lambda), \widehat{d}(v_1, v_2)] &\leq \frac{1}{2} \widehat{d}(v_1, \widetilde{T}v_1 \cap \Lambda) - \widehat{d}(v_1, v_2) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \widehat{d}(v_1, \widetilde{T}v_1) - \widehat{d}(v_1, v_2) \\ &< \widehat{d}(v_1, \widetilde{T}v_1) - \widehat{d}(v_1, v_2) \\ &\leq \widehat{d}(v_1, v_2) - \widehat{d}(v_1, v_2) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

from (16), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \Gamma[\alpha(v_1, v_2)\widehat{H}(\widetilde{T}v_1 \cap \Lambda, \widetilde{T}v_2 \cap \Lambda), \xi(\widehat{d}(v_1, v_2))] \\ &< \xi(\widehat{d}(v_1, v_2)) - \alpha(v_1, v_2)\widehat{H}(\widetilde{T}v_1 \cap \Lambda, \widetilde{T}v_2 \cap \Lambda). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\alpha(v_1, v_2)\widehat{H}(\widetilde{T}v_1 \cap \Lambda, \widetilde{T}v_2 \cap \Lambda) < \xi(\widehat{d}(v_1, v_2)).$$

Now choose an $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ such that

$$\alpha(v_1, v_2)\widehat{H}(\widetilde{T}v_1 \cap \Lambda, \widetilde{T}v_2 \cap \Lambda) + \varepsilon_2 \leq \xi(\widehat{d}(v_1, v_2)).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{d}(v_2, \widetilde{T}v_2 \cap \Lambda) + \varepsilon_2 &\leq \widehat{H}(\widetilde{T}v_1 \cap \Lambda, \widetilde{T}v_2 \cap \Lambda) + \varepsilon_2 \\ &\leq \alpha(v_1, v_2)\widehat{H}(\widetilde{T}v_1 \cap \Lambda, \widetilde{T}v_2 \cap \Lambda) + \varepsilon_2 \\ &\leq \xi(\widehat{d}(v_1, v_2)). \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

It follows from Lemma 1.5 that there exists $v_3 \in \widetilde{T}v_2 \cap \Lambda$ such that

$$\widehat{d}(v_2, v_3) \leq \widehat{d}(v_2, \widetilde{T}v_2 \cap \Lambda) + \varepsilon_2. \tag{22}$$

From (21) and (22), we obtain

$$\widehat{d}(v_2, v_3) \leq \xi^2(\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1)). \tag{23}$$

We assume that $\widehat{d}(v_2, v_3) \neq 0$, otherwise v_2 is a fixed point of \widetilde{T} . From (23), we have $\widehat{d}(v_2, v_3) < \widehat{d}(v_1, v_2)$ and so $\widehat{d}(v_2, v_3) \in E$. Also, we have $v_3 \in \overline{b}(v_0, \rho)$, since

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{d}(v_0, v_3) &\leq \widehat{d}(v_0, v_1) + \widehat{d}(v_1, v_2) + \widehat{d}(v_2, v_3) \\ &\leq \widehat{d}(v_0, v_1) + \xi(\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1)) + \xi^2(\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1)) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \xi^i(\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1)) \\ &= \sigma(\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1)) = \rho. \end{aligned}$$

Continuing in this manner, we obtain a sequence $\{v_n\} \subset \overline{b}(v_0, \rho)$ such that $v_{n+1} \in \widetilde{T}v_n \cap \Lambda$, $v_n \neq v_{n+1}$ with $\alpha(v_n, v_{n+1}) \geq 1$ and $\widehat{d}(v_n, v_{n+1}) \in E$ and

$$\widehat{d}(v_n, v_{n+1}) \leq \xi^n(\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1)), \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{24}$$

