

## On noetherian subrings of an affine domain

Dedicated to Professor Yoshikazu Nakai on his sixtieth birthday

Nobuharu ONODA and Ken-ichi YOSHIDA

(Received January 6, 1982)

### Introduction

Let  $A$  be an affine domain over a field  $k$  and let  $R$  be a subring of  $A$  containing  $k$ . It is well-known that  $R$  is again an affine domain over  $k$  when  $\dim R = 1$ . But, when  $\dim R \geq 2$ ,  $R$  is not necessarily noetherian, and not necessarily an affine domain over  $k$  even when  $R$  is noetherian. The purpose of the present paper is to find certain conditions for  $R$  to be an affine domain over  $k$ .

In the first section we define an ideal  $\mathcal{A}(R)$  of  $R$  and by making use of this ideal we prove that  $R$  is an affine domain over  $k$  if and only if  $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is a locality over  $k$  for any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$ , where a locality over  $k$  is a local ring which is a localization of an affine domain over  $k$  (cf. Theorem 1.6).

It is known that  $R$  is an affine domain over  $k$  if  $R$  is a noetherian normal subring of dimension 2 and  $\text{tr. deg}_k R/\mathfrak{p} = 1$  for any prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of height 1 (cf. [2], [5]). If  $R$  satisfies these conditions it is seen that  $R$  is equidimensional, that is, we have  $\dim R_{\mathfrak{m}} = 2$  for any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$ . In the third section we generalize this result as follows: if  $R$  is a noetherian subring of an affine domain over a field  $k$  such that the integral closure  $R'$  of  $R$  in its quotient field is equidimensional then  $R$  is an affine domain over  $k$  (cf. Theorem 3.2).

As a corollary of this theorem we prove that if  $R$  is a universally catenary and equidimensional subring then  $R$  is an affine domain over  $k$ . For the proof of this corollary we need the following theorem: the finiteness of the integral closure  $R'$  of  $R$  in its quotient field is a local property, that is,  $R'$  is a finite  $R$ -module if and only if  $R'_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is a finite  $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -module for any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$ . We prove this theorem in the second section (cf. Theorem 2.5).

Throughout this paper we fix a field  $k$ . All rings under consideration are commutative  $k$ -algebras and all affine domains are assumed to be defined over  $k$ .

### 1. The ideal $\mathcal{A}(R)$

Let  $A$  be an affine domain over a field  $k$ , that is, an integral domain which is finitely generated over  $k$ . We are mainly interested in subrings  $R$  of  $A$ , and we shall study when  $R$  is again an affine domain over  $k$ . For this purpose we define an ideal  $\mathcal{A}(R)$  of  $R$  as follows:

PROPOSITION 1.1. *Let  $R$  be a subring of an affine domain  $A$  over  $k$ . Define  $\mathcal{A}(R)$  by*

$$\mathcal{A}(R) := \{a; a \in R \text{ and } R[1/a] \text{ is an affine domain over } k\} \cup \{0\}.$$

*Then  $\mathcal{A}(R)$  is a non-zero radical ideal of  $R$ .*

PROOF. By virtue of Proposition (2.1) in [3], we see that  $\mathcal{A}(R) \neq 0$ . We shall prove that  $\mathcal{A}(R)$  is an ideal of  $R$ . Since  $R[1/ax] = R[1/a][1/x]$ , we have  $ax \in \mathcal{A}(R)$  for any  $a \in \mathcal{A}(R)$  and  $x \in R$ . We shall show  $a+b \in \mathcal{A}(R)$  for any non-zero elements  $a$  and  $b$  of  $\mathcal{A}(R)$ . Since  $R[1/a]$  and  $R[1/b]$  are affine domains over  $k$ , there exist elements  $a_1, \dots, a_s$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_t$  of  $R$  such that  $R[1/a] = k[1/a, a_1, \dots, a_s]$  and  $R[1/b] = k[1/b, b_1, \dots, b_t]$ . Let  $C = k[a, b, a_1, \dots, a_s, b_1, \dots, b_t]$ . Then  $C$  is an affine domain over  $k$  and we have  $C \subseteq R$ . Let  $x$  be an arbitrary element of  $R$ . Since  $R[1/a] \subseteq C[1/a]$  and  $R[1/b] \subseteq C[1/b]$ , we have  $a^n x \in C$  and  $b^n x \in C$  for a sufficiently large positive integer  $n$ . Then, as is easily seen, we have  $(a+b)^{2n} x \in C$ , whence we have  $x \in C[1/(a+b)]$ . Since  $x$  is an arbitrary element of  $R$ , we have  $C[1/(a+b)] \supseteq R[1/(a+b)]$  and hence  $C[1/(a+b)] = R[1/(a+b)]$ . Therefore  $R[1/(a+b)]$  is an affine domain over  $k$  and we have  $a+b \in \mathcal{A}(R)$ . Thus  $\mathcal{A}(R)$  is an ideal of  $R$ . Finally we prove that  $\mathcal{A}(R)$  is a radical ideal. Let  $x$  be an element of  $R$  with  $x^n \in \mathcal{A}(R)$  for some positive integer  $n$ . Since  $R[1/x] = R[1/x^n]$ , we have  $x \in \mathcal{A}(R)$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{A}(R)$  is a radical ideal. Q. E. D.

