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#### Abstract

We investigate semi-Riemannian manifolds satisfying some curvature conditions. Those conditions are strongly related to pseudosymmetry.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\nabla, R, S, \mathcal{S}, \kappa$ and $C$ be the Levi-Civita connection, the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor, the Ricci tensor, the Ricci operator, the scalar curvature and the Weyl conformal curvature tensor of an $n$-dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$, respectively. For precise definitions of the symbols used, we refer to Section 2 of this paper and 27 and 29 .

Let $A$ be a symmetric $(0,2)$-tensor and $B$ a generalized curvature tensor on a manifold ( $M, g$ ), $n \geqslant 3$. According to [72, Definition 3.1] (cf. 73, Definition 7.1]) the tensor $A$ is called $B$-compatible if we have on $M$

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(\mathcal{A} X, Y, Z, W)+B(\mathcal{A} Z, Y, W, X)+B(\mathcal{A} W, Y, X, Z)=0, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathcal{A}$ is the endomorphism of the Lie algebra $\Xi(M)$ of vector fields on $M$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\mathcal{A} X, Y)=A(X, Y), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]and $X, Y, Z, W \in \Xi(M)$. In particular, a symmetric $(0,2)$-tensor $A$ on $M$ is said to be Riemann compatible ( $R$-compatible) [73, Definition 1.1], Weyl compatible ( $C$-compatible) [74, Definition 2.1], respectively, if
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& R(\mathcal{A} X, Y, Z, W)+R(\mathcal{A} Z, Y, W, X)+R(\mathcal{A} W, Y, X, Z)=0  \tag{1.3}\\
& C(\mathcal{A} X, Y, Z, W)+C(\mathcal{A} Z, Y, W, X)+C(\mathcal{A} W, Y, X, Z)=0 \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

holds on $M$, respectively. In [70, Theorem 3.5] (cf. [71, Theorem 4.14]) it was proved that the Ricci tensor $S$ of every Ricci-pseudosymmetric semi-Riemannian manifold ( $R \cdot S=L_{S} Q(g, S)$, see Section 3) is $R$-compatible, i.e., we have on $M$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(\mathcal{S} X, Y, Z, W)+R(\mathcal{S} Z, Y, W, X)+R(\mathcal{S} W, Y, X, Z)=0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result was obtained already in [2, Lemma 3.3] and [28, Proposition 3.1(iv)] (cf. 40, Lemma 2.4]). Unfortunately, 2], 28] and 40 are not cited in [70 and [71. We note that (1.5) was also obtained during the study on manifolds satisfying some other curvature conditions of pseudosymmetry type: [8, Lemma 3.1, eq. (19)], [12, Lemma 3.1, eq. (13); Proposition 3.1, eq. (22)], 38, Theorem 4.1, eq. (26)] and 41, Proposition 3.9, eq. (43)]. If the Ricci tensor $S$ of a semi-Riemannian manifold $(M, g), n \geqslant 4$, is $R$-compatible, then also it is $C$-compatible [72, Proposition 3.4]. The converse statement is also true [74, Theorem 2.4].

In Section 3 we present definitions of quasi-Einstein, pseudosymmetric and Ricci-pseudosymmetric manifolds. In particular, we present curvature properties of manifolds with parallel Weyl tensor. In Section 4 we show that (1.1), and in particular (1.3) and (1.5), are satisfied on certain semi-Riemannian manifolds (Proposition 4.1, Theorems 4.1-4.4). Finally, in the last section we prove that some warped products manifolds also satisfy (1.5) (Theorem 5.1, Remark 5.1).

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, all manifolds $(M, g)$ are assumed to be connected, paracompact, manifolds of class $C^{\infty}$ with the metric $g$ of signature $(s, n-s), 0 \leqslant$ $s \leqslant n$. The manifold $(M, g)$ will be called a semi(pseudo)-Riemannian manifold. Clearly, if $s=0$ or $s=n$ then $(M, g)$ is a Riemannian manifold. If $s=1$ or $s=n-1$, then $(M, g)$ is a Lorentzian manifold. We define on $M$ the endomorphisms $X \wedge_{A} Y$ and $\mathcal{R}(X, Y)$ of the Lie algebra $\Xi(M)$ by $\left(X \wedge_{A} Y\right) Z=A(Y, Z) X-A(X, Z) Y$ and $\mathcal{R}(X, Y) Z=\nabla_{X} \nabla_{Y} Z-\nabla_{Y} \nabla_{X} Z-\nabla_{[X, Y]} Z$, respectively, where $A$ is a symmetric (0,2)-tensor on $M$ and $X, Y, Z \in \Xi(M)$. The Ricci tensor $S$, the Ricci operator $\mathcal{S}$, the scalar curvature $\kappa$ and the endomorphism $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ are defined by $S(X, Y)=$ $\operatorname{tr}\{Z \mapsto \mathcal{R}(Z, X) Y\}, g(\mathcal{S} X, Y)=S(X, Y), \kappa=\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{S}$ and

$$
\mathcal{C}(X, Y) Z=\mathcal{R}(X, Y) Z-\frac{1}{n-2}\left(X \wedge_{g} \mathcal{S} Y+\mathcal{S} X \wedge_{g} Y-\frac{\kappa}{n-1} X \wedge_{g} Y\right) Z
$$

respectively. The (0,4)-tensors: $G, R$ and $C$ are defined by $G\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{4}\right)=$ $g\left(\left(X_{1} \wedge_{g} X_{2}\right) X_{3}, X_{4}\right), R\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{4}\right)=g\left(\mathcal{R}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) X_{3}, X_{4}\right), C\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{4}\right)=$ $g\left(\mathcal{C}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) X_{3}, X_{4}\right)$, respectively, where $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots \in \Xi(M)$. Further, we set $U_{R}=\{x \in M \mid R-(\kappa /((n-1) n)) G \neq 0$ at $x\}, U_{S}=\{x \in M \mid S-(\kappa / n) g \neq 0$ at $x\}$ and $U_{C}=\{x \in M \mid C \neq 0$ at $x\}$. We note that $U_{S} \cup U_{C}=U_{R}$.

