# **On** $\phi$ -Ricci Recurrent Almost Kenmotsu Manifolds with Nullity Distributions

# U.C. De\* and Krishanu Mandal

(Communicated by Cihan Özgür)

#### ABSTRACT

The purpose of this paper is to investigate  $\phi$ -Ricci recurrent and  $\phi$ -Ricci symmetric almost Kenmotsu manifolds with its characteristic vector field  $\xi$  belonging to some nullity distributions. Also we obtain several corollaries. Finally, we give an example of a 5-dimensional almost Kenmotsu manifold such that  $\xi$  belongs to the  $(k, \mu)'$ -nullity distribution and  $h' \neq 0$ .

*Keywords:* Almost Kenmotsu manifold; φ-Ricci recurrence; φ-Ricci symmetry; generalized nullity distribution; nullity distribution. *AMS Subject Classification* (2010): Primary: 53C25 ; Secondary: 53D15.

#### 1. Introduction

The notion of *k*-nullity distribution  $(k \in \mathbb{R})$  was introduced by Gray [12] and Tanno [16] in the study of Riemannian manifolds (M, g), which is defined for any  $p \in M$  and  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  as follows:

$$N_p(k) = \{ Z \in T_p M : R(X, Y)Z = k[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y] \},$$
(1.1)

for any  $X, Y \in T_pM$ , where  $T_pM$  denotes the tangent vector space of M at any point  $p \in M$  and R denotes the Riemannian curvature tensor of type (1,3).

Recently, Blair, Koufogiorgos and Papantoniou [3] introduced the  $(k, \mu)$ -nullity distribution which is a generalized notion of the *k*-nullity distribution on a contact metric manifold  $(M^{2n+1}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  and defined for any  $p \in M^{2n+1}$  and  $k, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$  as follows:

$$N_p(k,\mu) = \{ Z \in T_p M^{2n+1} : R(X,Y)Z = k[g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y] + \mu[g(Y,Z)hX - g(X,Z)hY] \},$$
(1.2)

where  $h = \frac{1}{2}\pounds_{\xi}\phi$  and  $\pounds$  denotes the Lie differentiation.

Next, Dileo and Pastore [10] introduced another generalized notion of the *k*-nullity distribution which is named the  $(k, \mu)'$ -nullity distribution on an almost Kenmotsu manifold  $(M^{2n+1}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  and is defined for any  $p \in M^{2n+1}$  and  $k, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$  as follows:

$$N_p(k,\mu)' = \{ Z \in T_p M^{2n+1} : R(X,Y)Z = k[g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y] + \mu[g(Y,Z)h'X - g(X,Z)h'Y] \},$$
(1.3)

where  $h' = h \circ \phi$ .

In [9], Dileo and Pastore studied locally symmetric almost Kenmotsu manifolds. We refer the reader to ([9],[10],[11]) for more related results on  $(k, \mu)'$ -nullity distribution and  $(k, \mu)$ -nullity distribution on almost Kenmotsu manifolds. In recent papers ([17],[18],[19],[20],[21]) Wang and Liu study almost Kenmotsu manifolds with nullity distributions. In [19], Wang and Liu studied  $\phi$ -recurrent almost Kenmotsu manifolds with the characteristic vector field  $\xi$  belonging to some nullity distributions.

On the other hand, Kenmotsu [13] introduced a special class of almost contact metric manifolds named Kenmotsu manifolds nowadays. The notion of locally  $\phi$ -symmetry was introduced by Takahashi [15] in the study of Sasakian manifolds as a weaker version of local symmetric of such manifolds. De et al [6] introduced

Received: 15-06-2016, Accepted: 21-09-2016

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author

a generalized version of local  $\phi$ -symmetry, called  $\phi$ -recurrence on Sasakian manifolds. In [5], De and Sarkar studied  $\phi$ -Ricci symmetric Sasakian manifolds. For more related results we refer the reader to De [4] and De et al ([7],[8]). Motivated by the above studies in this paper we investigate  $\phi$ -Ricci recurrent and  $\phi$ -Ricci symmetric almost Kenmotsu manifolds.

The paper is organized as follows:

In section 2, we give some basic knowledge on almost Kenmotsu manifolds. A few well-known results on almost Kenmotsu manifolds with  $\xi$  belonging to some nullity distributions are provided in section 3. In the next section, we study  $\phi$ -Ricci recurrent almost Kenmotsu manifolds with  $\xi$  belonging to some nullity distributions. Also we obtain several corollaries. In the final section, we give an example of a 5-dimensional almost Kenmotsu manifold such that  $\xi$  belongs to the  $(k, \mu)'$ -nullity distribution and  $h' \neq 0$ .

## 2. Almost Kenmotsu manifolds

Let *M* be a (2n + 1)-dimensional differentiable manifold admits a  $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$ -structure or an almost contact structure, where  $\phi$  is an (1, 1) tensor field,  $\xi$  a characteristic vector field and  $\eta$  an 1-form such that ([1, 2])

$$\phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \ \eta(\xi) = 1, \tag{2.1}$$

where *I* denote the identity endomorphism. It is customary to include also  $\phi \xi = 0$  and  $\eta \circ \phi = 0$ ; both can be derived from (2.1).

