

# Aplikace matematiky

---

Jaroslav Haslinger

On numerical solution of a variational inequality of the 4th order by finite element method

*Aplikace matematiky*, Vol. 23 (1978), No. 5, 334–345

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/103760>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1978

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF A VARIATIONAL  
INEQUALITY OF THE 4th ORDER  
BY FINITE ELEMENT METHOD

JAROSLAV HASLINGER

(Received January 17, 1977)

INTRODUCTION

Let us solve the problem of a thin elastic clamped plate which is subjected to an external loading  $f$ . Let us suppose that its deflection  $u$  is limited below by a rigid obstacle. Mathematically it means that  $u$  is greater than or equal to a prescribed function, describing the obstacle. This problem leads to the minimization problem for energy functional over a certain convex set.

In [4] the dualisation of constraints and the algorithm of Uzawa is used for solving this problem. In the present paper another way was chosen. Using the finite element technique, the original energy functional is transformed into a quadratic function in  $E_n$ . We are led to procedures of quadratic programming. The proof of convergence for Ahlin's and Ari-Adini's elements is given and the concrete algorithm for numerical solution is proposed.

1. SETTING OF THE PROBLEM

Let  $Q$  be a bounded polygonal domain in  $E_2$ , the sides of which are parallel to the coordinate axes  $Ox$ ,  $Oy$ . Let us denote the set of all continuous functions with compact support in  $Q$  and derivatives of all orders continuous in  $Q$  by  $\mathcal{D}(Q)$ .  $H^k(Q)$  ( $k \geq 0$  integer) will denote the space of functions, the generalized derivatives of which up to the order  $k$  are elements of  $L^2(Q) = H^0(Q)$ , i.e. square integrable in  $Q$ . By  $H_0^k(Q)$  ( $k \geq 0$  integer) we denote the completion of  $\mathcal{D}(Q)$  under the seminorm

$$(1.1) \quad |u|_{k,Q} = \left( \int_Q \sum_{|\alpha|=k} |D^\alpha u|^2 \, dx \, dy \right)^{1/2},$$

where  $D^\alpha u = \partial^{|\alpha|} u / \partial x^{\alpha_1} \partial y^{\alpha_2}$ ,  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ ,  $\alpha_i$  are non-negative integers,  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$  and  $D^0 u = u$ .

Let us set

$$\mathcal{J}(v) = a(v; v) - 2 \int_Q f v \, dx \, dy,$$

where  $a(u; v) = \int_Q \sum_{|\alpha|=2} D^\alpha u D^\alpha v \, dx \, dy$  and  $f \in L^2(Q)$ .

The problem to be solved is defined in the following manner:

find  $u \in K$  such that

$$(\mathcal{P}) \quad \mathcal{J}(u) = \min_{v \in K} \mathcal{J}(v),$$

where

$$\mathcal{K} = \{v \in H_0^2(Q) : v \geq \psi \text{ a.e. in } Q\}$$

and  $\psi \in C(\bar{Q})$  is a given function,  $\psi \leq 0$  on  $\partial Q$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Problem  $(\mathcal{P})$  has precisely one solution  $u$  for  $\forall f \in L^2(Q)$  and this solution is characterized through the relation*

$$(1.2) \quad a(u; v - u) \geq \int_Q f(v - u) \, dx \, dy \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{K}.$$

*Proof.*  $\mathcal{K}$  is a closed convex subset of  $H_0^2(Q)$ ,  $\mathcal{J}$  is a convex quadratic coercive<sup>1)</sup> functional on  $H_0^2(Q)$ . The rest of the proof follows immediately from [1 - Th. 0.4, p. 126].

## 2. APPROXIMATION OF $(\mathcal{P})$

Numerically,  $(\mathcal{P})$  can be solved by minimizing  $\mathcal{J}$  over "a finite dimensional approximations  $\mathcal{K}_h$ " of the original convex set  $\mathcal{K}$ . By  $u_h$  we denote such an element from  $\mathcal{K}_h$  that

$$(\mathcal{P}_h) \quad \mathcal{J}(u_h) = \min_{v \in \mathcal{K}_h} \mathcal{J}(v).$$

$u_h$  will be called *the Ritz approximation* of  $u$  on  $\mathcal{K}_h$ .