COROLLARY 1.2. *Let  $R$  be a subring of an affine domain over  $k$ . Then we have  $\dim R = \text{tr.deg}_k R$ .*

PROOF. Let  $n = \text{tr.deg}_k R$  and let  $a$  be a non-zero element of  $\mathcal{A}(R)$ . Then  $R[1/a]$  is an affine domain over  $k$  and hence we have  $\dim R[1/a] = n$  (cf. [4, (14.G)]). Therefore we have  $\dim R \geq \dim R[1/a] = n$ . Since  $\dim R \leq n$  in general, we have  $\dim R = n$ . Q. E. D.

We call a local ring  $S$  a locality over  $k$  if  $S$  is a localization of an affine domain over  $k$  with respect to a prime ideal (cf. [6, Ch. VI]).

LEMMA 1.3. *Let  $R$  be a subring of an affine domain  $A$  over  $k$  and let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal of  $R$ . Then  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a locality over  $k$  if and only if  $\mathcal{A}(R) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ .*

PROOF. Let  $x$  be a non-zero element of  $\mathcal{A}(R)$ . Replacing  $A$  by  $R[1/x]$ , we may assume that  $R$  and  $A$  are birational. Assume that  $\mathcal{A}(R) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$  and take an element  $a$  of  $\mathcal{A}(R) \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $R[1/a]$  is an affine domain over  $k$  and  $\mathfrak{p}[1/a]$  is a prime ideal of  $R[1/a]$ . Hence  $R_{\mathfrak{p}} = R[1/a]_{\mathfrak{p}[1/a]}$  is a locality over  $k$ . Conversely, assume that  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a locality over  $k$ . Then there exist an affine domain  $B$  over  $k$  and a prime ideal  $P$  of  $B$  such that  $R_{\mathfrak{p}} = B_P$ . We may assume that  $B \subseteq R$ . Let  $K$

be the quotient field of  $A$  and let  $B'$  and  $R'$  be the integral closures of  $B$  and  $R$  in  $K$ , respectively. Let  $\mathfrak{p}'$  be a prime ideal of  $R'$  lying over  $\mathfrak{p}$  and let  $P' = \mathfrak{p}' \cap B'$ . Since the integral closure of  $R_{\mathfrak{p}} = B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  in  $K$  coincides with  $R'_{\mathfrak{p}} = B'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , we have  $R'_{\mathfrak{p}'} = B'_{\mathfrak{p}'}$ . Let  $F = \{Q; Q \in \text{Spec } B', \text{ht} Q = 1 \text{ and } B'_Q \not\supseteq R'\}$ . We claim that  $F$  is a finite set. In fact, since  $A$  is an affine domain, the integral closure  $A'$  of  $A$  in  $K$  is also an affine domain. Therefore  $A'$  is finitely generated over  $B'$ , whence there exists an element  $b$  of  $B'$  such that  $B'[1/b] \supseteq A' \supseteq R'$ . Then it is easy to see that  $F$  is a subset of the set of the minimal prime divisors of  $b$ . Thus  $F$  is a finite set. Let  $F = \{P'_1, \dots, P'_n\}$  and let  $P_i = P'_i \cap B$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Suppose that  $P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_n \subseteq P$ . Then we have  $P_i \subseteq P$  for some  $i$ , and hence  $B'_{P'_i} \supseteq B'_P = R'_P \supseteq R'$ , which is a contradiction. Thus we have  $P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_n \not\subseteq P$ . Take an element  $a$  of  $P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_n \setminus P$  and let  $\Lambda = \{Q; Q \in \text{Spec } B', \text{ht} Q = 1 \text{ and } a \notin Q\}$ . Then, as is easily seen, we have  $B'_Q \supseteq R'$  for any element  $Q$  of  $\Lambda$ , whence we have  $B'[1/a] = \bigcap_{Q \in \Lambda} B'_Q \supseteq R'$ . Therefore we have  $B'[1/a] = R'[1/a]$ , and  $R'[1/a]$  is an affine domain over  $k$ . Hence  $R[1/a]$  is also an affine domain over  $k$  by the following well-known lemma. Since  $a \in B \setminus P \subseteq R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ , we have  $\mathcal{A}(R) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . Q. E. D.

