# ON POINCARE SERIES WITH APPLICATION TO H<sup>p</sup> SPACES ON BORDERED RIEMANN SURFACES<sup>1</sup>

#### BY

C. J. EARLE AND A. MARDEN

### Introduction

In this paper, as in [5], we use Poincaré  $\Theta$ -series to study the Hardy spaces of a compact bordered Riemann surface. Our fundamental tool for projecting theorems from the disk D to the surface R is the conditional expectation operator E of Forelli [6], which we define in §2 by means of  $\Theta$ -series. Our definition allows us in §3 to interpret E as a map from  $C(\partial D)$ , the space of continuous functions on  $\partial D$ , to  $C(\partial R)$ . The adjoint map  $E^*$  enables us to lift measures from  $\partial R$  to  $\partial D$ . Using E and  $E^*$ , we give easy proofs of the Cauchy-Read theorem and the decomposition of  $L^p(\partial R)$  in §2, and of the F. and M. Riesz theorem for R in §3. In addition, we obtain a pair of theorems about  $\Theta$ -series. The more surprising one, Theorem 4, states that every differential which is analytic in R and continuous in  $\overline{R}$  is the  $\Theta$ -series of a function analytic in D and continuous in  $\overline{D}$ .

If  $R \neq D$ , the real parts of functions continuous in  $\overline{R}$  and analytic in R do not generate  $C(\partial R)$ . There is a complementary subspace of finite but positive dimension (see [1], [3], [6], [7]). Forelli [6] described such a subspace N, the image under E of a certain subspace of  $H^{\infty}(D)$ . Our definition of E shows that N coincides with the complementary subspace obtained by Heins [7] (see §2.3).

Interference from N makes it hard to obtain satisfactory forms of the invariant subspace theorem or Szegö's theorem on R. We illustrate the difficulties in §3.6 by giving a form of Szegö's theorem. One way around them may be found in [1].

In the final §4 we examine some of our formulas more deeply to find their relation to two classical reproducing formulas on R: the Poisson and Cauchy formulas. Indeed we give explicit representations of the Poisson and Cauchy kernels in terms of  $\Theta$ -series.

Except in §4.2, all our  $\Theta$ -series have dimension -2. Since series of that dimension are a bit unfamiliar, we devote §1 to an exposition, based on Tsuji's book [12], of their elementary properties.

### 1. Poincare series

**1.1.** We shall consider a compact bordered Riemann surface  $\overline{R} = R \cup \partial R$  whose boundary  $\partial R$  consists of  $n \ge 1$  analytic curves. The universal covering surface of R can be identified with the unit disk  $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ . Then

Received July 13, 1967.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This research was supported by the National Science Foundation.

the group G of cover transformations is a free group of Möbius transformations, and R can be identified with the orbit space D/G so that the natural map  $\pi : D \to D/G$  is holomorphic. G acts in the extended plane; the set of limit points L(G) is a closed subset of  $\partial D$ . If we set  $\hat{D} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} - L(G)$ ,  $\hat{D}/G$  can be identified with the double  $\hat{R}$  of R, and the extended map  $\pi :$  $\hat{D} \to \hat{D}/G = \hat{R}$  is holomorphic. Note that  $\pi^{-1}(\partial R) = \partial D - L(G)$ . We can choose (in many ways) a relatively compact set  $\mathfrak{s}$  in  $\partial D - L(G)$ , consisting of n half-open intervals, so that  $\pi$  maps each interval 1-1 onto a component of  $\partial R$ , different intervals corresponding to different components. Then

(1.1)  $A(\mathfrak{G}) \cap B(\mathfrak{G}) = \emptyset$ , if  $A \neq B, A, B \in G$ ,

(1.2) 
$$G(\mathfrak{s}) = \partial D - L(G).$$

Using  $\pi$ , we will identify functions f and differentials of the form g(z) dz on R or  $\hat{R}$  with functions in D or  $\hat{D}$  which satisfy, respectively,

(1.3) f(Az) = f(z) for all  $A \in G$ 

(1.4) 
$$g(Az)A'(z) = g(z)$$
 for all  $A \in G$ .

A function satisfying (1.3) is said to be *automorphic*.

**1.2.** We will call g(z) dz a meromorphic differential on R or  $\hat{R}$  if g(z) is a meromorphic function in D or  $\hat{D}$  satisfying (1.4). If g(z) has no poles and has at least a double zero at  $\infty$ , we call g(z) dz an analytic differential. The condition at  $\infty$  expresses the regularity of g(z) dz in terms of the local parameter  $\zeta = 1/z$ . It is fulfilled automatically if g(z) satisfies (1.4) and is regular at  $A(\infty)$  for some  $A \in G$ .

The anti-conformal involution  $j(z) = 1/\bar{z}$  induces an involution of  $\hat{R}$  and an involution  $f \to \tilde{f} \circ j$  of meromorphic functions on  $\hat{R}$ . A meromorphic function f(z) on  $\hat{R}$  is symmetric if  $f(z) = \tilde{f}(1/\bar{z})$  for all  $z \in \hat{D}$ , or equivalently, if f(z) is real on  $\mathfrak{s}$ . j also induces an involution  $j^*$  of meromorphic differentials  $\beta = g(z) dz$  on  $\hat{R}$  by

(1.5) 
$$j^*(g(z) dz) = -z^{-2}\bar{g}(1/\bar{z}) dz = \bar{g}(jz) d(1/z).$$

 $\beta$  is symmetric if  $j^*(\beta) = \beta$ . If  $g_1(z) = izg(z)$ , that is described by the condition  $g_1(z) = \bar{g}_1(1/\bar{z})$  for all  $z \in \hat{D}$ ; equivalently

(1.6) 
$$g(z) dz = izg(z) | dz |, z \in \mathcal{G}, \text{ is real.}$$

Every differential  $\beta$  can be written in the form  $\beta = \beta_1 + i\beta_2$ , where  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  are symmetric. Simply put

$$\beta_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\beta + j^*(\beta)), \qquad \beta_2 = (1/2i)(\beta - j^*(\beta)).$$

**1.3.** Let m be the linear measure on  $\partial D$ :  $m(S) = \int_{S} |dz|, S \subset \partial D$  a Baire set.

C. J. EARLE AND A. MARDEN

(1.7) 
$$m(L(G)) = 0.$$

In fact, let  $\varphi(z)$  be the characteristic function of L(G). The Poisson integral of  $\varphi$  is a harmonic function u(z) on D which vanishes on  $\partial D - L(G)$ . Since L(G) is a G-invariant set,  $\varphi(z)$  and hence u(z) satisfy (1.3). In other words u(z) is a harmonic function on R which vanishes on  $\partial R$ . By the maximum principle  $u \equiv 0$  and hence  $\varphi = 0$  a.e., proving (1.7).

