



## On One Class Eigenvalue Problem with Eigenvalue Parameter in the Boundary Condition at One End-Point

Nigar M. Aslanova<sup>a,b</sup>, Mamed Bayramoglu<sup>a</sup>, Khalig M. Aslanov<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics of NAS of Azerbaijan. 9, F. Agayev str., AZ1141, Baku, Azerbaijan

<sup>b</sup>Azerbaijan University of Architecture and Construction, 11 str. Ayna Sulatnova, AZ 1173, Baku, Azerbaijan

<sup>c</sup>Azerbaijan State Economic University, UNEC 6, Istiglaliyyat str., AZ 1001, Baku, Azerbaijan

**Abstract.** In the present paper we investigate the spectrum of operator corresponding to eigenvalue problem with parameter dependent boundary condition. Trace formula for that operator is also established.

### 1. Introduction

In this paper we consider the boundary value problem with boundary condition depending on the first degree polynomial of spectral parameter. Namely, we consider Sturm-Liouville equation with unbounded coefficient. Note that in boundary condition some first degree polynomials appear before unknown function, as well as its derivative. Earlier studied problems for Sturm-Liouville operator equation where spectral parameter appears only before function or only before its derivative. For example, in [1, 2, 3] the asymptotics of eigenvalues and self-adjoint extensions of minimal symmetric operators were studied. In [4-10], we have studied asymptotics of spectrum and established trace formulas for operators generated by regular and singular differential operator expressions and spectral parameter dependent boundary conditions.

Here we consider in space  $L_2(H, (0, 1))$  (where  $H$  is separable Hilbert space) the following problem

$$Ly := -y''(t) + Ay(t) + q(t)y(t) = \lambda y(t) \quad (1)$$

$$y(0) = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$y(1)(1 + \lambda) = y'(1)(h + 1 + \lambda) \quad (3)$$

where  $h$  is real number,  $A = A^*$ ,  $A > E$  ( $E$  is an identity operator in  $H$ ) and has compact inverses  $A^{-1} \in \sigma_\infty$ . Clear that under stated conditions  $A$  is discrete operator. Denote the eigenvectors of  $A$  by  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots$ . Let  $\gamma_1 \leq \gamma_2 \leq \dots$  be eigenvalues of operator  $A$ . Suppose that operator-valued function  $q(t)$  is weakly measurable and for each  $t$  is defined in  $H$ .

Fulton [11] has considered the scalar Sturm-Liouville problem

$$-y''(t) + q(t)y(t) = \lambda y(t)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 34B05, 34G20, 34L20, 47A05; Secondary 34L05, 47A10

*Keywords.* Hilbert space, differential operator equation, self-adjoint operator, discrete spectrum, regularized trace.

Received: 01 May 2016; Accepted: 10 October 2016

Communicated by Vladimir Muller

Research supported by This work was supported by the Science Development Foundation under the President of the Republic of Azerbaijan - Grant No EIF/MQM/Elm-Tehsil-1-2016-1(26)-71/10/1

*Email address:* nigar.aslanova@yahoo.com (Nigar M. Aslanova)

$$\begin{aligned} \cos \alpha u(a) + \sin \alpha u'(a) &= 0, \quad \alpha \in [0, \pi) \\ -\beta_1 u(b) - \lambda \beta'_1 u'(b) &= -\beta_2 u'(b) - \lambda \beta'_2 u'(b) \end{aligned}$$

and given an operator-theoretic formulation of that problem, showing that one can associate a self-adjoint operator with it whenever the relation

$$\beta = \begin{vmatrix} \beta'_1 & \beta_1 \\ \beta'_2 & \beta_2 \end{vmatrix} > 0$$

holds. He obtained the expansion theorem and asymptotic formulas for eigenvalues and eigenfunctions. In our case, obviously

$$\beta = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & h + 1 \end{vmatrix} = h$$

and we take  $h > 0$ .

Introduce the space  $L_2 = L_2(H, (0, 1)) \oplus H$ . Define in it scalar product of elements  $Y = (y(t), y_1), Z = (z(t), z_1) \in L_2, (y(t), z(t)) \in L_2(H, (0, 1)), y_1, z_1 \in H$  by

$$(Y, Z) = \int_0^1 (y(t), z(t)) dt + \frac{1}{h} (y(1), z(1))$$

where  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is scalar product in  $H$ .