LEMMA 1.4. *Let a ring  $R'$  be an integral extension of a ring  $R$ . If  $R'$  is an affine domain over  $k$ , then  $R$  is also an affine domain over  $k$ .*

PROOF. See [1, Ch. V, § 1.9, Lemma 5].

COROLLARY 1.5. *Let  $R$  be a subring of an affine domain over  $k$ . Then we have  $V(\mathcal{A}(R)) = \{\mathfrak{p}; \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R \text{ and } R_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ is not a locality over } k\}$ .*

The following theorem is an immediate cosequence of Corollary 1.5 which asserts that affineness is a local property for subrings of an affine domain.

THEOREM 1.6. *Let  $R$  be a subring of an affine domain over  $k$ . Then the following three conditions are equivalent to each other.*

- (1)  $R$  is an affine domain over  $k$ .
- (2)  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a locality over  $k$  for any prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $R$ .
- (3)  $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is a locality over  $k$  for any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$ .

## 2. Open properties of a ring

Let  $\mathbf{P}$  be a property for domains. For the sake of brevity, we use the symbol  $[\mathbf{P}]$  to denote the class of domains which have the property  $\mathbf{P}$ . We say that a property  $\mathbf{P}$  is stable under localization if a domain  $R$  belongs to  $[\mathbf{P}]$  then  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  belongs to  $[\mathbf{P}]$  for any prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $R$ . For a domain  $R$ , we define

$$\mathbf{P}(R) = \{a; a \in R \text{ and } R[1/a] \in [\mathbf{P}]\} \cup \{0\}$$

and

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{P}}(R) = \{\mathfrak{p}; \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R \text{ and } R_{\mathfrak{p}} \notin [\mathbf{P}]\}.$$

We say that  $\mathbf{P}$  is an open property for  $R$  if  $\Delta_{\mathbf{P}}(R)$  is a closed set of  $\text{Spec } R$ .

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $\mathbf{P}$  be a property stable under localization and let  $R$  be a domain. Then*

- (1) *If  $\mathbf{P}(R)$  is an ideal of  $R$  then  $\mathbf{P}(R)$  is a radical ideal of  $R$ .*
- (2)  *$\Delta_{\mathbf{P}}(R) \subseteq V(\mathbf{P}(R))$ .*
- (3) *If  $\mathfrak{p} \in \Delta_{\mathbf{P}}(R)$  then  $\mathfrak{q} \in \Delta_{\mathbf{P}}(R)$  for any specialization  $\mathfrak{q}$  of  $\mathfrak{p}$ .*

PROOF. (1): Since  $R[1/a] = R[1/a^n]$ , we have  $a \in \mathbf{P}(R)$  if and only if  $a^n \in \mathbf{P}(R)$ . Hence the assertion is obvious.

(2): Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal of  $R$  with  $\mathfrak{p} \not\subseteq \mathbf{P}(R)$  and let  $a$  be an element of  $\mathbf{P}(R) \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $R[1/a] \in [\mathbf{P}]$  and  $\mathfrak{p}[1/a] \in \text{Spec } R[1/a]$ , hence we have  $R_{\mathfrak{p}} = R[1/a]_{\mathfrak{p}[1/a]} \in [\mathbf{P}]$  because  $\mathbf{P}$  is stable under localization. Thus we have  $\mathfrak{p} \notin \Delta_{\mathbf{P}}(R)$ .

