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## ON PAIRWISE LINDELÖF SPACES

by
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ABSTRACT. In this paper we define pairwise Lindelöf spaces and study the ir properties and their relations with other topological spaces. We also study certain conditions by which a bitopological space will reduce to a single topology. Several examples are discussed and many well known theorems are generalized concerning Lindelöf spaces.

RESUMEN. En este artículo se definen espacios p-Lindelöf y se estudian sus propiedades y relaciones con otros tipos de espacios topológicos. Tambiên se estudian ciertas condiciones bajo las cuales un espacio bitopológico (con dos topologias) se reduce a uno con una sola topología. Se discuten varios ejemplos y se generalizan varios teoremas sobre espacios de Lindelöf.

1. Introducción. Kelly [6] introduced the notion of a bitopological space, i.e. a triple ( $X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ) where $X$ is a set and $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ are two topologies on $X$, he also defined pairwise Haus-
dorff, pairwise regular, pairwise normal spaces, and obtained generalizations of several standard results such as Urysohn's Lemma and the Tietze extension theorem. Several authors have since considered the problem of defining compactness for such spaces: see Kim [7], Fletcher, Hoyle and Patty [4], and Birsan [1]. Cooke and Reilly [2] have discussed the relations between these definitions.

In this paper we give a definition of pairwise Lindelöf bitopological spaces and derive some related results.

We will use p- , s- to denote pairwise and semi-, respectively, e.g. p- compact, s- compact stand for pairwise compact and semi-compact respectively.

The $\tau_{i}$-closure, $\tau_{i}$-interior of a set $A$ will be denoted by $\mathrm{Cl}_{i} \mathrm{~A}$ and Int ${ }_{i} \mathrm{~A}$ respectively. The product topology of $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ will be denoted by $\tau_{1} \times \tau_{2}$.

Let $\mathbf{R}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{N}$ denote the set of all real numbers, the interval. $[0,1]$, and the natural numbers respectively. Let $\tau_{d}, \tau_{u}, \tau_{c}$, $\tau_{\ell}, \tau_{r}$ denote the discrete, usual, cocountable, left-ray and rigth-ray topologies on R (or I ).
2. Pairwise Lindelöf Spaces. Let us recall known definitions which are used in the sequel.
2.1 [4]. A cover $U$ of the bitopological space $\left(x, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is called $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-open if $U \subset \tau_{1} \cup \tau_{2}$. If, in addition, $\mathcal{U}$ contains at least one non-empty member of $\tau_{1}$ and at least one non-empty member of $\tau_{2}$, it is called p-open.
2.2 [4]. A bitopological space is called p-compact if every p-open cover of the space has a finite subcover.
2.3 [3]. A bitopological space is calle s-compact if every $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-open cover of the space has a finite subcover.
2.4 [1]. A bitopological space $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is called $\tau_{1}$ compact with respect to $\tau_{2}$ if for each $\tau_{1}$-open cover of $X$ there is a finite $\tau_{2}$-open subcover.
2.5 [1]. A bitopological space $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is called B-compact if it is $\tau_{1}$ compact with respect to $\tau_{2}$ and $\tau_{2}$ compact with respecto to $\tau_{1}$.

If we replace the word "finite" by the word "countable" in definitions $2.2,2.3$ and 2.4 , then we obtain the definition of $p$-Lindelöf, s-Lindelöf, and $\left(x, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is $\tau_{1}$ Lindelöf with respect to $\tau_{2}$, respectively.
2.6 A bitopological space $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is called B-Lindelöf if it is $\tau_{1}$ Lindelöf with respecto to $\tau_{2}$ and $\tau_{2}$ Lindelöff with respecto to $\tau_{1}$.

It is clear that $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is s-Lindelöf if and only if ( $X, \tau$ ) is Lindelöf where $\tau$ is the least-upper-bound topology of $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$. It is also clear that if ( $X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ) is B-Lindelöff then each ( $X, \tau_{i}$ ) must be a Lindelöf space for $i=1,2$.
2.7 When we say that a bitopological space $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ has a particular topological property, without referring specially to $\tau_{1}$ or $\tau_{2}$, we shall then mean that both $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ have the property; for instance, $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is said to be Hausdoff if both $\left(X, \tau_{1}\right)$ and $\left(X, \tau_{2}\right)$ are Hausdorff.

THEOREM 2.8. The bitopological space $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is $s$-Lin-
delöb if and only if it is Lindelöb and p-Lindelöb.
Proob. Necessity follows inmediately from the observation that any $p$-open, $\tau_{1}$-open or $\tau_{2}$-open cover of ( $x, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ) is $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}-0-$ pen. Conversely, if a $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-open cover of ( $X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ) is not p-open, then it is $\tau_{1}$-open or $\tau_{2}$-open.

EXAMPLE 2.9. The bitopological space $\left(\mathbb{R}, \tau_{d}, \tau_{c}\right)$ is p-Lindelöf but is not s-Lindelöf.

EXAMPLE 2.10. Consider the two topologies $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ on $R$ defined by the basis

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{B}_{1}=\{(-\infty, a): a>0\} \cup\{\{x\}: x>0\} \text {, and } \\
& \mathcal{B}_{2}=\{(a, \infty): a<0\} \cup\{\{x\}: x<0\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then ( $\mathbb{R}, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ) is $p$-Lindelöf but is not Lindelöf. It is also clear that ( $R, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ) is not B-Lindelöf, for the $\tau_{1}$-open cover $\{(-\infty, 1)\} \cup\{\{x\}: x \geqslant 1\}$ of $\mathbb{R}$ has no countable $\tau_{2}$-open subcover.
2.11 [8]. A bitopological space ( $\mathrm{X}, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ) is called p-countably compact if every countably $p$-open cover of X has a finite subcover.
2.12 A bitopological space ( $X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ) is called s-countable compact if every countably $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-open cover of $X$ has a finite subcover.
2.13 A bitopological space ( $\mathrm{X}, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ) is called $\tau_{1}$-countably compact with respect to $\tau_{2}$ if for each countably $\tau_{1}$-open cover of X there is a finite $\tau_{2}$-open subcover.
2.14 A bitopological space $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is called B-countalby compact if it is $\tau_{1}$ countably compact with respect to $\tau_{2}$ and $\tau_{2}$ countably compact with respecto to $\tau_{1}$.

