# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# ON REAL EIGENVALUES OF COMPLEX MATRICES

DAVID HILDING CARLSON

Vol. 15, No. 4 December 1965

# ON REAL EIGENVALUES OF COMPLEX MATRICES

### DAVID H. CARLSON

This paper contains many inter-related results dealing with the general question of determination of real eigenvalues of complex matrices. We first discuss the relationship between the number of elementary divisors associated with real eigenvalues of a matrix A and the signature of a Hermitian matrix H when AH is also Hermitian. We then obtain sets of equivalent conditions for a matrix to be similar to a real matrix; for a matrix to be symmetrizable; and for a matrix to be similar to a real diagonal matrix. As corollaries we obtain results on the eigenvalues and elementary divisors of products of two Hermitian matrices. Some of the results are not new; these are included to give a more complete survey of what is known in these particular areas.

Recently a theorem on the stability of complex matrices, due to Lyapunov, has been generalized by Taussky [15, 16], and independently, by Ostrowski and Schneider [12]. Their result may be stated as follows: Given a complex matrix A, there exists a Hermitian H for which  $AH + HA^* > 0$  (positive definite) if and only if A has no imaginary eigenvalues. Further, if  $AH + HA^* > 0$ , the numbers of eigenvalues of A with positive and negative real parts equal respectively the numbers of eigenvalues of H which are positive and negative.

Further generalizations of these results have been obtained by Schneider and this author [4, 6], under the condition that  $AH + HA^* \ge 0$  (positive semi-definite). This paper will use these results and methods to prove the theorems mentioned in the synopsis above.

I wish to acknowledge with thanks the contribution of Professor Emilie Haynsworth, who pointed out to me the connection between [7] and my results, and thus sparked this investigation. I also wish to thank the referee for many helpful comments, and for references to several related papers, especially [13], [14], and [17], with which I had not been familiar.

2. Definitions. We define the inertia of a complex matrix A to be  $InA = (\pi(A), \nu(A), \delta(A))$ , where  $\pi(A), \nu(A), \delta(A)$  are respectively the number of eigenvalues of A with positive, negative, and zero real parts. We shall always let G, H and K represent Hermitian matrices; we denote the signature of H by  $\sigma(H) = \pi(H) - \nu(H)$ . We shall define, as in [12],  $R(AH) = \frac{1}{2}(AH + HA^*)$ .

Received March 3, 1964, and in revised form August 6, 1964.

We shall use several simple propositions throughout the paper. The first two assume a matrix of the form (we let · denote a zero matrix)

$$A = egin{bmatrix} A_{\scriptscriptstyle 11} & A_{\scriptscriptstyle 12} \ m{\cdot} & A_{\scriptscriptstyle 22} \end{bmatrix}\!\!\left(\! ext{or} \;\; A = egin{bmatrix} A_{\scriptscriptstyle 11} & m{\cdot} \ A_{\scriptscriptstyle 21} & A_{\scriptscriptstyle 22} \end{bmatrix}\!
ight) m{\cdot}$$

We let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of A, and let

$$a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_r, b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \cdots \geq b_s, c_1 \geq c_2 \cdots \geq c_t$$

be the degrees of the elementary divisors associated with  $\lambda$  in respectively A,  $A_{11}$ , and  $A_{22}$  (let  $a_i=0$  for i>r, etc.).

Proposition 1.  $a_i \leq b_1 + c_1$  for all i.

PROPOSITION 2.  $b_i \le a_i$  for all i, and  $s \le r$ ; if  $c_1 = c_2 = \cdots = 0$ , then  $b_i = a_i$  for all i.

*Proofs.* Proposition 2 follows immediately from the more precise inequality

$$a_{t+1} \leq b_i \leq a_i$$
 for all  $i$ 

proved in Appendix A of [5].