We present two possible constructions of  $\mathcal{K}_h$ , based on the decomposition of  $Q$  into rectangles and on a suitable choice of finite elements.

Let  $\{\mathcal{R}_h\}$ ,  $h \rightarrow 0+$  be a regular system of rectangulations of  $\bar{Q}$ . This means that  $\bar{Q}$  is expressed in the form of a union of rectangles  $R_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, N(h)$ ), each

<sup>1)</sup> I.e.  $\mathcal{J}(v) \rightarrow \infty$  if  $|v|_{2,Q} \rightarrow +\infty$ .

two of which are either disjoint, or have one vertex or one side in common,  $\max_i \text{diam}(R_i) \leq h$  and there exists a constant  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$\frac{h_{\min}}{h_{\max}} \geq \alpha.$$

$h_{\min}, h_{\max}$  are the minimum and the maximum respectively of lengths of all sides of  $R_i \in \mathcal{R}_h$ .

Let  $\mathcal{N}_h$  be the set of all vertices (nodes of  $\mathcal{R}_h$ ) of rectangles in the rectangulation  $\mathcal{R}_h$ . We suppose that the following condition is satisfied:

$$(i) \quad \mathcal{N}_{h_1} \subset \mathcal{N}_{h_2} \quad \text{if} \quad h_1 > h_2.$$

### Construction I

Let  $Q_3(R_i)$  be the set of bicubic polynomials defined in  $R_i$ , i.e.

$$q \in Q_3(R_i) \Leftrightarrow q(x, y) = \sum_{0 \leq i, j \leq 3} \alpha_{ij} x^i y^j, \quad [x, y] \in R_i.$$

Let  $V_h$  be the finite-dimensional subspace of  $H_0^2(Q)$  defined by

$$V_h = \{v \in C^1(\bar{Q}) : v|_{R_i} \in Q_3(R_i) \forall R_i \in \mathcal{R}_h, i = 1, \dots, N(h); \\ v = \partial v / \partial n = 0 \text{ on } \partial Q\},$$

i.e.,  $V_h$  contains those functions which are continuous and continuously differentiable in  $Q$  and piecewise bicubic in each  $R_i$ . Then  $\mathcal{X}_h$  is defined in the following manner:

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{X}_h = \{v \in V_h : v(A_i^h) \geq \psi(A_i^h), \text{ where } A_i^h \in \mathcal{N}_h \cap Q \text{ are interior} \\ \text{nodes of } \mathcal{R}_h\}.$$

### Construction II

Let  $\tilde{Q}_3(R_i)$  be the set of all functions defined in  $R_i$  of the form:

$$q \in \tilde{Q}_3(R_i) \Leftrightarrow q(x, y) = \sum_{0 \leq i+j \leq 3} \alpha_{ij} x^i y^j + \alpha_{13} x y^3 + \alpha_{31} x^3 y, \quad [x, y] \in R_i.$$

Let

$$S_h = \{v \in C(\bar{Q}) : v|_{R_i} \in \tilde{Q}_3(R_i) \forall R_i \in \mathcal{R}_h, i = 1, \dots, N(h); v = 0 \text{ on } \partial Q\}$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{U}_h = \{v \in S_h : v(A_i^h) \geq \psi(A_i^h), A_i^h \in \mathcal{N}_h \cap Q\}.$$

### 3. CONVERGENCE OF RITZ APPROXIMATIONS

In this section we establish convergence of Ritz approximations  $u_h$  to the exact solution  $u$  of  $\mathcal{P}$ . We shall consider both the cases  $\mathcal{X}_h, \mathcal{U}_h$  separately.

*I.*

Let  $\mathcal{X}_h$  be defined by (2.1).

**Theorem 3.1.** *For  $\forall h > 0$  there exists a unique solution  $u_h \in \mathcal{X}_h$  of  $(\mathcal{P}_h)$  and this solution is characterized through the relation*

$$(3.1) \quad a(u_h; v - u_h) \cong \int_Q f(v - u_h) \, dx \, dy \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{X}_h.$$

Proof is the same as in Th. 1.1.