For $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > n$, by using the triangular inequality and (24), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{d}(v_n, v_m) &\leq \widehat{d}(v_n, v_{n+1}) + \widehat{d}(v_{n+1}, v_{n+2}) + \dots + \widehat{d}(v_{m-1}, v_m) \\ &\leq \xi^n(\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1)) + \xi^{n+1}(\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1)) + \dots + \xi^{m-1}(\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1)) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \xi^j(\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1)) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $\{v_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the closed ball $\bar{b}(v_0, \rho)$. Since $\bar{b}(v_0, \rho)$ is closed in \mathbb{k} , there exists an $a^* \in \bar{b}(v_0, \rho)$ such that $v_n \rightarrow a^*$. Note that $a^* \in \Lambda$, because $v_{n+1} \in \tilde{T}v_n \cap \Lambda$. Obviously,

$$\frac{1}{2}\widehat{d}(v_n, \tilde{T}v_n \cap \Lambda) < \widehat{d}(v_n, \tilde{T}v_n) \leq \widehat{d}(v_n, v_{n+1}),$$

which implies that

$$\psi[\widehat{d}(v_n, \tilde{T}v_n \cap \Lambda), \widehat{d}(v_n, v_{n+1})] < 0.$$

Also, we know that $\alpha(v_n, v_{n+1}) \geq 1$ and $\widehat{d}(v_n, v_{n+1}) \in E$ for all n . Thus, from (16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \Gamma[\alpha(v_n, v_{n+1})\widehat{H}(\tilde{T}v_n \cap \Lambda, \tilde{T}v_{n+1} \cap \Lambda), \xi(\widehat{d}(v_n, v_{n+1}))] \\ &< \xi(\widehat{d}(v_n, v_{n+1})) - \alpha(v_n, v_{n+1})\widehat{H}(\tilde{T}v_n \cap \Lambda, \tilde{T}v_{n+1} \cap \Lambda), \end{aligned}$$

which gives that

$$\alpha(v_n, v_{n+1})\widehat{H}(\tilde{T}v_n \cap \Lambda, \tilde{T}v_{n+1} \cap \Lambda) < \xi(\widehat{d}(v_n, v_{n+1})).$$

Since $v_{n+1} \in \tilde{T}v_n \cap \Lambda$, from (24), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{d}(v_{n+1}, \tilde{T}v_{n+1} \cap \Lambda) &\leq \alpha(v_n, v_{n+1})\widehat{H}(\tilde{T}v_n \cap \Lambda, \tilde{T}v_{n+1} \cap \Lambda) \\ &< \xi(\widehat{d}(v_n, v_{n+1})) \\ &\leq \xi^{n+1}(\widehat{d}(v_0, v_1)). \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (25), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{d}(v_{n+1}, \tilde{T}v_{n+1} \cap \Lambda) = 0.$$

Since $g(v) = \widehat{d}(v, \tilde{T}v \cap \Lambda)$ is \tilde{T} -orbitally lower semicontinuous at a^* , then

$$\widehat{d}(a^*, \tilde{T}a^* \cap \Lambda) = g(a^*) \leq \liminf_n g(v_{n+1}) = \liminf_n \widehat{d}(v_{n+1}, \tilde{T}v_{n+1} \cap \Lambda) = 0.$$

Since $\tilde{T}a^*$ is closed, we have $a^* \in \tilde{T}a^*$. Conversely, if a^* is a fixed point of \tilde{T} , then $g(a^*) = 0 \leq \liminf_n g(v_n)$, since $a^* \in \Lambda$. □

Taking $\Gamma(r, s) = s - \int_0^r \zeta(t)dt$ for all $r, s \geq 0$, in Theorem 3.2, we get the following result.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $(\mathbb{k}, \widehat{d})$ be a complete metric space, Λ be a closed subset of \mathbb{k} , ξ be a Bianchini-Grandolfi gauge function on an interval E , and $\tilde{T}: \Lambda \rightarrow CL(\mathbb{k})$ be a given multivalued mapping. If there exists $\psi \in \Phi$ such that for $\tilde{T}v \cap \Lambda \neq \emptyset$*

$$\psi[\widehat{d}(v, \tilde{T}v \cap \Lambda), \widehat{d}(v, v)] < 0,$$

implies that

$$\int_0^{\alpha(v,v)\hat{H}(\tilde{T}v\cap\Lambda,\tilde{T}v\cap\Lambda)} \zeta(t)dt \leq \xi(\hat{d}(v,v)),$$

for all $v \in \Lambda$, $v \in \tilde{T}v \cap \Lambda$ with $\hat{d}(v,v) \in E$, where $\zeta: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a function such that $\int_0^\varepsilon \zeta(t)dt$ exists and $\int_0^\varepsilon \zeta(t)dt > \varepsilon$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) \tilde{T} is α -admissible;
- (ii) there exists $v_0 \in \Lambda$ with $\hat{d}(v_0, v_1) \in E$ for some $v_1 \in \tilde{T}v_0 \cap \Lambda$ such that $\alpha(v_0, v_1) \geq 1$.