The following fact is obvious:

THEOREM 2.15. (i) Every p(resp. s,B)-compact space is $p(r e s p . s, B)$-countably compact and $p(r e s p . s, B)$-Lindelöf. (ii) Every p(resp. s,B)-countably compact p(resp. s,B)-Lindelöf space is $p$ (resp. s,B)-compact.

EXAMPLE 2.16. The bitopological space $\left(\mathbb{R}, \tau_{d}, \tau_{c}\right)$ is a p-Lindelöf space which is neither p-countably compact nor p-compact.

EXAMPLE 2.17. Let $\tau_{s}$ denotes the Sorgenfrey topology on $\mathbb{R}$. Then the bitopological space ( $\mathbb{R}, \tau_{u}, \tau_{s}$ ) is s-Lindelof but is not B-Lindelöf, because the $\tau_{s}$-open cover $\{[-\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}): \mathrm{n} \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of $\mathbb{R}$ has no $\tau_{u}$-open countable subcover. It is also clear that the space ( $\mathbb{R}, \tau_{u}, \tau_{s}$ ) is neither s-countably compact nor $s$-compact.

EXAMPLE 2.18. It is clear that the bitopological space $\left(\mathbb{N}, \tau_{d}, \tau_{d}\right)$ is B-Lindelöf but is neither B-countably compact nor B-compact.

THEOREM 2.19. If $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is a hereditary Lindelö́ space then it is $s$-Lindelöf.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{E}=\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\right\} U\left\{V_{\beta}: \beta \in \Gamma\right\}$ be a $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-open cover of $X$, where $U_{\alpha} \in \tau_{1}$ for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$ and $V_{\beta} \in \tau_{2}$ for each $\beta \in \Gamma$. Since $U=U\left\{U_{\alpha} \alpha \in \Lambda\right\}$ is $\tau_{1}$-Lindelöf, there exists a countable set $\Lambda_{1} \subset \Lambda$ such that $U=U\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda_{1}\right\}$. Similarly, since $V=U\left\{V_{\beta}: \beta \in \Gamma\right\}$ is $\tau_{2}$-Lindelöf, there exists a countable set $\Gamma_{1} \subset \Gamma$ such that $V=U\left\{V_{\beta}: \beta \in \Gamma_{1}\right\}$. It is clear that $\left\{\mathrm{U}_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda_{1}\right\} U\left\{\mathrm{~V}_{\beta}: \beta \in \Gamma_{1}\right\}$ is a countable subcover of $\bar{E}$ for $X$.

COROLLARY 2.20. Every second countable bitopological space is $s$-Lindelöf.

EXAMPLE 2.21. Let $X=\mathbb{R} \times \mathbf{I}$ and $<$ be the lexicographical
order on X . Let

$$
\mathcal{B}_{1}=\{[x, y): x<y ; x, y \in x\} \text { and } \mathcal{B}_{2}=\{(x, y]: x<y ; x, y \in x\} .
$$

Let $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ be the topologies on $X$ which generated by the basis $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{2}$, respectively. Then $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is a Lindelöf space which is not p-Lindelöf, because the p-open cover

$$
\{[(0, x),(1, x)),((0, x),(1, x)]: x \in \mathbb{R}\}
$$

of $X$ has no countable subcover. It is clear that $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is neither s-Lindelöf nor B-Lindelöf.

EXAMPLE 2.22. Let $X$ and $\tau_{1}$ be the same as in example 2.21. Then the bitopological space $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is not hereditary Lindelöf but it is s-Lindelöf.

EXAMPLE 2.23. Let $X=R, \mathcal{B}_{1}=\{x,\{x\}: x \in X-\{0\}\}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{2}=\{X,\{x\}: x \in X-\{1\}\}$. Let $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ be the topologies on $X$ which are generated by the bases $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{2}$, respectively. Then ( $X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ) is B-Lindelöf, for any $\tau_{1}$-open cover of $X$ or any $\tau_{2}$-open cover of $X$ must contain $X$ as a member. However, $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is not $p$-Lindelöf, for the $p$-open cover $\{\{x\}: x \in X\}$ of $X$ has no countable subcover.

We may summarize some of the above examples and theorems by the diagram on the next page ( $T$ stands for theorem while E stands for example).
2.24 [7]. If $\tau$ is a topology on $X$ and $A$ is a non-empty subset of $X$ then the adjoint topology (denoted by $\tau(A)$ ) is the topology on $X$ defined by $\tau(A)=\{\phi, X\} \cup\{A \cup B: B \in \tau\}$.

2.25 A family $\mathcal{F}$ of nonvoid subsets of $X$ is $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-closed if every member of $\mathcal{F}$ is $\tau_{1}$-closed or $\tau_{2}$-closed.
2.26 [8]. A family $\mathcal{F}$ of nonvoid $\tau_{1}$ - or $\tau_{2}$-closed sets in $X$ is $p$-closed if $F$ contains members $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ such that $F_{1}$ is a $\tau_{1}$-closed proper subset of $X$ and $F_{2}$ is a $\tau_{2}$-closed proper subset of X .
2.27 [6]. A set $U$ in a topological space ( $X, \tau$ ) is called weakly open if for any $p \in U$ there exists an open set $V$ containing $p$ such that $V-U$ is a countable set. A set $F$ is called weakly closed if $X-F$ is weakly open. If $A$ is a subset of $X$ and $p \in X$, then $p$ is called a weak-interior point of $A$ if there exists a weakly open set V containing p such that V cA. The set of all weak-interior points of a set A is denoted by WInt A.