(3): Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \Delta_{\mathbf{P}}(R)$  and let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be a specialization of  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Suppose that  $\mathfrak{q} \notin \Delta_{\mathbf{P}}(R)$ . Then we have  $R_{\mathfrak{q}} \in [\mathbf{P}]$ , and hence we have  $R_{\mathfrak{p}} \in [\mathbf{P}]$  because  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a localization of  $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$  with respect to the prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ . Thus we have  $\mathfrak{p} \notin \Delta_{\mathbf{P}}(R)$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore we have  $\mathfrak{q} \in \Delta_{\mathbf{P}}(R)$ . Q. E. D.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let  $\mathbf{P}$  be a property stable under localization and let  $R$  be a domain. Then the following two conditions are equivalent to each other.*

- (1)  *$\Delta_{\mathbf{P}}(R) = V(\mathbf{P}(R))$ .*
- (2) *If  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal of  $R$  with  $R_{\mathfrak{p}} \in [\mathbf{P}]$ , then  $\mathbf{P}(R) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ .*

PROOF. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal of  $R$  with  $R_{\mathfrak{p}} \in [\mathbf{P}]$ . Then we have  $\mathfrak{p} \notin \Delta_{\mathbf{P}}(R)$  by the definition. Since  $\Delta_{\mathbf{P}}(R) = V(\mathbf{P}(R))$ , we have  $\mathbf{P}(R) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ .

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): If the condition (2) holds, we have  $R_{\mathfrak{p}} \notin [\mathbf{P}]$ , i.e.,  $\mathfrak{p} \in \Delta_{\mathbf{P}}(R)$  for any  $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathbf{P}(R))$ . Thus we have  $V(\mathbf{P}(R)) \subseteq \Delta_{\mathbf{P}}(R)$ . On the other hand, we have  $V(\mathbf{P}(R)) \supseteq \Delta_{\mathbf{P}}(R)$  by Lemma 2.1, whence  $\Delta_{\mathbf{P}}(R) = V(\mathbf{P}(R))$ . Q. E. D.

COROLLARY 2.3. *Let  $\mathbf{P}$  be a property stable under localization and let  $R$  be a domain. Assume that  $R$  satisfies the following three conditions.*

- (1)  *$\mathbf{P}(R)$  is an ideal of  $R$ .*
- (2)  *$\Delta_{\mathbf{P}}(R) = V(\mathbf{P}(R))$ .*
- (3)  *$R_{\mathfrak{m}} \in [\mathbf{P}]$  for any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$ .*

*Then  $R$  has the property  $\mathbf{P}$ .*

PROOF. We have  $\mathbf{P}(R) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{m}$  for any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$  by Lemma 2.2. Since  $\mathbf{P}(R)$  is an ideal of  $R$ , we have  $\mathbf{P}(R) = R$ , hence  $R \in [\mathbf{P}]$ . Q. E. D.

We shall denote by  $\mathbf{I}$  and  $\mathbf{F}$  the properties for domains defined by

$R \in [\mathbf{I}]$  implies that  $R$  is integrally closed.

$R \in [F]$  implies that the integral closure  $R'$  of  $R$  in its quotient field is a finite  $R$ -module.

**PROPOSITION 2.4.** *Let  $R$  be a noetherian subring of an affine domain over  $k$  and let  $P$  be either  $I$  or  $F$ .*

*Then we have the following:*

- (1)  $P(R)$  is a non-zero radical ideal of  $R$ .
- (2)  $\Delta_P(R) = V(P(R))$ .

