# ON OPTIMAL CONTROL PROBLEMS WITH BOUNDED STATE VARIABLES AND CONTROL APPEARING LINEARLY

## H. Maurer

# Mathematisches Institut der Universität Würzburg 87 Würzburg, Am Hubland, W.-Germany

<u>Summary</u>: Necessary conditions for the junction of interior arcs and boundary arcs of an optimal control are given. These conditions are based on necessary conditions for the switching function holding at junction points or contact points with the state boundary. The junction theorems obtained are similar to junction theorems in singular control problems.

#### 1. Formulation of the problem

We consider the following control problem with control appearing linearly: determine the scalar, piecewise continuous control u(t), t  $\in$  [0,T], which minimizes the functional

(1) 
$$J(u) = G(x(T))$$

subject to

(2) 
$$\dot{x} = f_1(x) + f_2(x)u$$

(3)  $x(0) = x_0, \psi(x(T)) = 0$ 

(4)  $|u(t)| \leq K(t) , K(t) > 0 , 0 \leq t \leq T$ 

and the scalar state inequality constraint of order p

$$(5) \qquad S(x) \leq 0.$$

The state x is an n-vector. The functions  $G:\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\psi:\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^k$ , k < n, are differentiable and for simplicity the functions  $f_1, f_2:\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $S:\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  are assumed to be analytic in a suitable domain; K(t) is analytic in [0,T].

Along a trajectory x(t) of (2) the i-th time derivative of S(x(t)) is denoted by  $S^{1}$ , i>0. Then by definition of the order p of the state constraint (5)  $S^{p}$  is the first derivative containing the control u explicitly and we have

(6) 
$$S^{1} = S^{1}(x)$$
, i=0,...,p-1,  $S^{p} = S^{p}(x,u) = a(x) + b(x)u$ .

A subarc of x(t) with S(x(t)) < 0 is called an <u>interior arc</u> and a subarc of x(t) with S(x(t)) = 0 for  $t_1 \le t \le t_2$ ,  $t_1 < t_2$ , is called a <u>boundary arc</u>. Here  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  are called <u>entry</u>- and <u>exit-</u> <u>point</u> or simply <u>junction points</u> of the boundary arc. If  $S(x(t_1)) = 0$ and S(x(t)) < 0 for  $t \ne t_1$  in a neighborhood of  $t_1$  then  $t_1$  is called a <u>contact point</u> of x(t) with the boundary.

The <u>boundary control</u> is determined by  $S^{p}(x,u) = 0$  which gives (7) u = u(x) = -a(x)/b(x).

Let u(t) = u(x(t)) and b(t) = b(x(t)). It is assumed that along a boundary arc in  $[t_1, t_2]$  the following condition holds:

(8) 
$$b(t) \neq 0$$
 for  $t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$ ,  $|u(t)| < K(t)$  for  $t_1 < t < t_2$ .

#### 2. Necessary conditions of the Minimum-Principle

The necessary conditions for an extremal arc of (1)-(5) are developed in [1],[2]. It can be shown that the function  $n^{\star}$  of bounded variation in [2,Th.2.3] has a continuous derivative n on the interior of a boundary arc for p-th order state constraints. Define the Hamiltonian

(9) 
$$H(x,u,\lambda,\eta) = \lambda^{T} f_{1}(x) + \lambda^{T} f_{2}(x)u + \eta S(x)$$

where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$  ,  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$  and where the superscript T denotes the transpose.

1. There exists a scalar function  $n(t) \ge 0$  which satisfies n(t)S(x(t)) = 0,  $t \in [0,T]$ , and which is continuous on the interior of a boundary arc. The adjoint variable  $\lambda(t)$  satisfies

(10) 
$$\lambda^{\mathrm{T}} = -\lambda^{\mathrm{T}} (\mathbf{f}_{1} + \mathbf{f}_{2} \mathbf{u})_{\mathrm{X}} - \mathbf{n} \mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{X}} , \lambda^{\mathrm{T}} (\mathrm{T}) = \mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{X}} (\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{T})) + \sigma^{\mathrm{T}} \Psi_{\mathrm{X}} (\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{T})) , \sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{k}$$

2. The jump condition at a contact point or junction point  $t_1$  is

(11) 
$$\lambda^{\mathrm{T}}(\mathbf{t}_{1}^{+}) = \lambda^{\mathrm{T}}(\mathbf{t}_{1}^{-}) - \nu_{1} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{t}_{1})) , \quad \nu_{1} \geq 0 .$$

3. The optimal control u(t) minimizes  $H(x(t),u,\lambda(t),\eta(t))$  over u with  $|u| \leq K(t)$ .

The coefficient of u in (9) is called the switching function

(12) 
$$\Phi(t) = \lambda^{T}(t)f_{2}(x(t)) .$$

Then the optimal control u(t) is given on an <u>interior arc</u> by

(13) 
$$u(t) = -K(t) \operatorname{sgn}^{\Phi}(t)$$

where for simplicity  $\Phi(t)$  is assumed to have only isolated zeros, i.e. u(t) is a nonsingular control. On a <u>boundary arc</u> in  $[t_1, t_2]$  the optimal control is the boundary control (7). The assumption (8) and the Minimum-Principle then imply

(14) 
$$H_{1}(t) = \Phi(t) = 0 \text{ for } t_{1}^{+} \le t \le t_{2}^{-}$$

Thus the boundary control behaves like a <u>singular</u> control in singular control problems. We can expect therefore necessary conditions for junctions between interior and boundary arcs which are similar to those in McDanell, Powers [3].