About  $q(t)$  we assume the next:

- 1) it is a bounded operator valued function  $\|q(t)\| \leq \text{const}, t \in [0, 1], q^*(t) = q(t)$ ;
- 2)  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |(q(t) \varphi_k, \varphi_k)| < \text{const}, \forall t \in [0, 1]$ ;
- 3)  $\int_0^1 (q(t) f, f) dt = 0$ , for  $f = \varphi_k, k = \overline{1, \infty}$ .

Formulate (1)-(3) in case  $q(t) \equiv 0$  in operator form. Thus define in  $L_2$  operator  $L_0$  as

$$D(L_0) = \{Y = (y(t), y(1) - y'(1)) \in L_2 / y(0) = 0, y_1 = y(1) - y'(1), ly \in L_2(H, (0, 1))\}.$$

$$L_0 Y = (-y''(t) + Ay(t), -(y(1) - (h + 1)y'(1))).$$

Denote by  $L$  operator defined in  $L_2$  by  $L = L_0 + Q$ , where  $Q Y = (q(t)y(t), 0)$ .

Our aim is to obtain asymptotic formulae for eigenvalue distribution and establish trace formula for operator  $L$ .

## 2. Asymptotic formulae for eigenvalues

It can be easily verified that  $L_0$  is self adjoint positive-definite operator.

Since  $A$  is self adjoint operator in virtue of spectral expansion of  $A$  we get the next eigenvalue problem for scalar function  $y_k(t) = (y(t), \varphi_k) (y(t) \in L_2(H, (0, 1)))$

$$-y_k''(t) + \gamma_k y_k(t) = \lambda y_k(t) \tag{4}$$

$$y_k(0) = 0 \tag{5}$$

$$-(y_k(1) - (h + 1)y_k'(1)) = \lambda (y_k(1) - y_k'(1)) \tag{6}$$

Solution of problem (4), (5) is  $y_k(t) = \sin \sqrt{\lambda - \gamma_k} t$ . Obviously, the eigenvalues of  $L_0$  and the problem (1)-(3) are the same. They are obtained from equation

$$-(\sin \sqrt{\lambda - \gamma_k} - (h + 1) \sqrt{\lambda - \gamma_k} \cos \sqrt{\lambda - \gamma_k}) = \lambda (\sin \sqrt{\lambda - \gamma_k} - \sqrt{\lambda - \gamma_k} \cos \sqrt{\lambda - \gamma_k}) \tag{7}$$

By taking

$$\sqrt{\lambda - \gamma_k} = z$$

we can put (7) in form

$$\sin z - (h + 1)z \cos z = (z^2 + \gamma_k)(z \cos z - \sin z) \tag{8}$$

Eigenvectors of operator  $L_0$  are

$$\Psi_n = C_n \{ \sin(\alpha_{k_n, m_n} t) \varphi_{k_n}, (-\sin \alpha_{k_n, m_n} + \alpha_{k_n, m_n} \cos \alpha_{k_n, m_n}) \varphi_{k_n} \} \tag{9}$$

where  $\alpha_{k_n, m_n}$  are roots of (8). Note that  $\alpha_{k_n, 0}$  are imaginary numbers.  $C_n$  are coefficients. Normalizing that vectors we get the next orthonormal eigen-vectors:

$$\Psi_n = \sqrt{\frac{4\alpha_{k_n, m_n}}{K_{k_n, m_n}}} \{ \sin(\alpha_{k_n, m_n}) \varphi_{k_n}, (-\sin \alpha_{k_n, m_n} + \alpha_{k_n, m_n} \cos \alpha_{k_n, m_n}) \varphi_{k_n} \}$$

where  $K_{k_n, m_n} = 2\alpha_{k_n, m_n} - h \sin 2\alpha_{k_n, m_n} + 2\alpha_{k_n, m_n} - 2\alpha_{k_n, m_n} \cos 2\alpha_{k_n, m_n} - 4\alpha_{k_n, m_n}^2 \sin 2\alpha_{k_n, m_n} + 2\alpha_{k_n, m_n}^3 + 2\alpha_{k_n, m_n}^3 \cos 2\alpha_{k_n, m_n}$ .