If f(z) is integrable on  $\partial D$  we obtain from (1.1), (1.2) and (1.7) that

(1.8)  
$$\int_{\partial D} f(z) \mid dz \mid = \sum_{A \in G} \int_{A(g)} f(z) \mid dz \mid$$
$$= \int_{g} \left( \sum_{A \in G} f(A\zeta) \mid A'(\zeta) \mid \right) \mid d\zeta \mid$$

If in addition f satisfies (1.3) on  $\partial D$ , so that f is a function on  $\partial R$ , (1.8) simplifies to

$$\int_{\partial D} f(z) \mid dz \mid = \int_{\mathcal{G}} f(z) \left( \sum_{A \in G} \mid A'(z) \mid \right) \mid dz \mid .$$

We introduce the function

(1.9) 
$$\rho(z) = \sum_{A \in G} |A'(z)|$$

so that our formula becomes

**PROPOSITION 1.** For every integrable function f(z) on  $\partial R$ ,

(1.10) 
$$\int_{\partial D} f(z) \mid dz \mid = \int_{\mathcal{J}} f(z) \rho(z) \mid dz \mid .$$

**1.4.** Applying (1.10) with f(z) = 1 we obtain

$$\int_{\mathcal{J}} \rho(z) \mid dz \mid = 2\pi,$$

from which we conclude  $\rho(z) < \infty$  a.e. in  $\mathfrak{I}$ . Much more is true:

**PROPOSITION 2.** The series (1.9) converges uniformly on every compact subset of  $\hat{D}$  which does not intersect  $G(\infty) = \{A(\infty) : A \in G\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{A_n\}$  be an enumeration of G with  $A_1 = I$ . Each  $A_n$  is of the form

$$A_n(z) = (a_n z + b_n)/(\bar{b}_n z + \bar{a}_n), |a_n|^2 - |b_n|^2 = 1.$$

Since no element of G has a fixed point in  $\hat{D}, b_n \neq 0$  for  $n \neq 1$ . For  $z \in \mathcal{I}$ ,

$$|A'_{n}(z)| = |\bar{b}_{n} z + \bar{a}_{n}|^{-2} \ge (|b_{n}| + |a_{n}|)^{-2} \ge (2|a_{n}|)^{-2}$$

204

Since  $\rho(z)$  is finite for some  $z \in \mathcal{I}$ , we have

and since  $|a_n|^2 - |b_n|^2 = 1$ , (1.11)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |b_n|^{-2} < \infty$ .

Now let K be a compact set in  $\hat{D}$  disjoint from  $G(\infty)$ , and let  $\delta > 0$  be the distance of the closure  $G(\infty) \cup L(G)$  of  $G(\infty)$  from K. For  $z \in K$  and n > 1,

(1.12) 
$$|A'_{n}(z)| = |b_{n}|^{-2} |z + \bar{a}_{n} \bar{b}_{n}^{-1}|^{-2}$$
$$= |b_{n}|^{-2} |z - A_{n}^{-1}(\infty)|^{-2} \le \delta^{-2} |b_{n}|^{-2},$$

and Proposition 2 immediately follows. Note that each point of  $G(\infty)$  interferes with only one term of (1.9).

COROLLARY.  $\rho(z)$  is a bounded continuous function on  $\mathscr{I}$ .

**1.5.** One way to obtain a meromorphic differential on R or  $\hat{R}$  is to start with an arbitrary meromorphic function F(z) in D or  $\hat{D}$  and form the *Poincaré* series

(1.13) 
$$(\Theta F)(z) = \sum_{A \in G} F(Az)A'(z).$$

If the series (1.13) converges uniformly on compact subsets of D or  $\hat{D}$ ,  $(\Theta F)(z) dz$  will be a meromorphic differential on R or  $\hat{R}$ . Proposition 2 implies the convergence of (1.13) for many functions F(z). For instance

**PROPOSITION 3.** Let r(z) be a rational function with no poles in L(G). Then  $(\Theta r)(z)$  dz is a meromorphic differential on  $\hat{R}$ .

*Proof.* If K is a relatively compact subregion of  $\hat{D}$  then  $A(K) \cap K \neq \emptyset$  for only a finite number of  $A \in G$ . Hence if K contains the poles of r(z) and  $M = \sup_{z \in K} |r(z)|$ , then  $|r(Az)| \leq M$  for all  $A \in G$ , with a finite number of exceptions.

1.6. As an example consider our basic meromorphic differential

(1.14) 
$$\alpha = \Theta(1/z) dz = \sum_{A \in G} (A'(z)/A(z)) dz, \qquad z \in \hat{D}.$$

 $\alpha$  is analytic in  $\hat{R}$  except for simple poles at  $\pi(0)$ ,  $\pi(\infty)$  (of residue +1, -1 respectively). Hence by the Riemann-Roch theorem,  $\alpha$  has 2  $\hat{g}$  zeros in  $\hat{R}$ , where  $\hat{g}$  is the genus of  $\hat{R}$ .

The formula |A'(z)| = zA'(z)/A(z) for  $z \in \partial D$  and  $A \in G$ , with (1.9) and (1.14), yields

(1.15) 
$$\alpha = z^{-1}\rho(z) dz = i\rho(z) |dz|, \qquad z \in \mathcal{G}.$$

Comparing (1.15) with (1.6), we find that  $i\alpha$  is symmetric on  $\hat{R}$  and, by (1.5), has symmetric zeros. Since  $\rho(z) \geq 1$  for all z, no zero appears on  $\partial R$ . Thus,  $\alpha$  has exactly  $\hat{g}$  zeros in R.

It will turn out (§§2, 3) that  $\alpha$  has fundamental importance on R. But this is hardly surprising, because  $\alpha$  is closely related to Green's function g(z) on R with pole at  $\pi(0)$ . Indeed, since

$$g(z) = \sum_{A \in G} \log |A(z)|, \quad \alpha = dg + i \cdot dg.$$

Because dg = 0 along  $\partial R$ , (1.15) gives

$$i
ho(z) \mid dz \mid = lpha = i(\partial g/\partial n) \mid dz \mid \text{ on } \partial R,$$

so that we can write (1.10) in the form

$$\int_{\partial D} f(z) \mid dz \mid = \int_{\mathcal{G}} f(z) \frac{\partial g}{\partial n} \mid dz \mid .$$

2. The conditional expectation

**2.1.** For f(z) defined in D,  $\hat{D}$ , or  $\partial D$ , set

(2.1) 
$$(Ef)(z) = \sum_{A \in G} \frac{f(Az)A'(z)}{A(z)} \Big/ \sum_{A \in G} \frac{A'(z)}{A(z)} = \Theta(f/z)/\Theta(1/z).$$

Obviously, Ef is an automorphic function whenever it exists. Its existence for suitable functions f is guaranteed by Propositions 2 and 3. For example, Ef is a meromorphic function on  $\hat{R}$  whenever f is rational with no poles in L(G). If f is a bounded analytic function in D, then Ef is meromorphic in R with poles only at the zeros of the differential  $\alpha$  defined in §1.6. If f itself is automorphic, then Ef = f.

**2.2.** G is a free group of rank  $\hat{g}$ , where  $\hat{g}$  is the genus of  $\hat{R}$ . Choose a set of generaters  $\{A_j\}, 1 \leq j \leq \hat{g}$ , and define

(2.2) 
$$h_j(z) = z \xi_j / (1 - \xi_j z), \quad \xi_j = A_j(0), \quad 1 \leq j \leq \emptyset$$

LEMMA 1.  $(Eh_j)\alpha$  is an analytic differential on  $\hat{R}$ .