To prove Proposition 1, we first assume  $\lambda=0$  (if  $\lambda\neq 0$ , we consider  $A-\lambda I$  in place of A). Let  $\{x_j,\,j=1,\,\cdots,\,a_i\}$  be a lower Jordan chain (see [8], p. 201) associated with  $\lambda=0$  and define  $x_0=0$ ; we have  $Ax_j=x_{j-1},\,j=1,\,\cdots,\,a_i$ . Let

$$x_j = egin{bmatrix} y_j \ z_j \end{bmatrix}; ext{ then } Ax_j = egin{bmatrix} A_{\scriptscriptstyle 11} y_j + A_{\scriptscriptstyle 12} z_j \ A_{\scriptscriptstyle 22} z_j \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} y_{\scriptscriptstyle j-1} \ z_{\scriptscriptstyle j-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Suppose k is the minimal j for which  $z_j \neq 0$ ; then it follows from the above calculation that  $A_{11}y_j = y_{j-1}, j = 1, \cdots, k-1$ , and  $A_{22}z_j = z_{j-1}, j = k+1, \cdots, a_i$  and  $A_{22}z_k = 0$ . Thus  $\{y_j, j = 1, \cdots, k-1\}$  and  $\{z_j, j = k, \cdots, a_i\}$  form parts of Jordan chains for  $A_{11}$  and  $A_{22}$  respectively. As  $b_1$  and  $c_1$  are respectively the maximal lengths of such chains,  $k-1 \leq b_1$  and  $a_i-k+1 \leq c_1$ , so that  $a_i \leq b_1+c_1$ .

Our third proposition is quite different. If AH=K, and S is nonsingular, let  $B=SAS^{-1}$ ,  $H_0=SHS^*$ , and  $K_0=SKS^*$ . Then  $BH_0=K_0$  and B is similar to A;  $InH_0=InH$  and  $InK_0=InK$  (by Sylvester's Law of Inertia). Thus we have

PROPOSITION 3. If AH = K, we may replace A by a matrix similar to it and either H or K by a matrix complex-congruent to it, leaving invariant the eigenvalues and elementary divisors of A

and the inertias of H and K. In particular, we could assume A to be in some variant of Jordan canonical form or  $H = H_{11} \oplus 0$ , where  $H_{11}$  is nonsingular. We shall also always assume that A, H, and K are partitioned conformably into submatrices.

It will be convenient to make the following definitions. Let  $\gamma(A)$  be the number of  $a_i$  which are associated with real eigenvalues  $\lambda$ . Let  $\gamma_0(A)$  be the number of  $a_i$  which are odd and associated with real  $\lambda$ . Let  $\gamma_1(A)$  be the number of  $a_i$  which are either odd and associated with real nonzero  $\lambda$ , or even and associated with  $\lambda = 0$ . Let a(A) be the sum of all  $a_i$  associated with real  $\lambda$ .

One last comment: throughout the paper we shall discuss matrices of the form AH or  $H_1H_2$ , where (say)  $H_2$  has some special property. All our results will remain true if we replace AH by HA, or assume  $H_1$  has the desired special property instead of  $H_2$ .

3. Elementary divisors associated with real eigenvalues. Drazin and Haynsworth in [7] proved that a necessary and sufficient condition that A have (at least) m elementary divisors associated with real eigenvalues is that there exists an  $H \ge 0$ , of rank m, for which AH is Hermitian. Our first theorem generalizes the conditions on H.

Theorem 1. Let A be a complex matrix. A necessary and sufficient condition that  $\gamma(A) \geq m$  is that there exists a Hermitian H for which

$$|\sigma(H)| = m$$

and AH is Hermitian.

If AH is Hermitian and H is nonsingular, then

$$|\sigma(H)| \le \gamma_0(A) ,$$

$$|\sigma(AH)| \leq \gamma_{\iota}(A) .$$

*Proof.* The necessity of the first assertion is contained in the Drazin-Haynsworth theorem (If  $H \ge 0$ , of rank m, then  $|\sigma(H)| = m$ ). To prove sufficiency, we assume that AH is Hermitian (i.e.,  $AH - HA^* = 0$ ) for some H satisfying (1). Then if A = iB,  $\gamma(A)$  is also the number of elementary divisors associated with imaginary eigenvalues of B. We have  $R(BH) = \frac{1}{2}(BH + HB^*) = 0$ . We may apply Theorem 3 of [4], which gives a set of bounds on the inertia of H when  $R(BH) \ge 0$ , of rank r (obviously here r = 0). For r = 0, bound (14) of [4] becomes  $|\sigma(H)| \le \gamma(A)$ . As  $|\sigma(H)| = m$  was assumed, the sufficiency is proved.

If H is nonsingular, then (2) is merely a restatement of the second display of  $\S 9$  of [4]. Also, (3) is a restatement of a theorem by

Loewy [10, p. 69] as given by Bromwich [3, p. 349]. This completes the proof.

