

Ján Jakubík

On some completeness properties for lattice ordered groups

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 45 (1995), No. 2, 253–266

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128515>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1995

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON SOME COMPLETENESS PROPERTIES
FOR LATTICE ORDERED GROUPS

JÁN JAKUBÍK, Košice

(Received March 29, 1993)

G. J. M. H. Buskes [2] investigated a series of completeness properties for an archimedean Riesz space E . Each of these properties can be applied also in a more general setting, i.e., for the case when E is a lattice ordered group. If α is one of the properties under consideration, then we denote by \mathcal{G}_α the class of all lattice ordered groups G which have the property α .

The notion of radical class of lattice ordered groups was introduced in [8]; cf. also [4], [5], [12], [13], [16]. The relations between this notion and the classes \mathcal{G}_α will be dealt with in the present paper. We are mainly interested in the question whether \mathcal{G}_α (or some reasonably large subclass of \mathcal{G}_α) is a radical class.

This question is related to the problem of existence of α -kernels. For some properties defined by means of sequences similar considerations were established in [10] and [11].

1. PRELIMINARIES

The standard notation for lattice ordered groups will be applied (cf. [3] and [6]). The group operation will be written additively.

We denote by \mathcal{G} the class of all lattice ordered groups. For $G \in \mathcal{G}$ let $c(G)$ be the system of all convex ℓ -subgroups of G ; this system is partially ordered by inclusion. The lattice operations in $c(G)$ will be denoted by $\overset{c}{\wedge}$ and $\overset{c}{\vee}$. In fact, $\overset{c}{\wedge}$ coincides with the set-theoretical intersection. Let $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a nonempty subset of $c(G)$ and let $H = \overset{c}{\bigcap}_{i \in I} A_i$. It is well-known that H is the set of all $g \in G$ having the property that there is a finite subset $\{i(1), i(2), \dots, i(n)\}$ of I such that there exist elements $h_1 \in H_{i(1)}, \dots, h_n \in H_{i(n)}$ with $g = h_1 + h_2 + \dots + h_n$.

A nonempty subclass X of \mathcal{G} is said to be a radical class if it is closed with respect to

- a) convex ℓ -subgroups, and
- b) joins of convex ℓ -subgroups.

A nonempty subset A of G^+ is called disjoint if $a_1 \wedge a_2 = 0$ whenever a_1 and a_2 are distinct elements of A . We write $a \perp b$ if $a \wedge b = 0$.

Let G be a lattice ordered group. We shall consider the following conditions for G (cf. [2]):

- ($\alpha(1)$) (G is boundedly laterally complete): each order bounded disjoint subset of G has a supremum.
- ($\alpha(2)$) (G is a disjoint order complete): for every disjoint sequence (f_n) in G such that $f_n \rightarrow 0$ in order, the element $\sup\{f_n\}$ exists.
- ($\alpha(3)$) (G is order complete): whenever (f_n) and (g_n) are sequences in G with $f_n \leq g_m$ for all m, n such that $\inf(g_n - f_n) = 0$, then there exists $h \in G$ such that $f_n \leq h \leq g_n$ for all n .
- ($\alpha(4)$) (G has the σ -interpolation property): whenever (f_n) and (g_n) are sequences in G such that $f_n \leq g_n$ for all m, n , there exists $h \in G$ such that $f_n \leq h \leq g_n$ for all n .
- ($\alpha(5)$) (G is uniformly complete): cf. Section 4 for a thorough definition.
- ($\alpha(6)$) (G is an A -group): for every disjoint set $\{f_\lambda\}$ in G which is order bounded there exists an element $g \in G^+$ such that $g - f_\lambda \perp f_\lambda$ for all λ .

For $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 6\}$ we denote by $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha(i)}$ the class of all lattice ordered groups which satisfy the condition $\alpha(i)$.