Now find the roots of equation (8). Firstly we will investigate is there any imaginary root of that equation. For this reason taking in (8)  $z = iy, y > 0$ , we have

$$\frac{-shy}{i} - (h + 1)iy chy = (\gamma_k - y^2) \left( iy chy + \frac{shy}{i} \right)$$

or expanding into series

$$-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^{2n+1}}{(2n + 1)!} + (h + 1)y \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^{2n}}{(2n)!} = (\gamma_k - y^2) \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^{2n+1}}{(2n + 1)!} - y \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^{2n}}{(2n)!} \right).$$

Simplifying we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(h + 1)(2n + 1) - 1 - \gamma_k + \gamma_k(2n + 1)}{(2n + 1)!} y^{2n+1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^{2n+3}}{(2n)!} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^{2n+3}}{(2n + 1)!}$$

$$yh + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^{2n+3} (h(2n + 3) + (\gamma_k + 1)(2n + 2))}{(2n + 3)!} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2n - 1)y^{2n+3}}{(2n + 1)!} = 0.$$

From the last

$$yh + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^{2n+3} (2nh + 3h + 2n\gamma_k + 2\gamma_k + 2n + 2 - (2n + 3)(2n + 2)(2n - 1))}{(2n + 3)!} = 0. \tag{10}$$

Consider the function

$$f(z) = 2zh + 3h + 2z\gamma_k + 2\gamma_k + 2z + 2 - 8z^3 - 16z^2 - 2z + 6.$$

Since  $f(0) = 3h + 2\gamma_k + 8 > 0$ ,  $f(z) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $z \rightarrow +\infty$ , and  $f(z)$  is continuous on  $(0, +\infty)$ , then it has roots on positive semiaxis. There is only one sign change of terms of

$$f(z) = -8z^3 - 16z^2 + 2zh + 2z\gamma_k + 8 + 3h + 2\gamma_k.$$

Thus, function  $f(z)$  has only one positive root by Descartes principle. Denote it by  $z = M$ . Note that  $f(z) > 0$  when  $z < M$  and  $f(z) < 0$  when  $z > M$ . Therefore, coefficients of series (10) are positive when  $n < [M]$  and negative when  $n > [M]$ . Thus there is only one change of sign of coefficients (10). But obviously equation

$$-sh y + (h + 1) y ch y = (\gamma_k - y^2)(sh y - y ch y)$$

or

$$cth y = \frac{\gamma_k - y^2 + 1}{(h + 1) y + y(\gamma_k - y^2)} \tag{11}$$

has no any root for great  $y$  values. Left hand side of (11) goes to 1, while right hand side goes to 0 when  $y \rightarrow \infty$ . Consequently we get that eigenvalues corresponding to that roots are

$$\lambda_k = \gamma_k + \alpha_{k,0}^2, \tag{12}$$

where  $\alpha_{k,0} = iy$  and form some bounded set.

Now we shall look for real roots of equation (8). Rewrite it in the form

$$ctgz = \frac{z^2 + \gamma_k + 1}{z^3 + \gamma_k z + (h + 1)z}. \tag{13}$$

From (13) denoting real roots by  $\alpha_m$  we get

$$\alpha_m = \frac{\pi}{2} + \pi m + O\left(\frac{1}{m}\right) \tag{14}$$

for large  $m$  values. Eigenvalues of  $L_0$  corresponding to that roots are

$$\lambda_{k,m} = \gamma_k + \left[ \pi m + \frac{\pi}{2} + O\left(\frac{1}{m}\right) \right]^2. \tag{15}$$

Thus we have proved the next theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Eigenvalues of operator  $L_0$  form the next two sequence*

$$\lambda_k = \gamma_k + \alpha_{k,0}^2, \quad (|\alpha_{k,0}| < M, \text{ where } M \text{ is some constant}) \quad \lambda_{k,m} = \gamma_k + \left[ \pi m + \frac{\pi}{2} + O\left(\frac{1}{m}\right) \right]^2,$$

when  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Lemma 1.** *If eigenvalues of  $A$   $\gamma_k \sim a \cdot k^\alpha$  ( $\alpha > 0, a > 0$ ), then eigenvalues of operator  $L_0$  have the next asymptotics at large  $n$*

$$\lambda_n \sim Cn^{\frac{2\alpha}{2+\alpha}}$$

where  $C$  is some constant.