If a manifold *M* with a  $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$ -structure admits a Riemannian metric *g* such that

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

for any vector fields X and Y of  $T_p M^{2n+1}$ , then M is said to have an almost contact metric structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ . The fundamental 2-form  $\Phi$  on an almost contact metric manifold is defined by  $\Phi(X, Y) = g(X, \phi Y)$  for any vector fields X, Y of  $T_p M^{2n+1}$ . An almost Kenmotsu manifold is defined as an almost contact metric manifold such that  $d\eta = 0$  and  $d\Phi = 2\eta \wedge \Phi$ . The condition for an almost contact metric manifold being normal is equivalent to vanishing of the (1, 2)-type torsion tensor  $N_{\phi}$ , defined by  $N_{\phi} = [\phi, \phi] + 2d\eta \otimes \xi$ , where  $[\phi, \phi]$  is the Nijenhuis torsion of  $\phi$  [1]. A normal almost Kenmotsu manifold is a Kenmotsu manifold. Also Kenmotsu manifolds can be characterized by  $(\nabla_X \phi)Y = g(\phi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X$ , for any vector fields X, Y. It is well known [13] that a Kenmotsu manifold  $M^{2n+1}$  is locally a warped product  $I \times_f N^{2n}$  where  $N^{2n}$  is a Kähler manifold, I is an open interval with coordinate t and the warping function f, defined by  $f = ce^t$  for some positive constant c. Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the distribution orthogonal to  $\xi$  and defined by  $\mathcal{D} = Ker(\eta) = Im(\phi)$ . In an almost Kenmotsu manifold  $\mathcal{D}$  is an integrable distribution as  $\eta$  is closed. Let the two tensor fields  $h = \frac{1}{2}\pounds_{\xi}\phi$  and  $l = R(\cdot,\xi)\xi$  on an almost Kenmotsu manifold  $M^{2n+1}$ . The tensor fields l and h are symmetric and satisfy the following relations [14]

$$h\xi = 0, \ l\xi = 0, \ tr(h) = 0, \ tr(h\phi) = 0, \ h\phi + \phi h = 0,$$
(2.2)

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\phi^2 X - \phi h X (\Rightarrow \nabla_\xi \xi = 0), \tag{2.3}$$

$$\phi l\phi - l = 2(h^2 - \phi^2), \tag{2.4}$$

$$R(X,Y)\xi = \eta(X)(Y - \phi hY) - \eta(Y)(X - \phi hX) + (\nabla_Y \phi h)X - (\nabla_X \phi h)Y,$$
(2.5)

for any vector fields *X*, *Y*. On the other hand, according to Takahashi [15] and De and Sarkar [5] we have the following definitions.

**Definition 2.1.** An almost Kenmotsu manifold is said to be  $\phi$ -symmetric, if it satisfies

$$\phi^2((\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z) = 0,$$

for any vector fields  $W, X, Y, Z \in T_p M$ . In addition, if the vector fields W, X, Y, Z are orthogonal to  $\xi$ , then the manifold is called locally  $\phi$ -symmetric manifold.

**Definition 2.2.** An almost Kenmotsu manifold is said to be  $\phi$ -Ricci recurrent if it satisfies

$$\phi^2((\nabla_W Q)Y) = A(W)QY, \tag{2.6}$$

for any vector fields  $W, Y \in T_p M$ , where A is the 1-form on  $M^{2n+1}$  and Q is the Ricci operator defined by S(X,Y) = g(QX,Y). In addition, if the vector fields W, Y are orthogonal to  $\xi$ , then the manifold is called locally  $\phi$ -Ricci recurrent manifold.

**Definition 2.3.** An almost Kenmotsu manifold is said to be  $\phi$ -Ricci symmetric if it satisfies

$$\phi^2((\nabla_W Q)Y) = 0, \tag{2.7}$$

for any vector fields  $W, Y \in T_pM$ , where Q is the Ricci operator defined by S(X, Y) = g(QX, Y). In addition, if the vector fields W, Y are orthogonal to  $\xi$ , then the manifold is called locally  $\phi$ -Ricci symmetric manifold.

#### 3. Properties of the nullity conditions

In this section we provide some related results on almost Kenmotsu manifolds with  $\xi$  belonging to some nullity distributions. The (1, 1)-type symmetric tensor field  $h' = h \circ \phi$  is anticommuting with  $\phi$  and  $h'\xi = 0$ . Also it is clear that

$$h = 0 \Leftrightarrow h' = 0, \ h'^2 = (k+1)\phi^2 (\Leftrightarrow h^2 = (k+1)\phi^2).$$
 (3.1)

Let  $X \in D$  be the eigen vector of h' corresponding to the eigen value  $\lambda$ . It follows from (3.1) that  $\lambda^2 = -(k+1)$ , a constant. Therefore  $k \leq -1$  and  $\lambda = \pm \sqrt{-k-1}$ . We denote by  $[\lambda]'$  and  $[-\lambda]'$  the corresponding eigenspaces associated with h' corresponding to the non-zero eigen value  $\lambda$  and  $-\lambda$  respectively. We have following lemmas.

**Lemma 3.1.** (Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 5.1 of [14]) Let  $(M^{2n+1}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  be an almost Kenmotsu manifold satisfying either the generalized  $(k, \mu)$ -nullity condition or the generalized  $(k, \mu)'$ -nullity condition ( the term generalized means  $k, \mu$  both are smooth functions ), with  $h \neq 0$ . Then, one has

$$h'^2 = (k+1)\phi^2 (\Leftrightarrow h^2 = (k+1)\phi^2),$$
(3.2)

$$S(X,\xi) = 2nk\eta(X),\tag{3.3}$$

for any vector field X on  $M^{2n+1}$ . Furthermore, in the case of generalized  $(k, \mu)$ -nullity condition, one has

$$R(\xi, X)Y = k[g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X] + \mu[g(hX, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)hX]$$
(3.4)

and in the case of generalized  $(k, \mu)'$ -nullity condition, one has

$$R(\xi, X)Y = k[g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X] + \mu[g(h'X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)h'X],$$
(3.5)

for any  $X, Y \in T_p M$ . In addition if n > 1 then one has

$$(\nabla_X h')Y = -g(h'X + h'^2X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)(h'X + h'^2X) - (\mu + 2)\eta(X)h'Y,$$
(3.6)

for any  $X, Y \in T_p M$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** (Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 4.3 of [10]) Let  $(M^{2n+1}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  be an almost Kenmotsu manifold such that  $\xi$  belongs to the  $(k, \mu)'$ -nullity distribution and  $h' \neq 0$ . Then k < -1,  $\mu = -2$  and Spec  $(h') = \{0, \lambda, -\lambda\}$ , with 0 as simple eigen value and  $\lambda = \sqrt{-k-1}$ . The distributions  $[\xi] \oplus [\lambda]'$  and  $[\xi] \oplus [-\lambda]'$  are integrable with totally geodesic leaves. The distributions  $[\lambda]'$  and  $[-\lambda]'$  are integrable with totally umbilical leaves. Furthermore, the sectional curvature are given as following:

- (a)  $K(X,\xi) = k 2\lambda$  if  $X \in [\lambda]'$  and
- $K(X,\xi) = k + 2\lambda \text{ if } X \in [-\lambda]',$
- (b)  $K(X,Y) = k 2\lambda$  if  $X, Y \in [\lambda]'$ ;
  - $K(X,Y) = k + 2\lambda \text{ if } X, Y \in [-\lambda]' \text{ and}$  $K(X,Y) = -(k+2) \text{ if } X \in [\lambda]', Y \in [-\lambda]',$
- $\begin{array}{l} K(\Lambda, I) = -(k+2) \ y \ \Lambda \in [\Lambda], I \in [-\Lambda], \\ (c) \ M^{2n+1} \ has \ constant \ negative \ scalar \ curvature \ r = 2n(k-2n). \end{array}$

**Lemma 3.3.** (Lemma 3 of [19]) Let  $(M^{2n+1}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  be an almost Kenmotsu manifold with  $\xi$  belonging to the generalized  $(k, \mu)'$ -nullity distribution and  $h' \neq 0$ . If n > 1, then the Ricci operator Q of  $M^{2n+1}$  is given by

$$Q = -2nid + 2n(k+1)\eta \otimes \xi + [\mu - 2(n-1)]h'.$$
(3.7)

*Moreover, if both* k *and*  $\mu$  *are constant, then we have* 

$$Q = -2nid + 2n(k+1)\eta \otimes \xi - 2nh'.$$

$$(3.8)$$

In both cases, the scalar curvature of  $M^{2n+1}$  is 2n(k-2n).

**Lemma 3.4.** (Proposition 4.2 of [10]) Let  $(M^{2n+1}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  be an almost Kenmotsu manifold such that  $h' \neq 0$  and  $\xi$  belongs to the (k, -2)'-nullity distribution. Then for any  $X_{\lambda}, Y_{\lambda}, Z_{\lambda} \in [\lambda]'$  and  $X_{-\lambda}, Y_{-\lambda}, Z_{-\lambda} \in [-\lambda]'$ , the Riemannian curvature tensor satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} R(X_{\lambda}, Y_{\lambda})Z_{-\lambda} &= 0, \\ R(X_{-\lambda}, Y_{-\lambda})Z_{\lambda} &= 0, \\ R(X_{\lambda}, Y_{-\lambda})Z_{\lambda} &= (k+2)g(X_{\lambda}, Z_{\lambda})Y_{-\lambda}, \\ R(X_{\lambda}, Y_{-\lambda})Z_{-\lambda} &= -(k+2)g(Y_{-\lambda}, Z_{-\lambda})X_{\lambda}, \\ R(X_{\lambda}, Y_{\lambda})Z_{\lambda} &= (k-2\lambda)[g(Y_{\lambda}, Z_{\lambda})X_{\lambda} - g(X_{\lambda}, Z_{\lambda})Y_{\lambda}], \\ R(X_{-\lambda}, Y_{-\lambda})Z_{-\lambda} &= (k+2\lambda)[g(Y_{-\lambda}, Z_{-\lambda})X_{-\lambda} - g(X_{-\lambda}, Z_{-\lambda})Y_{-\lambda}]. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.5.** (Lemma 4.1 of [10]) Let  $(M^{2n+1}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  be an almost Kenmotsu manifold with  $h' \neq 0$  and  $\xi$  belonging to the (k, -2)'-nullity distribution. Then for any  $X, Y \in T_pM$ ,

$$(\nabla_X h')Y = -g(h'X + h'^2X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)(h'X + h'^2X).$$
(3.9)

**Lemma 3.6.** (Theorem 4.1 of [10]) Let M be an almost Kenmotsu manifold of dimension 2n + 1. Suppose that the characteristic vector field  $\xi$  belonging to the  $(k, \mu)$ -nullity distribution. Then k = -1, h = 0 and M is locally a warped product of an open interval and an almost Kähler manifold.

#### 4. $\phi$ -Ricci recurrent almost Kenmotsu manifolds

This section is devoted to study  $\phi$ -Ricci recurrent almost Kenmotsu manifolds with some nullity distributions. At first we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $(M^{2n+1}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)(n > 1)$  be a  $\phi$ -Ricci recurrent almost Kenmotsu manifold with the characteristic vector field  $\xi$  belonging to the  $(k, \mu)'$ -nullity distribution and  $h' \neq 0$ . Then the manifold  $M^{2n+1}$  is  $\phi$ -Ricci symmetric and hence locally isometric to the Riemannian product of an (n + 1)-dimensional manifold of constant sectional curvature -4 and a flat *n*-dimensional manifold.