In Sections 1-3 it will be proved that if $i \in \{1, 2, 4\}$, then $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha(i)}$ is a radical class. The questions whether $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha(3)}$, $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha(5)}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha(6)}$ are radical classes remain open; some partial results in these directions will be established in Sections 3, 4 and 5. E.g., it will be shown that the class of all abelian lattice ordered groups belonging to $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha(3)}$ and the class of all abelian projectable lattice ordered groups belonging to $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha(6)}$ are radical classes.

2. THE CONDITIONS ($\alpha(1)$) AND ($\alpha(2)$)

The following lemma is easy to verify; the proof will be omitted. In what follows, G is a lattice ordered group.

2.1. Lemma. *Let $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Assume that $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\alpha(i)}$ and $H \in c(G)$. Then $H \in \mathcal{G}_{\alpha(i)}$.*

Let α be any property of lattice ordered groups. We denote by S_α the system of all elements of $c(G)$ which have the property α . If a convex ℓ -subgroup H of G is a largest element of S_α , then H is said to be the α -kernel of G .

The above lemma implies that for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ the $\alpha(i)$ -kernel exists for each $G \in \mathcal{G}$ iff $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha(i)}$ is a radical class.

2.2. Lemma. *Whenever a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n are elements of G^+ there exists a system $S(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ of mappings*

$$\psi_i: [0, a_1 + \dots + a_n] \rightarrow [0, a_i] \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

such that

- (i) each ψ_i is isotone;
- (ii) for each $x \in [0, a_1 + \dots + a_n]$ the relation $x = \psi_1(x) + \dots + \psi_n(x)$ is valid.

PROOF. We proceed by induction with respect to n . For $n = 1$ we put $S(a_1) = \{\psi_1\}$, where ψ_1 is the identity on $[0, a_1]$.

Let $n > 1$ and assume that the assertion is valid for $n - 1$. We put $\psi_1(x) = a_1 \wedge x$ for each $x \in [0, a_1 + \dots + a_n]$. Next, let us consider the pairs

$$(1) \quad (x, -x + a_1 + \dots + a_n), (a_1, a'_2),$$

where $a'_2 = a_2 + \dots + a_n$.

We apply the facts demonstrated in the proof of Theorem 1.2.16, [1] (Riesz theorem) concerning the case $m = n = 2$ (instead of the pairs (a_1, a_2) , (b_1, b_2) from the mentioned proof we take now the pairs (1)). In our case we get

$$(2) \quad 0 \leq -\psi_1(x) + x \leq a'_2.$$

By the induction hypothesis there exists a system $S(a_2, \dots, a_n) = \{\psi'_i\}$ ($i = 2, \dots, n$), where ψ'_i is a mapping of $[0, a_2 + \dots + a_n]$ into $[0, a_i]$ ($i = 2, \dots, n$) such that the conditions (i) and (ii) above are satisfied for the elements which are now under consideration.

Hence all ψ'_i are isotone and

$$(3) \quad t = \psi'_2(t) + \dots + \psi'_n(t) \quad \text{for each } t \in [0, a_2 + \dots + a_n].$$

Denote $\psi_i(t) = \psi'_i(-\psi_1(t) + t)$ for each $t \in [0, a_1 + \dots + a_n]$ and $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$. Hence (ii) holds.

It remains to verify that all ψ_i are isotone. For $i = 1$ this is obvious. Let $x, y \in [0, a_1 + \dots + a_n]$, $x \geq y$. Since all ψ'_i are isotone we have to show that

$$-\psi_1(y) + y \leq -\psi_1(x) + x,$$

i.e., that

$$(4) \quad -(a_1 \wedge y) + y \leq -(a_1 \wedge x) + x.$$

An easy computation shows that the interval $[a_1 \wedge y, y]$ is transposed to a subinterval of the interval $[a_1 \wedge x, x]$. Thus the relation (4) is valid, completing the proof. \square

2.3. Lemma. *Let $\{G_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a nonempty subset of $c(G)$ such that $G_i \in \mathcal{G}_{\alpha(1)}$ for each $i \in I$. Then $\bigvee_{i \in I}^c G_i$ belongs to $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha(1)}$.*

Proof. Put $\bigvee_{i \in I}^c G_i = H$. Let A be an order bounded disjoint subset of H . Thus there is $h \in H$ such that $0 \leq a \leq h$ is valid for each $a \in A$.