*Proof.* From the definitions we have

(2.3) 
$$(Eh_j)\alpha = \Theta\left(\frac{\bar{\zeta}_j}{1-\bar{\zeta}_j z}\right) dz.$$

Thus  $(Eh_j)\alpha$  is a meromorphic differential on  $\hat{R}$ . Since  $A_j(\infty) = 1/\xi_j$ ,  $(Eh_j)\alpha$  can have a pole only at  $\pi(\infty) (= \pi(1/\xi_j))$ . But

$$\Theta\left(\frac{\bar{\zeta}_{j}}{1-\bar{\zeta}_{j}z}\right) = \bar{\zeta}_{j}\left\{\frac{1}{1-\bar{\zeta}_{j}z} + \frac{(A_{j}^{-1})'(z)}{1-\bar{\zeta}_{j}(A_{j}^{-1})(z)}\right\} + f(z)$$

where f(z) is analytic in a neighborhood of  $1/\xi_j$ . Elementary calculation shows that the bracketed expression is regular at  $1/\xi_j$ . That proves the lemma.

**2.3.** Let  $\alpha(R)$  be the (complex) vector space of analytic differentials on R which are continuous in  $\overline{R}$ . The Dirichlet integral [2] defines an inner product

$$(\beta_1, \beta_2) = \iint_R \beta_1 \wedge \overline{*\beta_2} = i \iint_R \beta_1 \wedge \overline{\beta_2}$$

on  $\mathfrak{A}(R)$ . Let  $\Gamma_j$  be the closed curve in R covered by the line segment in D joining 0 to  $\zeta_j = A_j(0)$ . It is well known [2] that there is an analytic differential  $\psi(\Gamma_j)$  on R such that

$$2\pi \int_{\Gamma_j} \beta = (\beta, \psi(\Gamma_j)) \text{ for all } \beta \in \mathfrak{A}(R).$$

LEMMA 2.  $\psi(\Gamma_j) = (Eh_j)\alpha$ .

*Proof.* Set  $\beta = f(z) dz$ . Then f is integrable in D, for if R is a fundamental polygon for G in D we compute

$$\begin{split} \iint_{D} |f(\zeta)| d\xi d\eta &= \sum_{A \in \mathcal{G}} \iint_{A(\mathfrak{K})} |f(\zeta)| d\xi d\eta \\ &= \sum_{A \in \mathcal{G}} \iint_{\mathfrak{K}} |f(Az)| |A'(z)|^2 dx dy \\ &= \iint_{\mathfrak{K}} |f(z)| \rho(z) dx dy, \end{split}$$

where of course  $\rho(z)$  is defined by (1.9). But  $\rho(z) | f(z) |$  is continuous, hence bounded, in the closure of  $\mathfrak{R}$ .

Since f is integrable in D, it satisfies

$$\pi f(z) = \iint_D f(\zeta) (1 - \bar{\zeta} z)^{-2} d\xi d\eta, \qquad z \in D.$$

Integrating from 0 to  $\zeta_j$  we obtain

$$\pi \int_{0}^{\zeta_{j}} f(z) dz = \iint_{D} f(\zeta) \zeta_{j} (1 - \overline{\zeta} \zeta_{j})^{-1} d\xi d\eta$$
  
$$= \sum_{A \in \mathcal{G}} \iint_{A(\mathfrak{K})} f(\zeta) \zeta_{j} (1 - \overline{\zeta} \zeta_{j})^{-1} d\xi d\eta$$
  
$$= \sum_{A \in \mathcal{G}} \iint_{\mathfrak{K}} f(Az) \zeta_{j} (1 - \zeta_{j} \overline{A(z)})^{-1} A'(z) \overline{A'(z)} dx dy$$
  
$$= \iint_{\mathfrak{K}} f(z) \overline{\Theta} (\overline{\zeta}_{j} (1 - \overline{\zeta}_{j} z)^{-1}) (z) dx dy.$$

In view of (2.3), that proves Lemma 2.

DEFINITION. N is the vector space spanned by the functions  $\{Eh_j\}, 1 \leq j \leq g$ .

COROLLARY 1. (i) N has dimension  $\hat{g}$ .

(ii) N consists of the meromorphic functions f(z) on  $\hat{R}$  such that  $f\alpha$  is an analytic differential on  $\hat{R}$ .

(iii) N has a basis consisting of functions real on  $\partial R$ .

*Proof.* (i) The vector space of analytic differentials on  $\hat{R}$  has dimension  $\hat{g}$ . If the differentials  $\psi(\Gamma_j) = (Eh_j)\alpha$  were not independent, there would be a non-zero analytic differential on  $\hat{R}$  which was exact in R. That is impossible [2, p. 296].

(ii) Lemma 1 asserts that N is a linear subspace of the vector space  $M(-(\alpha))$  of functions f such that  $f\alpha$  is analytic in  $\hat{R}$ . But  $M(-(\alpha))$  has dimension  $\hat{g}$ , for every analytic differential  $\beta$  on  $\hat{R}$  can be written  $\beta = (\beta/\alpha)\alpha$ , and  $\beta/\alpha \in M(-(\alpha))$ . By (i),  $N = M(-(\alpha))$ .

(iii) Choose a basis  $\{\beta_j\}, 1 \leq j \leq g$ , for the analytic differentials on  $\hat{R}$  such that each  $\beta_j$  is symmetric (see §1.2). Since  $i\alpha$  is a symmetric differential, the functions  $i\beta_j/\alpha$  form a symmetric basis for N. In particular, they are real on  $\partial R$ . (A closer examination of the differentials  $\psi(\Gamma_j)$  would reveal them to be symmetric.)

COROLLARY 2. (Heins [7]). If  $f \in N$  and is analytic in R, then  $f \equiv 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f = \sum C_j(Eh_j)$ . If f is analytic in R, then  $df \in \mathfrak{A}(R)$ , and Lemma 2 gives

$$0 = 2\pi \sum \bar{C}_j \int_{\Gamma_j} df = (df, f\alpha) = i \iint_{R} df \wedge \overline{f\alpha} = i \int_{\partial R} |f|^2 \bar{\alpha},$$

where the last equality is Stokes' theorem. Equation (1.15) shows that the differential  $i\bar{\alpha}$  is positive along  $\partial R$ . Therefore f vanishes on  $\partial R$ , hence everywhere.

THEOREM 1. If f is meromorphic in R and  $f\alpha$  is regular in R, there is a unique  $h \in N$  such that f - h is analytic in R.

**Proof.** The space P of principal parts of such functions f is a vector space of dimension  $\theta$ , for  $\alpha$  has  $\theta$  zeros in R. Corollaries 1 and 2 imply that the map from N to P which sends each function to its principal parts is a vector space isomorphism.