*Proof.* We suppose that the manifold  $M^{2n+1}$  is a  $\phi$ -Ricci recurrent almost Kenmotsu manifold. Taking the covariant differentiation along arbitrary vector field  $Y \in T_p M$  of (3.8) we have

$$(\nabla_Y Q)X = 2n(k+1)[(\nabla_Y \eta)X\xi + \eta(X)\nabla_Y \xi] - 2n(\nabla_Y h')X, \tag{4.1}$$

for any vector fields  $X, Y \in T_p M$ . Using (2.3) we obtain from the above equation

$$(\nabla_Y Q)X = 2n(k+1)[g(X,Y)\xi - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi + Y\eta(X) +g(X,h'Y)\xi + h'Y\eta(X)] - 2n(\nabla_Y h')X,$$
(4.2)

for any vector fields  $X, Y \in T_p M$ . Applying  $\phi^2$  on both sides of (4.2) we obtain

$$\phi^{2}((\nabla_{Y}Q)X) = 2n(k+1)[-\eta(X)Y + \eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi - \eta(X)h'Y] -2n\phi^{2}((\nabla_{Y}h')X),$$
(4.3)

for any vector fields  $X, Y \in T_p M$ . Making use of (3.9) we get from (4.3)

$$\phi^{2}((\nabla_{Y}Q)X) = 2n(k+1)[-\eta(X)Y + \eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi - \eta(X)h'Y] +2n\eta(X)[-h'Y + (k+1)(Y - \eta(Y)\xi)],$$
(4.4)

from which we have

$$\phi^2((\nabla_Y Q)X) = -2n(k+2)\eta(X)h'Y,$$
(4.5)

for any vector fields  $X, Y \in T_p M$ . By virtue of equations (4.5) and (2.6) we obtain

$$-2n(k+2)\eta(X)h'Y = A(Y)QX,$$
(4.6)

for any vector fields  $X, Y \in T_p M$ . Substituting  $X = \xi$  in (4.6) yields

$$-(k+2)h'Y = kA(Y)\xi,$$
(4.7)

for any vector field  $Y \in T_p M$ . Taking inner product of (4.7) with  $\xi$  we have

$$kA(Y) = 0, (4.8)$$

for any vector field  $Y \in T_p M$ . Dileo and Pastore [10] proved that in an almost Kenmotsu manifold with the characteristic vector field  $\xi$  belonging to the  $(k, \mu)'$ -nullity distribution with  $h' \neq 0$  then k < -1. Hence (4.8) implies A = 0, that is, the manifold  $M^{2n+1}$  is  $\phi$ -Ricci symmetric. Using the relation A = 0, it follows from (4.7) that k = -2. Noticing the fact  $\lambda^2 = -(k+1)$  and k = -2 we have  $\lambda = \pm 1$ . Without losing generality we may choose  $\lambda = 1$ . Then we can write from Lemma 3.4

$$R(X_{\lambda}, Y_{\lambda})Z_{\lambda} = -4[g(Y_{\lambda}, Z_{\lambda})X_{\lambda} - g(X_{\lambda}, Z_{\lambda})Y_{\lambda}]$$
  
$$R(X_{-\lambda}, Y_{-\lambda})Z_{-\lambda} = 0,$$

for any  $X_{\lambda}, Y_{\lambda}, Z_{\lambda} \in [\lambda]'$  and  $X_{-\lambda}, Y_{-\lambda}, Z_{-\lambda} \in [-\lambda]'$ . Also it follows from Lemma 3.2 that  $K(X, \xi) = -4$  for any  $X \in [\lambda]'$  and  $K(X, \xi) = 0$  for any  $X \in [-\lambda]'$ . Again from Lemma 3.2 we see that K(X, Y) = -4 for any  $X, Y \in [\lambda]'$ ; K(X, Y) = 0 for any  $X, Y \in [-\lambda]'$  and K(X, Y) = 0 for any  $X \in [\lambda]', Y \in [-\lambda]'$ . As is shown in [10] that the distribution  $[\xi] \oplus [\lambda]'$  is integrable with totally geodesic leaves and the distribution  $[-\lambda]'$  is integrable with totally umbilical leaves by  $H = -(1 - \lambda)\xi$ , where H is the mean curvature vector field for the leaves of  $[-\lambda]'$  immersed in  $M^{2n+1}$ . Here  $\lambda = 1$ , then two orthogonal distributions  $[\xi] \oplus [\lambda]'$  and  $[-\lambda]'$  are both integrable with totally geodesic leaves immersed in  $M^{2n+1}$ . Then we can say that  $M^{2n+1}$  is locally isometric to  $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}(-4) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ . This completes the proof.

Since  $\phi$ -recurrent implies  $\phi$ -Ricci recurrent, we have the following:

**Corollary 4.1.** Let  $(M^{2n+1}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)(n > 1)$  be a  $\phi$ -recurrent almost Kenmotsu manifold with the characteristic vector field  $\xi$  belonging to the  $(k, \mu)'$ -nullity distribution and  $h' \neq 0$ . Then the manifold is locally isometric to the Riemannian product of an (n + 1)-dimensional manifold of constant sectional curvature -4 and a flat n-dimensional manifold.

The above corollary have been proved by Wang and Liu [19].

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $(M^{2n+1}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)(n > 1)$  be a  $\phi$ -Ricci recurrent almost Kenmotsu manifold with the characteristic vector field  $\xi$  belonging to the generalized  $(k, \mu)'$ -nullity distribution and  $h' \neq 0$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i)  $M^{2n+1}$  is  $\phi$ -Ricci symmetric;

(ii) k is a constant;

(iii)  $\xi$  belongs to the  $(k, \mu)'$ -nullity distribution.