**PROOF.** (1) The case  $P=I$ .

(1): Let  $R'$  be the integral closure of  $R$  in the quotient field  $K$  of  $R$  and let  $a$  be a non-zero element of  $\mathcal{A}(R)$ . Since  $R[1/a]$  is an affine domain, the integral closure  $R'[1/a]$  of  $R[1/a]$  in  $K$  is a finite  $R[1/a]$ -module. Hence there exist elements  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s$  of  $R'$  such that  $R'[1/a] = R[1/a]\alpha_1 + \dots + R[1/a]\alpha_s$ . Take an element  $b$  of  $R$  such that  $b\alpha_i \in R$  for  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . Then we have  $R'[1/a] \subseteq R[1/a][1/b]$ , whence we have  $R'[1/ab] = R[1/ab]$ . Thus  $R[1/ab]$  is integrally closed, and hence we have  $0 \neq ab \in I(R)$ . Therefore  $I(R) \neq 0$ . Next we shall prove that  $I(R)$  is a radical ideal of  $R$ . It is easy to see that we have  $ar \in I(R)$  for any  $a \in I(R)$  and  $r \in R$ . Let  $a$  and  $b$  be two non-zero elements of  $I(R)$  and let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be an element of  $D(a+b)$ , where  $D(a+b) = \{\mathfrak{p}; \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R \text{ and } a+b \notin \mathfrak{p}\}$ . Then we have either  $a \notin \mathfrak{p}$  or  $b \notin \mathfrak{p}$ , and we may assume that  $a \notin \mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $R[1/a]$  is integrally closed and  $\mathfrak{p}[1/a]$  is a prime ideal of  $R[1/a]$ , hence  $R_{\mathfrak{p}} = R[1/a]_{\mathfrak{p}[1/a]}$  is integrally closed. Since  $R[1/(a+b)] = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in D(a+b)} R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , we see that  $R[1/(a+b)]$  is integrally closed, i.e.,  $a+b \in I(R)$ . Therefore  $I(R)$  is an ideal of  $R$ , and hence  $I(R)$  is a radical ideal of  $R$  by Lemma 2.1.

(2): Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a minimal element of  $\Delta_I(R)$ . We claim that  $\text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$ . In fact, suppose that  $\text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}} > 1$  and let  $A = \{\mathfrak{q}; \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec } R, \text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{q}} = 1 \text{ and } \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$ . Then, for any element  $\mathfrak{q}$  of  $A$ , we have  $\mathfrak{q} \notin \Delta_I(R)$ , i.e.,  $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is integrally closed because  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a minimal element of  $\Delta_I(R)$ . Since  $R_{\mathfrak{p}} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{q} \in A} R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ , we see that  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is integrally closed. Hence we have  $\mathfrak{p} \notin \Delta_I(R)$ , which is a contradiction. By Lemma 2.1, we have  $\Delta_I(R) \subseteq V(I(R))$ , hence we have  $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq I(R)$ . Let  $a$  be a non-zero element of  $I(R)$ . Since  $\text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$  and  $a \in \mathfrak{p}$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime divisor of  $aR$ . Therefore we see that the number of the minimal elements of  $\Delta_I(R)$  is finite because  $R$  is noetherian. Let  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_t$  be all the minimal elements of  $\Delta_I(R)$  and let  $\alpha = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_t$ . Then we have  $\Delta_I(R) = V(\alpha)$  by Lemma 2.1. We shall show that  $\alpha = I(R)$ . Since  $V(\alpha) = \Delta_I(R) \subseteq V(I(R))$  and  $\alpha$  is a radical ideal, we have  $I(R) \subseteq \alpha$ . Conversely, let  $x$  be an element of  $\alpha$  and let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be an element of  $D(x)$ , where  $D(x) = \{\mathfrak{p}; \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R \text{ and } x \notin \mathfrak{p}\}$ . Then we have  $\mathfrak{p} \not\supseteq \alpha$  and hence  $\mathfrak{p} \notin V(\alpha) = \Delta_I(R)$ , i.e.,  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is integrally closed. Since  $R[1/x] = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in D(x)} R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , we see that  $R[1/x]$  is integrally closed, whence we have  $x \in I(R)$ . Thus we have  $\alpha \subseteq I(R)$ , and hence  $\alpha = I(R)$ .

(II) The case  $P = F$ .