Let $\mathcal{B}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ be a skew-symmetric endomorphism of $\Xi(M)$ and $B$ a (0,4)tensor associated with $\mathcal{B}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}\right)=g\left(\mathcal{B}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right) X_{3}, X_{4}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The tensor $B$ is said to be a generalized curvature tensor if the following two conditions are fulfilled: $B\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}\right)=B\left(X_{3}, X_{4}, X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ and

$$
B\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}\right)+B\left(X_{3}, X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{4}\right)+B\left(X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{1}, X_{4}\right)=0
$$

For the symmetric ( 0,2 )-tensors $E$ and $F$ we define their Kulkarni-Nomizu product $E \wedge F$ (see, e.g., [25)

$$
\begin{aligned}
(E \wedge F)\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}\right)= & E\left(X_{1}, X_{4}\right) F\left(X_{2}, X_{3}\right)+E\left(X_{2}, X_{3}\right) F\left(X_{1}, X_{4}\right) \\
& -E\left(X_{1}, X_{3}\right) F\left(X_{2}, X_{4}\right)-E\left(X_{2}, X_{4}\right) F\left(X_{1}, X_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The following tensors are generalized curvature tensors: $R, C$ and $E \wedge F$, where $E$ and $F$ are symmetric ( 0,2 )-tensors. We have $G=\frac{1}{2} g \wedge g$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=R-\frac{1}{n-2} g \wedge S+\frac{\kappa}{(n-2)(n-1)} G \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $T_{x} M$ at a point $x \in M$ of a semiRiemannian manifold $(M, g), n \geqslant 3$, and let $g\left(e_{j}, e_{k}\right)=\varepsilon_{j} \delta_{j k}, \varepsilon_{j}= \pm 1$, and $j, k \in$ $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. For a generalized curvature tensor $B$ on $M$ we denote by $\operatorname{Ric}(B), \kappa(B)$ and $\operatorname{Weyl}(B)$ its scalar curvature, the Ricci tensor and the Weyl tensor, respectively. Thus at every $x \in M$ we have: $\operatorname{Ric}(B)(X, Y)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{j} B\left(e_{j}, X, Y, e_{j}\right), \kappa(B)=$ $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{j} \operatorname{Ric}(B)\left(e_{j}, e_{j}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Weyl}(B)=B-\frac{1}{n-2} g \wedge \operatorname{Ric}(B)+\frac{\kappa(B)}{(n-2)(n-1)} G \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.1. [22, Lemma 2(ii)]; cf. [50, p.48]; The Weyl tensor Weyl(B) of any generalized curvature tensor $B$ on a 3-dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ vanishes, i.e., on $M$ we have $B=g \wedge \operatorname{Ric}(B)-(\kappa(B) / 2) G$.

Let $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ be a skew-symmetric endomorphism of $\Xi(M)$, and let $B$ be the tensor defined by (2.1). We extend the endomorphism $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ to a derivation $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$. of the algebra of tensor fields on $M$, assuming that it commutes with contractions and $\mathcal{B}(X, Y) \cdot f=0$ for any smooth function $f$ on $M$. Now for a ( $0, k$ )-tensor field $T, k \geqslant 1$, we can define the $(0, k+2)$-tensor $B \cdot T$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (B \cdot T)\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}, X, Y\right)=(\mathcal{B}(X, Y) \cdot T)\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}\right) \\
& \quad=-T\left(\mathcal{B}(X, Y) X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}\right)-\cdots-T\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k-1}, \mathcal{B}(X, Y) X_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $A$ is a symmetric ( 0,2 )-tensor, then we define the $(0, k+2)$-tensor $Q(A, T)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(A, T)\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}, X, Y\right)=\left(X \wedge_{A} Y \cdot T\right)\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}\right) \\
& \quad=-T\left(\left(X \wedge_{A} Y\right) X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}\right)-\cdots-T\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k-1},\left(X \wedge_{A} Y\right) X_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In this manner we obtain the $(0,6)$-tensors $B \cdot B$ and $Q(A, B)$. Substituting $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{R}$ or $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{C}, T=R$ or $T=C$ or $T=S, A=g$ or $A=S$ in the above formulas, we get the tensors $R \cdot R, R \cdot C, C \cdot R, R \cdot S, Q(g, R), Q(S, R), Q(g, C)$ and $Q(g, S)$.

Let $A$ be a symmetric $(0,2)$-tensor and $T$ a $(0, k)$-tensor, $k \geqslant 2$. Following [32], we will call the tensor $Q(A, T)$ the Tachibana tensor of $A$ and $T$, or the Tachibana tensor for short. We would like to point out that in some papers, the tensor $Q(g, R)$ is called the Tachibana tensor (see, e.g., $\mathbf{5 7}, \mathbf{6 1}, 62,81$ ).