We establish the convergence of Ritz approximations in the  $H_0^2(Q)$ -norm, i.e.  $\|u - u_h\|_{2,Q} \rightarrow 0$  for  $h \rightarrow 0+$  under the additional restriction on the obstacle  $\psi$ . In the sequel we assume that

$$(ii) \quad \psi < 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial Q.$$

The proof of convergence is based on the following lemmas.

**Lemma 3.1.** *It holds:*

$$(3.2) \quad \|u - u_h\|_{2,Q}^2 \cong \{(f; u - v_h) + (f; u_h - v) + a(u_h - u; v_h - u) + a(u; v - u_h) + a(u; v_h - u)\} \quad \text{for} \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{X}, v_h \in \mathcal{X}_h,$$

where  $(\cdot; \cdot)$  denotes the scalar product in  $L^2(Q)$ .

Proof. Since  $a(u; u) \cong a(u; v) + (f; u - v) \forall v \in \mathcal{X}$  and similarly  $a(u_h; u_h) \cong a(u_h; v_h) + (f; u_h - v_h) \forall v_h \in \mathcal{X}_h$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - u_h\|_{2,Q}^2 &= a(u - u_h; u - u_h) = a(u; u) + a(u_h; u_h) - a(u; u_h) - \\ &\quad - a(u_h; u) \cong a(u; v) + (f; u - v) + a(u_h; v_h) + (f; u_h - v_h) - \\ &\quad - a(u; u_h) - a(u_h; u) = a(u; v - u_h) + a(u; u_h) + \\ &\quad + (f; u - v) + a(u_h; v_h - u) + a(u_h; u) + (f; u_h - v_h) - \\ &\quad - a(u; u_h) - a(u_h; u) = a(u; v - u_h) + (f; u - v_h) + (f; v_h - v) + \\ &\quad + a(u_h - u; v_h - u) + a(u; v_h - u) + (f; u_h - v) + (f; v - v_h). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.2.** For  $\forall v \in \mathcal{X}$  there exists  $v_h \in \mathcal{X}_h$  such that

$$|v - v_h|_{2,Q} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } h \rightarrow 0+.$$

Proof. 1° First let us assume  $v \in \mathcal{X} \cap H^4(Q)$ . Let  $v_h \in V_h$  be an element, the restriction of which in  $R_i \in \mathcal{R}_h$  is the Hermite bicubic interpolate of  $v$ . Then  $v_h$  has the required property. In fact, by definition

$$v_{h|R_i} = \Pi_{R_i} v$$

and  $\Pi_{R_i} v \in Q_3(R_i)$  is determined from the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{R_i} v(A_j) &= v(A_j), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Pi_{R_i} v(A_j) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} v(A_j), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \Pi_{R_i} v(A_j) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y} v(A_j), \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} \Pi_{R_i} v(A_j) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} v(A_j), \end{aligned}$$

where  $A_j, j = 1, \dots, 4$  are the vertices of  $R_i$ . From the construction of  $v_h$  and the definition of  $\mathcal{X}_h$  it follows that  $v_h \in \mathcal{X}_h$  and moreover [3]:

$$|v - v_h|_{2,Q} = O(h^2) \quad \text{for } h \rightarrow 0+.$$

2° Let  $v \in \mathcal{X}$  be arbitrary.

Let  $\Phi \in H_0^2(Q)$  be a function with the following properties:

$$|\Phi|_{2,Q} = 1, \quad \Phi > 0 \quad \text{in } Q.$$

Let  $v_\varepsilon = v + \varepsilon \Phi, \varepsilon > 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |v_\varepsilon - v|_{2,Q} &= \varepsilon |\Phi|_{2,Q} = \varepsilon, \\ v_\varepsilon &\geq v \quad \text{in } \bar{Q} \end{aligned}$$

and the assumption (ii) results in

$$(3.3) \quad v_\varepsilon > \psi \quad \text{in } \bar{Q} \quad \text{for } \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

The definition of  $H_0^2(Q)$  implies that there exist  $v_{\varepsilon H} \in \mathcal{D}(Q)$  such that

$$|v_\varepsilon - v_{\varepsilon H}|_{2,Q} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{if } H \rightarrow 0+.$$