**2.4.** Since |A'(z)| = zA'(z)/A(z) for  $z \in \partial D$ , we can write (2.1) in the form

(2.4) 
$$(Ef)(z) = \sum_{A \in G} f(Az) |A'(z)| / \rho(z), \qquad z \in \partial D,$$

208

where  $\rho(z)$  is given by (1.9). Set  $L^p = L^p(dm)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , where *m* is the linear measure on  $\partial D$ , and let  $L^p | G$  be the subspace of automorphic functions. We claim that  $E: L^p \to L^p | G$  is a projection of norm one; in other words

(2.5) 
$$\|Ef\|_{p} \leq \|f\|_{p}, \qquad 1 \leq p \leq \infty.$$

That is clear if  $p = \infty$  because the series (1.9) converges almost everywhere on  $\partial D$ . For  $p < \infty$  Hölder's inequality and (1.9) give

$$\begin{split} \rho(z)^{p} \mid Ef(z) \mid^{p} &\leq \left( \sum_{A \in G} \mid f(Az) \mid \mid A'(z) \mid \right) \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{A \in G} \mid f(Az) \mid^{p} \mid A'(z) \mid \right) \rho(z)^{p-1}, \end{split}$$

or

(2.6) 
$$|Ef|^{p} \leq E(|f|^{p}), \qquad 1 \leq p < \infty.$$

For any  $g \in L^1$ , (1.8), (1.10), and (2.4) yield

(2.7) 
$$\int_{\partial D} g \mid dz \mid = \int_{\mathfrak{s}} (Eg)\rho \mid dz \mid = \int_{\partial D} (Eg) \mid dz \mid.$$

From (2.6) and (2.7) we obtain

$$\| Ef \|_{p}^{p} = \int_{\partial D} | Ef |^{p} | dz | \leq \int_{\partial D} E(|f|^{p}) | dz | = \int_{\partial D} |f|^{p} | dz | = \| f \|_{p}^{p},$$

proving (2.5).

We should also note the obvious facts that Ef = f for all  $f \in L^p \mid G$  and that  $E\overline{f} = \overline{Ef}$  for all  $f \in L^p$ .

Remark. The identity

$$E(fg) = fEg, \qquad \qquad f \in L^p \mid G, \ g \in L^q$$

is immediate from (2.4). With (2.7) it implies that

(2.8) 
$$\int_{\partial D} fg \mid dz \mid = \int_{\partial D} f(Eg) \mid dz \mid, \qquad f \in L^{p} \mid G, g \in L^{q},$$

whence

$$\int_{\partial D} f(Eg) \mid dz \mid = \int_{\partial D} (Ef)g \mid dz \mid, \qquad f \in L^p, g \in L^q.$$

Thus *E* is the *conditional expectation* operator considered by Forelli [6]. (Of course the numbers *p* and *q* above satisfy  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ .)

**2.5.** The Hardy space  $H^p(D)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , is the Banach space of analytic functions in D which satisfy the equivalent conditions

(i) 
$$\|f\|_{p}^{p} = \lim_{r \to 1} \int_{|z|=r} |f|^{p} |dz|/|z| < \infty$$
  $(p < \infty)$   
 $\|f\|_{\infty} = \lim_{r \to 1} \max \{|f(z)|: |z| = r\} < \infty,$ 

(ii)  $|f|^p$  has a harmonic majorant in D  $(p < \infty)$ .

For each  $f \in H^p(D)$ ,  $f(e^{i\theta}) = \lim_{r \to 1} f(re^{i\theta})$  exists a.e. on  $\partial D$  and is in  $L^p$ . Furthermore, its  $L^p$  norm equals the norm given by (i), and f is equal to the Poisson integral of its boundary values [8]. We may therefore identify  $H^p(D)$  with a subspace of  $L^p$ .

The Hardy space  $H^{p}(R)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  is the Banach space of analytic functions in R satisfying the equivalent conditions (see [11]):

(i) 
$$\|f\|_{p}^{\prime p} = \lim_{r \to 1} \int_{l_{r}} |f|^{p} (\partial g/\partial n) \, ds < \infty \qquad (p < \infty)$$
$$\|f\|_{\infty}^{\prime} = \lim_{r \to 1} \max \{|f(z)| : z \in l_{r}\} < \infty,$$

- (ii)  $|f|^p$  has a harmonic majorant in R  $(p < \infty)$ ,
- (iii)  $f \in H^p(D)$  and f is automorphic.

Here g is Green's function on R with pole at  $\pi(0)$ , and

$$l_r = \{z \in R : g(z) = 1 - r\}.$$

Furthermore  $||f||'_p = ||f||_p$ . Using (iii) we shall identify  $H^p(R)$  with a subspace of  $L^p$ ; in fact  $H^p(R) = L^p | G \cap H^p(D)$ .

Finally,  $H_0^p(D)$  is the set of  $f \in H^p(D)$  satisfying the equivalent conditions f(0) = 0 and

$$\int_{\partial D} f |dz| = 0;$$

set  $H_0^p(R) = H_0^p(D) \cap H^p(R)$ .

**2.6.** The operator E is a powerful tool for the study of  $H^{p}(R)$ , as Forelli has shown in [6]. The basic fact is

PROPOSITION 4 ([6]). 
$$EH^{p}(D) = H^{p}(R) \oplus N, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$$
.

Proof. The inclusion  $H^{p}(R) \subset EH^{p}(D)$  is obvious because E leaves  $H^{p}(R)$  fixed. Since the functions  $h_{j}$  belong to  $H^{p}(D)$  for all  $p \geq 1$ , we also have  $N \subset EH^{p}(D)$ . Corollary 2, §2.3, implies that  $H^{p}(R) \cap N = \{0\}$ . Moreover,  $H^{p}(R) \oplus N$  is closed in  $L^{p}/G$ , and the natural projection from  $H^{p}(R) \oplus N$  to  $H^{p}(R)$  is continuous, because N is finite dimensional. (That justifies the direct sum notation.) We have proved that

$$H^p(R) \oplus N \subset EH^p(D).$$

Suppose now that  $f \in H^{\infty}(D)$ . As we observed in §2.1, Ef is meromorphic in R with poles only at the zeros of  $\alpha$ . By Theorem 1, there exists  $h \in N$ such that  $Ef - h \in H^{\infty}(R)$ . Thus,  $EH^{\infty}(D) \subset H^{\infty}(R) \oplus N$ .

If  $f \in H^p(D)$ ,  $p < \infty$ , and  $f_r(z) = f(rz)$ , r < 1, then  $f_r \to f$  in  $L^p$  as  $r \to 1$ (see [8]). From (2.5) it follows that  $Ef_r \to Ef$  in  $L^p \mid G$ . But

$$Ef_r \in H^{\infty}(R) \oplus N \subset H^p(R) \oplus N.$$

Since  $H^{p}(R) \oplus N$  is closed, we conclude that  $EH^{p}(D) \subset H^{p}(R) \oplus N$  for all  $p \geq 1$ .

PROPOSITION 5 ([6], [7]). For 1 , $<math>L^p \mid G = H^p_0(R) \oplus H^p(R) \oplus N.$ 

**Proof.** It is classical (see [8]) that  $L^p = H_0^p(D) \oplus \overline{H^p(D)}$  if 1 . $Writing <math>f \in L^p | G$  in the form  $f = g + \overline{h}$ , with g and  $h \in H^p(D)$ , and applying E, we obtain

$$f = Ef = Eg + \overline{Eh}.$$

To complete the proof we apply Proposition 4 and observe that  $N = \overline{N}$  because of Corollary 1, §2.3.