There exist $i(1), i(2), \dots, i(n)$ in I such that $h \in G_{i(1)} + G_{i(2)} + \dots + G_{i(n)}$. Thus there are $g_1 \in G_{i(1)}, \dots, g_n \in G_{i(n)}$ with $h = g_1 + g_2 + \dots + g_n$. Hence $h \leq |g_1| + |g_2| + \dots + |g_n|$.

Now let us apply Lemma 2.2, where the elements a_i from 2.2 are replaced by $|g_j|$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$), and let ψ_j have analogous meaning as in 2.2. For each $a \in A$ we have $a \leq |g_1| + \dots + |g_n|$. Put $\psi_j(a) = a_j$. Thus

$$(1) \quad a = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n, \quad 0 \leq a_j \leq |g_j| \in G_j \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Let $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ be fixed. Since A is disjoint, the set $\{a_j\}_{a \in A}$ is disjoint as well. Because G_j belongs to $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha(1)}$ we conclude that $\bigvee_{a \in A} a_j = b_j$ does exist in G_j .

Put $b = b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_n$. Then clearly $b \in H$ and $a \leq b$ for each $a \in A$. Let $x \in G$ be such that $a \leq x$ for each $a \in A$. Denote $x \wedge h = y$. Hence $a \leq y$ for each $a \in A$. We set $y_j = \psi_j(y)$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then $a_j \leq y_j$ for each $a \in A$ and hence $b_j \leq y_j$. Because of $y = y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n$ we obtain that $b \leq y$. Hence $b \leq x$. This shows that $b = \sup A$, completing the proof. \square

Now, Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3 yield

2.4. Theorem. $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha(1)}$ is a radical class.

A radical class which is closed with respect to homomorphic images is said to be a torsion class [15]. Now we shall deal with the question whether $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha(1)}$ is a torsion class.

Let M be an infinite set and let F be the set of all integer valued functions defined on M . The operation $+$ in F has the natural meaning and the partial order on F is defined componentwise. Then $F \in \mathcal{G}_{\alpha(1)}$.

Let H be the system of all $f \in F$ such that the set $\{x \in M : f(x) \neq 0\}$ is finite. Then H is an ℓ -ideal in F . Denote $G = F/H$.

Now let f_1 be the element of F with $f_1(x) = 1$ for each $x \in M$. The interval $B = [0, f_1]$ of F is a Boolean algebra. Put $\Delta = B \cap H$. Hence Δ is an ideal of the Boolean algebra B .

Consider the quotient Boolean algebra B/Δ . The following lemma is easy to verify.

2.5. Lemma. *Let $f, g \in B$. Then f and g belong to the same element of B/Δ if and only if they belong to the same element of F/H .*

As a consequence of 2.5 we obtain

2.6. Lemma. *For each element A of B/Δ let $\varphi(A) = a + H$, where $a \in A$. Then φ is an isomorphism of B/Δ onto the interval $[H, f_1 + H]$ of F/H .*

Now, Theorem 21.8 of [17] implies that the Boolean algebra B is not complete. Thus according to 20.1, [17] there exists a subset $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ of B/Δ such that (i) $A_i \neq \Delta$ for each $i \in I$, (ii) $A_{i(1)} \wedge A_{i(2)} = \Delta$ whenever $i(1)$ and $i(2)$ are distinct elements of I , and (iii) the join $\bigvee_{i \in I} A_i$ does not exist in B/Δ . Hence by applying the isomorphism φ we infer that $\{\varphi(A_i)\}_{i \in I}$ is a disjoint subset of $[H, f_1 + H]$ such that the join of this subset does not exist in the interval $[H, f_1 + H]$. But then the join of this subset does not exist in F/H and hence F/H fails to belong to the class $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha(1)}$. Therefore we have