4. Complex matrices similar to real matrices. The next result contains a conjugate-transpose analogue for complex matrices of a theorem proved by Taussky and Zassenhaus [18]: That every matrix with elements in a field F may be taken into its transpose by similarity transformation by a matrix symmetric in F.

Theorem 2. Let A be a complex matrix. The following four conditions are equivalent:

- (i) A is similar to a real matrix.
- (ii) There exists a Hermitian H for which  $H^{-1}AH = A^*$ .
- (iii) There exists a nonsingular Hermitian H for which AH is Hermitian.
- (iv) There exist Hermitian matrices G and H, with H non-singular, for which A = GH.

*Proof.* Suppose there exists a matrix T so that  $B=T^{-1}AT$ , where B is real. By the Taussky-Zassenhaus theorem, there exists a real symmetric S so that  $S^{-1}BS=B'=B^*$ . Calculation shows that  $(TST^*)^{-1}A(TST^*)=A^*$ ; clearly  $TST^*$  is Hermitian. Conversely, if  $H^{-1}AH=A^*$ , A is similar to  $A^*$ , and conjugate eigenvalues of A must have elementary divisors with identical degrees. Thus A must be similar to a real matrix.

The equivalence of (ii), (iii), and (iv) is obvious.

5. Products of Hermitian matrices. As corollaries of the Drazin-Haynsworth theorem and our previous theorems, we obtain results on the eigenvalues and elementary divisors of products of two Hermitian matrices. Some are not new; some are easily proved independently. They are all presented, however, as, taken together they give a fairly complete description of the eigenvalues of a product of two Hermitian matrices.

Corollary 1 extends a result credited by MacDuffee [11, p. 65] to Klein [9].

COROLLARY 1. If  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are Hermitian, then

$$\gamma(H_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}H_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}) \geq \mid \sigma(H_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}) \mid$$
 ,

(5) 
$$\alpha(H_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}H_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}) \geq |\sigma(H_{\scriptscriptstyle 2})| + \delta(H_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}).$$

If  $H_2$  is nonsingular, then

(6) 
$$\gamma_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(H_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}H_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}) \geq \mid \sigma(H_{\scriptscriptstyle 2})\mid, \ \gamma_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(H_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}H_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}) \geq \mid \sigma(H_{\scriptscriptstyle 1})\mid.$$

*Proof.* We first suppose  $H_2$  is nonsingular. Then for  $A=H_1H_2$ , and  $H=H_2^{-1}$ ,  $AH=H_1$  is Hermitian and (4) and (6) follow from Theorem 1 (as  $InH_2=InH_2^{-1}$ ). This completes the proof of (6).

If  $H_2$  is singular, we may assume  $H_2=K_{11} \oplus 0$ , where  $K_{11}$  is nonsingular (there exists a unitary U so that  $U^*H_2U=K_{11} \oplus 0$ ; we write  $H_1$  for  $UH_1U^*$ ). Then

(7) 
$$H_{1}H_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} H_{11} & H_{12} \\ H_{21} & H_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} K_{11} & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} H_{11}K_{11} & \cdot \\ H_{21}K_{11} & \cdot \end{bmatrix}.$$

As  $H_{11}$  and  $K_{11}$  are Hermitian and  $K_{11}$  is nonsingular, we may apply (4) to  $H_{11}$  and  $K_{11}$  to obtain

$$\gamma(H_{11}K_{11}) \geq |\sigma(K_{11})|.$$

As  $\pi(K_{11}) = \pi(H_2)$  and  $\nu(K_{11}) = \nu(H_2)$ , we have

$$|\sigma(K_{11})| = |\sigma(H_2)|.$$

By Proposition 2 applied to all real eigenvalues,

$$\gamma(H_1H_2) \geq \gamma(H_{11}K_{11}).$$

Combining (8), (9), and (10) we have proved (4) for all  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ . It is clear from our definitions that

(11) 
$$\alpha(A) \ge \gamma(A)$$

for any A; hence (5) follows from (4) when  $H_2$  is nonsingular (i.e.  $\delta(H_2)=0$ ). When  $H_2$  is singular we again assume, as in (7),  $H_1H_2=H_{11}(K_{11}\oplus 0)$ , where the zero matrix has order  $\delta(H_2)$ ; clearly

(12) 
$$\alpha(H_1H_2) = \alpha(H_{11}K_{11}) + \delta(H_2).$$

From (8), (9), and (11) (with  $A = H_{11}K_{11}$ ), we have

$$lpha(H_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}K_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}) \geq \gamma(H_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}K_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}) \geq |\sigma(K_{\scriptscriptstyle 11})| = |\sigma(H_{\scriptscriptstyle 2})|$$
 ,

and substituting this in (12) we obtain (5). We have proved Corollary 1.