*Proof.* Let us suppose  $M^{2n+1}$  be a  $\phi$ -Ricci recurrent almost Kenmotsu manifold with  $\xi$  belonging to the generalized  $(k, \mu)'$ -nullity distribution and  $h' \neq 0$ . Taking the covariant differentiation along arbitrary vector field  $W \in T_p M$  of (3.7) we get

$$(\nabla_W Q)Y = 2nW(k)\eta(Y)\xi + 2n(k+1)[(\nabla_W \eta)Y\xi + \eta(Y)\nabla_W \xi] + W(\mu)h'Y + [\mu - 2(n-1)](\nabla_W h')Y,$$
(4.9)

for any vector fields  $W, Y \in T_p M$ . Applying  $\phi^2$  on both sides of (4.9), we have

$$\phi^{2}((\nabla_{W}Q)Y) = -W(\mu)h'Y + [\mu - 2(n-1)]\phi^{2}((\nabla_{W}h')Y) +2n(k+1)\eta(Y)\{-W + \eta(W)\xi - h'W\},$$
(4.10)

for any vector fields  $W, Y \in T_p M$ . In view of (4.10) and (2.6) we have

$$-W(\mu)h'Y + [\mu - 2(n-1)]\phi^{2}((\nabla_{W}h')Y) +2n(k+1)\eta(Y)\{-W + \eta(W)\xi - h'W\} = A(W)QY,$$
(4.11)

for any vector fields  $W, Y \in T_p M$ . Making use of (3.6) and (4.11) we obtain

$$-W(\mu)h'Y + [\mu - 2(n-1)][-\eta(Y)\{-h'W + (k+1)(W - \eta(W)\xi)\} + (\mu + 2)\eta(W)h'Y] - 2n(k+1)[\eta(Y)W - \eta(Y)\eta(W)\xi + \eta(Y)h'W] = A(W)QY,$$
(4.12)

www.iejgeo.com

for any vector fields  $W, Y \in T_p M$ . Taking inner product with  $\xi$  of (4.12) yields

$$kA(W) = 0,$$
 (4.13)

for any vector field  $W \in T_p M$ . From (3.2) we see that the smooth function k satisfies k < -1. Hence it follows from (4.13) that A = 0. Thus  $M^{2n+1}$  is  $\phi$ -Ricci symmetric. Therefore  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$  is proved. Taking into account A = 0 we have from (4.12)

$$-W(\mu)h'Y + [\mu - 2(n-1)][-\eta(Y)\{-h'W + (k+1)(W - \eta(W)\xi)\} + (\mu + 2)\eta(W)h'Y] - 2n(k+1)[\eta(Y)W - \eta(Y)\eta(W)\xi + \eta(Y)h'W] = 0,$$
(4.14)

for any vector fields  $W, Y \in T_p M$ . Substituting  $Y = \xi$  in (4.14) we obtain

$$[\mu - 2(n-1)][h'W - (k+1)(W - \eta(W)\xi)] - 2n(k+1)[W - \eta(W)\xi + h'W] = 0,$$
(4.15)

for any vector field  $W \in T_p M$ . Now taking inner product of (4.15) with the vector field X we have

$$[\mu - 2(n-1)][g(h'W, X) - (k+1)(g(W, X) - \eta(W)\eta(X))] -2n(k+1)[g(W, X) - \eta(W)\eta(X) + g(h'W, X)] = 0,$$
(4.16)

for any vector fields  $W, X \in T_p M$ . Consider a local orthonormal basis  $\{e_i : i = 1, 2, ..., 2n + 1\}$  of tangent space at each point of the manifold  $M^{2n+1}$ . Setting  $X = W = e_i$  in (4.16) and taking summation over  $i : 1 \le i \le 2n + 1$ , we get

$$(k+1)(\mu+2) = 0. \tag{4.17}$$

Again from (3.2) we see that the smooth function k satisfies k < -1. Therefore it follows from (4.17) that  $\mu = -2$ . Pastore and Saltarelli [14] proved that in an almost Kenmotsu manifold with generalized  $(k, \mu)'$ -nullity distribution and  $h' \neq 0$ , then

$$\xi(k) = -2(k+1)(\mu+2) \tag{4.18}$$

holds. In view of  $\mu = -2$  we have from (4.18), *k*=constant. Thus  $(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$  is proved. Conversely,  $(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$  is proved in Theorem 4.1. This completes the proof.

Since  $\phi$ -recurrent implies  $\phi$ -Ricci recurrent, we have the following:

**Corollary 4.2.** Let  $(M^{2n+1}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)(n > 1)$  be a  $\phi$ -recurrent almost Kenmotsu manifold with the characteristic vector field  $\xi$  belonging to the generalized  $(k, \mu)'$ -nullity distribution and  $h' \neq 0$ . Then the following statements are equivalent: *(i)*  $M^{2n+1}$  is  $\phi$ -symmetric;

*(ii) k is a constant;* 

(iii)  $\xi$  belongs to the  $(k, \mu)'$ -nullity distribution.

The above corollary have been proved by Wang and Liu [19]. Now we prove some special theorems on almost Kenmotsu manifolds with  $\xi$  belonging to the  $(k, \mu)$ -nullity and generalized  $(k, \mu)$ -nullity distributions.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $(M^{2n+1}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  be a  $\phi$ -Ricci recurrent almost Kenmotsu manifold with the characteristic vector field  $\xi$  belonging to the  $(k, \mu)$ -nullity distribution. Then the manifold  $M^{2n+1}$  is an Einstein one.