(1): Since  $I(R) \subseteq F(R)$  and  $I(R) \neq 0$ , we have  $F(R) \neq 0$ . We shall prove that  $F(R)$  is a radical ideal of  $R$ . It is easy to see that we have  $ar \in F(R)$  for any  $a \in F(R)$  and  $r \in R$ . Let  $a$  and  $b$  be two non-zero elements of  $F(R)$ . Then there exist elements  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s$  and  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_t$  of  $R'$  such that  $R'[1/a] = R[1/a]\alpha_1 + \dots + R[1/a]\alpha_s$  and  $R'[1/b] = R[1/b]\beta_1 + \dots + R[1/b]\beta_t$ . Let  $B = R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_t]$ . Then  $B$  is a finite  $R$ -module, and we have  $R'[1/a] \subseteq B[1/a]$  and  $R'[1/b] \subseteq B[1/b]$ . Hence, for any element  $x$  of  $R'$ , there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $a^n x \in B$  and  $b^n x \in B$ . Then we have  $(a+b)^{2n}x \in B$ , whence  $x \in B[1/(a+b)]$ . Therefore we have  $R'[1/(a+b)] = B[1/(a+b)]$ , and hence  $R'[1/(a+b)]$  is a finite  $R[1/(a+b)]$ -module, i.e.,  $a+b \in F(R)$ . Thus  $F(R)$  is an ideal of  $R$ , and  $F(R)$  is a radical ideal by Lemma 2.1.

(2): By Lemma 2.1, it suffices to show that  $V(F(R)) \subseteq \Delta_F(R)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be an element of  $V(F(R))$  and suppose that  $\mathfrak{p} \notin \Delta_F(R)$ . Then we have  $R_{\mathfrak{p}} \in [F]$ , hence the integral closure  $R'_{\mathfrak{p}}$  of  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  in its quotient field is a finite  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module. Thus there exist elements  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$  of  $R'$  such that  $R'_{\mathfrak{p}} = R_{\mathfrak{p}}\alpha_1 + \dots + R_{\mathfrak{p}}\alpha_r$ . Let  $C = R[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r]$ . Then  $C$  is a finite  $R$ -module and we have  $R'_{\mathfrak{p}} = C_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Let  $P_1, \dots, P_n \in \text{Spec } C$  be all the minimal elements of  $\Delta_I(C)$ , and let  $\mathfrak{p}_i = P_i \cap R$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . We shall show that  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_n \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . In fact, if  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_n \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$  then we have  $\mathfrak{p}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$  for some  $i$ . Since  $P_i \cap R = \mathfrak{p}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$  and  $C_{\mathfrak{p}} = R'_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is integrally closed, we see that  $C_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$  is also integrally closed. Hence we have  $P_i \notin \Delta_I(C)$ , which is a contradiction. Let  $x$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_n \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ , and let  $P$  be a prime ideal of  $C$  with  $x \notin P$ . Then we have  $P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_n \not\subseteq P$ , whence we have  $P \notin \Delta_I(C)$  because  $P_1, \dots, P_n$  are all the minimal elements of  $\Delta_I(C)$ . Thus  $C_P$  is integrally closed, and hence we see that  $C[1/x]$  is also integrally closed. Therefore the integral closure of  $R[1/x]$  in its quotient field coincides with  $C[1/x]$ . Since  $C[1/x]$  is a finite  $R[1/x]$ -module, we have  $x \in F(R)$ , whence  $F(R) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ . Thus we have  $\mathfrak{p} \notin V(F(R))$ , which is a contradiction. Hence we have  $\mathfrak{p} \in \Delta_F(R)$ , and  $V(F(R)) \subseteq \Delta_F(R)$ . Q. E. D.

By virtue of Proposition 2.4 and Corollary 2.3, we have the following:

**THEOREM 2.5.** *Let  $R$  be a noetherian subring of an affine domain over  $k$  and let  $R'$  be the integral closure of  $R$  in its quotient field. Then  $R'$  is a finite  $R$ -module if and only if  $R'_m$  is a finite  $R_m$ -module for any maximal ideal  $m$  of  $R$ .*

### 3. Affineness of noetherian subrings of an affine domain

In this section we shall prove that a noetherian subring  $R$  of an affine domain over  $k$  will be an affine domain over  $k$  provided the integral closure  $R'$  of  $R$  in its quotient field is equidimensional. For the proof we need the following:

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $R$  be a  $d$ -dimensional subring of an affine domain over  $k$  and let  $R'$  be the integral closure of  $R$  in its quotient field  $K$ . Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a maximal ideal of  $R'$  with  $\text{ht } \mathfrak{M} = d$ . If  $R$  is noetherian then  $R'_{\mathfrak{M}}$  is a locality over  $k$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{M} \cap R$ . Since  $R$  is noetherian,  $\mathfrak{m}$  is finitely generated, say  $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_t)R$ . Let  $B$  be an affine domain over  $k$  contained in  $R$  such that  $R$  and  $B$  are birational and  $x_1, \dots, x_t \in B$ . Let  $M = \mathfrak{m} \cap B$ . Then we have  $x_1, \dots, x_t \in M$  and hence  $MR = \mathfrak{m}$ . Since  $\text{ht } \mathfrak{M} = d$  and  $\text{tr. deg}_k R'/\mathfrak{M} \leq \text{tr. deg}_k R' - \text{ht } \mathfrak{M}$ , we have  $\text{tr. deg}_k B/M \leq \text{tr. deg}_k R'/\mathfrak{M} = 0$ . Thus  $B/M$  is algebraic over  $k$ , hence  $B/M$  is a field and  $M$  is a maximal ideal of  $B$ . Let  $B'$  be the integral closure of  $B$  in  $K$  and let  $\bar{R} = R[B']$ . Since  $B$  is an affine domain over  $k$ ,  $B'$  is a finite  $B$ -module. Whence  $\bar{R}$  is a finite  $R$ -module, especially  $\bar{R}$  is noetherian. Let  $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{M} \cap \bar{R}$  and let  $M' = \mathfrak{N} \cap B'$ . Since  $R'$  is integral over  $\bar{R}$ , we have  $\text{ht } \mathfrak{N} \geq \text{ht } \mathfrak{M} = d$ , hence we have  $\text{ht } \mathfrak{N} = d$ . On the other hand,  $B'$  is integral over  $B$  and  $M'$  lies over the maximal ideal  $M$  of  $B$ . Hence  $M'$  is a maximal ideal of  $B'$ , and we have  $\text{ht } M' = d$  because  $B'$  is an affine domain over  $k$ . Thus we have  $\dim B'_{M'} = \dim \bar{R}_{\mathfrak{N}}$ . Notice that  $M'\bar{R}_{\mathfrak{N}} \supseteq M\bar{R}_{\mathfrak{N}} = \mathfrak{m}\bar{R}_{\mathfrak{N}}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}\bar{R}_{\mathfrak{N}}$  is a  $\mathfrak{N}\bar{R}_{\mathfrak{N}}$ -primary ideal. Therefore there exists a positive integer  $r$  such that  $\mathfrak{N}^r\bar{R}_{\mathfrak{N}} \subseteq M'\bar{R}_{\mathfrak{N}}$ . Let  $k' = B'/M'$  and let  $L = \bar{R}/\mathfrak{N}$ . Then we have  $\text{length}_k \bar{R}_{\mathfrak{N}}/M'\bar{R}_{\mathfrak{N}} \leq (\text{length}_k L)(\text{length}_R \bar{R}/\mathfrak{N}^r)$ . Since  $\text{ht } \mathfrak{N} = d$ , we have  $\text{tr. deg}_k \bar{R}/\mathfrak{N} = 0$ , and hence  $L = \bar{R}/\mathfrak{N}$  is a subfield of a certain affine domain over  $k$  (cf. [7, Theorem 2]). Thus  $L$  is a finite algebraic extension field of  $k$ , whence  $\text{length}_k L$  is finite, a fortiori,  $\text{length}_k L$  is finite. On the other hand, since  $\bar{R}$  is noetherian, we have  $\text{length}_R \bar{R}/\mathfrak{N}^r$  is finite. Thus we have  $\text{length}_k \bar{R}_{\mathfrak{N}}/M'\bar{R}_{\mathfrak{N}}$  is finite. Moreover, since  $B'$  is a normal affine domain,  $B'_{M'}$  is analytically normal by Theorem (37.5) in [6], and obviously  $\bar{R}_{\mathfrak{N}}$  and  $B'_{M'}$  are birational. Hence we have  $B'_{M'} = \bar{R}_{\mathfrak{N}}$  by Theorem (37.4) in [6]. Thus  $\bar{R}_{\mathfrak{N}}$  is integrally closed, whence we have  $\bar{R}_{\mathfrak{N}} = R'_{\mathfrak{N}}$  because  $R'_{\mathfrak{N}}$  is integral and birational over  $\bar{R}_{\mathfrak{N}}$ . Therefore  $R'_{\mathfrak{N}}$  is a local ring, and hence we have  $R'_{\mathfrak{N}} = R'_{\mathfrak{M}}$ . Whence we have  $R'_{\mathfrak{M}} = B'_{M'}$  and  $R'_{\mathfrak{M}}$  is a locality over  $k$ . Q. E. D.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $R$  be a  $d$ -dimensional subring of an affine domain over  $k$  and let  $R'$  be the integral closure of  $R$  in its quotient field. If  $R$  is noetherian and  $R'$  is equidimensional, that is,  $\dim R'_{\mathfrak{M}} = d$  for any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{M}$  of  $R'$ , then  $R$  is an affine domain over  $k$ .*