Let $B_{h i j k}, T_{h i j k}, A_{i j},(B \cdot T)_{h i j k l m}$ and $Q(A, T)_{h i j k l m}, h, i, \ldots, m \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, be the local components of the generalized curvature tensors $B$ and $T$, a symmetric $(0,2)$-tensor $A$ and the tensors $B \cdot T$ and $Q(A, T)$, respectively. We have 32

$$
\begin{align*}
(B \cdot T)_{h i j k l m}= & g^{r s}\left(T_{r i j k} B_{s h l m}+T_{h r j k} B_{s i l m}\right. \\
& \left.+T_{h i r k} B_{s j l m}+T_{h i j r} B_{s k l m}\right) \\
g^{r s}(B \cdot T)_{h r s k l m}= & g^{r s}\left(\operatorname{Ric}(T)_{k r} B_{s h l m}+\operatorname{Ric}(T)_{h r} B_{s k l m}\right)  \tag{2.4}\\
Q(A, T)_{h i j k l m}= & A_{h l} T_{m i j k}+A_{i l} T_{h m j k}+A_{j l} T_{h i m k}+A_{k l} T_{h i j m} \\
& -A_{h m} T_{l i j k}-A_{i m} T_{h l j k}-A_{j m} T_{h i l k}-A_{k m} T_{h i j l}, \\
g^{r s} Q(A, T)_{h r s k l m}= & A_{l}^{s} T_{s k h m}-A_{l}^{s} T_{s h m k}-A_{m}^{s} T_{s k h l}+A_{m}^{s} T_{s h l k}  \tag{2.5}\\
& +Q(A, \operatorname{Ric}(T))_{h k l m}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $A$ be a symmetric ( 0,2 )-tensor on a semi-Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$, $n \geqslant 3$. We define the tensors $A^{0}, A^{1}, A^{p}, p \geqslant 2$, and the endomorphisms (cf., 82, 83) $\mathcal{A}^{0}, \mathcal{A}^{1}, \mathcal{A}^{p}, p \geqslant 2$, by $A^{0}=g, A^{1}=A, A^{p}(X, Y)=A^{p-1}(\mathcal{A} X, Y)$ and $\mathcal{A}^{0}=I d, \mathcal{A}^{1}=\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{p} X=\mathcal{A}^{p-1}(\mathcal{A} X)$, respectively, where $\mathcal{A}$ is the endomorphism related to $A$ by (1.2) and $I d$ the identity transformation of $\Xi(M)$.

Using the above presented definitions we can prove the following
Proposition 2.1. If $A$ is a symmetric ( 0,2 )-tensor and $B$ a generalized curvature tensor on a semi-Riemannian manifold $(M, g), n \geqslant 3$, expressed by a linear combination of the tensors $A^{p_{1}} \wedge A^{p_{2}}, p_{1}, p_{2} \geqslant 0$, then $A^{p}, p \geqslant 0$, are $B$-compatible.

Let $H$ be the second fundamental tensor of a hypersurface $M, \operatorname{dim} M \geqslant 3$, isometrically immersed in a conformally flat semi-Riemannian manifold $N$. Using Proposition 2.1 and identity (20) of 47. (cf. 37, Section 4]) we can easily prove that the tensors $H^{p}, p \geqslant 0$, are Weyl compatible.

Semi-Riemannian manifolds $(M, g), n \geqslant 4$, admitting generalized curvature tensors expressed by a linear combination of the tensors: $A \wedge A, g \wedge A$ and $g \wedge g$, where $A$ is a symmetric $(0,2)$-tensor on $M$, were investigated in 65. In particular, 65] contains results on non-quasi Einstein and non-conformally flat manifolds having the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor expressed by a linear combination of the tensors $S \wedge S, g \wedge S$ and $g \wedge g$. Semi-Riemannian manifolds with this property are called Roter type manifolds, see [27] and [53] and references therein.

Example 2.1. We define on $M=\{(x, y, z, t): x>0, y>0, z>0, t>0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{4}$ the metric tensor $g$ by $d s^{2}=\exp (y) d x^{2}+(x z)^{2} d y^{2}+d z^{2}-d t^{2}$. The Ricci tensor $S$ of $(M, g)$ is expressed by a linear combination of $g$ and some other symmetric $(0,2)$-tensors [9, Section 4]. Since $g$ is a product metric of some 3-dimensional and an 1-dimensional metric, the equality $R \cdot R=Q(S, R)$ is satisfied on $M$ 11, Corollary 3.2]. We also have on $M: \kappa=1 /\left(2 x^{2} z^{2}\right), \operatorname{rank}(S)=\cdots=\operatorname{rank}\left(S^{4}\right)=3$,
and

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q\left(S, S^{2} \wedge S^{2}\right)=Q\left(S^{3}-\exp (y) /\left(2 x z^{2}\right) S^{2}, S \wedge S\right) \\
R=\phi_{1} S \wedge S+\phi_{2} S \wedge S^{2}+\phi_{3} S^{2} \wedge S^{2} \\
\omega(X) \mathcal{R}(Y, Z)+\omega(Y) \mathcal{R}(Z, X)+\omega(Z) \mathcal{R}(X, Y)=0, \\
\phi_{1}=\left(16 x^{2} z^{4}+z^{2}\left(4 x^{2}+1\right) \exp (y)\right) /\left(8 z^{2}+2 \exp (y)\right), \\
\phi_{2}=-4 x^{2} z^{4} \exp (y) /\left(4 z^{2}+\exp (y)\right), \quad \phi_{3}=8 x^{4} z^{6} \exp (y) /\left(4 z^{2}+\exp (y)\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

where the 1-form $\omega$ is defined by $\omega\left(\partial_{x}\right)=\omega\left(\partial_{y}\right)=1, \omega\left(\partial_{z}\right)=\omega\left(\partial_{t}\right)=0$. Finally, from Proposition 2.1 it follows that the tensors $S^{p}, p \geqslant 0$, are $R$-compatible.