**Proof.** Firstly find asymptotics of distribution function  $N(\lambda)$  of operator  $L_0$ . We have

$$N(\lambda) \equiv \sum_{\lambda_k < \lambda} 1 + \sum_{\gamma_{k,m} < \lambda} 1 \equiv N_1(\lambda) + N_2(\lambda)$$

By using formulas (12) and (15) we get

$$N_1(\lambda) \sim C_1 \lambda^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$$

and

$$N_2(\lambda) \sim C_2 \lambda^{\frac{2+\alpha}{2\alpha}}.$$

But if  $\alpha > 0$  then  $\frac{1}{\alpha} < \frac{2+\alpha}{2\alpha}$ , thus  $N(\lambda) \sim N_2(\lambda)$ . From which by using similar arguments as in [11] we get the statement of Lemma 1.

Now we will calculate the first regularized trace of operator  $L$ .

Since  $Q$  is bounded operator in  $L_2(H, (0, 1) \oplus H$ , operator  $L$  is discrete (spectrum is discrete). So, its eigenvalues can be arranged in ascending order. Denote them by  $\mu_n, (n = \overline{1, \infty}), \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \dots$ . From boundedness of  $Q$  it follows that

$$\mu_n \sim Cn^{\frac{2\alpha}{2+\alpha}}, n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{16}$$

In virtue of asymptotics (16) in similar way as in [13] we get

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{n_m} [\mu_n - \lambda_n - (Q\Psi_n, \Psi_n)] = 0, \tag{17}$$

where  $n_m$  is some subsequence of natural numbers.

**Lemma 2.** *Series*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{4\alpha_{k,m} h \int_0^1 \sin^2 \alpha_{k,m} t q_k(t) dt}{K_{k,m}}$$

where  $K_{k,m} = 2\alpha_{k,m}h - h \sin 2\alpha_{k,m} + 2\alpha_{k,m} - 2\alpha_{k,m} \cos 2\alpha_{k,m} - 4\alpha_{k^2,m} \sin 2\alpha_{k,m} + 2\alpha_{k,m}^3 + 2\alpha_{k,m}^3 \cos 2\alpha_{k,m}$ , and  $q_k(t) = (q(t)\varphi_k, \varphi_k)$ , is absolutely convergent.

**Proof.** In virtue of formulas (14) and (12) the summand for big  $m$  values is equivalent to

$$O\left(\frac{1}{m^2}\right) \int_0^1 |q_k(t)| dt$$

Now validity of statement follows from assumptions 1) and (2).

In virtue of Lemma 2 and expression (9)

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{n_m} (Q\Psi_n, \Psi_n) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{2\alpha_{k,m} h \int_0^1 \cos(2\alpha_{k,m} t) q_k(t) dt}{K_{k,m}}$$

Consider the finite sum

$$S_N = \sum_{m=0}^N 2\alpha_{k,m} h \cos(2\alpha_{k,m} t) \tag{18}$$

For evaluating  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} S_N$  choose a function of complex variable whose poles are roots of equation (8), and residues of that function at poles are terms of sum (18). Taking the function

$$g(z) = \frac{2zh \cos 2zt}{\left(\frac{\sin z - (h+1)2 \cos z}{z \cos z - \sin z} - z^2 - \gamma_k\right) (z \cos z - \sin z)^2}$$

we can see that it has such properties. Hence its poles are  $\alpha_{k,m}$ .