It is clear that WInt A is the largest weakly open set contained in $A$. It is also clear that WInt $A=A$ if and only if $A$ is weakly open, and Int $B \subset W I n t ~ B$ for any set $B \subset X$.

LEMMA 2.28. Let $\left(x, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be a p-Lindelöf space and $C$ be a weakly closed proper subset in $\left(X, \tau_{1}\right)$. Then $C$ is $\tau_{2}$-Lindelöf.

Proo6. Let $C$ be a nonempty $\tau_{1}$-weakly closed proper subset of $X$ and let $\mathcal{E}=\left\{V_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\right\}$ be a $\tau_{2}$-open cover for $C$. For each $x \in X-C$ there exists a $\tau_{1}$-open set $H(x)$ such that $x \in H(x)$ and $H(x) \cap C$ is a countable set. Since $C \neq \phi$ and $C \neq X$, $\quad t h e n$ $\left\{V_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\right\} U\{H(x): x \in x-C\}$ is a $p$-open cover for the $p$-Lindelöf space $X$. Thus, there exists a countable set $\Lambda_{1} \subset \Lambda$ and a countable set $\left\{\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots\right\} \subset \mathrm{X}-\mathrm{C}$ such that $\left\{\mathrm{v}_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda_{1}\right\} \cup\left\{H\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right), \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}\right), \ldots\right\}$ is a countable cover for $X$. Since $H\left(x_{i}\right) \cap C$ is countable for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $C \cap\left(\bigcup_{i=1} H\left(x_{i}\right)\right)$ is countable, say $C \cap\left(\bigcup_{i=1} H\left(x_{i}\right)\right)=$ $\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots\right\}$. Since $y_{i} \in C$, there exists $\alpha_{i} \in \Lambda$ such that $y_{i} \in$ $\mathrm{v}_{\alpha_{i}}$. It is clear now that $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda_{1}\right\} U\left\{\mathrm{v}_{\alpha_{i}}: i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a countable subcover for $C$. Hence $C$ is $\tau_{2}$-Lindelöf.

Since every closed set is weakly closed, we have the following corollary to Lemma 2.28 .

COROLLARY 2.29. A $\tau_{i}$-closed proper subset of a $p$-Lindelöf space is $\tau_{j}$-Lindelöf ( $\left.i \neq j ; i, j=1,2\right)$.

Using a similar technique as above, we obtain the following $t$

COROLLARY 2.30. A $\tau_{i}$-closed proper subset of a $p$-compact: space is $\tau_{j}$-compact $(i \neq j ; i, j=1,2)$.

It is important to note that the word "proper" in Lemma 2.28 can not be removed. For example, $\mathbb{R}$ is $\tau_{c}$-closed but $\mathbb{R}$ is not $\tau_{d}$-Lindelöf in example 2.16 .

We now obtain four alternative characterizations of $p$-Lindelöf spaces.

THEOREM 2.31. For the bitopological space $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ the
following are equivalent:
(a) $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is p-Lindelöf.
(b) Every p-closed family with the countable intersection property has nonempty intersection.
(c) For each non-empty set V in $\tau_{1}$, the topology $\tau_{2}(\mathrm{~V})$ is Lindelöf, and for each non-empy set $v$ in $\tau_{2}$, the topology $\tau_{1}(\mathrm{~V})$ is Lindelöf.
(e) Each $\tau_{1}$-weakly closed proper subset of $X$ is $\tau_{2}$-Lindelö , and each $\tau_{2}$-weakly closed proper subset of $X$ is $\tau_{1}$-Lindelö . Proob. The fact that (a) is equivalent to (b) is obvious. The equivalence of (a), (c) and (d) can be obtained in an analogous way to the proof of [2,Theorem 2]. The fact that (a) implies (e) is due to Lemma 2.28. The fact that (e) implies (e) is obvious.

An easy characterization of s-Lindelöf spaces can be found in the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.32. A bitopological space $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is $s$-Lindelöf if and only if every $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$-closed family with the countable intersection property has nonempty intersection.

THEOREM 2.33. Let $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be B-compact and ( $Y, \tau_{1}^{\prime}, \tau_{2}^{\prime}$ ) be $B$-Lindelöf. Then ( $X \times Y, \tau_{1} \times \tau_{1}^{\prime}, \tau_{2} \times \tau_{2}^{\prime}$ ) is $B$-Lindelöf.

EXAMPLE 2.34. Let $\tau_{f}$ denote the cofinite topology on $\mathbb{R}$. Then $\left(\mathbb{R}, \tau_{f}, \tau_{d}\right)$ is p-compact. However, the space ( $R^{2}, \tau_{f} \times \tau_{f}, \tau_{d} \times \tau_{d}$ ) is not even $p$-Lindelöf, for the p-open cover $\{\mathbb{R} \times(\mathbb{R}-\{0\})\} \cup\{(x, 0): x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ has no countable subcover.
2.35 [9]. A space $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is said to be p-Hausdorfó
if, for any distinct points $x$ and $y$, there is a $\tau_{1}$-neighbourhood $U$ of $x$ and a $\tau_{2}$-neighbourhood $V$ of $y$ such that $U \cap V=\phi$.

We observe that if $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is p-Hausdorff, then both $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ are $T_{1}$-topologies. The following theorem characterizes p-Hausdorff spaces.