## 3. Some special classes of semi-Riemannian manifolds

A semi-Riemannian manifold $(M, g), n \geqslant 2$, is said to be an Einstein manifold if its Ricci tensor $S$ is proportional to $g$, i.e., on $M$ we have $S=\frac{\kappa}{n} g$, where $\kappa$ is the scalar curvature. It is well-known that the scalar curvature $\kappa$ of an Einstein manifold of dimension $\geqslant 3$ is a constant. A semi-Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$, $n \geqslant 3$, is called a quasi-Einstein manifold if at every $x \in M$ its Ricci tensor satisfies $\operatorname{rank}(S-\alpha g) \leqslant 1$, for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e., the condition $S=\alpha g+\varepsilon w \otimes w$, for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \varepsilon= \pm 1, w \in T_{x}^{*} M$ holds at every $x \in U_{S} \subset M$ (see, e.g., [39, 43, 54). Evidently, $w$ is non-zero at every point of $U_{S}$. It is well-known that quasi-Einstein manifolds arose during the study of exact solutions of the Einstein field equations as well as during considerations of quasi-umbilical hypersurfaces of conformally flat spaces. We refer to $[\mathbf{2 4}, \mathbf{2 7},[\mathbf{2 8}, \mathbf{3 7}, 41,42,43,54]$ for results on quasi-Einstein hypersurfaces in spaces of constant curvature. Recently, quasi-Einstein manifolds were investigated amongst others in 49, 63, 64, 68.

An extension of the class of Einstein manifolds form Ricci-symmetric manifolds, i.e., manifolds of dimension $\geqslant 3$ with $\nabla S=0$. An important subclass of the class of Ricci-symmetric manifolds form locally symmetric manifolds, i.e., manifolds with $\nabla R=0$. The last two equations lead to the integrability conditions
(a) $R \cdot S=0$,
(b) $R \cdot R=0$,
respectively. Semi-Riemannian manifolds satisfying (3.1)(a) and (3.1) (b) are called Ricci-semisymmetric and semisymmetric 84, respectively. Any semisymmetric manifold is Ricci-semisymmetric. It is known that the converse statement is not true. Semisymmetric Riemannian manifolds were classified in 84. Ricci-semisymmetric Riemannian manifolds were investigated, amongst others, in [79, see also 69, 80. In those papers Ricci-semisymmetric manifolds (submanifolds) are called Ric-semisymmetric manifolds (submanifolds).

We consider now non-Riemannian semi-Riemannian manifolds $(M, g), n \geqslant 4$, with parallel Weyl tensor $(\nabla C=0)$, which are in addition non-locally symmetric $(\nabla R \neq 0)$ and non-conformally flat $(C \neq 0)$. Such manifolds are called essentially conformally symmetric manifolds, e.c.s. manifolds, in short (see e.g., [15, 16]). E.c.s. manifolds are semisymmetric manifolds satisfying $\kappa=0$ and $Q(S, C)=0$ [15. Theorems 7, 8 and 9]. In addition, on every e.c.s. manifold $(M, g)$ we have [16]
rank $S \leqslant 2$ and $F C=\frac{1}{2} S \wedge S$, where $F$ is a function on $M$, called the fundamental function. Also the local structure of e.c.s. manifolds is determined $\mathbf{1 7}, \mathbf{1 9}$. Certain e.c.s. metrics are realized on compact manifolds [18, 20]. E.c.s. warped products were investigated in $\mathbf{5 9}$.

A semi-Riemannian manifold $(M, g), n \geqslant 3$, is said to be pseudosymmetric [33] if the tensors $R \cdot R$ and $Q(g, R)$ are linearly dependent at every point of $M$. This is equivalent on $U_{R} \subset M$ to

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \cdot R=L_{R} Q(g, R) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{R}$ is a function on this set. A pseudosymmetric manifold is called a pseudosymmetric space of constant type if the function $L_{R}$ is constant [4, 66]. We mention that 33 is the first publication, in which a semi-Riemannian manifold satisfying (3.2) was called the pseudosymmetric manifold. However results on manifolds satisfying (3.2) also are contained in some papers published earlier than 33 (see, e.g., [1, 55, 78]). For instance, in [55, proof of Lemma 3] it was stated that fibres of semisymmetric warped products satisfy (3.2). We note that (3.2) is equivalent to $\left(R-L_{R} G\right) \cdot\left(R-L_{R} G\right)=0$. Such expression of (3.2) was used in [78. Evidently, any semisymmetric manifold is pseudosymmetric. The converse statement is not true. For instance, the Schwarzschild spacetime, the Kottler spacetime and the Reissner-Nordström spacetime satisfy (3.2) with non-zero function $L_{R} 48$ (see also [34, [56). It is well-known that the Schwarzschild spacetime was discovered in 1916 by Schwarzschild, during his study on solutions of Einstein's equations. It seems that the Schwarzschild spacetime, the Reissner-Nordström spacetime, as well as some Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker spacetimes are the "oldest" examples of a non-semisymmetric pseudosymmetric warped product manifolds (cf. 35). We also mention that Roter type manifolds are non-quasi-Einstein and non-conformally flat pseudosymmetric (see, e.g., [27, 53).