The imbedding theorem of  $H_0^2(Q)$  into  $C(\bar{Q})$  yields

$$v_{\varepsilon H} \Rightarrow v_\varepsilon \quad (\text{uniformly}) \quad \text{in } \bar{Q}.$$

Hence  $v_{\varepsilon H} > \psi$  in  $\bar{Q}$  for  $H$  sufficiently small. As  $v_{\varepsilon H} \in \mathcal{D}(Q) \cap \mathcal{X}$ , part 1° of the proof ensures the existence of  $v_h \in \mathcal{X}_h$  such that

$$|v_{\varepsilon H} - v_h|_{2,Q} \rightarrow 0, \quad h \rightarrow 0+.$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} |v - v_h|_{2,Q} &\leq |v - v_\varepsilon|_{2,Q} + |v_\varepsilon - v_{\varepsilon H}|_{2,Q} + |v_{\varepsilon H} - v_h|_{2,Q} \rightarrow 0 \\ &\text{if } \varepsilon, h, H \rightarrow 0+. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $\{v_h\}$ ,  $v_h \in \mathcal{X}_h$  be such that  $v_h \rightarrow v$  (weakly) if  $h \rightarrow 0+$  in  $H_0^2(Q)$ . Then  $v \in \mathcal{X}$ .*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to prove  $v \geq \psi$  in  $\bar{Q}$ . As  $\delta(x) \in H^{-2}(Q)^1$  (Dirac function concentrated at  $x \in \bar{Q}$ ), we have

$$v_h(x) \rightarrow v(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \bar{Q}.$$

Let us suppose that there exists  $x^* \in \bar{Q}$  such that

$$(3.4) \quad v(x^*) < \psi(x^*).$$

As  $v, \psi \in C(\bar{Q})$ , (3.4) holds in a neighbourhood  $U(x^*, \varepsilon) \cap \bar{Q}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , where  $U(x^*, \varepsilon) = \{x \in E_2 : \varrho(x, x^*) \leq \varepsilon\}$ .

Further,  $\text{diam}(R_i) \leq h \forall R_i \in \mathcal{R}_h$  and  $h \rightarrow 0+$ , therefore there exists  $A_i^{h_0} \in \mathcal{N}_{h_0}$  such that  $A_i^{h_0} \in U(x^*, \varepsilon) \cap \bar{Q}$ . The assumption (i) implies

$$A_i^{h_0} \in \mathcal{N}_h \quad \text{for } \forall h \leq h_0.$$

As  $v_h(A_i^{h_0}) \geq \psi(A_i^{h_0})$  for  $\forall h \leq h_0$ , it must be

$$v(A_i^{h_0}) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} v_h(A_i^{h_0}) \geq \psi(A_i^{h_0}),$$

which is a contradiction with the above considerations.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let (i), (ii) hold. Then*

$$|u - u_h|_{2,Q} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } h \rightarrow 0+.$$

*Proof.* Lemma 3.2 ensures the existence of  $v_h^* \in \mathcal{X}_h$  such that  $v_h^* \rightarrow u$  in  $H_0^2(Q)$ . Further,

$$\mathcal{J}(u_h) \leq \mathcal{J}(v_h^*), \mathcal{J}(v_h^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(u) \quad \text{if } h \rightarrow 0+.$$

This and the coerciveness of  $\mathcal{J}$  implies the boundedness of  $u_h$  in the  $H_0^2(Q)$ -norm. By virtue of boundedness there exist an element  $v^* \in H_0^2(Q)$  and a subsequence  $\{u_{h_n}\} \in \{u_h\}$  such that

$$u_{h_n} \rightarrow v^* \quad \text{in } H_0^2(Q).$$

<sup>1)</sup>  $H^{-2}(Q)$  denotes the space of linear, bounded functionals on  $H_0^2(Q)$ .

By virtue of Lemma 3.3,  $v^*$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}$  and (3.2) yields

$$(3.5) \quad |u - u_{h'}|_{2,Q}^2 \leq \{(f; u - v_{h'}^*) + (f; u_{h'} - v^*) + a(u_{h'} - u; v_{h'}^* - u) + a(u; v^* - u_{h'}) + a(u; v_{h'}^* - u)\} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{if } h' \rightarrow 0+.$$

As the limit (3.5) does not depend on the choice of the subsequence  $u_{h'}$ , we obtain  $u_h \rightarrow u$  if  $h \rightarrow 0+$ .