Evaluate residues of  $g(z)$  at poles. Thus

$$res_{z=\alpha_{k,m}} g(z) = \left[ \frac{(\cos z - (h+1) \cos z + (h+1) z \sin z) (z \cos z - \sin z)}{(z \cos z - \sin z)^2} - \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \frac{(\cos z - z \sin z - \cos z)(\sin z - (h + 1)z \cos z)}{(z \cos z - \sin z)^2} - 2z \Bigg|_{z=\alpha_{k,n}} (\alpha_{k,n} \cos \alpha_{k,n} - \sin \alpha_{k,n})^2 \\
 &= \frac{2\alpha_{k,m} h \cos 2\alpha_{k,m} t}{A_{k,m}} \\
 &= \frac{2\alpha_{k,n} h \cos (2\alpha_{k,n} t)}{-h\alpha_{k,n} + h \cos \alpha_{k,n} \sin \alpha_{k,n} - 2\alpha_{k^3,n} \cos^2 \alpha_{k,n} + 4\alpha_{k^2,n} \sin \alpha_{k,n} \cos \alpha_{k,n} - 2\alpha_{k,n} \sin^2 \alpha_{k,n}} \\
 &= \frac{4\alpha_{k,n} h \cos (2\alpha_{k,n} t)}{-2h\alpha_{k,n} + h \sin 2\alpha_{k,n} - 2\alpha_{k^3,n} - 2\alpha_{k^3,n} \cos^2 \alpha_{k,n} + 4\alpha_{k^2,n} \sin 2\alpha_{k,n} + 2\alpha_{k,n} \cos 2\alpha_{k,n}} \tag{19}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $A_{k,m} = (-h \cos \alpha_{k,m} + h\alpha_{k,m} \sin \alpha_{k,m} + \alpha_{k,m} \sin \alpha_{k,m})(\alpha_{k,m} \cos \alpha_{k,m} - \sin \alpha_{k,m}) - \alpha_{k,m}^2 (h + 1) \cos \alpha_{k,m} \sin \alpha_{k,m} - 2\alpha_{k,m}^3 \cos^2 \alpha_{k,m} + 4\alpha_{k,m}^2 \sin \alpha_{k,m} \cos \alpha_{k,m} - 2\alpha_{k,m} \sin^2 \alpha_{k,m}$ . As it is seen from (19) residues of  $g(z)$  at poles are terms of sum in (18).

But roots of equation  $z \cos z - \sin z = 0$  are also poles of  $g(z)$ .

Denote them by  $\beta_n$ . They have the asymptotics  $\beta_n \sim \frac{\pi}{2} + \pi n$  for large  $n$ . Thus

$$\operatorname{res}_{z=\beta_n} g(z) = \frac{2\beta_n h \cos (2\beta_n t)}{-\left(\sin \beta_n - \beta_n (h + 1) \cos \beta_n - (\beta_n^2 + \gamma_k)(\beta_n \cos \beta_n - \sin \beta_n)\right)\beta_n \sin \beta_n}$$

in virtue of relation

$$\beta_n \cos \beta_n - \sin \beta_n = 0,$$

it follows that

$$\operatorname{res}_{z=\beta_n} g(x) = \frac{2\beta_n h \cos (2\beta_n t)}{(\sin \beta_n - \beta_n (h + 1) \cos \beta_n)(-\beta_n \sin \beta_n)} = \frac{2\beta_n h \cos (2\beta_n t)}{-\beta_n h \cos \beta_n (-\beta_n \sin \beta_n)} = \frac{4 \cos (2\beta_n t)}{\beta_n \sin 2\beta_n}.$$

We must select for each  $k$  a contour which includes all  $\alpha_{k,m}$  ( $m = \overline{0, N}$ ) values, so integration of  $g(z)$  along that contour will yield the sum in (19) by Cauchy theorem.

For that purpose take a rectangular contour  $C_N$  with vertices at points  $A_N \pm iB$ ,  $\pm iB$ , where  $A_N = \pi(N + 1)$ , and  $B > |\alpha_{k,0}|$ .