**PROPOSITION 6.** [3], [7], [9], [10].  $f \in L^1 \mid G$  is in  $H^1(R)$  if and only if

(2.9) 
$$\int_{\partial R} f\beta = 0 \quad \text{for all } \beta \in \alpha(R).$$

**Proof.** If  $f \in H^1(R)$  is continuous in  $\overline{R}$ , (2.9) follows immediately from Stokes' theorem. For any  $f \in H^1(R)$ ,  $Ef_r$  is continuous on  $\partial R$ , r < 1. If Qis the (continuous) projection from  $H^1(R) \oplus N$  to  $H^1(R)$ , then  $QEf_r$  belongs to  $H^1(R)$  and is continuous in  $\overline{R}$ . Since  $QEf_r \to QEf = f$  as  $r \to 1$ , (2.9) holds for all  $f \in H^1(R)$ .

Conversely, let  $f \in L^1 | G$  satisfy (2.9). Then, for all  $n \ge 0$ ,

$$0 = \int_{\partial R} f(z)\Theta(z^{n}) dz = \int_{\partial R} f(z)E(z^{n+1})\alpha$$
$$= i \int_{\mathcal{S}} f(z)E(z^{n+1})\rho(z) | dz | = i \int_{\partial D} f(z)z^{n+1} | dz |_{\mathcal{S}}$$

by (2.1), (1.15) and (2.7). A classical theorem implies that  $f \in H^1(D)$ . Thus,  $f \in H^1(D) \cap L^1 | G = H^1(R)$ .

*Remark.* Proposition 6 is a weak form of the Cauchy-Read theorem [9], [10]. We shall obtain the strong form in §3.2 as a consequence of the F. and M. Riesz theorem.

**2.7.** Remark. Let g be any meromorphic function on  $\hat{R}$  having the same zeros as  $\alpha$ , with no other zeros or poles in  $\hat{R}$ . Then, it is clear that

$$E(gH^{\infty}(D)) = g(H^{\infty}(R) \oplus N) = H^{\infty}(R).$$

For on the one hand  $g(H^{\infty}(R) \oplus N)$  is obviously contained in  $H^{\infty}(R)$ , and on the other hand Theorem 1 implies that  $f/g \in H^{\infty}(R) \oplus N$  whenever  $f \in H^{\infty}(R)$ .

As Forelli showed in [6], the corona conjecture for  $H^{\infty}(R)$  can be proved

in a few lines as soon as  $g \in H^{\infty}(D)$  with  $E(gH^{\infty}(D)) = H^{\infty}(R)$  is found. He found such a g by methods quite different from ours.

## 3. Functions with continuous boundary values

**3.1.** Let  $C(\partial D)$  and  $C(\partial R)$  be the Banach spaces of continuous complexvalued functions on  $\partial D$  and  $\partial R$ , respectively. Proposition 2 and the formula (2.4) show that E maps  $C(\partial D)$  into  $C(\partial R)$ . Formula (2.5) shows that  $E: C(\partial D) \rightarrow C(\partial R)$  has norm one. We shall calculate the adjoint map  $E^*: C(\partial R)^* \to C(\partial D)^*$ . In addition, we shall use a map

$$\pi_*: C(\partial D)^* \to C(\partial R)^*$$

induced by the natural map  $\pi : \hat{D} \to \hat{R}$ .

By the Riesz representation theorem,  $C(\partial D)^*$  is the space of finite complex Baire measures on  $\partial D$ , and  $C(\partial R)^*$  is the space of finite complex Baire measures on  $\partial R$ , or equivalently, on  $\mathscr{I} \subset \partial D$ .

LEMMA 3. For each  $\mu \in C(\partial R)^*$  and each Baire set  $S \subset \partial D$ ,

(3.1) 
$$(E^*\mu)(S) = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{G}} \int_{A^{-1}(S) \cap S} |A'(z)| \rho(z)^{-1} d\mu(z).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mu^*(S)$  denote the right side of (3.1). It is clear that  $\mu^*$  is a finite complex Baire measure on  $\partial D$ . We will show that it has the properties

(3.2) 
$$\mu^*(L(G)) = 0$$

(3.3) 
$$\mu^*(B(S)) = \int_S |B'(z)| d\mu^*(z), \qquad B \in G$$

(3.4) 
$$\int_{\partial D} f(z) \ d\mu^*(z) = \int_{\mathfrak{s}} (Ef)(z) \ d\mu(z), \qquad f \in C(\partial D).$$

The truth of (3.2) is clear. (3.4) implies that  $\mu^* = E^* \mu$ . By a change of variable w = B(z),  $B \in G$ , in (3.1) we find that  $\mu^*(S)$  is equal to the series in (3.1) with  $\mathscr{I}$  replaced by  $B(\mathscr{I})$ . Hence  $d\mu^*(B(z)) = \rho(w)^{-1} d\mu(w) =$  $|B'(z)|\rho(z)^{-1}d\mu(z) = |B'(z)|d\mu^*(z)$ , first for  $z \in \mathcal{I}$  and then for arbitrary  $z \in \partial D - L(G)$ . This is the differentiated form of (3.3). To prove (3.4):

$$\int_{\mathfrak{s}} Ef(z) \ d\mu(z) = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{G}} \int_{\mathfrak{s}} f(Az) | A'(z) | \rho(z)^{-1} \ d\mu(z)$$
$$= \sum_{A \in \mathcal{G}} \int_{\mathfrak{s}} f(Az) | A'(z) | \ d\mu^{*}(z)$$
$$= \sum_{A \in \mathcal{G}} \int_{\mathfrak{s}} f(Az) \ d\mu^{*}(Az) = \int_{\partial D} f \ d\mu^{*}.$$
LEMMA 4. Define  $\pi_{*} : C(\partial D)^{*} \to C(\partial R)^{*} \ by$   
3.5)  $(\pi_{*} \mu)(S) = \mu(G(S)) = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{G}} \mu(A(S))$ 

(3.5)

for each  $\mu \in C(\partial D)^*$  and each Baire set  $S \subset \partial R$ .  $\pi_*$  is linear of norm one. Moreover,  $\pi_* \circ E^*$  is the identity on  $C(\partial R)^*$ , and  $P = E^* \circ \pi_*$  is a projection of norm one from  $C(\partial D)^*$  onto the closed subspace of measures which satisfy (3.2) and (3.3).

Proof. Let 
$$\mu_* = \pi_* \mu$$
,  $\mu \in C(\partial D)^*$ . Then  $d\mu_*(z) = \sum d\mu(Az)$ , and  
$$\int_{\partial D - L(G)} f \, d\mu = \sum \int_{\mathcal{F}} f(w) \, d\mu(Aw) = \int_{\mathcal{F}} f \, d\mu_*$$

for all  $f \in C(\partial R)$ . Thus  $\pi_*$  has norm one. Let  $\mu \in C(\partial R)^*$  and suppose  $S \subset \mathcal{S}$  is a Baire set. Setting  $\mu^* = E^* \mu \in C(\partial D)^*$  we obtain

$$(\pi_*\mu^*)(S) = \sum_{A \in G} \mu^*(A(S)) = \sum_{A \in G} \int_S |A'(z)| d\mu^*(z)$$
$$= \int_S \rho(z) d\mu^*(z) = \int_S d\mu(z) = \mu(S),$$

proving that  $\pi_* \circ E^*$  is the identity.