A semi-Riemannian manifold $(M, g), n \geqslant 3$, is said to be Ricci-pseudosymmetric [21, 36] if the tensors $R \cdot S$ and $Q(g, S)$ are linearly dependent at every point of $M$. This is equivalent on $U_{S} \subset M$ to

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \cdot S=L_{S} Q(g, S) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{S}$ is some function on this set. A Ricci-pseudosymmetric manifold is called a Ricci-pseudosymmetric manifold of constant type if the function $L_{S}$ is constant 52. We note that (3.2) implies (3.3). The converse statement is not true, provided that $n \geqslant 4$, (see, e.g., [27, 32]). However, 3.2 and 3.3 are equivalent on every 3 -dimensional manifold. Ricci-pseudosymmetric warped product manifolds were investigated, amongst others, in [7, 21, 36, 46. An example of quasi-Einstein pseudosymmetric, resp. non-pseudosymmetric Ricci-pseudosymmetric, warped product manifold are given in 37], respectively [43. Recently in 60 Ricci-semisymmetric and Ricci-pseudosymmetric Riemannian manifolds were called Riemannian manifolds having semi-parallel Ricci operator $\mathcal{S}, R(X, Y) \cdot \mathcal{S}=0$, and pseudo-parallel Ricci operator $\mathcal{S}, R(X, Y) \cdot \mathcal{S}=L(X \wedge Y) \cdot \mathcal{S}$, respectively, where $L$ is a function on $M$ and $X, Y \in \Xi(M)$. Evidently, the last two conditions are equivalent to (3.1)(a) and (3.3), respectively.

We refer to $\mathbf{2 3}, \mathbf{2 8}, \mathbf{3 5}, \mathbf{5 7}, \mathbf{5 8}, \mathbf{6 1}, \mathbf{6 2}$ for further results related to those classes of manifolds. We mention only that a geometrical interpretation of (3.2) and (3.3), in the Riemannian case, is given in [57] and [62], respectively.

## 4. Riemann compatible tensors

Lemma 4.1. Let $A$ be a symmetric (0,2)-tensor and $B, T$ and $T_{1}$ generalized curvature tensors on a semi-Riemannian manifold $(M, g), n \geqslant 4$, satisfying on $M$ the condition $B \cdot T=Q(A, T)+L Q\left(g, T_{1}\right)$, where $L$ is a function. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& B(\mathcal{T} X, Y, Z, W)+B(\mathcal{T} Z, Y, W, X)+B(\mathcal{T} W, Y, X, Z)  \tag{4.1}\\
& \quad+3(T(\mathcal{A} X, Y, Z, W)+T(\mathcal{A} Z, Y, W, X)+T(\mathcal{A} W, Y, X, Z))=0
\end{align*}
$$

holds on $M$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is defined by (1.2) and $\mathcal{T}$ by $g(\mathcal{T} X, Y)=\operatorname{Ric}(T)(X, Y)$.
Proof. From the equation $(B \cdot T)_{h i j k l m}=Q(A, T)_{h i j k l m}+L Q\left(g, T_{1}\right)_{h i j k l m}$, by contraction with $g^{i j}$ and making use of (2.4) and (2.5), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{T}_{h}^{s} B_{s k l m}+\mathcal{T}_{k}^{s} B_{s h l m}= & Q(A, \operatorname{Ric}(T))_{h k l m}+L Q\left(g, \operatorname{Ric}\left(T_{1}\right)\right)_{h k l m}  \tag{4.2}\\
& -\mathcal{A}_{l}^{s} R_{s k m h}-\mathcal{A}_{m}^{s} R_{s k h l}-\mathcal{A}_{l}^{s} R_{s h m k}-\mathcal{A}_{m}^{s} R_{s h k l}
\end{align*}
$$

Summing (4.2) cyclically in $h, l, m$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{T}_{h}^{s} B_{s k l m}+\mathcal{T}_{l}^{s} B_{s k m h}+\mathcal{T}_{m}^{s} B_{s k h l}+2\left(\mathcal{A}_{h}^{s} T_{s k l m}+\mathcal{A}_{l}^{s} T_{s k m h}+\mathcal{A}_{m}^{s} T_{s k h l}\right) \\
& \quad=\mathcal{A}_{h}^{s}\left(T_{s m k l}+T_{s l m k}\right)+\mathcal{A}_{l}^{s}\left(T_{s h k m}+T_{s m h k}\right)+\mathcal{A}_{m}^{s}\left(T_{s l k h}+T_{\text {shlk }}\right) \\
& \mathcal{T}_{h}^{s} B_{s k l m}+\mathcal{T}_{l}^{s} B_{s k m h}+\mathcal{T}_{m}^{s} B_{s k h l}+3\left(\mathcal{A}_{h}^{s} T_{s k l m}+\mathcal{A}_{l}^{s} T_{s k m h}+\mathcal{A}_{m}^{s} T_{s k h l}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

completing the proof.
Similarly, we also can prove the following
Lemma 4.2. If $A, A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are symmetric ( 0,2 )-tensors and $B$ a generalized curvature tensor on a semi-Riemannian manifold $(M, g), n \geqslant 4$, satisfying on $M$ the condition $B \cdot A=Q\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)$, then (1.1) holds on $M$.