THEOREM 2.36. The following properties are equivalent:
(a) The bitopological space $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is p-Hausdorfo.
(b) For each $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{x}$,

$$
\{x\}=\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta}\left\{\mathrm{CI}_{1} U_{\alpha}: U_{\alpha} \text { is a } \tau_{2} \text { neighbourhood of } x\right\}
$$

and

$$
\{x\}=\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta}\left\{\mathrm{Cl}_{2} U_{\alpha}: U_{\alpha} \text { is a } \tau_{1} \text { neighbourhood of } x\right\} .
$$

(c) The diagonal $D=\{(x, x): X \in X\}$ is a closed subset in each of the product topologies $\left(X \times X, \tau_{1} \times \tau_{2}\right)$ and $\left(X \times X, \tau_{2} \times \tau_{1}\right)$.

Proof. (a) implies (b). Let $x \in X$ and $y \in X$ such that $y \neq x$. By (a) there exists a $\tau_{1}$-open set $V_{1}$ and a $\tau_{2}$-open set $V_{2}$ such that $y \in V_{1}, x \in V_{2}$ and $V_{1} \cap V_{2}=\phi$. This implies that $y \in C l_{1} V_{2}$. This proves the first part of (b). The proof of the second part of (b) is similar to the one we just proved.
(b) implies (c). Let $(x, y) \in X \times X-D$. Then $x, y \in X$ and $x \neq$ $y$. By the second part of $(b)$, there exists a $\tau_{1}$-open set $U_{1}$ containing x such that $\mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{U}_{1}$. Let $\mathrm{U}_{2}=\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{U}_{1}$. Then $\mathrm{U}_{2}$ is a $\tau_{2}$-open set and it is easy to check that $(x, y) \in U_{1} \times U_{2} \subset$ $X \times X-D$. Hence $D$ is a closed set in the topological space $\left(X \times X, \tau_{1} \times \tau_{2}\right)$. In a similar way we can prove that $D$ is $\tau_{2} \times \tau_{1}-$ closed subset of $X \times X$.
(c) implies (a). Let $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$. Then ( $x, y$ ) $\in X \times X-D$. Since $D$ is a $\tau_{1} \times \tau_{2}$-closed set, there exists a $\tau_{1}$-open
set $U_{1}$ and a $\tau_{2}$-open set $U_{2}$ such that $(x, y) \in U_{1} \times U_{2} \subset X \times X-D$. It is clear now that $x \in U_{1}, y \in U_{2}$ and $U_{1} \cap U_{2}=\phi$.

Recall that a space ( $X, \tau$ ) in which every countable intersection of open sets is open, is called a P-space.

COROLLARY 2.37. Let $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be a p-Hausdorfo P-space. Then every $\tau_{i}$-Lindelöb subset is $\tau_{j}$-closed ( $i \neq j ; i, j=1,2$ ).

Proob. Let $A$ be a $\tau_{i}$-Lindelöf subset and $x \in X-A$. By Theorem 2.36 we have

$$
\{x\}=\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta}\left\{c l_{i} U_{\alpha}: U_{\alpha} \text { is a } \tau_{j} \text { neighbourhood of } x\right\}
$$

(i $\neq j ; i, j=1,2)$. Since $A \subset X-\{x\}$, therefore $\left\{X-C l_{i} U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ is a $\tau_{i}$-open cover of the $\tau_{i}$-Lindelöf set $A$. Thus there exists a countable set $\Delta_{1} \subset \Delta$ such that $\left\{X-C l_{i} \mathrm{U}_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta_{1}\right\}$ is a cover for $A$, i.e. $A \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta_{1}} X-C l_{i} U_{\alpha}$. Let $U=\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta_{1}} U_{\alpha}$. Then $U$ is a $\tau_{j}$-open set, contains $x$ and $U \subset X-A$. Hence $A$ is $\tau_{j}$-closed.

Using the same technique as above we obtain the following.

COROLLARY 2.38. Let $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be p-Hausdorf6. Then every $\tau_{i}$-compact subset is $\tau_{j}$-closed $(i \neq j ; i, j=1,2)$.
2.39 [6]. In a space $\left(x, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right), \tau_{1}$ is said to be regular with respect to $\tau_{2}$ if, for each point $x$ in $X$ and each $\tau_{1}$-closed set $P$ such that $x \notin P$, there are a $\tau_{1}$-open set $U$ and a $\tau_{2}$-open set $V$ such that $x \in U, P \subset V$ and $U \cap V=\phi$.
( $X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ) is $p$-regular if $\tau_{1}$ is regular with respect to $\tau_{2}$ and vice versa.
2.40 [9]. In a bitopological space $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$, we say that
$\tau_{1}$ is coupled to $\tau_{2}$ iff for all $U \in \tau_{1}, \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{U} \subset \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{U}$.
It is interesting to note that if $\tau_{1}$ is regular with respect to $\tau_{2}$ and $\tau_{2}$ is coupled to $\tau_{1}$, then $\tau_{1} \subset \tau_{2}$. Thus, if ( $X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ) is p-regular and $\tau_{i}$ is coupled to $\tau_{j}$ ( $i \neq j, i, j=$ 1,2) then $\tau_{1}=\tau_{2}$ and the resulting single topology is regular. It is also interesting to note that if $\tau_{1}^{\prime}$ is coupled to $\tau_{2}^{\prime}$ and $\tau_{1}^{\prime}$ is regular with respect to $\tau_{2}^{\prime}$ then $\left(X, \tau_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is regular.
2.41 [6]. A space $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is said to be p-normal if, given a $\tau_{1}$-closed set $C$ and a $\tau_{2}$-closed set $F$ such that $C \cap F=\phi$, there are a $\tau_{1}$-open set $G$ and a $\tau_{2}$-open set $V$ such that $F \subset G$, $C \subset V$ and $V \cap G=\phi$.

THEOREM 2.42. Every p-regular, p-Lindelö́f bitopological space $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is p-normal.

