



On Partition Functions and Divisor Sums

Neville Robbins

Mathematics Department
San Francisco State University
San Francisco, CA 94132

robbins@math.sfsu.edu

Abstract

Let n, r be natural numbers, with $r \geq 2$. We present convolution-type formulas for the number of partitions of n that are (1) not divisible by r ; (2) coprime to r . Another result obtained is a formula for the sum of the odd divisors of n .

1 Introduction

We derive several convolution-type identities linking partition functions to divisor sums, thereby extending some prior results. In addition, we obtain a Lambert series-like identity for sums of odd divisors.

2 Preliminaries

Let $A \subset N$, the set of all natural numbers. Let $n, m, r \in N$ with $r \geq 2, m \geq 2, m$ squarefree. Let $x \in C, |x| < 1$.

Definition 1 Let $p_A(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n into parts that belong to A .

Definition 2 Let $\sigma_A(n)$ denote the sum of the divisors, d , of n such that $d \in A$.

Definition 3 Let $p(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n .

Definition 4 Let $q(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n into distinct parts (or into odd parts).

Definition 5 Let $q_0(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n into distinct odd parts (the number of self-conjugate partitions of n).

Definition 6 Let $b_r(n)$ denote the number of r -regular partitions of n (the number of partitions of n such that no part is a multiple of r or such that no part occurs r or more times).

Remark: Note that $b_2(n) = q(n)$.

Definition 7 Let $f_m(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n such that all parts are coprime to m .

Definition 8 Let $\sigma_r(n)$ denote the sum of the divisors, d , of n such that d does not divide r .

Definition 9 Let $\sigma_m^*(n)$ denote the sum of the divisors, d , of n such that d is coprime to m .

Definition 10 Let $\phi(n)$ denote Euler's totient function.

Remark: If p is prime, then $f_p(n) = b_p(n)$ and $\sigma_p^*(n) = \sigma_p(n)$.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q(n)x^n = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + x^n) \quad (1)$$

Proposition 1 Let $f : A \rightarrow N$ be a function such that

$$F_A(x) = \prod_{n \in A} (1 - x^n)^{-f(n)/n} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{A,f}(n)x^n$$

and

$$G_A(x) = \sum_{n \in A} \frac{f(n)}{n} x^n$$

converge absolutely and represent analytic functions in the unit disc: $|x| < 1$. Let $p_{A,f}(0) = 1$ and

$$f_A(k) = \sum \{f(d) : d|k, d \in A\} \quad .$$

Then

$$np_{A,f}(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n p_{A,f}(n-k)f_A(k) \quad .$$

Remarks: Proposition 1 is Theorem 14.8 in [1]. If we let $A = N$, $f(n) = n$, then we obtain

$$np(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n p(n-k)\sigma(k) \quad .$$

(See [1, p. 323]). If we let $A = N - 2N$ (the set of odd natural numbers) and $f(n) = n$, we obtain

$$nq(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n q(n-k)\sigma_2(k) \quad . \quad (2)$$

This is given as Theorem 1 in [2], and is a special case of Theorem 1(a) below.

3 The Main Results

Theorem 1

$$nb_r(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n b_r(n-k)\sigma_r(k) \quad (3)$$

$$nf_m(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n f_m(n-k)\sigma_m^*(k) \quad (4)$$

Proof: We apply Proposition 1 with $f(n) = n$. If we let $A = N - rN$ (the set of natural numbers not divisible by r) then (3) follows. If we let $A = \{n \in N : (m, n) = 1\}$, then (4) follows. ■

Next, we present a theorem regarding odd divisors of n .

Theorem 2 Let $f : N \rightarrow N$ be a multiplicative function. Let $n = 2^k m$, where $k \geq 0$ and m is odd. Then

$$\sum_{d|n} (-1)^{d-1} f\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) = \{f(2^k) - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} f(2^j)\} \sum_{d|n, 2 \nmid d} f(d) \quad . \quad (5)$$

Proof: If $d|n$, then by hypothesis, $d = 2^i r$ where $0 \leq i \leq k$, $r|m$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d|n} (-1)^{d-1} f\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) &= \sum_{d|n, 2 \nmid d} f\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) - \sum_{2|d|n} f\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \\ &= \sum_{r|m} f(2^k m/r) - \sum_{r|m} \sum_{i=1}^k f(2^{k-i} m/r) = f(2^k) \sum_{r|m} f(r) - \sum_{i=1}^k f(2^{k-i}) \sum_{r|m} f(r) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \{f(2^k) - \sum_{i=1}^k f(2^{k-i})\} \sum_{r|m} f(r) = \{f(2^k) - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} f(2^j)\} \sum_{d|n, 2 \nmid d} f(d) \quad \cdot \quad \blacksquare$$

Corollary 1

$$\sum_{d|n} (-1)^{d-1} \frac{n}{d} = \sum_{d|n, 2 \nmid d} d \quad (6)$$

$$\sum_{d|n} (-1)^{d-1} \phi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) = 0 \quad (7)$$

Proof: If f is multiplicative and $n = 2^k m$, where $k \geq 0$ and m is odd, let

$$g(f, k) = \{f(2^k) - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} f(2^j)\}$$

Theorem 2 may be written as:

$$\sum_{d|n} (-1)^{d-1} f\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) = g(f, k) \sum_{d|n, 2 \nmid d} f(d) \quad (8)$$

Now each of the functions: $f(n) = n$, $f(n) = \phi(n)$ is multiplicative, so Theorem 1 applies. Furthermore,

$$g(n, k) = 2^k - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} 2^j = 1 \quad (9)$$

$$g(\phi(n), k) = \phi(2^k) - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \phi(2^j) = 0 \quad (10)$$

We see that (6) follows from (8) and (9), and (7) follows from (8) and (10). \blacksquare

Theorem 3

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_2(n) x^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{nx^n}{1+x^n}$$

First Proof:

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{mx^m}{1+x^m} = \sum_{m,k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} mx^{km}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^n \left(\sum_{d|n} (-1)^{d-1} \frac{n}{d} \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_2(n) x^n$$

by (6) . ■

Second Proof: (2) implies

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n q(n-k) \sigma_2(k) \right) x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} nq(n) x^n$$

so that

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q(n) x^n \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_2(n) x^n \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} nq(n) x^n \quad (11)$$

Now (1) implies

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q(n) x^n \right) = \frac{d}{dx} \left(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + x^n) \right)$$

that is,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nq(n) x^{n-1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n x^{n-1} \prod_{m \neq n} (1 + x^m)$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} nq(n) x^n &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{nx^n}{1+x^n} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1+x^n) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{nx^n}{1+x^n} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q(n) x^n \end{aligned}$$

by (1). The conclusion now follows from (11) . ■

Remarks: Theorem 3 may be compared to the well-known Lambert series identity:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma(n) x^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{nx^n}{1-x^n}$$

In [2], Theorem 2, part (b), we obtained an explicit formula for $\sigma_2(n)$ in terms of $q(n)$ and $q_0(n)$, namely:

$$\sigma_2(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} k q_0(k) q(n-k)$$

References

1. Tom M. Apostol, *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1976.
2. N. Robbins, Some identities connecting partition functions to other number theoretic functions, *Rocky Mountain J. Math* **29** (1999), 335–345.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 11P81

Keywords: partitions, divisor sums, Lambert series

Received March 21, 2002; revised version received August 20, 2002. Published in *Journal of Integer Sequences* August 21, 2002.

Return to [Journal of Integer Sequences home page](#).