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.1 we have
Lemma 4.3. If $A$ is a symmetric ( 0,2 )-tensor and $T$ a generalized curvature tensor on a semi-Riemannian manifold $(M, g), n=3$, then we have on $M$

$$
\begin{align*}
& T(\mathcal{A} X, Y, Z, W)+T(\mathcal{A} Z, Y, W, X)+T(\mathcal{A} W, Y, X, Z)  \tag{4.3}\\
& =g(X, Y) D(W, Z)+g(Z, Y) D(X, W)+g(W, Y) D(Z, X) \\
& \quad T(\mathcal{T} X, Y, Z, W)+T(\mathcal{T} Z, Y, W, X)+T(\mathcal{T} W, Y, X, Z)=0
\end{align*}
$$

where $D(X, Y)=\operatorname{Ric}(T)(\mathcal{A} X, Y)-\operatorname{Ric}(T)(\mathcal{A} Y, X), \mathcal{T}$ is defined in Lemma 4.1 and $\mathcal{A}$ by (1.2).

From the above lemmas it follows

Proposition 4.1. Let $(M, g), n \geqslant 4$, be a semi-Riemannian manifold. (i) If $B$ and $T$ are generalized curvature tensors on $M$ satisfying on $M$

$$
\begin{equation*}
B \cdot T=Q(\operatorname{Ric}(T), T)+L Q(g, \operatorname{Weyl}(T)) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ is a function, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& B(\mathcal{T} X, Y, Z, W)+B(\mathcal{T} Z, Y, W, X)+B(\mathcal{T} W, Y, X, Z)  \tag{4.5}\\
& \quad+3(T(\mathcal{T} X, Y, Z, W)+T(\mathcal{T} Z, Y, W, X)+T(\mathcal{T} W, Y, X, Z))=0
\end{align*}
$$

holds on $M$, where $\mathcal{T}$ is defined in Lemma 4.1.
(ii) [32, Proposition 2.1] If the following condition is satisfied on $M$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \cdot R=Q(S, R)+L Q(g, C) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ is a function, then (1.5) holds on $M$.
(iii) [10, Lemma 2.2(i)] If the following condition is satisfied on $M$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \cdot R=L Q(S, R) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ is a function, then we have on $M$

$$
(1+3 L)(R(\mathcal{S} X, Y, Z, W)+R(\mathcal{S} Z, Y, W, X)+R(\mathcal{S} W, Y, X, Z))=0
$$

(iv) [32, Remark 2.1] (1.5) is satisfied on any 3-dimensional manifold $(M, g)$.

As it was shown in [77, Theorems 2.2 and 2.5], some curvature 2-forms on a Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ are closed if and only if (1.5) holds on $M$. For further results related to the questions related to the closedness of some forms and (1.5) see [71, Theorem 4.2], 75, Theorem 6.2] or [76, Theorem 3.4]. We mention that the result presented in Proposition 4.1(iii), i.e., Lemma 2.2(i) of $\mathbf{1 0}$, was also proved in [71, Theorem 4.17]. However, Lemma 2.2(i) of [10] is not cited in [71]. Similarly, the result presented in Proposition 4.1(iv), i.e., Remark 2.1 of [32], was also proved in [73] (see Section 5.1). Unfortunately, 32 is not cited in [73.

Let $(M, g), n \geqslant 3$, be a semi-Riemannian manifold satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \cdot R=L Q\left(S^{p}, R\right), \quad p \geqslant 0 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ is a function on $M$. From Lemma 4.1 it follows that (4.8) implies (1.3), with $A=S+L S^{p}$. We mention that special para-Sasakian Riemannian manifolds satisfying (4.8) were investigated in $8 \mathbf{8 2}, \mathbf{8 3}$. For instance, in $\mathbf{8 2}$ it was proved that such manifolds, under some additional assumptions, are the spaces of quasi constant curvature. Thus, in particular, they are quasi-Einstein manifolds.

Let $M$ be a hypersurface isometrically immersed in a a semi-Riemannian space of constant curvature $N_{s}^{n+1}(c)$, with signature $(s, n+1-s), n \geqslant 4$, where $c=$ $\widetilde{\kappa} /(n(n+1))$ and $\widetilde{\kappa}$ are the sectional and the scalar curvature of the ambient space, respectively. It is known that $R \cdot R=Q(S, R)-((n-2) \widetilde{\kappa}) /(n(n+1)) Q(g, C)$ holds on $M$ 47]. Now Proposition 4.1(ii) implies (cf. 41, eq. (43)])

Theorem 4.1. (1.5) holds on every hypersurface $M$ in $N_{s}^{n+1}(c), n \geqslant 4$.
Chen ideal submanifolds $M$ isometrically immersed in Euclidean spaces [5, 6], satisfying some conditions of pseudosymmetry type, were investigated in [31, 44, 45]. Using equations (26.1)-(26.4) of 31 we can easily prove the following

Theorem 4.2. (1.5) holds on every Chen ideal submanifold $M$, of dimension $\geqslant 4$, isometrically immersed in a Euclidean space.

For a $(0,6)$-tensor $T$ on $M$ we denote by

$$
\sum_{\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right),\left(X_{3}, X_{4}\right),\left(X_{5}, X_{6}\right)} T\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}, X_{5}, X_{6}\right)
$$

the sum $T\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{6}\right)+T\left(X_{3}, X_{4}, \ldots, X_{6}, X_{1}, X_{2}\right)+T\left(X_{5}, X_{6}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{4}\right)$, where $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{4}, X, Y \in \Xi(M)$. It is well-known that on every semi-Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ the following identity, called the Walker identity, is satisfied