2.7. Proposition. $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha(1)}$ fails to be a torsion class.

The condition $\alpha(1)$ can be weakened as follows:

($\alpha(1\sigma)$) (G is σ -laterally complete): each countable order bounded disjoint subset of G has a supremum.

By the same method as in the proof of 2.3 we obtain that Lemma 2.3 remains valid if $\alpha(1)$ is replaced by $\alpha(1\sigma)$. A similar situation occurs for Lemma 2.1. Therefore we can replace $\alpha(1)$ by $\alpha(1\sigma)$ in 2.4 as well.

Next, let us consider the condition ($\alpha(2)$). We can denote by 2.3' the assertion which we obtain from 2.3 if $\alpha(1)$ is replaced by $\alpha(2)$. To prove 2.3' we have to work (instead of A as in 2.3) with a disjoint sequence (f_m) in G^+ . We apply the same procedure as in the proof of 2.3 with the distinction that instead of (1) we write

$$(1') \quad f_m = a_{m1} + a_{m2} + \dots + a_{mn}$$

with the obvious further modifications of notation. It suffices to observe that whenever $f_n \rightarrow 0$ in order, then for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ the relation $a_{mj} \rightarrow 0$ in order is valid. Therefore we obtain

2.8. Theorem. $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha(2)}$ is a radical class.

For investigating the question whether $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha(1\sigma)}$ (or $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha(2)}$) is a torsion class the above consideration which was applied for $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha(1)}$ does not suffice.

2.9. Example. Let F and H be as above. There exists a system $\{M_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of infinite subsets of M such that $M_{n(1)} \cap M_{n(2)} = \emptyset$ whenever $n(1)$ and $n(2)$ are distinct positive integers. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $f_n \in F$ be such that $f_n(x) = 1$ whenever $x \in M_n$ and $f_n(x) = 0$ otherwise. Then $\{f_n + H\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a disjoint subset of F/H .

Next, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $g_n \in F$ be such that $g_n(x) = 1$ if $x \in \bigcup_{i \geq n} M_i$, and $g_n(x) = 0$ otherwise. Hence $g_n + H > g_{n+1} + H > H$ is valid in F/H for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, $\bigwedge_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (g_n + H) = H$. Also, $g_n + H > f_n + H$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $f_n + H \rightarrow H$ is order.

Let $f \in F$ such that $f_n + H \leq f + H$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Put $X_n = \{x \in M_n : f_n(x) \leq f(x)\}$. Hence the set X_n must be infinite. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we choose an element $x_n \in X_n$ and put $Y = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Let $f' \in F$ be such that $f'(x) = 0$ if $x \in Y$ and $f'(x) = f(x)$ otherwise. Then $f_n + H \leq f' + H$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $f' + H < f + H$. Hence the set $\{f_n + H\}$ does not possess a supremum in F/H .

This example implies that the following result is valid (in fact, it also gives an alternative proof of 2.7):

2.10. Proposition. Neither $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha(1\sigma)}$ nor $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha(2)}$ is a torsion class.

3. THE CONDITIONS $(\alpha(3))$ AND $(\alpha(4))$

Let us first consider the following condition which we obtain by modifying $(\alpha(3))$:

$(\alpha'(3))$ Whenever (f_n) and (g_n) are bounded sequences in G^+ with $f_n \leq g_m$ for all m, n and such that $\inf(g_n - f_n) = 0$, then there exists $h \in G$ such that $f_n \leq h \leq g_n$ for all n .