Let  $C_N$  by pass the origin and  $-\alpha_{k,0}$  along semicircle from the left, and imaginary numbers  $\alpha_{k,0}$  ( $n = \overline{1, M_0}$ ) from the right. Since  $g(z)$  is odd function of argument  $z$  the integral along left-hand side of contour vanishes. Consider integral along semicircle by-pasing zero from the left:

$$\begin{aligned}
 i &= \int_{\substack{z=re^{i\varphi} \\ -\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \varphi \leq \frac{\pi}{2}}} g(z) dz \\
 &= \int_{\substack{z=re^{i\varphi} \\ -\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \varphi \leq \frac{\pi}{2}}} \frac{2zh \cos 2zt dz}{(\sin z - (h + 1)z \cos z)(z \cos z - \sin z)(z^2 + \gamma_k)(z \cos z - \sin z)^2} = \int_{\substack{z=re^{i\varphi} \\ -\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \varphi \leq \frac{\pi}{2}}} \frac{2zh \left[1 - \frac{4z^2 t^2}{2!} + \dots\right]}{F(z)},
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $F(z) = \left[ z - \frac{z^3}{3!} + \dots (h + 1)z \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{2!} + \dots\right) \right] \left[ z \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{2!} + \dots\right) - \left(z - \frac{z^3}{3!} + \dots\right) \right] - (z^2 + \gamma_k) \left[ z^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}(1 - 2z^2 + \dots)\right) - z \left(2z - \frac{8z^3}{3!} + \dots\right) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - 1 + \frac{4z^2}{2!} + \dots) \right]$ .

When  $r \rightarrow 0$

$$\int_{\substack{z=re^{i\varphi} \\ -\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \varphi \leq \frac{\pi}{2}}} g(z) dz \sim \int_{\substack{z=re^{i\varphi} \\ -\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \varphi \leq \frac{\pi}{2}}} \frac{2zh \left[1 - 2z^2 t^2 + \dots\right]}{-2\gamma_k z^2} dz$$

so

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{\substack{z=re^{i\varphi} \\ -\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \varphi \leq \frac{\pi}{2}}} g(z) dz = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{h i r e^{i\varphi} d\varphi}{-\gamma_k r e^{i\varphi}} = -\frac{h}{\gamma_k} \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2} \right] = -\frac{\pi h i}{\gamma_k}, \tag{20}$$

Since for big  $z$  values

$$g(z) \sim \frac{\cos 2zt}{z^3 \cos^2 2},$$

taking  $z = u + vi$  it is easily seen that  $g(z)$  will be of order  $e^{2|v|(t-1)}$ . That is why integral along upper and lower parts of contour vanishes as  $B \rightarrow \infty$ .

On the right hand side of contour when  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\lim_{B \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{A_N - iB}^{A_N + iB} g(z) dz \sim \lim_{B \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{-iB}^{+iB} \frac{\cos(2\pi(N+1)t + 2tiv)}{(A_N + iv)^3 (1 + \cosh 2v)} dv \sim \frac{1}{A_N^3 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\cosh(2tv)}{(1 + \cosh 2v)} dv \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \left( \int_{C_N} g(z) dz \right) q_k(t) dt = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \left[ S_N(t) + T_N(t) - \frac{h}{\gamma_k} \right] q_k(t) dt = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 [S_N(t) + T_N(t)] q_k(t) dt \tag{21}$$

where

$$T_N(t) = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{4 \cos(2\beta_n t)}{\beta_n \sin 2\beta_n}$$

For evaluating  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} T_N(t)$  in accordance with above arguments select function of complex variable

$$G(z) = \frac{\cos 2zt}{(z \cos z - \sin z) \cos z}$$

Obviously poles of that function are zeros of  $\cos z$ , i.e.  $\frac{\pi}{2} + \pi n = \delta_n$  and  $\beta_n$ .

$$\operatorname{res}_{z=\beta_n} G(z) = \frac{2 \cos 2\beta_n t}{(\cos \beta_n - \beta_n \sin \beta_n - \cos \beta_n) \cos \beta_n} = -\frac{2 \cos 2\beta_n t}{\beta_n \sin \beta_n \cos \beta_n} = \frac{-4 \cos 2\beta_n t}{\beta_n \sin 2\beta_n} \tag{22}$$

and

$$\operatorname{res}_{z=\delta_n} G(z) = \frac{\cos(2\delta_n t)}{\sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \pi n\right)} = \cos(\pi + 2\pi n)t = \cos(2n + 1)\pi t. \tag{23}$$