Proob. Let $A$ be a nonempty $\tau_{1}$-closed set and $B$ be a nonempty $\tau_{2}$-closed set with $A \cap B=\phi$. Since $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is p-regular for each $a \in A$, there exist a $\tau_{2}$-open set $G_{a}$ and a $\tau_{1}$-closed set $F_{a}$ with $a \in G_{a} \subset F_{a} \subset X-B$. Also, for each $b \in B$, there exist $a$ $\tau_{1}$-open set, $C_{b}$ and $a \tau_{2}$-closed set $M_{b}$ with $b \in C_{b} \subset M_{b} \subset X-A$. Let $\mathcal{E}=\left\{C_{b}: b \in B\right\} \cup\{X-B\}$ and $\mathcal{F}=\left\{G_{a}: a \in A\right\} \cup\{X-A\}$. Since $\mathcal{E}$ and $\xi$ are $p$-open covers for the $p$-Lindelöf space $X$, there exist countable subcollections $\left\{C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ of $\mathcal{E}$ and $\left\{G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ of $£$ such that $A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} G_{i}$ and $B \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{i}$. Let $V_{1}=C_{1}$ and, for each positive integer $n>1$, let $V_{n}=C_{n}-\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} F_{i}$. For each positive integer $n$, let $H_{n}=G_{n}-\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}$. Let $V=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} V_{n}$ and $H=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_{n}$. Then $V \in \tau_{1}, H \in \tau_{2}, A \subset H$ and $B \subset V$. Furthermore, $x \in H \cap V$, then $x \in H_{m} \cap V_{n}$ for some $m$ and $n$, and so $x \in\left(G_{m}-\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} M_{i}\right) \cap\left(C_{n}-\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} F_{i}\right)$. Considering separately the cases $m>n$ and $m \leqslant n$ yields a contradiction and so $H \cap V=\phi$. Thus $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is $p$-normal.

Let $X$ be a fixed nonempty set, and

$$
\mathcal{B}_{X}=\left\{\left(X, \tau, \tau^{\prime}\right): \tau \text { and } \tau^{\prime} \text { are topologies on } X\right\} .
$$

Define the partial ordering $\leqslant$ on $\mathcal{B}_{X}$ by:

$$
\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \leqslant\left(X, \tau_{1}^{\prime}, \tau_{2}^{\prime}\right) \text { iff } \tau_{1} \subset \tau_{1}^{\prime} \text { and } \tau_{2} \subset \tau_{2}^{\prime}
$$

Then we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.43. Let $\mathcal{L}=\left\{\left(X, \tau, \tau^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{B}_{X}:\left(X, \tau, \tau^{\prime}\right)\right.$ is a p-Lindelöf P-space $\}$ and $f f=\left\{\left(X, \tau, \tau^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{B}_{X}:\left(X, \tau, \tau^{\prime}\right)\right.$ is a p-Hausdorfor p-space\}. If $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \in \mathscr{L} \cap \mathcal{H}$, then $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is a minimal element of $\mathrm{ff}^{\mathrm{f}}$ and a maximal element of $\mathcal{L}$.

Proof. Suppose $\left(x, \tau_{1}^{*}, \tau_{2}^{*}\right) \in \mathcal{f}^{f}$ such that $\left(x, \tau_{1}^{*}, \tau_{2}^{*}\right) \leqslant\left(x, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$. Therefore $\tau_{1}^{*} \subset \tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}^{*} \subset \tau_{2}$. Let $G \in \tau_{1}-\{\phi\}$. Then $X-G$ is a $\tau_{1}$-closed proper subset of $X$. Since $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is p-Lindelöf, by Corollary 2.29 , X-G is $\tau_{2}$-Lindelöf. But $\tau_{2}^{*} \subset \tau_{2}$. Therefore $X-G$ is $\tau_{2}^{*}$-Lindelöf. Since $\left(X, \tau_{1}^{*}, \tau_{2}^{*}\right)$ is p-Hausdorff P-space, by corollary 2.37 , $X-G$ is $\tau_{1}^{*}$-closed. Hence $G \in \tau_{1}^{*}$. Consequently $\tau_{1}=\tau_{1}^{*}$. In a similar way we can show that $\tau_{2}=\tau_{2}^{*}$.

Now, let $\left(X, \tau_{1}^{\prime}, \tau_{2}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathscr{L}$ such that $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \leqslant\left(X, \tau_{1}^{\prime}, \tau_{2}^{\prime}\right)$. Then $\tau_{1} \subset \tau_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\tau_{2} \subset \tau_{2}^{\prime}$. Let $U \in \tau_{1}^{\prime}-\{\phi\}$. Then $X-U$ is a $\tau_{1}^{\prime}-c$ losed proper subset of $X$. Since $\left(X, \tau_{1}^{\prime}, \tau_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ is $p$-Lindelöf, by Corollary 2.29 , $X-U$ is $\tau_{2}^{\prime}$-Lindelöf. But $\tau_{2} \subset \tau_{2}^{\prime}$. Therefore $X-U$ is $\tau_{2}$-Lindelöf. Since $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is p-Hausdorff P-space, by corollary 2.37 , $X-U$ is $\tau_{1}$-closed. Hence $U \in \tau_{1}$. Consequently $\tau_{1}^{\prime}=\tau_{1}$. In a similar way we can show that $\tau_{2}^{\prime}=\tau_{2}$.

Using the same technique as above we obtain the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.44. Let $\Theta=\left\{\left(X, \tau, \tau^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{B}_{X}:\left(X, \tau, \tau^{\prime}\right)\right.$ is $p$-compact $\}$, and $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}=\left\{\left(X, \tau, \tau^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{B}_{X}:\left(X, \tau, \tau^{\prime}\right)\right.$ is p-Hausdorfo\}. If $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \in E \cap f$, then $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is a minimal element of $f f$ and a maximal element of $\mathcal{E}$.
2.45 A function $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ is p-continuous
(p-open, p-closed, p-homeomorphism,respectively) iff $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}\right) \rightarrow$ $\left(Y, \sigma_{1}\right)$ and $f:\left(X, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{2}\right)$ are continuous (open, closed, homeomorphism, respectively).