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right),\left(X_{3}, X_{4}\right),(X, Y)}(R \cdot R)\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}, X, Y\right)=0 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can also investigate semi-Riemannian manifolds, of dimension $\geqslant 4$, satisfying:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right),\left(X_{3}, X_{4}\right),(X, Y)}(R \cdot C)\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}, X, Y\right) & =0  \tag{4.10}\\
\sum_{\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right),\left(X_{3}, X_{4}\right),(X, Y)}(C \cdot R)\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}, X, Y\right) & =0  \tag{4.11}\\
\sum_{\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right),\left(X_{3}, X_{4}\right),(X, Y)}(R \cdot C-C \cdot R)\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}, X, Y\right) & =0 .
\end{align*}
$$

We mention that hypersurfaces in spaces of constant curvature satisfying (4.10)(4.12) were investigated in [29, 43 and 51 . We also have

Proposition 4.2. Let $(M, g), n \geqslant 4$, be a semi-Riemannian manifold.
(i) 14, Lemma 1] For a symmetric ( 0,2 )-tensor $A$ and a generalized curvature tensor $B$ on $M$ we have $\sum_{\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right),\left(X_{3}, X_{4}\right),(X, Y)} Q(A, B)\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}, X, Y\right)=0$.
(ii) [29, Proposition 4.1] The conditions (4.10)-(4.12) are equivalent.

It is easy to check that every pseudosymmetric manifold satisfies (4.10). More generally, in [7] Theorem 2.3] it was proved that (4.10) holds on any Ricci-pseudosymmetric manifold. In that paper it was proved that $R \cdot S=-(1 / n) Q(g, A)$, holds on any semi-Riemannian manifold, of dimension $\geqslant 5$, satisfying (4.10), where $A$ is the ( 0,2 )-tensor with the local components $A_{i j}=g^{h k}(R \cdot S)_{h i j k}$. Thus we have

Theorem 4.3. (1.5) holds on every manifold $(M, g), n \geqslant 5$, satisfying (4.10).
In the next section we also prove that (1.5) holds on any 4-dimensional warped product satisfying (4.10). In addition, Proposition 4.2 and Theorem 4.3 imply

THEOREM 4.4. Let $(M, g), n \geqslant 5$, be a semi-Riemannian manifold. If the tensor $R \cdot C$, or $C \cdot R$, or $R \cdot C-C \cdot R$, is expressed on $M$ by a linear combination of the Tachibana tensors of the form $Q(A, B)$, where $A$ is a symmetric ( 0,2 )-tensor and $B$ a generalized curvature tensor, then (1.5) holds on $M$.

## 5. Warped products with Riemann compatible Ricci tensor

Let now $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ and $(\widetilde{N}, \widetilde{g}), \operatorname{dim} \bar{M}=p, \operatorname{dim} N=n-p, 1 \leqslant p<n$, be semiRiemannian manifolds covered by systems of charts $\left\{U ; x^{a}\right\}$ and $\left\{V ; y^{\alpha}\right\}$, respectively. Let $F$ be a positive smooth function on $\bar{M}$. The warped product $\bar{M} \times{ }_{F} \widetilde{N}$ of $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ and $(\widetilde{N}, \widetilde{g})$ is the product manifold $\bar{M} \times \widetilde{N}$ with the metric $g=\bar{g} \times{ }_{F} \widetilde{g}$ defined by $\left(\left[\mathbf{3},[67) \bar{g} \times{ }_{F} \widetilde{g}=\pi_{1}^{*} \bar{g}+\left(F \circ \pi_{1}\right) \pi_{2}^{*} \widetilde{g}\right.\right.$, where $\pi_{1}: \bar{M} \times \tilde{N} \longrightarrow \bar{M}$ and $\pi_{2}: \bar{M} \times \widetilde{N} \longrightarrow \widetilde{N}$ are the natural projections on $\bar{M}$ and $\widetilde{N}$, respectively. Let $\left\{U \times V ; x^{1}, \ldots, x^{p}, x^{p+1}=y^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}=y^{n-p}\right\}$ be a product chart for $\bar{M} \times \widetilde{N}$. The local components $g_{i j}$ of the metric $g=\bar{g} \times{ }_{F} \widetilde{g}$ with respect to this chart are the following $g_{i j}=\bar{g}_{a b}$ if $i=a$ and $j=b, g_{i j}=F \widetilde{g}_{\alpha \beta}$ if $i=\alpha$ and $j=\beta$, and $g_{i j}=0$ otherwise, where $a, b, c, d, e, f \in\{1, \ldots, p\}, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon, \mu \in\{p+1, \ldots, n\}$ and $h, i, j, k, l, m, r, s \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. We will denote by bars (resp., by tildes) tensors formed from $\bar{g}$ (resp., $\widetilde{g}$ ).

The local components of the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor $R$ and the local components $S_{i j}$ of the Ricci tensor $S$ of the warped product $\bar{M} \times{ }_{F} \widetilde{N}$ which may not vanish identically are the following (see, e.g., [22, [25, 48]

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{a b c d}=\bar{R}_{a b c d}, \quad R_{\alpha a b \beta}=-\frac{1}{2} T_{a b} \widetilde{g}_{\alpha \beta}, \quad R_{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta}=F \widetilde{R}_{\alpha \beta \gamma \beta}-\frac{\Delta_{1} F}{4} \widetilde{G}_{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta}  \tag{5.1}\\
& S_{a b}=\bar{S}_{a b}-\frac{n-p}{2 F} T_{a b}, \quad S_{\alpha \beta}=\widetilde{S}_{\alpha \beta}-\left(\frac{1}{2} \bar{g}^{a b} T_{a b}+\frac{n-p-1}{4 F} \Delta_{1} F\right) \widetilde{g}_{\alpha \beta} \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta_{1} F=\Delta_{1 \bar{g}} F=\bar{g}^{a b} F_{a} F_{b}, T_{a b}=\bar{\nabla}_{b} F_{a}-\frac{1}{2 F} F_{a} F_{b}$ and $F_{a}=(\partial F) /\left(\partial x^{a}\right)$. Further, let $\operatorname{Hess}(\sqrt{F})$ be the Hessian of $\sqrt{F}$. We have $(\operatorname{Hess}(\sqrt{F}))_{a b}=1 /(2 \sqrt{F}) T_{a b}$. Using now (5.1) and (5.2) we can easily prove the following