3.1. Lemma. The conditions $(\alpha(3))$ and $(\alpha'(3))$ are equivalent.

Proof. It is obvious that $(\alpha(3)) \Rightarrow (\alpha'(3))$. Assume that $(\alpha'(3))$ is valid and let (f_n) and (g_n) be as in $(\alpha(3))$. Denote

$$f'_n = (f_n \vee f_1) - f_1, \quad g'_n = (g_n \wedge g_1) - f_1$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $f'_n \leq g'_m$ for all m, n . Next we have

$$g'_n - f'_n \leq g_n - f_n \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

whence $\inf(g'_n - f'_n) = 0$. Thus there is $h' \in G$ such that $f'_n \leq h' \leq g'_n$ for all n . Put $h = h' + f_1$. Then $f_n \leq f_n \vee f_1 \leq h \leq g_n \wedge g_1 \leq g_n$ for each n . \square

3.2. Lemma. *Let G be abelian. Let us apply the same assumptions and notation as in 2.2. Let $x, y \in [0, a_1 + \dots + a_n]$, $x \geq y$. Then $x - y \geq \psi_i(x) - \psi_i(y)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.*

Proof. By induction on n . For $n = 1$ the assertion obviously holds. Let $n > 1$. Denote $a'_2(x) = -\psi_1(y) + y$. Next let $z = \psi_1(x) \vee y$. The intervals $[a \wedge y, y]$ and $[a \wedge x, z]$ are transposed, whence

$$(1) \quad -y + (a \wedge y) = -z + (a \wedge x).$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} a'_2(x) &= (x - z) + (z - \psi_1(x)) = (x - z) + a'_2(y), \\ a'_2(x) - a'_2(y) &= x - z \leq x - y. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by the induction hypothesis and by the definition of ψ_2, \dots, ψ_n we infer that $\psi_i(x) - \psi_i(y) \leq x - y$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$. Clearly $\psi_1(x) - \psi_1(y) \leq x - y$. \square

3.3. Lemma. *Let $\{G_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a nonempty subset of $c(G)$ such that $G_i \in \mathcal{G}_{\alpha'(3)}$ for each $i \in I$. Then $\bigvee_{i \in I}^c G_i$ belongs to $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha'(3)}$.*

Proof. Put $\bigvee_{i \in I}^c G_i = H$. Assume that (f_n) and (g_n) are bounded sequences in H^+ with $f_n \leq g_m$ for all n, m and such that $\inf(g_n - f_n) = 0$. Hence there is $h \in H^+$ such that $g_m \leq h$ for each m .

We proceed by applying an analogous argument as in the proof of 2.3. There exist indices $i(1), \dots, i(k)$ in I and elements $g_1 \in G_{i(1)}, \dots, g_k \in G_{i(k)}$ such that $h = g_1 + g_2 + \dots + g_k$. Hence

$$(1) \quad h \leq |g_1| + |g_2| + \dots + |g_k|.$$

Thus in view of 2.2 for each positive integer n there are elements $a_{nj} \in G_{i(j)}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, k$) with

$$(2) \quad f_n = a_{n1} + \dots + a_{nk};$$

similarly, for each positive integer m there are $b_{mj} \in G_{i(j)}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, k$) such that

$$(3) \quad g_m = b_{m1} + \dots + b_{mk},$$

and, moreover, $a_{nj} \leq b_{mj}$ for each $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and for each m, n .

Next, according to 3.2 the relation $g_{nj} - f_{nj} \leq g_n - f_n$ is valid for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ and each n . Hence $\inf(g_{nj} - f_{nj}) = 0$ holds for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Because of $G_{i(j)} \in \mathcal{G}_{\alpha'(3)}$ we infer that there is $h_j \in G_{i(j)}$ such that $f_{nj} \leq h_j \leq g_{nj}$ for all n . Denote $h_1 + \dots + h_k = h$. Then (2) and (3) yield that $f_n \leq h \leq g_n$ for all n . Therefore H belongs to $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha'(3)}$. \square

We denote by \mathcal{G}_a the class of all abelian lattice ordered groups. Then \mathcal{G}_a is a radical class. This can be easily proved directly, but it is also a particular case of a more general result proved in [7].