Selecting corresponding contour in the way similar to one done above and extending it to infinity we can show that limit of  $G(z)$  along it vanishes. Denote by  $M_N(t)$  the sum

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \cos(2n + 1)\pi t.$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 S_N(t) f_k(t) dt = - \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 T_N(t) q_k(t) dt = - \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 M_N(t) q_k(t) dt = \frac{1}{4} [q_k(1) - q_k(0)] \quad (24)$$

Summing the last for  $k = \overline{1, \infty}$  we will have from (17)

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{n_m} [\mu_n - \lambda_n] = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{n_m} (Q\Psi_n, \Psi_n) = \frac{1}{4} [\operatorname{tr} q(1) - \operatorname{tr} q(0)]$$

Thus we have proved the next theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Under the conditions 1)-3) and Lemma 1, the next trace formula for operator  $L$  is true

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{n_m} [\mu_n - \lambda_n] = \frac{1}{4} [\operatorname{tr} q(1) - \operatorname{tr} q(0)].$$

## References

- [1] Gorbachuk V.I., Rybak M.A. On self-adjoint extensions of the minimal operator associated with Sturm-Liouville expression with operator potential and nonhomogeneous boundary conditions. Dokl. AN URSSR, ser A,4 (1975) 300-304.
- [2] Rybak, M.A. On asymptotics of eigenvalue distribution of some boundary value problems for Sturm-Liouville operator equation. Ukr. Math. J. 32(2)(1980) 248-252.
- [3] Aliev B.A. Asymptotic behavior of eigenvalues of one boundary value problem for elliptic differential operator equation of second order. Ukr. Math. J. 5(8) 1146-1152.
- [4] Aslanova N.M. A trace formula of one boundary value problem for the Sturm-Liouville operator equation. Siberian Math. Journal. 49(6) (2008) 1207-1215.
- [5] Bayramoglu M. Aslanova, N.M. Formula for second order regularized trace of a problem with spectral parameter dependent boundary condition. Hacet. J. Math. Stat., 40(5)(2011) 635–647.
- [6] Aslanova N.M. The asymptotics of eigenvalues and trace formula of operator associated with one singular problem. Bound. Value Probl. (2012)12 8, doi: 10.1186/1687-2770-2012-8.
- [7] Aslanova N.M. Investigation of spectrum and trace formula of Bessel's operator equation. Siberian Journal of Mathematics, 51(4)(2010) 722–737.
- [8] Bayramoglu M., Aslanova N.M. Eigenvalue distribution and trace formula of operator Sturm-Liouville equation. Ukrain. Math. J. 62(7)(2010) 867–877.
- [9] Aslanova N.M., Bayramoglu M. On generalized trace of fourth order differential operator with operator coefficient. Ukrain. Math. J. 66(1) (2014)128-134.
- [10] Aslanova N.M. Study of the asymptotic eigenvalue distribution and trace formula of a second order operator differential equation. Boundary value problems,(2011) 7, doi: 10.1186—1687-2770-2011-7, 22p.
- [11] Gorbachuk W.I., Gorbachuk M.L. On some class of boundary value problems for Sturm-Liouville operator with operator potential. Ukr. mathem. journal, 24(3)(1972) 291-305.
- [12] Gelfand I.M., Levitan B.M. About one simple identity for eigenvalue of second order differential operator. DAN SSSR, 88(4) (1953) 593-596.
- [13] Maksudov F.G., Bayramoglu M., Adigezalov A.A., On regularized trace of Sturm-Liouville operator on finite segment with unbounded operator coefficient. DAN SSSR. 277(4) (1984) 795-799.
- [14] Sadovnichii V.A., Podolskii V.E. Trace of operators with relatively compact perturbation, Matem. Sbor., 193(2)(2002) 129-152.
- [15] Fulton Ch.T. Two- point boundary value problems with eigenvalue parameter contained in the boundary condition. Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, 77 A(1977) 293-308.
- [16] Walter J. Regular eigenvalue problems with eigenvalue parameter in the boundary conditions. Math. Z. 133(1973) 301-312.