Then,

- (a) there exist an orbit $\{v_n\}$ of \tilde{T} in Λ and $a^* \in \Lambda$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n = a^*$;
- (b) a^* is a fixed point of \tilde{T} if and only if the function $g(v) := \hat{d}(v, \tilde{T}v \cap \Lambda)$ is \tilde{T} -orbitally lower semicontinuous at a^* .

Corollary 3.4. Let (\mathbb{k}, \hat{d}) be a complete metric space, ξ be a Bianchini-Grandolfi gauge function on an interval E , and $\tilde{T}: \mathbb{k} \rightarrow CL(\mathbb{k})$ be a given multivalued mapping. If there exist $\psi \in \Phi$ and $\Gamma \in \nabla$ such that

$$\psi[\hat{d}(v, \tilde{T}v), \hat{d}(v, v)] < 0 \Rightarrow \Gamma[\alpha(v, v)\hat{H}(\tilde{T}v, \tilde{T}v), \xi(\hat{d}(v, v))] \geq 0, \quad (26)$$

for all $v \in \mathbb{k}$, $v \in \tilde{T}v$ with $\hat{d}(v, v) \in E$. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) \tilde{T} is α -admissible;
- (ii) there exists $v_0 \in \mathbb{k}$ with $\hat{d}(v_0, v_1) \in E$ for some $v_1 \in \tilde{T}v_0$ such that $\alpha(v_0, v_1) \geq 1$.

Then, there exists an orbit $\{v_n\}$ of \tilde{T} in \mathbb{k} that converges to the fixed point $a^* \in \mathcal{F} = \{v \in \mathbb{k} : \hat{d}(v, a^*) \in E\}$ of \tilde{T} .

4 Conclusion

The study deals with the achievement of introducing the notion of a wider new class of multivalued Suzuki-type θ -contractions via a gauge function. Within this framework, we introduced two related fixed point results in metric spaces. A nontrivial example was constructed to support our main results. Herein, the presented theorems and corollaries cannot be directly procured from the correlative metric space version.

References

- [1] Sam B. Nadler, *Multi-valued contraction mappings*, Pacific J. Math. **30** (1969), 475–488.
- [2] Hojat Afshari and Hassen Aydi, *On the extended multivalued Suzuki type contractions via a topological property*, Fixed Point Theory **20** (2019), no. 2, 407–416.
- [3] Nayab Alamgir, Quanita Kiran, Hüseyin Işık, and Hassen Aydi, *Fixed point results via a Hausdorff controlled type metric*, Adv. Differ. Equ. **2020** (2020), 24, DOI: 10.1186/s13662-020-2491-8.
- [4] Aiman Mukheimer, Jelena Vujakovic, Aftab Hussain, Hassen Aydi, Stojan Radenović, and Saman Yaqoob, *A new approach to multivalued nonlinear weakly Picard operators*, J. Ineq. Appl. **2019** (2019), 288, DOI: 10.1186/s13660-019-2244-y.
- [5] Pradip Patle, Deepesh Patel, Hassen Aydi, and Stojan Radenović, *On H^+ -type multivalued contractions and applications in symmetric and probabilistic spaces*, Mathematics **7** (2019), 144, DOI: 10.3390/math7020144.
- [6] Haitham Qawaqneh, Mohd S. Noorani, Wasfi Shatanawi, Hassen Aydi and Habes Alsamir, *Fixed point results for multi-valued contractions in b-metric spaces*, Mathematics **7** (2019), 132, DOI: 10.3390/math7020132.