Finally, each  $\mu^* \epsilon C(\partial D)^*$  which satisfies (3.2) and (3.3) is in the range of  $E^*$ ; in fact,  $\mu^* = P\mu^* = E^*\mu$ , where  $\mu = \pi_* \mu^*$ . For by (3.3),

$$\mu(S) = \int_{S} \rho(z) \ d\mu^{*}(z) \quad \text{if } S \subset \mathfrak{G}.$$

Hence for any Baire set  $T \subset \partial D$ 

$$(E^*\mu)(T) = \sum_{A \in G} \int_{A^{-1}(T) \cap g} |A'(z)| d\mu^*(z)$$
$$= \sum_{A \in G} \int_{T \cap A(g)} d\mu^*(Az) = \mu^*(T),$$

by (3.2) and (3.3).

*Remark.* We map  $L^1$  into  $C(\partial D)^*$  by identifying each  $f \in L^1$  with the measure  $d\mu = f(z) | dz |$  on  $\partial D$ . Each subspace of  $L^1$  will be identified with its image in  $C(\partial D)^*$ . The restriction of P to  $L^1$  is simply E. In particular,  $P(H^1(D)) = H^1(R) \oplus N$ .

**3.2.** Our work in §3.1 has two immediate applications.

**THEOREM 2.** E maps  $C(\partial D)$  onto  $C(\partial R)$ .

**Proof.** A standard result in functional analysis [4, p. 488] says that E has dense range if and only if  $E^*$  is one-to-one and E has closed range if and only if  $E^*$  does. Therefore Theorem 2 is equivalent to the assertion that  $E^*$  is one-to-one and has closed range. These properties of  $E^*$  are immediate consequences of Lemma 4, specifically of the fact that  $E^*$  has a left inverse.

We will now introduce the two Banach spaces

$$A_0(D) = \{f \in H_0^{\infty}(D) : f \text{ is continuous in } \bar{D}\}$$
$$A_0(R) = \{f \in H_0^{\infty}(R) : f \text{ is continuous in } \bar{R}\}.$$

The functions  $z^n$ ,  $n \ge 1$ , are dense in  $A_0(D)$ . We have by uniform convergence that Ef is meromorphic in R, continuous on  $\partial R$ , and vanishes at  $\pi(0)$ . Hence as in §2.6,

$$(3.6) E(A_0(D)) \subset A_0(R) \oplus N$$

but the opposite inclusion is not obvious.

LEMMA 5 (F. and M. Riesz) [3], [7], [10]. Let  $\mu$  be a finite complex Baire measure on  $\partial R$  such that

$$\int_{\partial R} f \, d\mu = 0, \quad all \, f \, \epsilon \, E(A_0(D)).$$

Then  $d\mu = h(z)\rho(z) | dz |$  for some  $h \in H^1(R)$ .

*Proof.* Set  $\mu^* = E^*\mu$ . (3.4) implies that  $\int_{\partial D} z^n d\mu^* = 0$  for all  $n \ge 1$ , and hence the classical result in D implies that  $d\mu^* = h(z) | dz |$  for some  $h \in H^1(D)$ . But (3.3) implies that

$$h(B(z)) | B'(z) | | dz | = | B'(z) | h(z) | dz |$$

so that h(B(z)) = h(z) for all  $z \in \partial D$  and  $B \in G$ . Hence  $h \in H^1(R)$ .

Corollary 1 ([9], [10]).  $[A_0(R) \oplus N]^{\perp} = \pi_*(H^1(R)).$ 

*Proof.* Since  $\pi_*(H^1(R))$  consists of the measures on  $\partial R$  of the form  $d\mu = h(z)\rho(z) | dz |, h \in H^1(R), (3.6)$  and Lemma 5 imply that

$$(3.7) [A_0(R) \oplus N]^{\perp} \subset [E(A_0(D))]^{\perp} \subset \pi_*(H^1(R)).$$

Conversely, if  $f \in A_0(R) \oplus N$  and  $\mu \in \pi_*(H^1(R))$ , then

$$i\int_{\mathfrak{g}}f\,d\mu = i\int_{\mathfrak{g}}f(z)h(z)\rho(z)\mid dz\mid = \int_{\partial R}hf\alpha = 0,$$

by (1.15) and Proposition 6, since  $f\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}(R)$  when  $f \in A_0(R) \oplus N$ .

COROLLARY 2.  $E(A_0(D))$  is dense in  $A_0(R) \oplus N$ .

In fact, Corollary 1 and (3.7) imply that every linear functional which vanishes on  $E(A_0(D))$  vanishes on  $A_0(R) \oplus N$ .

*Remark.* Corollary 1 is the strong form of the Cauchy-Read theorem which we promised in §2.6. It corresponds to the classical theorem that

$$A_0(D)^{\perp} = H^1(D).$$

**3.3.** We are now ready to prove the main result of this chapter.

THEOREM 3.  $E(A_0(D)) = A_0(R) \oplus N$ .

Proof. By Corollary 2 of Lemma 5, we need to prove only that

$$E:A_0(D)\to A_0(R)\oplus N$$

has closed range. As in Theorem 2, we shall prove instead that  $E^*$  has closed range. Corollary 1 of Lemma 5 allows us to interpret  $E^*$  as a map from the coset space  $C(\partial R)^*/\pi_*(H^1(R))$  into  $C(\partial D)^*/H^1(D)$ . The image of  $E^*$  is therefore

$$E^*(C(\partial R)^*)/H^1(D) = P(C(\partial D)^*)/H^1(D),$$

where  $P: C(\partial D)^* \to C(\partial D)^*$  is the projection defined in Lemma 4. It is not obvious that  $P(C(\partial D)^*)/H^1(D)$  is closed. The difficulty is that P does not preserve  $H^1(D)$ . To compensate for that we use the projection

 $Q: H^1(D) \oplus N \to H^1(D)$ 

with kernel N. Here we interpret  $H^1(D)$  and N as closed subspaces of  $C(\partial D)^*$ . The subspace  $H^1(D) \oplus N$  is closed, and Q is continuous, because N has finite dimension.