3.4. Theorem. $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha(3)} \cap \mathcal{G}_a$ is a radical class.

Proof. This is a consequence of 2.1, 3.1, 3.3 and of the above mentioned result concerning \mathcal{G}_a . \square

The method of proving the following result is analogous to that which was used in proving 3.4 (with the distinction that we need not apply 3.2); the detailed proof will be omitted.

3.5. Theorem. $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha(4)}$ is a radical class.

The question whether $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha(3)} \cap \mathcal{G}_a$ (or $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha(4)}$) is a torsion class remains open.

4. UNIFORM COMPLETENESS

First we recall the basic definitions concerning uniform completeness of Riesz spaces (cf., e.g., [14]).

Let L be a Riesz space.

4.1. Definition. Given an element $e \geq 0$ in L , we say that a sequence (f_n) in L converges e -uniformly to the element $f \in L$ whenever, for every real $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer $n_0(\varepsilon)$ such that $|f - f_n| \leq \varepsilon e$ holds for all $n \geq n_0(\varepsilon)$.

4.2. Definition. Let $e \in L$, $e \geq 0$. A sequence (f_n) in L is called an e -uniform Cauchy sequence whenever, for every real $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer $n_1(\varepsilon)$ such that $|f_m - f_n| \leq \varepsilon e$ holds for all $m, n \geq n_1(\varepsilon)$.

Again, let $e \in L$, $e \geq 0$. It is easy to verify that the condition expressed in 4.1 is equivalent to the following one (for a given sequence (f_n) in L and an element $f \in L$):

(i) For every positive integer k there exists a positive integer $n_0(k)$ such that $k|f - f_n| \leq e$ holds for all $n \geq n_0(k)$.

Analogously, the following condition is equivalent to that from 4.2:

(ii) For every positive integer k there exists a positive integer $n_1(k)$ such that $k|f_m - f_n| \leq e$ holds for $m, n \geq n_1(k)$.

Moreover, the conditions (i) and (ii) can be applied also in the case when L is a lattice ordered group. Thus if (i) holds, then we say that (f_n) converges e -uniformly to the element f . If (ii) is valid, then (f_n) is called an e -uniform Cauchy sequence.

Next, analogously to the definition 4.2.1 in [14] we introduce

4.3. Definition. A lattice ordered group G is said to be *uniformly complete* whenever, for every $e \in G^+$, each e -uniform Cauchy sequence has an e -uniform limit.

4.4. Lemma. Let H be a convex ℓ -subgroup of a lattice ordered group G . Let $0 \leq e \in H$, $f \in G$ and let (f_n) be a sequence in H . Suppose that (f_n) converges e -uniformly to the element f (in G). Then $f \in H$.

Proof. Under the notation as in (i) above let $n \geq n_0(1)$. Then $|f - f_n| \leq e$, hence $-e \leq f - f_n \leq e$. Since H is convex in G we infer that f belongs to H . \square

4.5. Corollary. The class $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha(5)}$ is closed with respect to convex ℓ -subgroups.

Let us consider the following condition for a lattice ordered group G :

(iii) Whenever $0 \leq e \in G$ and (g_n) is an e -uniform Cauchy sequence in G with $0 \leq g_n \leq 2e$ for all n , then there exists $g \in G$ such that (g_n) converges e -uniformly to the element g .