COROLLARY 2. If  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are Hermitian, then  $H_1H_2$  is similar to a real matrix.

*Proof.* If  $H_2$  is nonsingular, this is part of Theorem 2. If  $H_2$  is singular, as in Corollary 1 we may assume  $H_2 = K_{11} \bigoplus 0$ , with  $K_{11}$  nonsingular. Now  $H_1H_2$  is given by (7).

We shall use Proposition 2 for  $A=H_1H_2$  and  $A_{11}=H_{11}K_{11}$ . To avoid confusion, we attach a superscript  $(\lambda)$  to each  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  associated with the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . As  $H_{11}K_{11}$  is similar to a real matrix by

Theorem 2, and  $A_{22} = 0$ , we have for all nonreal  $\lambda$ ,

$$a_i^{\scriptscriptstyle(\lambda)}=b_i^{\scriptscriptstyle(\lambda)}=b_i^{\scriptscriptstyle(\overline{\lambda})}=a_i^{\scriptscriptstyle(\overline{\lambda})}$$
 .

This implies that  $H_1H_2$  is similar to a real matrix.

REMARK 1. Corollary 2 implies that  $H_1H_2$  is similar to  $H_1H_2$  (we have that  $H_1H_2$  is similar to  $\overline{H_1H_2}$  and hence to  $(H_1H_2)^*=H_2H_1$ ) for all Hermitian  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , a property not enjoyed by all pairs of matrices: for example take

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ;  $AB = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $BA = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

REMARK 2. We note that when  $H_2$  is nonsingular the above result is trivial  $((H_1H_2)^*=H_2H_1=H_2(H_1H_2)H_2^{-1})$ . However, the singular case cannot be handled in the obvious way by continuity arguments on  $H_2 + \varepsilon I$ , as the elementary divisors structure is not a continuous function of the elements of the matrix. The same comment applies to the two corollaries below.

COROLLARY 3. If  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are Hermitian and  $H_2 > 0$ , then  $H_1H_2$  is diagonalizable, with all real eigenvalues, and In  $H_1H_2 = In H_1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A=H_1H_2$  and  $H=H_2^{-1}>0$ ; then  $AH=H_1$ . By the Drazin-Haynsworth theorem, for m= order A, we have that  $H_1H_2$  is diagonalizable, with all real eigenvalues. By Corollary 3 of [12], In  $H_1H_2=In\ H_2$ .

REMARK 3. Corollary 3 is well known; cf. [19, p. 108, problem 6].

Corollary 4 (below) has connections with previous work on symmetrizable operators; we shall discuss this further in § 6.

COROLLARY 4. If  $H_1$  is Hermitian and  $H_2 \ge 0$ , then  $H_1H_2$  has all real eigenvalues; nonzero eigenvalues have linear elementary divisors, zero eigenvalues have elementary divisors of degree less than or equal two. We have

(13) 
$$\pi(H_1H_2) \leq \pi(H_1), \ \nu(H_1H_2) \leq \nu(H_1).$$

*Proof.* Let  $H_2 = K_{11} \oplus 0$ , where  $K_{11} > 0$ . Again  $H_1H_2$  is given by (7). By Corollary 3,  $H_{11}K_{11}$  is diagonalizable, with all real eigenvalues. As  $(H_1H_2)_{22} = 0$ , we have by Proposition 2

$$a_i^{(\lambda)} = b_i^{(\lambda)} = 1$$

for all  $\lambda \neq 0$  and all nonzero  $a_i^{(\lambda)}$ . We also have by Proposition 1

$$a_1^{(0)} \leq b_1^{(0)} + c_1^{(0)} \leq 2$$

for all nonzero  $a_i^{(0)}$ .

All that remains to be proved is (13); but this follows from Corollary 4 of [12].