## II.

Let  $\mathcal{U}_h$  be defined by (2.2).

It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{U}_h \not\subset H_0^2(Q)$  but only  $\mathcal{U}_h \subset H_0^1(Q)$ . By  $a_h(u; v)$  we denote the bilinear form defined on  $S_h \times S_h$  through the relation

$$a_h(u; v) = \sum_{R_i \in \mathcal{R}_h} \int_{R_i} \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + 2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} \right) dx dy.$$

Let us set  $|v|_{2,h} = a_h(v; v)^{1/2}$  for  $\forall v \in S_h$ . In order to define Ritz approximations, we introduce the functional

$$\mathcal{J}_h(v) = a_h(v; v) - 2 \int_Q f v dx dy, \quad v \in S_h.$$

Analogously to  $(\mathcal{P}_h)$  we define the problem  $(\mathcal{P}'_h)$  in the following manner:

$$(P'_h) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{find } u_h \in \mathcal{U}_h \text{ such that} \\ & \mathcal{J}_h(u_h) = \min_{v \in \mathcal{U}_h} \mathcal{J}_h(v). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.3.** For  $\forall h > 0$  there exists a unique solution  $u_h \in \mathcal{U}_h$  of  $(\mathcal{P}'_h)$ , characterized through the relation

$$(3.6) \quad a_h(u_h; v - u_h) \geq \int_Q f(v - u_h) dx dy \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{U}_h.$$

*Proof.* It is readily seen that  $|v|_{2,h}$  defines a norm on  $S_h \times S_h$ .  $\mathcal{J}_h$  is a convex function which is coercive on  $S_h$  and  $\mathcal{U}_h$  is a closed convex subset of  $S_h$ . Hence the existence and the uniqueness of the solution of  $(\mathcal{P}'_h)$  follows.

Our aim is to prove that  $|u - u_h|_{2,h} \rightarrow 0$  if  $h \rightarrow 0+$ . First we prove some auxiliary lemmas.



**Lemma 3.4.** *It holds:*

$$(3.7) \quad |u - u_h|_{2,h}^2 \leq \{(f; u - v_h) + (f; u_h - v) + a_h(u_h - u; v_h - u) + a_h(u; v - u_h) + a_h(u; v_h - u)\}$$

for  $\forall v_h \in \mathcal{U}_h, v \in \mathcal{X}$ .

*Proof.* Taking into account the fact that

$$a_h(u; v) = a(u; v) \quad \forall u, v \in H_0^2(Q), h \in (0, 1)$$

and repeating the proof of Lemma 3.1, we obtain (3.7).

**Lemma 3.5.** *For  $\forall v \in \mathcal{X}$  there exist  $\{v_h\}, v_h \in \mathcal{U}_h$  such that*

$$(3.8) \quad |v - v_h|_{2,h} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{if } h \rightarrow 0+.$$

*Proof.* 1° First, let us assume  $v \in \mathcal{X} \cap H^4(Q)$ . Choosing  $v_h \in \mathcal{S}_h$  as the Hermite interpolate of  $v$  by Ari-Adini's element over  $R_i \in \mathcal{R}_h$ , we obtain the assertion of our lemma. Indeed, by definition

$$v_h = \Pi_{R_i} v \quad \text{in } R_i \in \mathcal{R}_h,$$

where  $\Pi_{R_i} v \in \tilde{Q}_3(R_i)$  is uniquely determined from the values of  $v, \partial v / \partial x, \partial v / \partial y$  at the vertices of  $R_i$ . Hence  $v_h \in \mathcal{U}_h$ . Moreover, the approximation has the following order:

$$|v - v_h|_{2,h} = O(h^2) \quad \text{for } h \rightarrow 0+.$$

2° Let  $v \in \mathcal{X}$  be arbitrary. Using the same approach as in the second part of the proof of Lemma 3.2 we obtain (3.8).