4.6. Lemma. Let G be a lattice ordered group satisfying the condition (iii). Then G is uniformly complete.

Proof. Let (f_n) be an e -uniform Cauchy sequence in G . Denote $n_1(1) = t$. Let $m \geq t$. Thus

$$-e \leq f_m - f_t \leq e.$$

Put $g_m = f_m - f_t + e$. Hence

$$0 \leq g_m \leq 2e.$$

Next, let j be a positive integer, $j \geq t$. Then

$$g_m - g_j = f_m - f_j.$$

Thus (g_n) is an e -uniform Cauchy sequence. Since G satisfies the condition (iii) there is $g \in G$ such that (g_n) converges e -uniformly to the element g . Put $f = g - e + f_t$. Then (f_n) converges e -uniformly to the element f . \square

Let us consider the following condition for a lattice ordered group G :

(A) If $H \in c(G)$, $0 \leq e \in G$ and if (f_n) is a sequence in H such that (f_n) is e -uniform Cauchy (in G), then there is $0 \leq e_1 \in H$ such that (f_n) is e_1 -uniform Cauchy in H .

It is easy to verify that if G fails to be archimedean, then it does not satisfy the condition (A). It is an open question whether each archimedean lattice ordered group must satisfy the condition (A).

4.7. Lemma. *Let G be an abelian lattice ordered group satisfying the condition (A). Let G_1 and G_2 be convex ℓ -subgroups of G such that $G = G_1 \vee G_2$. Assume that both G_1 and G_2 are uniformly complete. Then G is uniformly complete as well.*

Proof. In view of 4.6 it suffices to verify that G satisfies the condition (iii). Let e and (g_n) be as in (iii).

Since G is abelian we have $G = G_1 + G_2$. Hence there are $a_1 \in G_1^+$ and $a_2 \in G_2^+$ such that $2e = a_1 + a_2$. For each g_n let us denote

$$g_{n1} = g_n \wedge a_1, \quad g_{n2} = g_n - g_{n1}.$$

Then we have (cf. [6], p. 77, the property O)

$$|g_{m1} - g_{n1}| \leq |g_m - g_n|.$$

Then (g_{n1}) is a sequence in G_1 and in view of (A) there is $e_1 \in G_1^+$ such that (g_{n1}) is e_1 -uniformly Cauchy (in G_1). Hence there is $g^1 \in G_1$ such that (g_{n1}) converges e_1 -uniformly to the element g^1 .

Next, we have $g_{n2} \in [0, a_2]$ for each positive integer n (cf. the proof of 2.2), hence (g_{n2}) is a sequence in G_2 . Let m, n be positive integers. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |g_{m2} - g_{n2}| &= |(g_m - g_{m1}) - (g_n - g_{n1})| = |(g_m - g_n) + (g_{n1} - g_{m1})| \leq \\ &\leq |g_m - g_n| + |g_{m1} - g_{n1}| \leq 2|g_n - g_m|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (g_{n2}) is e -uniform Cauchy in G . In view of (A) and since G_2 is uniformly complete, there are $g^2 \in G_2$ and $e_2 \in G_2^+$ such that (g_{n2}) converges e_2 -uniformly to the element g^2 .

Put $g = g^1 + g^2$. The above results yield that (g_n) converges $(e_1 + e_2)$ -uniformly to the element g , completing the proof. \square

By obvious induction we can verify that 4.7 remains valid when the two-element system $\{G_1, G_2\}$ is replaced by a finite system $\{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n\} \in c(G)$ such that

$$\bigvee_{i=1,2,\dots,n}^c G_i = G \text{ and all } G_i \text{ are uniformly complete.}$$

4.8. Lemma. *Let G be an abelian lattice ordered group satisfying the condition (A). Let $G_i \in c(G)$, $i \in I$ such that $G = \bigvee^c G_i$ and all G_i are uniformly complete. Then G is uniformly complete.*

Proof. Again, according to 4.6 it suffices to show that G satisfies the condition (iii). Let e and (g_n) be as in (iii). There exist $i(1), i(2), \dots, i(n)$ in I such that $e \in H$, where $H = G_{i(1)} \vee \dots \vee G_{i(n)}$. Let t be as in the proof of 4.6. Then $f_n \in H$ for each $n \geq t$. Now we can apply to H the above mentioned generalization of Lemma 4.7. \square

From 4.8 we obtain

4.9. Theorem. *Let G be an abelian lattice ordered group satisfying the condition (A). Then the uniform complete kernel of G does exist.*

Let \mathcal{G}_A be the class of all abelian lattice ordered groups which satisfy the condition (A).