6. Symmetrizable matrices. In a recent paper [14] Silberstein discusses symmetrizable operators in unitary spaces. (Other results on symmetrizable operators may be found in Reid [13] and Zaanen [20]). We specialize his definition to our finite dimensional setting:

DEFINITION. The complex matrix A is symmetrizable if there exists a Hermitian H,  $H \ge 0$ , for which

- (i) Hx = 0 implies Ax = 0.
- (ii) HA is Hermitian.

THEOREM 3. Let A be a complex matrix. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) A has all real eigenvalues; nonzero eigenvalues have linear elementary divisors, zero eigenvalues have elementary divisors of degree less than or equal two.
  - (ii) A is symmetrizable.
  - (iii) There exists a nonsingular H for which  $AH \ge 0$ .
  - (iv) There exist Hermitian matrices H and K, with  $K \ge 0$ , for which A = HK.
  - (v) There exist Hermitian matrices H and K, with H non-singular and  $K \ge 0$ , for which A = HK.

*Proof.* That (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) is due to Silberstein (Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 of [14]). That (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) follows from our Corollary 4. We however, shall prove (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (v)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii).

Suppose A satisfies (i). Then for some P,  $P^{-1}AP = J = D \oplus 0 \oplus (\sum_i \oplus J_i)$ , where D is a real nonsingular diagonal and each

$$J_i = \left[egin{matrix} 0 & 1 \ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}
ight].$$

Define  $K = D \oplus I \oplus (\sum_i \oplus K_i)$ , where each

$$K_i = egin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}; \quad J_i K_i = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then K is nonsingular, Hermitian, and  $JK \ge 0$ . We define  $H = PKP^*$ , and then  $AH = (PJP^{-1})(PKP^*) \ge 0$ .

Suppose (iii) holds; then A = HK, where  $K = H^{-1}A = H^{-1}(AH)H^{-1} \ge 1$ 

0. That  $(v) \Rightarrow (iv)$  is obvious. Suppose (iv) holds, and A = HK, where  $K \ge 0$ . Then KA = KHK is Hermitian, and  $Kx = 0 \Rightarrow Ax = HKx = 0$ . The proof is complete.

As a corollary we give a slight generalization of a result due (in a more general Setting) to Reid [13].

COROLLARY 5. If  $AH \ge 0$  for some H, rank  $H = r \ne 0$ , then  $\alpha(A) \ge r$ . In particular, if  $H \ne 0$ , A has a real eigenvalue.

*Proof.* We may assume by Proposition 3 that  $H=H_{11}\oplus 0$ ,  $H_{11}$  nonsingular. Then

(14) 
$$AH = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}A_{12} \\ A_{21}A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_{11} \cdot \\ \cdot \cdot \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}H_{11} \cdot \\ A_{21}H_{11} \cdot \end{bmatrix}.$$

As AH is Hermitian,  $A_{21}H_{11}=0$ ; as  $H_{11}$  nonsingular,  $A_{21}=0$ . Now by Theorem 3, as  $A_{11}H_{11}\geq 0$ ,  $A_{11}$  has all real eigenvalues. As  $A_{21}=0$ , obviously  $\alpha(A)\geq \alpha(A_{11})=r$ .

7. Elementary divisors associated with positive and negative eigenvalues. We give a corollary to Theorem 3 similar in nature to Theorem 1.

COROLLARY 6. A necessary and sufficient condition for A to have at least p and q elementary divisors associated with, respectively, positive and negative eigenvalues is that there exist a Hermitian H for which  $\pi(H) = p$ ,  $\nu(H) = q$ , and  $AH \ge 0$ , of rank p + q.

*Proof.* The proof of the necessity is modeled after the corresponding proof of the Drazin-Haynsworth theorem. Let  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{p+q}$  be real eigenvalues of A, of which p are positive and q are negative; let  $V_1, \dots, V_{p+q}$  be a set of linearly independent associated eigenvectors for  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{p+q}$ . Let  $D = \text{diag } (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{p+q})$  and let  $V = (V_1, \dots, V_{p+q})$ . We have AV = VD and

$$AVDV^* = VD^2V^* = VDV^*A^*.$$

We take  $H=VDV^*$ ; clearly  $\pi(H)=p,\ \nu(H)=q$ . As  $D^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}>0$ , of order  $p+q,\ AH=HA^*=VD^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}V^*\geqq 0$ , of rank p+q.