We know that  $\mathcal{S}_h \not\subset H_0^2(Q)$ . The question is, how closely can an arbitrary function  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_h$  be approximated by members of  $H_0^2(Q)$ . The answer is given in

**Lemma 3.6.** *For  $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{S}_h$  there exists a function  $r_h \varphi \in H_0^2(Q)$  such that*

$$(3.9) \quad \varphi = r_h \varphi, \quad \frac{\partial r_h \varphi}{\partial v_{ih}} = L_{ih} \left( \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial v_{ih}} \right) \quad \text{on } \partial R_i, R_i \in \mathcal{R}_h$$

$$(3.10) \quad |\varphi - r_h \varphi|_{2,h} \leq c |\varphi|_{2,h}$$

$$(3.11) \quad \|\varphi - r_h \varphi\|_{0,Q} = ch^2 |\varphi|_{2,h},$$

where  $L_{ih} \varphi$  denotes the linear Lagrange interpolate of  $\varphi$  on  $\partial R_i, \partial / \partial v_{ih}$  is the normal derivative on  $\partial R_i$  and  $c > 0$  is an absolute constant.

*Proof.* Using the extension theorem from [5], one can construct the function  $r_h \varphi$  over  $R_i \in \mathcal{R}_h$ , satisfying (3.9). A detailed proof of (3.9)–(3.11) can be found in [6].

**Lemma 3.7.** *It holds*

$$(3.12) \quad \|\varphi\|_{0,Q} \leq c|\varphi|_{2,h} \quad \text{for } \forall \varphi \in S_h,$$

where  $c > 0$  is an absolute constant.

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi\|_{0,Q} &\leq \|\varphi - r_h\varphi\|_{0,Q} + \|r_h\varphi\|_{0,Q} \leq ch^2|\varphi|_{2,h} + c|r_h\varphi|_{2,Q} = \\ &= ch^2|\varphi|_{2,h} + c|r_h\varphi|_{2,h} \leq ch^2|\varphi|_{2,h} + c|r_h\varphi - \varphi|_{2,h} + c|\varphi|_{2,h} \leq \\ &\leq c|\varphi|_{2,h}, \end{aligned}$$

where (3.10), (3.11) and Friedrich's inequality in  $H_0^2(Q)$  have been used.

The main result of this part is

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let (i), (ii) hold. Then*

$$|u - u_h|_{2,h} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } h \rightarrow 0+.$$

*Proof.* The sequence  $\{u_h\}$  is bounded. Indeed, using (3.12) we have

$$(3.13) \quad \mathcal{J}_h(v_h) \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{if } |v_h|_{2,h} \rightarrow +\infty, \quad v_h \in S_h.$$

On the other hand, there exists a sequence  $\{v_h^*\}$ ,  $v_h^* \in \mathcal{U}_h$  such that (see Lemma 3.5)

$$|u - v_h^*|_{2,h} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } h \rightarrow 0+$$

and from the definition of  $u_h$ :

$$(3.14) \quad \mathcal{J}_h(u_h) \leq \mathcal{J}_h(v_h^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(u) \quad \text{if } h \rightarrow 0+.$$

From (3.13) and (3.14) the boundedness of  $\{u_h\}$  follows. Let  $r_h u_h \in H_0^2(Q)$  be functions with the properties given in (3.9)–(3.11). As

$$(3.15) \quad |r_h u_h - u_h|_{2,h} \leq c|u_h|_{2,h},$$

$$(3.16) \quad \|r_h u_h - u_h\|_{0,Q} \leq ch^2|u_h|_{2,h},$$

the sequence  $\{r_h u_h\}$  is bounded in the  $H_0^2(Q)$ -norm. Thus there exist  $v^* \in H_0^2(Q)$  and a subsequence  $\{r_{h'} u_{h'}\} \in \{r_h u_h\}$  such that

$$(3.17) \quad r_{h'} u_{h'} \rightarrow v^* \quad \text{in } H_0^2(Q).$$

The definition of  $r_h u_h$  implies that  $r_h u_h(A_i^h) \geq \psi(A_i^h)$ ,  $A_i^h \in \mathcal{N}_h$ . Hence  $v^*$  belongs to  $\mathcal{X}$  (the proof is the same as in Lemma 3.3). Finally, we use (3.7) and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |u - u_h|_{2,h}^2 &\leq \{(f; u - v_h^*) + (f; u_{h'} - v^*) + a_h(u_{h'} - u; v_h^* - u) + \\ &+ a_h(u; v^* - u_{h'}) + a_h(u; v_h^* - u)\}. \end{aligned}$$