*Proof.* Let us suppose that the manifold  $M^{2n+1}$  is an  $\phi$ -Ricci recurrent almost Kenmotsu manifold with  $\xi$  belonging to the  $(k, \mu)$ -nullity distribution. In view of Lemma 3.6 and the equation (2.5) we get

$$R(X,Y)\xi = \eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X, \tag{4.19}$$

for any  $X, Y \in T_p M$ . Contracting Y in (4.19) we have

$$S(X,\xi) = -2n\eta(X), \tag{4.20}$$

for any  $X, Y \in T_p M$ . Thus, we have

$$Q\xi = -2n\xi. \tag{4.21}$$

Taking the covariant differentiation along arbitrary vector field  $Z \in T_p M$  of (4.21) we get

$$(\nabla_Z Q)\xi = -2n(\nabla_Z \xi). \tag{4.22}$$

Using Lemma 3.6 the Equation (2.3) becomes

$$\nabla_Z \xi = Z - \eta(Z)\xi,\tag{4.23}$$

for any  $Z \in T_p M$ . From (4.22) and (4.23) we have

$$(\nabla_Z Q)\xi = -2n[Z - \eta(Z)\xi], \qquad (4.24)$$

where Z be any vector field on M. Applying  $\phi^2$  on both sides of (4.24) we see that

$$\phi^2((\nabla_Z Q)\xi) = -2n[-Z + \eta(Z)\xi], \tag{4.25}$$

for any  $Z \in T_p M$ . In view of (4.25) and (2.6) we obtain

$$-2n[-Z + \eta(Z)\xi] = A(Z)Q\xi,$$
(4.26)

where  $Z \in T_pM$ . Taking the inner product of (4.26) with  $\xi$  yields A(Z) = 0, for any  $Z \in T_pM$ , thus we have A = 0 and consequently  $M^{2n+1}$  is  $\phi$ -Ricci symmetric. Taking into account A = 0, we can write from (4.25) and (4.26) that  $\phi^2((\nabla_Z Q)\xi) = 0$ , for any  $Z \in T_pM$ , from which it follows that

$$-(\nabla_Z Q)\xi + \eta((\nabla_Z Q)\xi)\xi = 0. \tag{4.27}$$

Taking the inner product of (4.27) with the vector field U and making use of  $g((\nabla_Z Q)\xi, U) = (\nabla_Z S)(\xi, U)$  we have

$$(\nabla_Z S)(\xi, U) - \eta((\nabla_Z Q)\xi)\eta(U) = 0, \tag{4.28}$$

for any  $U, Z \in T_p M$ . Again using (4.24) we see from (4.28) that

$$(\nabla_Z S)(\xi, U) = 0, \tag{4.29}$$

which implies

$$\nabla_Z S(\xi, U) - S(\nabla_Z \xi, U) - S(\xi, \nabla_Z U) = 0, \qquad (4.30)$$

for any  $U, Z \in T_p M$ . Making use of (4.20) and (4.23) into (4.30) we can write S(U, Z) = -2ng(U, Z), for any  $U, Z \in T_p M$ . Therefore the manifold  $M^{2n+1}$  is an Einstein manifold. This completes the proof.

In [19], Wang and Liu proved that a  $\phi$ -recurrent almost Kenmotsu manifold  $M^{2n+1}$  with the characteristic vector field  $\xi$  belonging to the  $(k, \mu)$ -nullity distribution is of constant sectional curvature -1, which implies that the manifold is an Einstein one of the form S(U, Z) = -2ng(U, Z), for any  $U, Z \in T_pM$ . Also,  $\phi$ -recurrent implies  $\phi$ -Ricci recurrent. Thus, we have the following:

**Corollary 4.3.** Let  $(M^{2n+1}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  be a  $\phi$ -recurrent almost Kenmotsu manifold with the characteristic vector field  $\xi$  belonging to the  $(k, \mu)$ -nullity distribution. Then the manifold  $M^{2n+1}$  is an Einstein one.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $(M^{2n+1}, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  be a  $\phi$ -Ricci recurrent almost Kenmotsu manifold with the characteristic vector field  $\xi$  belonging to the generalized  $(k, \mu)$ -nullity distribution and  $h \neq 0$ . Then the manifold  $M^{2n+1}$  is an Einstein one.

*Proof.* Suppose that an almost Kenmotsu manifold  $M^{2n+1}$  is  $\phi$ -Ricci recurrent and  $\xi$  belongs to the generalized  $(k, \mu)$ -nullity distribution with  $h \neq 0$ . Making use of (3.3) we get

$$Q\xi = 2nk\xi. \tag{4.31}$$

Taking the covariant differentiation along arbitrary vector field  $Z \in T_p M$  of (4.31) we get

$$(\nabla_Z Q)\xi = 2nk(\nabla_Z \xi) + 2nZ(k)\xi. \tag{4.32}$$

Using (2.3) in (4.32) yields

$$(\nabla_Z Q)\xi = 2nk(Z - \eta(Z)\xi - \phi hZ) + 2nZ(k)\xi, \qquad (4.33)$$

www.iejgeo.com

for any vector field  $Z \in T_p M$ . Applying  $\phi^2$  on both sides of (4.33) we have

$$\phi^{2}((\nabla_{Z}Q)\xi) = 2nk(-Z + \eta(Z)\xi + \phi hZ), \qquad (4.34)$$

for any vector field  $Z \in T_p M$ . On the other hand, the assumption that  $M^{2n+1}$  is  $\phi$ -Ricci recurrent implies  $\phi^2((\nabla_Z Q)Y) = A(Z)QY$ , for any  $Y, Z \in T_p M$ . Substituting  $Y = \xi$  into this relation we obtain

$$\phi^2((\nabla_Z Q)\xi) = A(Z)Q\xi. \tag{4.35}$$

In view of (4.34) and (4.35) we get

$$2nk(-Z + \eta(Z)\xi + \phi hZ) = A(Z)Q\xi, \qquad (4.36)$$

for any vector field  $Z \in T_p M$ . Taking inner product of (4.36) with  $\xi$  implies kA(Z) = 0 for any vector field  $Z \in T_p M$ . Also, from (3.2) we see that the smooth function k satisfies the condition k < -1. Therefore, A = 0 and consequently  $M^{2n+1}$  is  $\phi$ -Ricci symmetric. Taking into account A = 0, we can write from (4.35) that  $\phi^2((\nabla_Z Q)\xi) = 0$ , for any  $Z \in T_p M$ , from which it follows that

$$-(\nabla_Z Q)\xi + \eta((\nabla_Z Q)\xi)\xi = 0. \tag{4.37}$$

Taking the inner product of (4.37) with the vector field U and using  $g((\nabla_Z Q)\xi, U) = (\nabla_Z S)(\xi, U)$  we have

$$(\nabla_Z S)(\xi, U) - \eta((\nabla_Z Q)\xi)\eta(U) = 0, \tag{4.38}$$

for any vector fields  $U, Z \in T_p M$ . This implies

$$\nabla_Z S(\xi, U) - S(\nabla_Z \xi, U) - S(\xi, \nabla_Z U) - \eta((\nabla_Z Q)\xi)\eta(U) = 0.$$
(4.39)