THEOREM 2.46. Let $f:\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ be a p-continuous onto map.
(a) If $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is $p$-Lindelöf ( $s$-Lindelöf, $B$-Lindelöf, respectively), $\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ is $p$-Lindelöf ( $s$-Lindelöf, B-Lindelöf, respectively).
(b) If $\left(\mathrm{X}, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is p-compact ( s -compact, B -compact, respective$\ell y$ ), then ( $Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$ ) is p-compact ( $s$-compact, B-compact, respectively).
(c) If $f$ is one-to-one, $\left(Y, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ is p-Hausdorfo P-space and $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is $p$-Lindelöf, then $f$ is a homeomorphism.
(d) If f is one-to-one, $\left(\mathrm{Y}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ is p-Hausdorfof and $\left(\mathrm{X}, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is p -compact, then f is a homeomorphism.

Proo6. (a) Let $E=\left\{\mathrm{V}_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\} U\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ be a $p$-open cover of $V$ such that $V_{\alpha} \in \sigma_{1}$ and $U_{\alpha} \in \sigma_{2}(\alpha \in \Delta)$. Then $\left\{\overline{\mathrm{f}}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\alpha}\right): \alpha \in \Delta\right\} U\left\{\overline{\mathrm{f}}^{1}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\alpha}\right): \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ is a p-open cover of X because $f$ is $p$-continuous and onto. Since $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is $p$-Lindelöf, there exists a countable set $\Delta_{1} \subset \Delta$ such that
$\left\{\bar{f}^{1}\left(V_{\alpha}\right): \alpha \in \Delta_{1}\right\} \cup\left\{\bar{f}^{-1}\left(U_{\alpha}\right): \alpha \in \Delta_{1}\right\}$ is a cover for $X$. Thus $\left\{\mathrm{V}_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta_{1}\right\} U\left\{U_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta_{1}\right\}$ is a countable subcover of $\mathcal{E}$ for X . The remaining parts of the statement (a) are similarly proved. (b) The proof is similar to that in (a).
(c) It suffices to show that $f$ is $p$-closed. Let $A$ be a $\tau_{1}$-closed proper subset of $X$. Then, by Corollary 2.29, A is $\tau_{2}$-Lindelöf. Hence $f(A)$ is $\sigma_{2}$-Lindelöf because $f:\left(X, \tau_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y, \sigma_{2}\right)$ is continuous. By Corollary $2.37, f(A)$ is $\sigma_{1}$-closed. Similarly, it can be shown that the image of every $\tau_{2}$-closed subset of $X$ is a $\sigma_{2}$-closed subset of Y. Hence $f$ is p-closed.
(d) The proof is similar to that in (c).

## 3. Conditions under which a bitopological space is reduced to a single topology.

THEOREM 3.1. Let $\left(x, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be a Hausdorfó p -Lindelöf p -space. Then $\tau_{1}=\tau_{2}$.

Proof. Let $G \in \tau_{1}-\{\phi\}$. Then X-G is a $\tau_{1}$-closed proper subset of X. By Corollary $2.29, X-G$ is $\tau_{2}$-Lindelöf. By Corollary 2.37 we have: "every Lindelöf subset of a Hausdorff P-space ( $X, \tau$ ) is closed". Thus $X-G$ is $\tau_{2}$-closed, i.e. $G \in \tau_{2}$. Hence $\tau_{1} \subset \tau_{2}$. Similarly we have $\tau_{2} \subset \tau_{1}$. Consequently $\tau_{1}=\tau_{2}$.

THEOREM 3.2. Let $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be a compact $p$-Hausdorfó space. Then $\tau_{1}=\tau_{2}$.

Proof. Let $G \in \tau_{1}$. Then $X-G$ is a $\tau_{1}$-closed subset of the compact space $\left(X, \tau_{1}\right)$. Therefore $X-G$ is $\tau_{1}$-compact. By Corollary 2.38, X-G is $\tau_{2}$-closed, i.e. $G \in \tau_{2}$. Hence $\tau_{1} \subset \tau_{2}$. Similarly we have $\tau_{2} \subset \tau_{1}$. Thus $\tau_{1}=\tau_{2}$.

LEMMA 3.3. Let $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be a p-Lindelöf space and let $F$ be a $\tau_{1}$-weakly closed set such that WInt $_{2}(X-F) \neq \phi$. Then $F$ is $\tau_{1}$-Lindelöt

Proo6. Let $q \in$ WInt $_{2}(X-F)$. Then there exists a $\tau_{2}$-open set $G$ containing $q$ such that $G \cap F$ is a countable set. Let $\varphi=$ $\left\{C_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ be a $\tau_{1}$-open cover for $F$. For each $x \in X-F$ there exists a $\tau_{1}$-open set $H(x)$ containing $x$ such that $H(x) \cap F$ is a countable set. Since $\left\{C_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta\right\} U\{G\} U\{H(x): x \in X-F\}$ is $p$-open cover for the $p$-Lindelöf space $X$, there exist two countable sets $\Delta_{1} \subset \Delta$ and $\left\{x_{1} x_{2}, \ldots\right\} \subset X-F$ such that

$$
\left\{\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta_{1}\right\} \cup\{G\} \cup\left\{H\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right), H\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}\right), \ldots\right\} \text { is a cover for } \mathrm{X} \text {. }
$$

Since $H\left(x_{i}\right) \cap F$ is countable, the set $F \cap\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} H\left(x_{i}\right)\right)$ is countable,
say $\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots\right\}$. Let $\alpha_{i} \subset \Delta$ such that $y_{i} \in C_{\alpha_{i}}(i \in \mathbb{N})$. Then $\left\{\mathrm{c}_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Delta_{1}\right\} U\left\{\mathrm{C}_{\alpha_{i}}: i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a countable subcover of $\mathcal{E}$, i.e. F is $\tau_{1}$-Lindelöf.