As  $|v_{h'}^* - u|_{2,h'} \rightarrow 0$  for  $h' \rightarrow 0+$ , we have

$$(3.18) \quad (f; u - v_{h'}^*) \rightarrow 0, \quad a_{h'}(u; u - v_{h'}^*) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } h' \rightarrow 0+.$$

Further,

$$(3.19) \quad |a_{h'}(u_{h'} - u; v_{h'}^* - u)| \leq c\varepsilon |u_{h'} - u|_{2,h'}^2 + \frac{c}{\varepsilon} |v_{h'}^* - u|_{2,h'}^2$$

for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and

$$(f; u_{h'} - v^*) = (f; u_{h'} - r_{h'}u_{h'}) + (f; r_{h'}u_{h'} - v^*) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } h' \rightarrow 0+$$

by virtue of (3.16) and (3.17). It remains to estimate the term  $a_{h'}(u; v^* - u_{h'})$ . Let

$$\mathcal{Q}_2(\mathcal{R}_h) = \{v \in L^2(Q) : v|_{R_i} \text{ is a quadratic function}\}.$$

We can write

$$\begin{aligned} a_{h'}(u; v^* - u_{h'}) &= a_{h'}(u; v^* - r_{h'}u_{h'}) + a_{h'}(u; r_{h'}u_{h'} - u_{h'}) = \\ &= a_{h'}(u; v^* - r_{h'}u_{h'}) + a_{h'}(u - p; r_{h'}u_{h'} - u_{h'}) + \\ &\quad + a_{h'}(p; r_{h'}u_{h'} - u_{h'}) \quad \text{for } \forall p \in \mathcal{Q}_2(\mathcal{R}_{h'}). \end{aligned}$$

Ari-Adini's element satisfies the criterion of "the patch test" (cf. [2], [6]), i.e.

$$(3.20) \quad a_{h'}(p; r_{h'}u_{h'} - u_{h'}) = 0 \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{Q}_2(\mathcal{R}_{h'}), \quad h' > 0.$$

Let  $p_{h'} \in \mathcal{Q}_2(\mathcal{R}_{h'})$  be a piecewise quadratic Lagrange interpolate of  $u$  on  $Q$ . Then

$$|u - p_{h'}|_{2,h'} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{if } h' \rightarrow 0+.$$

This and (3.15) yields

$$(3.21) \quad a_{h'}(u - p_{h'}; u_{h'} - r_{h'}u_{h'}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{if } h' \rightarrow 0+.$$

Finally,

$$(3.22) \quad a_{h'}(u; v^* - r_{h'}u_{h'}) = a(u; v^* - r_{h'}u_{h'}) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{if } h' \rightarrow 0+$$

by virtue of (3.17). Using (3.18)–(3.22) we obtain

$$(3.23) \quad |u - u_{h'}|_{2,h'} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{if } h' \rightarrow 0+.$$

As the limit (3.23) does not depend on the choice of the subsequence  $\{u_{h'}\}$ , we obtain

$$|u - u_h|_{2,h} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{if } h \rightarrow 0+.$$

To find the solution of  $(\mathcal{P}_h)$ ,  $(\mathcal{P}'_h)$  respectively, we can apply various procedures of the quadratic programming. We restrict ourselves to  $(\mathcal{P}_h)$  only.

Let  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_M, \dots, \varphi_R$  be the interpolating basis of  $V_h$  and let the first  $M$  functions correspond to the value of the interpolated function, i.e.

$$(3.24) \quad \varphi_j(\hat{A}_i) = \delta_{ij}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \varphi_j(\hat{A}_i) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \varphi_j(\hat{A}_i) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} \varphi_j(\hat{A}_i) = 0$$

$$i, j = 1, \dots, M,$$

where  $\hat{A}_i, i = 1, \dots, M$  are all the interior nodes of  $\mathcal{P}_h$ . Hence any  $v \in V_h$  can be written in the form

$$(3.25) \quad v(x) = \sum_{j=1}^R q_j \varphi_j(x),$$

where  $q_j = v(\hat{A}_j), j = 1, \dots, M$ . From the definition of  $\mathcal{X}_h$  and (3.24), (3.25) it follows

$$v \in \mathcal{X}_h \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{q}^T = (q_1, \dots, q_R) \in \mathcal{X}_E,$$

where

$$\mathcal{X}_E = \{ \mathbf{q} \in E_R : q_j \geq \psi(\hat{A}_j), \hat{A}_j \in \mathcal{N}_h \cap Q, j = 1, \dots, M \}.$$