Applying (3.3), (2.3) and (4.33) into (4.39) we obtain

$$2nZ(k)\eta(U) + 2nk\nabla_Z \eta(U) - S(Z,U) + 2nk\eta(Z)\eta(U) +S(\phi h Z, U) - 2nk\eta(\nabla_Z U) - 2nZ(k)\eta(U) = 0,$$
(4.40)

from which it follows that

$$S(U,Z) - S(\phi hZ,U) - 2nkg(U,Z) + 2nkg(\phi hZ,U) = 0,$$
(4.41)

for any vector fields  $U, Z \in T_p M$ . Now replacing Z by  $\phi hZ$  in (4.41) and noticing the fact  $h^2 = (k+1)\phi^2$  we have

$$(k+1)S(U,Z) + S(\phi hZ,U) - 2nk(k+1)g(U,Z) - 2nkg(\phi hZ,U) = 0,$$
(4.42)

for any vector fields  $U, Z \in T_p M$ . Adding (4.41) and (4.42) yields

$$(k+2)[S(U,Z) - 2nkg(U,Z)] = 0, (4.43)$$

for any vector fields  $U, Z \in T_p M$ . Clearly, it follows from (4.43) that either k = -2 or, S(U, Z) = 2nkg(U, Z), for any vector fields  $U, Z \in T_p M$ . Now we prove that the former case can not occur. Indeed, if we assume that the former case is true, that is, k = -2, a constant, then  $\xi(k) = 0$ . Here we recall a result due to Pastore and Saltarelli [14]. They proved that in an almost Kenmotsu manifold with generalized  $(k, \mu)$ -nullity distribution and  $h \neq 0$ , the relation  $\xi(k) = -4(k + 1)$  holds. Therefore substituting k = -2 in this relation we have  $\xi(k) = 4$ . Thus, we have  $\xi(k) = 0$  and  $\xi(k) = 4$ , which is absurd. Hence, we get the desired result.

#### 5. Example of a 5-dimensional almost Kenmotsu manifold

In this section, we construct an example of an almost Kenmotsu manifold such that  $\xi$  belongs to the  $(k, \mu)'$ nullity distribution. We consider 5-dimensional manifold  $M = \{(x, y, z, u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^5\}$ , where (x, y, z, u, v) are the standard coordinates in  $\mathbb{R}^5$ . Let  $\xi$ ,  $e_2$ ,  $e_3$ ,  $e_4$ ,  $e_5$  are five vector fields in  $\mathbb{R}^5$  which satisfies [10]

$$[\xi, e_2] = -2e_2, \ [\xi, e_3] = -2e_3, \ [\xi, e_4] = 0, \ [\xi, e_5] = 0, \ [e_i, e_j] = 0, \ where \ i, j = 2, 3, 4, 5.$$

Let *g* be the Riemannian metric defined by

$$g(\xi,\xi) = g(e_2,e_2) = g(e_3,e_3) = g(e_4,e_4) = g(e_5,e_5) = 1$$
  
and  $g(\xi,e_i) = g(e_i,e_j) = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ ;  $i,j = 2,3,4,5$ .

Let  $\eta$  be the 1-form defined by

$$\eta(Z) = g(Z,\xi),$$

for any  $Z \in \chi(M)$ . Let  $\phi$  be the (1, 1)-tensor field defined by

$$\phi(\xi) = 0, \ \phi(e_2) = e_4, \ \phi(e_3) = e_5, \ \phi(e_4) = -e_2, \ \phi(e_5) = -e_3$$

Using the linearity of  $\phi$  and g we have

$$\eta(\xi) = 1, \ \phi^2 Z = -Z + \eta(Z)\xi$$

and

$$g(\phi Z, \phi U) = g(Z, U) - \eta(Z)\eta(U),$$

for any  $U, Z \in \chi(M)$ . Moreover,

$$h'\xi = 0, h'e_2 = e_2, h'e_3 = e_3, h'e_4 = -e_4, h'e_5 = -e_5,$$

The Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$  of the metric tensor *g* is given by Koszul's formula which is given by

$$2g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = Xg(Y, Z) + Yg(Z, X) - Zg(X, Y) -g(X, [Y, Z]) - g(Y, [X, Z]) + g(Z, [X, Y]).$$

Using Koszul's formula we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\xi}\xi &= 0, \ \nabla_{\xi}e_{2} = 0, \ \nabla_{\xi}e_{3} = 0, \ \nabla_{\xi}e_{4} = 0, \ \nabla_{\xi}e_{5} = \xi, \\ \nabla_{e_{2}}\xi &= 2e_{2}, \ \nabla_{e_{2}}e_{2} = -2\xi, \ \nabla_{e_{2}}e_{3} = 0, \ \nabla_{e_{2}}e_{4} = 0, \ \nabla_{e_{2}}e_{5} = 0, \\ \nabla_{e_{3}}\xi &= 2e_{3}, \ \nabla_{e_{3}}e_{2} = 0, \ \nabla_{e_{3}}e_{3} = -2\xi, \ \nabla_{e_{3}}e_{4} = 0, \ \nabla_{e_{3}}e_{5} = 0, \\ \nabla_{e_{4}}\xi &= 0, \ \nabla_{e_{4}}e_{2} = 0, \ \nabla_{e_{4}}e_{3} = 0, \ \nabla_{e_{4}}e_{4} = 0, \ \nabla_{e_{4}}e_{5} = 0, \\ \nabla_{e_{5}}\xi &= 0, \ \nabla_{e_{5}}e_{2} = 0, \ \nabla_{e_{5}}e_{3} = 0, \ \nabla_{e_{5}}e_{4} = 0, \ \nabla_{e_{5}}e_{5} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In view of the above relations we have

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\phi^2 X + h' X,$$

for any  $X \in \chi(M)$ . Therefore, the structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is an almost contact metric structure such that  $d\eta = 0$  and  $d\Phi = 2\eta \wedge \Phi$ , so that M is an almost Kenmotsu manifold.