- [7] Nedal Tahat, Hassen Aydi, Erdal Karapinar, and Wasfi Shatanawi, *Common fixed points for single-valued and multi-valued maps satisfying a generalized contraction in G-metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2012** (2012), 48, DOI: 10.1186/1687-1812-2012-48.
- [8] Amjad Ali, Fahim Uddin, Muhammad Arshad, and Maliha Rashid, *Hybrid fixed point results via generalized dynamic process for F-HRS type contractions with application*, Physica A **538** (2020), 122669, DOI: 10.1016/j.physa.2019.122669.
- [9] Muhammad U. Ali, Quanita Kiran, and Naseer Shahzad, *Fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings involving α -function*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. **2014** (2014), 409467, DOI: 10.1155/2014/409467.
- [10] Maria Samreen, Khansa Waheed, and Quanita Kiran, *Multivalued φ -contractions and fixed point theorems*, Filomat **32** (2018), no. 4, 1209–1220.
- [11] Hüseyin Işık and Cristiana Ionescu, *New type of multivalued contractions with related results and applications*, U.P.B. Sci. Bull. Series A **80** (2018), no. 2, 13–22.
- [12] Heddi Kaddouri, Hüseyin Işık, and Said Beloul, *On new extensions of F-contraction with application to integral inclusions*, U.P.B. Sci. Bull. Series A **81** (2019), no. 3, 31–42.
- [13] Hüseyin Işık, Vahid Parvaneh, Babak Mohammadi, and Ishak Altun, *Common fixed point results for generalized Wardowski type contractive multi-valued mappings*, Mathematics **7** (2019), 1130, DOI: 10.3390/math7111130.
- [14] Hüseyin Işık, Babak Mohammadi, Choongkil Park and Vahid Parvaneh, *Common fixed point and endpoint theorems for a countable family of multi-valued mappings*, Mathematics **8** (2020), 292, DOI: 10.3390/math8020292.
- [15] Mujahid Abbas, Hira Iqbal, and Adrian Petrusel, *Fixed points for multivalued Suzuki type (θ, R) -contraction mapping with applications*, J. Funct. Spaces **2019** (2019), 9565804, DOI: 10.1155/2019/9565804.
- [16] Francesca Vetro, *A generalization of Nadler fixed point theorem*, Carpathian J. Math. **31** (2015), no. 3, 403–410.
- [17] Hanan A. Alolaiyan, Mujahid Abbas, and Basit Ali, *Fixed point results of Edelstein-Suzuki type multivalued mappings on b-metric spaces with applications*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. **10** (2017), 1201–1214.
- [18] Farshid Khojasteh, Satish Shukla, and Stojan Radenović, *A new approach to the study of fixed point theorems via simulation functions*, Filomat **29** (2015), no. 6, 1189–1194.
- [19] Ankush Chanda, Lakshmi K. Dey, and Stojan Radenović, *Simulation functions: a survey of recent results*, RACSAM **113** (2019), 2923–2957.
- [20] Hüseyin Işık, Nurcan B. Gungor, Choongkil Park, and Sun Y. Jang, *Fixed point theorems for almost Z-contractions with an application*, Mathematics **6** (2018), 37, DOI: 10.3390/math6030037.
- [21] Antonio-Francisco Roldán-López-de-Hierro, Erdal Karapinar, Concepción Roldan-Lopez-de-Hierro, and Juan Martinez-Moreno, *Coincidence point theorems on metric spaces via simulation functions*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **275** (2015), 345–355.
- [22] Bessem Samet, Calogero Vetro, and Pascal Vetro, *Fixed point theorem for α - ψ -contractive type mappings*, Nonlinear Anal. **75** (2012), 2154–2165.
- [23] Muhammad U. Ali, Tayyab Kamran, and Erdal Karapinar, *A new approach to α - ψ -contractive nonself multivalued mappings*, J. Ineq. Appl. **2014** (2014), 71, DOI: 10.1186/1029-242X-2014-71.
- [24] Petko D. Proinov, *A generalization of the Banach contraction principle with high order of convergence of successive approximations*, Nonlinear Anal. **67** (2007), 2361–2369.