Substituting (3.25) into  $\mathcal{J}(v)$  we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{q}) \equiv \mathcal{J}(v) = \mathbf{q}^T A \mathbf{q} - 2\mathbf{f}^T \mathbf{q},$$

where  $\mathbf{f}^T = (f_1, \dots, f_R), A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^R, f_j = \int_Q f \varphi_j \, dx \, dy, a_{ij} = a(\varphi_i; \varphi_j)$ .

Problem  $(\mathcal{P}_h)$  can be written in the following equivalent form:

find  $\mathbf{q}^* \in \mathcal{X}_E$  such that

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_h) \quad \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{q}^*) = \min_{\mathbf{q} \in \mathcal{X}_E} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{q}).$$

It seems that one of the most effective numerical method for solving  $(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_h)$  is the modification of the well-known SOR method:

let  $\mathbf{q}^0 \in \mathcal{X}_E$  be given,

$$q_i^{m+1/2} = -\frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} q_j^{m+1} + \sum_{j=i+1}^R a_{ij} q_j^m - f_i \right)$$

$$q_i^{m+1} = \max \{ \psi(\hat{A}_i), (1 - \omega) q_i^m + \omega q_i^{m+1/2} \}, \quad i = 1, \dots, M$$

$$q_i^{m+1} = (1 - \omega) q_i^m + \omega q_i^{m+1/2}, \quad i = M + 1, \dots, R; \quad m = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $\omega \in (0, 2)$  is some selected weighting factor. For the proof of the convergence of this method see [7].

## References

- [1] *Cea J.*: Optimisation théorie et algorithmes. Dunod, Paris 1971.
- [2] *Ciarlet P. G.*: Conforming and nonconforming finite element methods for solving the plate problem. Conference on the numerical solution of differential equations, University of Dundee, July 1973, 03–06.
- [3] *Ciarlet P. G., Raviart P. A.*: General Lagrange and Hermite interpolation in  $R_n$  with applications to finite element methods. Arch. Rat. Anal. Vol. 46 (1972), 177–199.
- [4] *Glowinski R.*: Analyse numérique d'inéquations variationnelles d'ordre 4 (preprint of University Paris VI).
- [5] *Jakovlev G. N.*: The boundary properties of the functions belonging to the class  $W^{1,p}$  on domains with conical or angular points. Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. (1967), 227–313.
- [6] *Janovský V., Procházka P.*: The nonconforming finite element method in the problem of clamped plate with ribs. Apl. Mat. 21 (1976), No 4, 273–289.
- [7] *Glowinski R., Lions J. L., Trémolieres R.*: Analyse numérique des inéquations variationnelles. Dunod, Paris 1976.

## Souhrn

### O NUMERICKÉM ŘEŠENÍ JEDNÉ VARIACNÍ NEROVNOSTI 4. ŘÁDU METODOU KONEČNÝCH PRVKŮ

JAROSLAV HASLINGER

V práci je řešen problém tenké vetknuté desky, jejíž průhyb je zespondu omezen dokonale tuhou překážkou. Užitím metody konečných prvků docházíme k úloze kvadratického programování: nalézt minimum kvadratického funkcionálu na konvexní podmnožině  $\mathcal{K}_E \subset E_n$ . Užívají se dva typy konečných prvků na obdélnících a to prvky bikubické a redukované Ari-Adiniovovy prvky. Je dokázána konvergence metody a navržena konkrétní numerická metoda pro řešení úlohy v konečné dimenzi. Výhodou tohoto přístupu je to, že jen nepatrná úprava umožňuje užít stávající algoritmy pro řešení klasického problému desky.

*Author's address:* Dr. Jaroslav Haslinger CSc., katedra matematické fyziky MFF KU, Malostranské nám. 2, 118 00 Praha 1.