Let  $\{\mu_n\} \subset P(C(\partial D)^*)$  and  $\{\nu_n\} \subset H^1(D)$  be sequences such that

$$\mu_n + \nu_n \to \lambda \ \epsilon \ C(\partial D)^*.$$

We must find  $\sigma \in H^1(D)$  such that  $\sigma + \lambda = P(\sigma + \lambda)$ . We assert that

$$\sigma = Q(P\lambda - \lambda) = \lim (QP\nu_n - \nu_n), \qquad n \to \infty,$$

suffices. First we verify that  $\sigma$  exists. Since  $\mu_n + \nu_n \rightarrow \lambda$ ,

$$P(\mu_n + \nu_n) = \mu_n + P\nu_n \to P\lambda.$$

Therefore  $P\lambda - \lambda = \lim (P\nu_n - \nu_n) \epsilon H^1(D) \oplus N$ , and  $\sigma$  exists, because  $P\nu_n \epsilon PH^1(D) = H^1(R) \oplus N \subset H^1(D) \oplus N$ ,

a closed subspace. Since  $QP_{\nu_n} \epsilon H^1(R)$ , it is fixed by P, and we find that

$$P(\sigma + \lambda) = \lim (PQP\nu_n - P\nu_n + P\nu_n + \mu_n)$$
  
= 
$$\lim (QP\nu_n - \nu_n + \nu_n + \mu_n) = \sigma + \lambda,$$

completing the proof.

**3.4.** Theorem 3 has an interesting application to Poincaré series Set  $A(D) = A_0(D) \oplus C$ ; A(D) is the closure in  $C(\partial D)$  of the polynomials.

**THEOREM 4.** The Poincaré series (1.13), maps A(D) onto  $\alpha(R)$ .

**Proof.** The map  $f(z) \to f_0(z) = zf(z)$  carries A(D) onto  $A_0(D)$ . Com-

paring (1.13), (1.14) and (2.1) we find that

$$(\Theta f)(z) dz = (Ef_0)(z)\alpha.$$

By Theorem 3, the range of  $\Theta$  is the set of all differentials  $f\alpha$ ,  $f \in A_0(R) \oplus N$ . But the mapping  $\beta \to \beta/\alpha$  is a one-to-one correspondence between  $\alpha(R)$ and  $A_0(R) \oplus N$ , by Theorem 1.

*Remark.* Since polynomials are dense in A(D), the Poincaré series of polynomials are dense in  $\alpha(R)$ . Thus each differential in  $\alpha(R)$  can be uniformly approximated in  $\overline{R}$  by meromorphic differentials in  $\hat{R}$  which have poles only at  $\pi(\infty)$ .

**3.5.** The meromorphic differentials on  $\hat{R}$  can also be described easily by Poincaré series. In fact, Proposition 3 has the following converse.

THEOREM 5. Every meromorphic differential on  $\hat{R}$  has the form  $(\Theta r)(z) dz$ , where r(z) is rational with no poles in L(G).

**Proof.** Put  $r_n(z) = (z - \zeta)^n$ . If  $\zeta \in \hat{D} - G(\infty)$ , then  $(\Theta r_n)(z) dz$  has a pole of order -n at  $\pi(\zeta)$  for n < 0, a pole of order n + 2 at  $\pi(\infty)$  for n > -2, and no other poles in  $\hat{R}$ . Therefore, every meromorphic differential on  $\hat{R}$  is the sum of an analytic differential and a linear combination of the differentials  $(\Theta r_n)(z) dz$ . From (2.3), Lemma 1, and Corollary 1 of Lemma 2, we conclude that every analytic differential on  $\hat{R}$  is the  $\Theta$ -series of a rational function with poles only in  $G(\infty)$ . That proves Theorem 5.

**3.6.** To illustrate some of the difficulties that can arise upon projecting a theorem on  $H^{p}(D)$  we will present the theorem of Szegö and Kolmogoroff-Krein as presented in [8] (cf. [1, §5]).

Let  $\mu$  be a finite positive Baire measure on  $\partial R$  with

$$d\mu = (1/2\pi)h(z)\rho(z) | dz | + d\mu_s$$
,

 $\mu_s$  singular. Then for

$$D(f) = \int_{\partial R} |1-f|^2 d\mu,$$

 $\inf_{f \in E(\mathcal{A}_0(D))} D(f) \leq \exp (1/2\pi) \int_{\partial \mathcal{R}} (\log h) \rho(z) |dz| \leq \inf_{f \in \mathcal{A}_0(\mathcal{R})} D(f).$ 

There is equality on both sides if  $N \perp A(R)$  with respect to  $d\mu$ .

*Proof.* The corresponding theorem in D applied to  $E^*\mu$  implies that

$$\inf_{g \in A_0(D)} \int_{\partial R} E(|1 - g|^2) \ d\mu = \exp((1/2\pi) \int_{\partial R} (\log h) \rho(z) \ |dz|.$$

On the one hand from (2.6) we have

$$E(|1-g|^2) \ge |E(1-g)|^2 = |1-E(g)|^2.$$

216

On the other hand if  $f \in A_0(R)$  then  $f_r(z) = f(rz) \in A_0(D)$  and it is not hard to show that

$$\lim E(|1 - f_r|^2) = E(|1 - f|^2) = |1 - f|^2$$

uniformly on  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Finally if  $N \perp A(R)$  with respect to  $d\mu$  then writing  $f \epsilon E(A_0(D))$  as  $f = f_1 + f_2$ ,  $f_1 \epsilon A_0(R)$ ,  $f_2 \epsilon N$ , we have

$$\int_{\partial R} |1 - f|^2 d\mu = \int_{\partial R} |1 - f_1|^2 d\mu + \int_{\partial R} |f_2|^2 d\mu.$$

#### 4. Reproducing kernels on R

**4.1.** We will first construct the Poisson kernel for R. We recall that  $P_{\zeta}(z) = (1 - |\zeta|^2)/|z - \zeta|^2, \qquad z \in \partial D, \quad \zeta \in D$ 

$$P_{A\zeta}(Az) = \frac{1 - |A\zeta|^2}{|Az - A\zeta|^2} = \frac{(1 - |\zeta|^2) |A'(\zeta)|}{|z - \zeta|^2 |A'(z)| |A'(\zeta)|} = P_{\zeta}(z) |A'(z)|^{-1}$$

for all  $A \in G$ , we find that

$$E(P_{A\zeta})(z) = E(P_{\zeta})(z),$$
 all  $A \in G$  and  $z \in \partial D - L(G)$ 

Thus  $(EP_{A\zeta})(B(z)) = (EP_{\zeta})(z)$  for all  $z \in \partial D - L(G)$ ,  $\zeta \in D$  and  $A, B \in G$ , so that  $(EP_{\zeta})(z)$  is a function on  $\partial R \times R$ . Furthermore if  $f(\zeta)$  is any harmonic function in R, continuous on  $\partial R$ , we have, using (2.8),

$$2\pi f(\zeta) = \int_{\partial D} f(z) P_{\zeta}(z) \mid dz \mid = \int_{\partial R} f(z) (EP_{\zeta})(z) \rho(z) \mid dz \mid.$$

Therefore  $(EP_{\xi})(z)$  is the Poisson kernel for R.