We can use the same technique as above to conclude the following theorem (We replace the word "countable" by the word "empty" in the proof of Lemma 3.3).

THEOREM 3.4. Let $\left(x, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be a p-compact space and let $F$ be a $\tau_{1}$-closed set such that $\operatorname{Int}_{2}(X-F) \neq \phi$. Then $F$ is $\tau_{1}$-compact.

EXAMPLE 3.5. In the p-Lindelöf space ( $\mathbb{R}, \tau_{d}, \tau_{c}$ ), $\mathbb{R}$ is a $\tau_{d}$-closed set which is not $\tau_{d}$-Lindelöf. This shows that "WInt $2_{2}(\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{F}) \neq \phi$ " is a necessary condition in Lemma 3.3.

THEOREM 3.6. Let $\left(x, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be a p-Hausdorfo p -Lindelö́ space, and let $U$ be a $\tau_{1}$-weakly open set containing a fixed point p. Then
(a) there exist $\tau_{1}$-open sets $C_{i}(i \in N)$ and a $\tau_{1}$-closed set $F$ such that $p \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{i} \subset F \subset U$; and;
(b) either $p \in C_{2}(x-U)$, or, there exist $\tau_{2}$-open sets $G_{i} \quad(i \in \mathbb{N})$ and a $\tau_{1}$-closed set $F$ such that $P \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} G_{i} \subset F \subset U$.

Proo6. (a) Since $p \in U$ and $U$ is $\tau_{1}$-weakly open set, there exists a $\tau_{1}$-open set $A$ such that $P \in A$ and $A-U$ is a countable set. For each $x \in X-U$ there exist a $\tau_{1}$-open set $B(x)$ and a $\tau_{2}$-open set $G(x)$ such that $x \in G(x), p \in B(x)$ and $B(x) \cap G(x)=\phi$. Let $D(x)=$ $A \cap B(x)$. Then $p \in D(x), D(x) \in \tau_{1}, D(x) \cap G(x)=\phi$, and $D(x)-U$ is a countable set. Since $X-U$ is a $\tau_{1}$-weakly closed proper subset of the p-Lindelöf space $X$, by Lemma $2.28, X-U$ is $\tau_{2}$-Lindelöf. Therefore the $\tau_{2}$-open cover $\{G(x): x \in X-U\}$ has a countable subcover $\left\{G\left(x_{1}\right), G\left(x_{2}\right), \ldots\right\}$. Since $D\left(x_{i}\right)-U \quad(i \in \mathbb{N})$ is a count-
able set, the set $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} D\left(x_{i}\right)-U$ is countable, say $\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots\right\}$. Let $C_{i}=D\left(x_{i}\right)-\left\{y_{i}\right\} \quad(i \subset \mathbb{N})$. Then $C_{i}(i \in \underset{\infty}{\mathbb{N}})$ is a $\tau_{1}$-open set because $\left(X, \tau_{1}\right)$ is a $T_{1}$-space. Let $F=\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} X-G\left(x_{i}\right)$. Then $\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{i}\right) \cap G\left(x_{j}\right)=\phi$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{i}\right) \cap\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} G\left(x_{j}\right)\right)=\phi$ i.e. $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_{i} \subset F$. Since $\left\{G\left(x_{j}\right): j \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is a cover for $X-U$, then $F \subset U$. Hence $p \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} c_{i} \subset F \subset U$.
(b) If $\mathrm{p} \in \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{U})$, then we are done. Suppose $\mathrm{p} \notin \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{U})$. Therefore $p \in \operatorname{Int}_{2} U$, i.e. Int $_{2}(U) \neq \phi$. By Lemma 3.3, $X-U$ is $\tau_{1}$-Lindelöf. For each $x \in X-U$ there exist a $\tau_{1}$-open set $C(x)$ and a $\tau_{2}$-open set $G(x)$ such that $p \in G(x), x \in C(x)$ and $C(x) \cap G(x)=\phi$. The $\tau_{1}$-open cover $\{C(x): x \in X-U\}$ has a count$\underset{\infty}{a b l e}$ subcover $\left\{C\left(x_{1}\right), C\left(x_{2}\right), \ldots\right\}$. Let $G_{i}=G\left(x_{i}\right)$ and $F=$ $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} x-C\left(x_{i}\right)$. Then $p \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} G_{i} \subset F \subset U$.

Using the same technique as in the proof of Theorem 3.6, we get the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.7. Let $\left(x, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be a p-Hausdorfo p-compact space and, let $U$ be a $\tau_{1}$-open set containing a fixed point $p$. Then
(a) there exist a $\tau_{1}$-open set $C$ and a $\tau_{2}$-closed set $F$ such that $\mathrm{P} \in \mathrm{C} \subset \mathrm{F} \subset \mathrm{U}$, and
(b) either $p \in \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(X-U)$ or there exist $a \tau_{2}$-open set $G$ and $a$ $\tau_{1}$-closed set $F$ such that $P \in G \subset F \subset U$.

COROLLARY 3.8. A p-Hausdorfó p -compact space is p -regular (and hence, by Theorem 2.42, is p-normal).

Proof. Use Theorem 3.7 (a).

COROLLARY 3.9. A p-Hausdorfo p-Lindelöf p-space is p-regular (and hence, by Theorem 2.42, is p-normal).

Proof. Use Theorem 3.7 (a).

COROLLARY 3.10. Let $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be a p-Hausdorfo p-compact space. If Int $U \neq \phi$ for all $U \in \tau_{1}-\{X\}$, then $\tau_{1} \subset \tau_{2}$.