**PROOF.** Since  $R'$  is equidimensional,  $R'_{\mathfrak{M}}$  is a locality over  $k$  for any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{M}$  of  $R'$  by Theorem 3.1. Thus  $R'$  is an affine domain over  $k$  by Theorem 1.6, and hence  $R$  is also an affine domain over  $k$  by Lemma 1.4. Q. E. D.

Recall that a ring  $R$  is called catenary if, for any pair of prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}$  with  $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{q}$ , we have  $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} = \text{ht } \mathfrak{q} + \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q})$ . A ring  $R$  is called universally catenary if  $R$  is noetherian and if every  $R$ -algebra of finite type is catenary (cf. [4, (14.B)]).

**COROLLARY 3.3.** *Let  $R$  be a subring of an affine domain over  $k$ . If  $R$  is universally catenary and equidimensional then  $R$  is an affine domain over  $k$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_t)R$  be an arbitrary maximal ideal of  $R$  and let  $B$  be an affine domain over  $k$  contained in  $R$  such that  $R$  and  $B$  are birational and  $x_1, \dots, x_t \in B$ . Let  $B'$  be the integral closure of  $B$  in its quotient field and let  $\bar{R} = R[B']$ . Then  $\bar{R}$  is a finite  $R$ -module. Let  $\mathfrak{M}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{M}_n$  be all the maximal ideals of  $\bar{R}$  lying over  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Since  $R$  is universally catenary and  $\bar{R}$  is a finite  $R$ -module, the dimension formula holds between  $R$  and  $\bar{R}$ , whence we have  $\text{ht } \mathfrak{M}_i = \text{ht } \mathfrak{m}$  for each  $i$  (cf. [4, (14.C)]). Thus, as is shown in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have  $\bar{R}_{\mathfrak{M}_i} = B'_{M_i}$  for each  $i$ , where  $M_i = \mathfrak{M}_i \cap B'$ . Therefore  $\bar{R}_{\mathfrak{M}_i}$  is integrally closed for each  $i$ , hence  $\bar{R}_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is integrally closed. Thus the integral closure of  $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$  in its quotient field is equal to  $\bar{R}_{\mathfrak{m}}$  which is a finite  $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -module. Whence, by Theorem 2.5, the integral closure  $R'$  of  $R$  in its quotient field is a finite  $R$ -module, and hence the dimension formula holds between  $R$  and  $R'$ . Since  $R$  is equidimensional, we see that  $R'$  is also equidimensional. Thus the assertion follows from Theorem 3.2. Q. E. D.

### References

- [1] N. Bourbaki, *Commutative Algebra*, Hermann, Paris, 1972.
- [2] P. Eakin, A note on finite dimensional subrings of polynomial rings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **31** (1972), 75–80.
- [3] J. M. Giral, Krull dimension, transcendence degree and subalgebras of finitely generated algebras, *Arch. Math.* **36** (1981), 305–312.
- [4] H. Matsumura, *Commutative Algebra*, Benjamin, New York, 1970.
- [5] M. Nagata, *Lectures on the fourteenth problem of Hilbert*, *Lectures on Math. and Physics*, Vol. **31**, Tata Inst. of Fund. Research, Bombay, 1965.
- [6] M. Nagata, *Local Rings*, Interscience Tracts **13**, John Wiley, 1962.
- [7] A. R. Wadsworth, Hilbert subalgebras of finitely generated algebras, *J. of Algebra* **43** (1976), 298–304.

*Department of Mathematics,  
Faculty of Science,  
Osaka University*