Proposition 5.1. The manifold $\bar{M} \times{ }_{F} \widetilde{N}$ satisfies (1.5) if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{g}^{e f}\left(\bar{S}_{a e} \bar{R}_{f b c d}+\bar{S}_{c e} \bar{R}_{f b d a}+\bar{S}_{d e} \bar{R}_{f b a c}\right)  \tag{5.3}\\
& \quad-\frac{n-p}{2 F} \bar{g}^{e f}\left(\bar{T}_{a e} \bar{R}_{f b c d}+\bar{T}_{c e} \bar{R}_{f b d a}+\bar{T}_{d e} \bar{R}_{f b a c}\right)=0, \\
& \bar{g}^{e f}\left(\bar{S}_{d e}(\operatorname{Hess}(\sqrt{F}))_{f a}-\bar{S}_{a e}(\operatorname{Hess}(\sqrt{F}))_{f d}\right)=0  \tag{5.4}\\
& \widetilde{g}^{\varepsilon \mu}\left(\widetilde{S}_{\alpha \varepsilon} \widetilde{R}_{\mu \beta \gamma \delta}+\widetilde{S}_{\gamma \varepsilon} \widetilde{R}_{\mu \beta \delta \alpha}+\widetilde{S}_{\delta \varepsilon} \widetilde{R}_{\mu \beta \alpha \gamma}\right)=0 \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

As an immediate consequence of propositions 4.1 (iv) and 5.1 we get
ThEOREM 5.1. (i) The manifold $\bar{M} \times_{F} \widetilde{N}, \operatorname{dim} \bar{M}=p \leqslant 2, \operatorname{dim} \widetilde{N}=n-p \leqslant 3$, satisfies (1.5).
(ii) If $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ is an $p$-dimensional manifold, $p \leqslant 2$, and $(\widetilde{N}, \widetilde{g})$ a manifold satisfying (5.5), then (1.5) holds on $\bar{M} \times{ }_{F} \widetilde{N}$.
(iii) If $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$, $\operatorname{dim} \bar{M}=3$, and $(\widetilde{N}, \underset{\sim}{\widetilde{N}})$ are manifolds satisfying (5.4) and (5.5), respectively, then (1.5) holds on $\bar{M} \times{ }_{F} \widetilde{N}$.
(iv) If $(\bar{M}, \bar{g}), \operatorname{dim} \bar{M}=p \geqslant 3$, is a space of constant curvature and $(\widetilde{N}, \widetilde{g}) a$ manifold satisfying (5.5), then (1.5) holds on $\bar{M} \times{ }_{F} \widetilde{N}$.

Remark 5.1. (i) From Theorem 5.1(i) it follows that any 4-dimensional warped product, with 1-dimensional base manifold $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$, satisfies (1.5). In addition such warped product also satisfies (4.6) [13, Theorem 4.1]. Thus in particular, every generalized Robertson-Walker spacetime satisfies (1.5) and (4.6).
(ii) From Theorem 5.1(ii) it follows that if $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ is an 2-dimensional manifold and $(\widetilde{N}, \widetilde{g})$ an $(n-p)$-dimensional semi-Riemannian space of constant curvature, $n-p \geqslant 2$, then (1.5) holds on $\bar{M} \times{ }_{F} \widetilde{N}$. Such warped product is a manifold with pseudosymmetric Weyl tensor $\mathbf{3 0}$, i.e., the condition $C \cdot C=L_{C} Q(g, C)$ is satisfied, where $L_{C}$ is a function.
(iii) From Proposition 5.1 it follows that if $(\bar{M}, \bar{g}), \operatorname{dim} \bar{M}=p \geqslant 2$, and $(\tilde{N}, \widetilde{g})$, $\operatorname{dim} \widetilde{N}=n-p \geqslant 2$, are Einstein manifolds and $\operatorname{Hess}(\sqrt{F})$ is proportional to $\bar{g}$, then (1.5) holds on $\bar{M} \times{ }_{F} \widetilde{N}$.
(iv) In the previous section we proved that (1.5) holds on any manifold, of dimension $\geqslant 5$, satisfying (4.10). The condition (1.5) also holds on any 4-dimensional warped product satisfying (4.10). This is a consequence of Theorem 5.1 (i) and (iii) and the fact that (5.4) holds on any warped product satisfying (4.10) [7.

Example 5.1. We define on $M=\{(x, y, w, z): x>0, y>0, w>0, z>0\} \subset$ $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ the family of warped product metrics by

$$
d s^{2}=x^{\alpha_{1}} y^{\beta_{1}} w^{\gamma_{1}} d x^{2}+x^{\alpha_{2}} y^{\beta_{2}} w^{\gamma_{2}} d y^{2}+x^{\alpha_{3}} y^{\beta_{3}} w^{\gamma_{3}} d w^{2}+x^{\alpha_{4}} y^{\beta_{4}} w^{\gamma_{4}} d z^{2}
$$

where $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \gamma_{4} \in \mathbb{R}$. Certain metrics of that family do not satisfy (1.5).
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