## 3. Relations for the switching function at contact or junction points

Let  $t_1$  be a contact point or a junction point and let  $u^{(r)}(t)$ ,  $r \ge 0$ , be the lowest order derivative of the control u(t) which is <u>discontinuous</u> at  $t_1$ . Furthermore let the integer q be the order of a singular arc, i.e.  $\Phi^{(2q)}$  is the lowest order time derivative of  $\Phi$  which contains the control u explicitly. Under the assumption  $p \le 2q+r$  one can show the following relations for the switching function by using the jump condition (11):

(15) 
$$\Phi^{(i)}(t_1^+) = \Phi^{(i)}(t_1^-)$$
,  $i = 0, ..., p-2$ 

(16) 
$$\Phi^{(p-1)}(t_1^+) = \Phi^{(p-1)}(t_1^-) - \nu_1(-1)^{p-1}b(t_1)$$
.

The assumption  $p \leq 2q+r$  always holds for  $p \leq 2$ . The relation (16) implies that  $v_1 > 0$  is equivalent to the discontinuity of  $\mathfrak{s}^{(p-1)}(t)$  at  $t_1$ . Now let  $t_1$  be an <u>entry-point</u> of a boundary arc. Then we get  $\mathfrak{s}^{(i)}(t_1^+) = 0$  for  $i \geq 0$  by virtue of (14) and hence (15),(16) yield

(17) 
$$\mathfrak{s}^{(i)}(t_1) = 0$$
,  $i = 0, \dots, p-2$ 

(18) 
$$v_1 = (-1)^{p-1} \Phi^{(p-1)}(t_1) / b(t_1) \ge 0 \quad .$$

The relations (17),(18) remain valid at an exit-point  $t_2$  with  $t_1^-$  resp.  $v_1$  replaced by  $t_2^+$  resp.  $-v_2$ .

4. Junction Theorems

Based on (17),(18) the following theorem can be proved using ideas similar to those in [3,Th.1]

<u>Theorem 1</u>: Let  $t_1$  be a point where an interior nonsingular arc and a boundary arc of an optimal control u are joined and assume that u is piecewise analytic in a neighborhood of  $t_1$ . Let  $u^{(r)}$ ,  $r \ge 0$ , be the lowest order derivative of u which is discontinuous at  $t_1$  and let  $p \le 2q+r$ . If  $v_1 > 0$  then p+r is an even integer.

Corollary 1: Under the assumptions of Theorem 1 the following statements are valid.

(i) If p+r is odd then  $v_1 = 0$  holds at a junction point  $t_1$ . (ii) If p+r is odd and if  $v_1 > 0$  then  $t_1$  cannot be a junction point but can only be a contact point with the boundary.

The next theorem treats the case  $v_1 = 0$ , i.e.  $\Phi^{(p-1)}(t_1) = 0$ , and is dual to a result for singular control problems [3,Th.2].

<u>Theorem 2</u>: Let  $t_1$  be a point where an interior nonsingular arc and a boundary arc of an optimal control u are joined and assume that u is piecewise analytic in a neighborhood of  $t_1$ . Let  $\Phi^{(p+m)}(t_1)$ ,  $m \ge 0$ , be the lowest order nonvanishing derivative of  $\Phi$  and let  $u^{(r)}$ ,  $r \ge 0$ , be the lowest order derivative of u which is discontinuous at  $t_1$ . If p+m < 2q+r then p+r+m is an odd integer.

In the 'normal case' r = 0 the preceding junction theorems allow a rough <u>classification</u> of the behaviour of the extremals with respect to the order p. For  $\underline{p=1}$  numerical examples show that the extremals contain in general only boundary arcs. Hence  $v_1 = 0$  at a junction point  $t_1$  by Corollary 1 and the integer m in Theorem 2 is even. Usually we have m = 0 and thus  $\tilde{\Psi}(t_1) = \tilde{\Psi}(t_2) = 0$ ,  $\tilde{\Psi}(t_1) \neq 0$ ,  $\tilde{\Psi}(t_2^+) \neq 0$  at an entry-point  $t_1$  or exit-point  $t_2$ . If p is <u>even</u> then contact points and boundary arcs are possible for  $v_1 > 0$ . If p is <u>odd</u> and  $p \ge 3$  then only contact points with the boundary are possible for  $v_1 > 0$ . A similar result holds for a regular Hamiltonian, cf. [1].

Proofs, further junction theorems and numerical examples will appear elsewhere [4]. The duality of control problems with bounded

state variables and singular control problems is also displayed by similar numerical algorithms for both problems [5],[6].

### <u>References</u>

- [1] Jacobson, D.H., Lele, M.M., Speyer, J.L.: New Necessary Conditions of Optimality for Control Problems with State-Variable Inequality Constraints. J. of Math. Analysis and Appl. <u>35</u> (1971), 255-284.
- [2] Norris, D.O.: Nonlinear Programming Applied to State-Constrained Optimization Problems. J. of Math. Analysis and Appl. <u>43</u> (1973), 261-272.
- [3] McDanell, J.P., Powers, W.F.: Necessary Conditions for Joining Singular and Nonsingular Subarcs. SIAM J. on Control <u>9</u> (1971), 161-173
- [4] Maurer,H.: On Optimal Control Problems with Bounded State Variables and Control Appearing Linearly. Submitted to SIAM J. on Control.
- [5] Maurer, H., Gillessen, W.: Application of Multiple Shooting to the Numerical Solution of Optimal Control Problems with Bounded State Variables. To appear in COMPUTING.
- [6] Maurer, H.: Numerical Solution of Singular Control Problems Using Multiple Shooting Techniques. To appear in JOTA <u>18</u>, No.2 (1976).