**4.2.** We call the function  $C(z, \zeta)$  a Cauchy kernel in  $\overline{R}$  if for fixed  $z \in \overline{D} - L(G)$ ,  $C(z, \zeta) d\zeta$  is a meromorphic differential in  $\overline{R}$  having one simple pole of residue one at  $\pi(z)$ , and for fixed  $\zeta$ ,  $C(z, \zeta)$  is a meromorphic function in  $\overline{R}$  having one simple pole of residue -1 at  $\pi(\zeta)$ . Thus  $C(z, \zeta)$  must satisfy

$$C(Az, B\zeta)B'(\zeta) = C(z, \zeta), \qquad z, \ \zeta \in D; \ A, \ B \in G.$$

By analogy with §4.1 define

$$C_1(z,\zeta) = \sum_{A\in\mathcal{G}} \frac{A'(\zeta)}{A(\zeta)-z} = E_{\zeta}\left(\frac{\zeta}{\zeta-z}\right)\alpha,$$

where the subscript  $\zeta$  indicates that  $\zeta(\zeta - z)^{-1}$  is interpreted as a function of  $\zeta$ . For f(z) analytic in R and continuous in  $\overline{R}$  we find

(4.1)  
$$2\pi f(z) = \int_{\partial D} \frac{\zeta f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} |d\zeta| = \int_{\partial R} f(\zeta) E_{\zeta} (\zeta(\zeta - z)^{-1}) (\zeta) \rho(\zeta) |d\zeta|$$
$$= -i \int_{\partial R} f(\zeta) C_1(z,\zeta) d\zeta.$$

Furthermore,  $C_1(z, \zeta) d\zeta$  is a differential on R for each  $z \in D$ . However  $C_1(z, \zeta)$ , for fixed  $\zeta$ , is not a function on R. To rectify this problem we will use a projection P that we constructed in [5]. Consider the Poincaré series

$$\Phi h = \sum_{A \in G} h(A(z)) A'(z)^2.$$

We choose a polynomial F so that  $\Phi F$  is non-zero in  $\overline{R}$  (see [5]), and we define

$$(Pf)(z) = (\Phi fF)(z)/(\Phi F)(z).$$

If f is analytic in  $\overline{D}$ , Pf is an analytic function in  $\overline{R}$ . If f is meromorphic in  $\overline{D}$  with a simple pole of residue c at  $z = \zeta$ , then Pf is meromorphic in  $\overline{R}$  with a simple pole of residue  $cF(\zeta)/(\Phi F)(\zeta)$  at  $\pi(\zeta)$ .

Now we claim that

(4.2) 
$$C(z,\zeta) = P_z C_1(z,\zeta)$$

where the subscript z indicates that  $C_1(z, \zeta)$  is to be considered as a function of z, is the required Cauchy kernel. Explicitly

$$(4.2)^* \quad C(z,\zeta) = \sum_{A,B\in G} \frac{F(Bz)A'(\zeta)B'(z)^2}{(A\zeta - Bz)\varphi(z)} = \sum \frac{F(Bz)A'(\zeta)}{\varphi(Bz)(A\zeta - Bz)}$$

where  $\varphi(z) = (\Phi F)(z)$ .

To prove that the double series involved in (4.2) converges, we need the identity

 $|B(A\zeta) - B(z)| = |A(\zeta) - z| |B'(A\zeta)|^{1/2} |B'(z)|^{1/2}$ 

and the inequalities

$$|B'(z)| \leq (|a| - |b|)^{-2} = (|a| + |b|)^2, \qquad z \in D,$$

$$|B'(z)| \leq \sigma^{-2} |b|^{-2}, \qquad z \in \mathfrak{R}.$$

Here  $B(z) = (az + b)/(\bar{b}z + \bar{a})$ ,  $|a|^2 - |b|^2 = 1$ ,  $\mathfrak{R}$  is a fundamental region for G in D, and  $\sigma$  is the distance from  $\mathfrak{R}$  to the closed set  $G(\infty) \cup L(G)$  (cf. (1.12)). Setting

$$M = \sup \{ |F(z)| : z \in D \} \text{ and } m = \inf \{ | (\Phi F)(z) : z \in R \},$$

we obtain, for  $z, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$|P_{z}C_{1}(z,\zeta)| \leq \frac{M}{m} \sum_{B} \sum_{A} \frac{|B'(z)|^{2} |(BA)'(\zeta)|}{|(BA)(\zeta) - B(z)|}$$
  
=  $\frac{M}{m} \sum_{B} \sum_{A} \frac{|B'(z)|^{3/2} |B'(A\zeta)|^{1/2} |A'(\zeta)|}{|A(\zeta) - z|}$   
$$\leq \frac{M}{m\delta^{3}} \left( \sum_{A} \frac{|A'(\zeta)|}{|A(\zeta) - z|} \right) \left( 1 + \sum_{B}' \frac{|b| + |a|}{|b|^{8}} \right)$$

where  $\sum'$  denotes summation over all  $B \neq I$ . By (1.11),  $\sum' |b|^{-2}$  converges. Since  $|a/b| = |B^{-1}(\infty)|$ , the terms |a/b| are uniformly bounded,

and the second series in parenthesis converges. The first converges uniformly for  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ , provided the term A = I is omitted.

Finally we note that the residue at  $\pi(\zeta)$  for fixed  $\zeta$  of  $P_z C_1(z, \zeta) d\zeta$  is

$$-\sum F(A\zeta)/(\Theta F)(A\zeta) = -1$$

and similarly we see that  $C(z, \zeta) d\zeta$  for fixed z is a meromorphic differential in  $\zeta$  with simple pole at  $\zeta = z$ . Since Pf = f for G-invariant functions f, the fact that C is a Cauchy kernel now follows from (4.1).

*Remark.* The essential part of our proof is the construction of  $C_1$ . At that point there is considerable freedom in choosing a projection P. Our construction of a Cauchy kernel appears to be simpler and, in a sense, more natural than the classical one.

#### References

- P. R. AHERN AND D. SARASON, The H<sup>p</sup> spaces of a class of function algebras, Acta Math., vol. 117 (1967), pp. 123-163.
- 2. L. V. AHLFORS AND L. SARIO, *Riemann surfaces*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1960.
- 3. N. ALLING, Extension of meromorphic function rings over non-compact Riemann surfaces II, Math. Zeit., vol. 93 (1966), pp. 345-394.
- 4. N. DUNFORD AND J. T. SCHWARTZ, *Linear operators I*, Interscience, New York, 1958.
- C. J. EARLE AND A. MARDEN, Projections to automorphic functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 19 (1968), pp. 274-278.
- F. FORELLI, Bounded holomorphic functions and projections, Illinois J. Math., vol. 10 (1966), pp. 367-380.
- M. HEINS, Symmetric Riemann surfaces and boundary problems, Proc. London Math. Soc., vol. 14A (1965), pp. 129-143.
- 8. K. HOFFMAN, Banach spaces of analytic functions, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1962.
- 9. A. H. READ, A converse of Cauchy's theorem and applications to extremal problems, Acta Math., vol. 100 (1958), pp. 1-22.
- 10. H. L. ROYDEN, The boundary values of analytic functions, Math. Zeit., vol. 78 (1962), pp. 1-24.
- W. RUDIN, Analytic functions of class H<sup>p</sup>, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 78 (1955), pp. 46-66.
- 12. M. TSUJI, Potential theory in modern function theory, Maruzen Co., Ltd., Tokyo, 1959.

Cornell University Ithaca, New York University of Warwick Coventry, England University of Minnesota Minneapolis, Minnesota Cornell University