Proof. Let $U \in \tau_{1}-\{X\}$. Then $X-U$ is a $\tau_{1}$-closed set with Int $t_{2} U \neq \phi$. By Theorem $3.4 X-U$ is $\tau_{1}$-compact. Hence, by Corollary $2.38, X-U$ is $\tau_{2}$-closed, i.e. $U \in \tau_{2}$.

COROLLARY 3.11. Let $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be a p-Hausdorfo p -compact space. If Int $U \neq \phi$ for all $U \in \tau_{1}-\{X\}$, and Int $_{1} V \neq \phi$ for all $V \in \tau_{2}-\{x\}$. Then $\tau_{1}=\tau_{2}$.

Proof. Use corollary 3.10.

It is interesting to note that Cooke and Reilly [2] obtained a theorem [2, Theorem 4] for B-compact, s-compact and bicompact spaces but did not get any analogous result for p-compact spaces. For this reason, Corollary 3.11 is an extension of the result $[2$, Theorem 4].

COROLLARY 3.12. Let $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be a $p$-Hausdorfo $p$-Lindelöf P-space. If Int $\mathrm{U} \neq \phi$ for all $\mathrm{U} \in \tau_{1}-\{\mathrm{X}\}$, and $\operatorname{Int}{ }_{1} \mathrm{~V} \neq \phi$ for all $V \in \tau_{2}-\{x\}$, then $\tau_{1}=\tau_{2}$.

Proof. Let $U \in \tau_{1}-\{X\}$. Then $X-U$ is a $\tau_{1}$-closed set with Int ${ }_{2} \mathrm{U} \neq \phi$. By Lemma $3.3 \mathrm{X}-\mathrm{U}$ is $\tau_{1}$-Lindelöf. Hence by Corollary 2.37, X-U is a $\tau_{2}$-closed set, i.e. $U \in \tau_{2}$. Thus $\tau_{1} \subset \tau_{2}$. Similarly we can prove $\tau_{2} \subset \tau_{1}$. Thus $\tau_{1}=\tau_{2}$.

THEOREM 3.13. Let $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be a p-Hausdorfo space and $\left(X, \tau_{1}\right)$ a Lindelöf space. Let $U$ be a $\tau_{1}$-weakly open set and $p \in U$. Then there are $\tau_{2}$-open sets $G_{i}(i \in \mathbb{N})$ and $a \tau_{1}$-closed set $F$ such that $p \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} G_{i} \subset F \subset U$.

Proo6. For each $x \in X-U$ there exist a $\tau_{2}$-open set $G(x)$ and a $\tau_{1}$-open set $H(x)$ such that $x \in H(x), p \in G(x)$ and $G(x) \cap H(x)=\phi$. Since $X-U$ is a $\tau_{1}$-weakly closed set in the Lindelöf space ( $X, \tau_{1}$ ), therefore $X-U$ is $\tau_{1}$-Lindelöf. Thus the $\tau_{1}$-open cover $\{\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{x}): \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}-\mathrm{U}\}{ }_{\infty}$ has a countable subcover $\left\{H\left(x_{1}\right), H\left(x_{2}\right), \ldots\right\}$. Let $F=\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} X-H\left(x_{i}\right)$. Then $F$ is $\tau_{1}$-closed and $F \subset U$. Take $G_{i}=G\left(x_{i}\right)$. Then $p \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty=1} G_{i} \subset F \subset U$.

Using a similar technique as above we can prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.14. Let $\left(x, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ be a p-Hausdorfo space and $\left(x, \tau_{1}\right)$ a compact space. Let $U$ be a $\tau_{1}$-open set and $p \in U$. Then there are a $\tau_{2}$-open set $G$ and a $\tau_{1}$-closed set $F$ such that $\mathrm{P} \in \mathrm{G} \subset \mathrm{F} \subset \mathrm{U}$.

COROLLARY 3.15. If $\left(x, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is a Lindelö́f p-Hausdorfo P-space, then $\tau_{1}=\tau_{2}$.

Proo6. Use Theorem 3.13.
Since every B-Lindelöf (s-Lindel8f) space is Lindelöf, we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3.16. If $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is p-Hausdorfo P-space and either B-Lindelöf or $s$-Lindelöf, then $\tau_{1}=\tau_{2}$.

As a corollary to Theorem 3.14 we have the following result (see [2, Theorem 4]).

COROLLARY 3.17. If $\left(X, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is p-Hausdorfo and either $B$-compact or $s$-compact, then $\tau_{1}=\tau_{2}$.
4. Conclusion. As we noted, our results in this paper are generalizations of well-known classical theorems as well as extension of some theorems in the literature.

Naturally, any result stated in terms of $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ has a 'dual' in terms of $\tau_{2}$ and $\tau_{1}$. The definitions of separation and covering properties of two topologies $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$, such as $p$-Hausdorff and $p$-Lindelöf, of course reduce to the usual separation and covering properties of one topology $\tau_{1}$, such as Hausdorff when we take $\tau_{1}=\tau_{2}$; and the theorems quoted above then yield as corollaries the classical results of which they are generalizations.

As an example of theorems which yield well known classical results are theorems $2.15,2.33,2.36,2.42,2.43,2.44$ and 2.46 .

Theorem 2.8 is an analogue to [2, Theorem 1] while Theorem $2.3(a, c, d)$ is an analogue to [2, Theorem 2]. We notice also that Corollary 3.11 is an extension of [2, Theorem 4]. Theorem 3.7 (a) implies the results in [4, Theorem 12 and 13] and [7, Theorem 2.18]. It is also clear that Corollary 2.30 is an analogue to [7, Theorem 2.9] and Corollary 2.38 is an analogue to [7, Lemma 2.11]. It is clear too that Theorems $2.8,2.42$ and Corollary 2.20 imply the result in [6, Lemma 3.2].

## * *
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