To prove sufficiency, we assume by Proposition 3 that  $H=H_{11}\oplus 0$ , where  $H_{11}$  is nonsingular. As in Corollary 5,  $A_{21}=0$  and  $AH=A_{11}H_{11}\oplus 0$ . We have

 $ext{rank } H_{\scriptscriptstyle 11} = ext{rank } H = p + q = ext{rank } AH = ext{rank } A_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}H_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}$ 

and  $A_{11}H_{11} \ge 0$ ; therefore  $A_{11}H_{11} > 0$ .

By Theorem 1 of [12],  $InA_{ii} = InH_{ii} = (p, q, 0)$ . By our Theorem

- 3, as  $A_{11}$  and  $H_{11}$  are nonsingular,  $A_{11}$  has all real nonzero eigenvalues with linear elementary divisors. Thus  $A_{11}$  has p and q elementary divisors associated with respectively positive and negative eigenvalues. By Proposition 2, as  $A_{21}=0$ , A has at least as many of each.
- 8. Matrices similar to real diagonal matrices. We next give conditions for a complex matrix to be diagonalizable, with all real eigenvalues. For the case when A is real, some of these have been given by Taussky [17].

COROLLARY 7. The matrix A is diagonalizable, with all real nonzero eigenvalues, if and only if there exists an H for which AH > 0. If AH > 0, then InA = InH.

*Proof.* This is Corollary 6 for p + q = order A.

COROLLARY 8. Let A be a complex matrix. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) A is diagonalizable, with all real eigenvalues,
- (ii) There exists an H for which  $AH \ge 0$ , with rank A = rank H = rank AH,
- (iii) There exists an H > 0 for which AH is Hermitian,
- (iv) There exists an  $H \ge 0$  for which AH is Hermitian and rank  $A = rank \ AH$ ,
- (v) There exist Hermitian matrices G and H, with H > 0, for which A = GH.

Further, if (ii) holds, then InA = InH. If either (iii) or (iv) holds, then InA = InAH. If (v) holds, then InA = InG.

REMARK 4. If A is a real matrix, all of the Hermitian matrices G and H of Corollaries 7 and 8 may be chosen to be real symmetric. In fact, all constructions of G and H, given in proof or referred to, may be used to obtain such real G and H. The real case of Corollary 8, (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (v), is known; cf. [17, p. 133].

REMARK 5. That (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii) is contained in Theorem 3.3 of [14]. That (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (v) has been noted by Taussky (Amer. Math. Monthly 66 (1959), p. 427, problem 4846; published solution by Parker, Amer. Math. Monthly 67 (1960) p. 192). That (v)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) is our Corollary 3. Alternate proofs for Corollary 7 and Corollary 8, (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii), may be obtained using the equivalence of (i) and (v).

Proof of Corollary 8. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Let  $S^{-1}AS = B = \text{diag } (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$ , where the  $\beta_i$  are real. Obviously  $B^2 \geq 0$ , with rank  $B = \text{rank } B^2$ ; and if we let  $H = SBS^*$ ,  $AH \geq 0$ , with rank A = rank H = rank AH.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Assume  $H = H_{11} \oplus 0$ ,  $H_{11}$  nonsingular. As in the proof

of Corollary 5,  $A_{21}=0$  and  $AH=A_{11}H_{11}\oplus 0\geq 0$ . As rank  $H=\mathrm{rank}\,AH$ ,  $A_{11}H_{11}>0$  and by Corollary 7,  $A_{11}$  is diagonalizable, with all real nonzero eigenvalues. As  $\mathrm{rank}\,A=\mathrm{rank}\,H=\mathrm{rank}\,H_{11}==\mathrm{rank}\,A_{11}$ , and  $A_{21}=0$ , we have  $A_{22}=0$ . The proof of (i) now follows from Proposition 1. That InA=InH follows from (i) and Corollary V.2 of [6].

- (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii). This is the Drazin-Haynsworth theorem for m = order A. That InA = InAH follows from Corollary 3 of [12].
- (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv). Assume  $S^{-1}AS = B = \text{diag } (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$ , where the  $\beta_i$  are real. Let  $H = SB^2S^* \ge 0$ .