By the above results, we can easily obtain the components of the curvature tensor R as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} R(\xi, e_2)\xi &= 4e_2, \ R(\xi, e_2)e_2 = -4\xi, \ R(\xi, e_3)\xi = 4e_3, \ R(\xi, e_3)e_3 = -4\xi, \\ R(\xi, e_4)\xi &= R(\xi, e_4)e_4 = R(\xi, e_5)\xi = R(\xi, e_5)e_5 = 0, \\ R(e_2, e_3)e_2 &= 4e_3, \ R(e_2, e_3)e_3 = -4e_2, \ R(e_2, e_4)e_2 = R(e_2, e_4)e_4 = 0, \\ R(e_2, e_5)e_2 &= R(e_2, e_5)e_5 = R(e_3, e_4)e_3 = R(e_3, e_4)e_4 = 0, \\ R(e_3, e_5)e_3 &= R(e_3, e_5)e_5 = R(e_4, e_5)e_4 = R(e_4, e_5)e_5 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

With the help of the expressions of the curvature tensor we conclude that the characteristic vector field  $\xi$  belongs to the  $(k, \mu)'$ -nullity distribution, with k = -2 and  $\mu = -2$ .

# References

- [1] Blair, D.E., Contact manifold in Riemannian geometry. Lecture Notes on Mathematics. Springer, Berlin, 509, 1976.
- [2] Blair, D.E., Riemannian geometry on contact and symplectic manifolds. *Progr. Math.* 203, Birkhäuser, 2010.

[4] De, U.C., On *φ*-symmetric Kenmotsu manifolds. Int. Electron. J. Geom. 1 (2008), 33-38.

<sup>[3]</sup> Blair, D.E., Koufogiorgos, T. and Papantoniou, B.J., Contact metric manifolds satisfying a nullity condition. Israel J. Math. 91 (1995), 189-214.

<sup>[5]</sup> De, U.C., Sarkar, A., On φ-Ricci symmetric Sasakian manifolds. Proc. of the Jangjeon Math. Soc. 11 (2008), 47-52.

- [6] De, U.C., Shaikh, A.A. and Biswas, S., On φ-recurrent Sasakian manifolds. Novi Sad J. Math. 33 (2003), 43-48.
- [7] De, U.C., Yildiz, A. and Yaliniz, A.F., On  $\phi$ -recurrent Kenmotsu manifolds. Turkish J. Math. 33 (2009), 17-25.
- [8] De, U.C., Yildiz, A. and Yaliniz, A.F., Locally φ-symmetric normal almost contact metric manifolds of dimension 3. Applied Math. Letters. 22 (2009), 723-727.
- [9] Dileo, G. and Pastore, A.M., Almost Kenmotsu manifolds and local symmetry. Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin. 14 (2007), 343-354.
- [10] Dileo, G. and Pastore, A.M., Almost Kenmotsu manifolds and nullity distributions. J. Geom. 93 (2009), 46-61.
- [11] Dileo, G. and Pastore, A.M., Almost Kenmotsu manifolds with a condition of  $\eta$ -parallelism. Differential Geom. Appl. 27 (2009), 671-679.
- [12] Gray, A., Spaces of constancy of curvature operators. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (1966), 897-902.
- [13] Kenmotsu, K., A class of almost contact Riemannian manifolds. Tohoku Math. J. 24 (1972), 93-103.
- [14] Pastore, A.M. and Saltarelli, V., Generalized nullity distributions on almost Kenmotsu manifolds. Int. Electron. J. Geom. 4 (2011), 168-183.
- [15] Takahashi, T., Sasakian φ-symmetic spaces. Tohoku Math. J. 29 (1977), 91-113.
- [16] Tanno, S., Some differential equations on Riemannian manifolds. J. Math. Soc. Japan. 30 (1978), 509-531.
- [17] Wang, Y. and Liu, X., Second order parallel tensors on almost Kenmotsu manifolds satisfying the nullity distributions. *Filomat.* 28 (2014), 839-847.
- [18] Wang, Y. and Liu, X., Riemannian semisymmetric almost Kenmotsu manifolds and nullity distributions. Ann. Polon. Math. 112 (2014), 37-46.
- [19] Wang, Y. and Liu, X., On φ-recurrent almost Kenmotsu manifolds. Kuwait J. Sci. 42 (2015), 65-77.
- [20] Wang, Y. and Liu, X., On a type of almost Kenmotsu manifolds with harmonic curvature tensors. Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin. 22 (2015), 15-24.
- [21] Wang, Y. and Liu, X., On almost Kenmotsu manifolds satisfying some nullity distributions. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., India, Sect. A Phys. Sci. 86 (2016), 347-353.

## Affiliations

#### U.C. DE

**ADDRESS:** Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Calcutta, 35, Ballygunge Circular Road, Kol-700019, West Bengal, INDIA.

E-MAIL: uc\_de@yahoo.com

Krishanu Mandal

**ADDRESS:** Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Calcutta, 35, Ballygunge Circular Road, Kol-700019, West Bengal, INDIA.

E-MAIL: krishanu.mandal013@gmail.com