Clearly  $AH = SB^3S^*$  is Hermitian, rank  $A = \operatorname{rank} AH$ .

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Suppose now AH is Hermitian, rank  $A = \operatorname{rank} AH$ , and  $H = H_{11} \oplus 0$ , where  $H_{11} > 0$ . By (iii), as  $(AH)_{11} = A_{11}H_{11}$  is Hermitian,  $A_{11}$  is diagonalizable, with all real eigenvalues, and  $InA_{11} = In(A_{11}H_{11})$ . As before,  $A_{21} = 0$ , and  $AH = A_{11}H_{11} \oplus 0$ . As rank  $A = \operatorname{rank} AH = \operatorname{rank} A_{11}H_{11} = \operatorname{rank} A_{11}$ , we must have  $A_{22} = 0$ , so that all eigenvalues of A are real. Further, all nonzero eigenvalues have linear elementary divisors by Proposition 1.

We now prove that zero eigenvalues also have linear elementary divisors. Let  $S_{11}$  be a matrix for which  $S_{11}^{-1}A_{11}S_{11} = D \oplus 0$ , where D is a nonsingular diagonal. Let  $S = S_{11} \oplus I$ . Then

$$S^{ ext{--}1}\!AS = B = egin{bmatrix} D \; \cdot \; B_{ ext{\tiny 13}} \ \cdot \; \cdot \; B_{ ext{\tiny 23}} \ \cdot \; \cdot \; \cdot \ \end{bmatrix}$$
 ,

where  $A_{22}$  corresponds to the zero matrix in the lower-right corner of B. As rank  $D = \text{rank } A_{11} = \text{rank } A$ , obviously  $B_{23} = 0$  and all zero eigenvalues have linear elementary divisors, (again by Proposition 1).

As  $A_{22}=0$ ,  $\pi(A)=\pi(A_{11})=\pi(A_{11}H_{11})=\pi(AH)$ , and similarly  $\nu(A)=\nu(AH)$ . The proof is complete.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Richard Bellman, Introduction to matrix analysis, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1960.
- 2. T. J. I'A Bromwich, Note on Weierstrass's reduction of family of bilinear forms, Proc. London Math Soc. I 32(1900), 158-163.
- 3. ———, On a canonical reduction of bilinear forms, Proc. London Math Soc. I 32(1900), 321-352.
- 4. David Carlson, Rank and inertia bounds for matrices under  $R(AH) \ge 0$ , J. Math. Anal. Appl. 10 (1965), 100-111.
- 5. ——, Rank and inertia theorems for matrices: the semi-definite case, Ph. D. thesis, U. of Wisconsin, Madison, 1963.
- 6. \_\_\_\_, and Hans Schneider, Inertia theorems for matrices: the semi-definite case,
- J. Math Anal. Appl. 6(1963), 430-446.
- 7. Michael Drazin, and Emilie Haynsworth, Criteria for the reality of matrix

- eigenvalues, Math. Zeitschr, 78(1962), 449-452.
- 8. F. R. Gantmacher, The Theory of matrices, Vol I, Chelsea, 1959.
- 9. F. Klein, Ueber die Transformation der allgemeinen Gleichung des zweiten Grades zwischen Linien-Coordination auf eine canonische Form, Math. Ann. 23(1884), 539-578.
- 10. Alfred Loewy, Ueber Scharen reeller quadratischer und Hermitescher Formen, J. reine angew. Math. 122(1900), 53-72.
- 11. C. C. MacDuffee, The Theory of matrices, Chelsea, New York, 1956.
- 12. A. Ostrowski, and Hans Schneider, Some theorems on the inertia of general matrices, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 4(1962), 72-84.
- 13. W. T. Reid, Symmetrizable completely continuous linear transformations in Hilbert space, Duke Math. J. 18(1951), 41-56.
- 14. J. P. O. Silberstein, Symmetrizable operators, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 2(1961-62), 381-402.
- O. Taussky, A remark on a theorem by Lyapunov, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 2(1961), 105-107.
- 16. ——, A generalization of a theorem by Lyapunov, J. Soc. Ind. Appl. Math. 9(1961), 640-643.
- 17. ———, On the variation of the characteristic roots of a finite matrix under various changes of its elements, Recent Advances in Matrix Theory, U. Wisconsin Press, Madison, 1964.
- 18. O. Taussky, and Hans, Zassenhaus, On the similarity transformation between a matrix and its transpose, Pac. J. Math. 9(1959), 893-896.
- 19. H. W. Turnbull, and A. C. Aitken, An Introduction to the theory of canonical matrices, Blackie, London, 1932.
- 20. A. C. Zaanen, Linear Analysis, Interscience, New York, 1953.

OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

#### EDITORS

H. SAMELSON

Stanford University Stanford, California

R. M. BLUMENTHAL

University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. Dugundji

University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

\*RICHARD ARENS

University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. Yosida

#### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CALIFORNIA RESEARCH CORPORATION SPACE TECHNOLOGY LABORATORIES NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should by typewritten (double spaced). The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. No separate author's resumé is required. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, at the University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is published quarterly, in March, June, September, and December. Effective with Volume 13 the price per volume (4 numbers) is \$18.00; single issues, \$5.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$8.00 per volume; single issues \$2.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), No. 6, 2-chome, Fujimi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

\* Basil Gordon, Acting Managing Editor until February 1, 1966.

# **Pacific Journal of Mathematics**

Vol. 15, No. 4 December, 1965

Robert James Blattner, Group extension representations and the structure space	. 1101			
Glen Eugene Bredon, On the continuous image of a singular chain complex				
David Hilding Carlson, On real eigenvalues of complex matrices				
Hsin Chu, Fixed points in a transformation group				
Howard Benton Curtis, Jr., The uniformizing function for certain simply connected Riemann				
surfaces	. 1137			
George Wesley Day, Free complete extensions of Boolean algebras				
Edward George Effros, The Borel space of von Neumann algebras on a separable Hilbert				
space				
Michel Mendès France, A set of nonnormal numbers				
Jack L. Goldberg, Polynomials orthogonal over a denumerable set				
Frederick Paul Greenleaf, Norm decreasing homomorphisms of group algebras				
Fletcher Gross, The 2-length of a finite solvable group				
Kenneth Myron Hoffman and Arlan Bruce Ramsay, Algebras of bounded sequences				
James Patrick Jans, Some aspects of torsion	. 1249			
Laura Ketchum Kodama, Boundary measures of analytic differentials and uniform	1261			
approximation on a Riemann surface	1201			
functions	1279			
Ronald John Larsen, Almost invariant measures				
You-Feng Lin, Generalized character semigroups: The Schwarz decomposition				
Justin Thomas Lloyd, Representations of lattice-ordered groups having a basis				
Thomas Graham McLaughlin, On relative coimmunity				
Mitsuru Nakai, Φ-bounded harmonic functions and classification of Riemann surfaces				
L. G. Novoa, On n-ordered sets and order completeness				
Fredos Papangelou, Some considerations on convergence in abelian lattice-groups				
Frank Albert Raymond, Some remarks on the coefficients used in the theory of homology				
manifolds	1365			
John R. Ringrose, On sub-algebras of a C*-algebra	. 1377			
Jack Max Robertson, Some topological properties of certain spaces of differentiable				
homeomorphisms of disks and spheres				
Zalman Rubinstein, Some results in the location of zeros of polynomials	1391			
Arthur Argyle Sagle, On simple algebras obtained from homogeneous general Lie triple				
systems				
Hans Samelson, On small maps of manifolds				
Annette Sinclair, $ \varepsilon(z) $ -closeness of approximation	. 1405			
Edsel Ford Stiel, Isometric immersions of manifolds of nonnegative constant sectional	1415			
curvature				
Earl J. Taft, Invariant splitting in Jordan and alternative algebras				
L. E. Ward, On a conjecture of R. J. Koch				
Horace C. Wiser, Embedding a circle of trees in the plane				
Adil Mohamed Yaqub, Ring-logics and residue class rings				
John W. Lamperti and Patrick Colonel Suppes, Correction to: Chains of infinite order and their				
application to learning theory				
Charles Vernon Coffman, Correction to: Non-linear differential equations on cones in Banach	/ .			
spaces	. 1472			
P. H. Doyle, III, Correction to: A sufficient condition that an arc in $S^n$ be cellular				
P. P. Saworotnow, Correction to: On continuity of multiplication in a complemented				
algebra				
Rasil Gordon, Correction to: A generalization of the coset decomposition of a finite group	1/17/			