4.10. Lemma. *\mathcal{G}_A is a radical class.*

Proof. It is easy to verify that \mathcal{G}_A is closed with respect to convex ℓ -subgroups. Let G be an abelian lattice ordered group and let G_i ($i = 1, 2$) be elements of $c(G)$ satisfying the condition (A). By a similar consideration as in the proofs of 4.6 and 4.7 we can show that $G_1 \vee G_2$ belongs to \mathcal{G}_A ; the details will be omitted. Hence by applying obvious induction and by the same method as in 4.8 we obtain that \mathcal{G}_A is closed with respect to joins of convex ℓ -subgroups. Therefore \mathcal{G}_A is a radical class. \square

4.11. Theorem. *$\mathcal{G}_{\alpha(5)} \cap \mathcal{G}_A$ is a radical class.*

Proof. This is a consequence of 4.5, 4.9 and 4.10. \square

The question whether $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha(5)}$ is a radical class remains open.

5. THE CONDITION $\alpha(6)$

Let us recall the following notions and notation. Let G be a lattice ordered group. If $X \subseteq G$, then we set

$$X^\perp = \{g \in G : |g| \wedge |x| = 0 \text{ for each } x \in X\};$$

X^\perp is said to be a polar of G . If $\text{card } X = 1$, then $X^{\perp\perp}$ is a *principal* polar.

G is called projectable if each its principal polar is a direct factor, i.e. if $G = X^\perp \times X^{\perp\perp}$ whenever $\text{card } X = 1$.

If we have a direct product decomposition $G = A \times B$ and $g \in G$, then the component of the element g in the direct factor A will be denoted by $g(A)$.

5.1. Lemma. *The class $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha(6)}$ is closed with respect to convex ℓ -subgroups.*

Proof. Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\alpha(6)}$ and $H \in c(G)$. Assume that $\{f_\lambda\}$ is a disjoint subset of H which is order bounded in H . Thus there is $h \in H$ such that h is an upper bound of $\{f_\lambda\}$. Since $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\alpha(6)}$ there exists $g \in G^+$ such that $g - f_\lambda \perp f_\lambda$ for all λ . Put $g' = g \wedge h$. Then $g' \in H$ and $g' - f_\lambda \perp f_\lambda$ for all λ . Therefore $H \in \mathcal{G}_{\alpha(6)}$. \square

5.2. Lemma. *Let G be abelian and projectable, $G_i \in c(G)$ ($i = 1, 2$), $a_1 \in G_1^+$, $a_2 \in G_2^+$. Then there are $a'_1 \in G_2^+$ such that $a'_1 \perp a'_2$ and $a_1 + a_2 \leq a'_1 + a'_2$.*

Proof. Put $(a_1 - a_2)^+ = c_1$, $(a_1 - a_2)^- = c_2$ and denote

$$A = \{c_1\}^{\perp\perp}, \quad B = \{c_2\}^{\perp\perp}, \quad C = \{c_1 \vee c_2\}^{\perp}.$$

The projectability of G yields that

$$G = A \times B \times C.$$

We set $a'_1 = 2a_1(A) + 2a_1(C)$ and $a'_2 = 2a_2(B)$. Then $a_1(A)$ and $a_1(C)$ belong to the interval $[0, a_1]$, whence $a'_1 \in G_1$. Similarly, $a'_2 \in [0, a_2] \subseteq G_2$ and thus $a'_2 \in C$.

In virtue of the definitions of A, B and C the relations

$$a_1(A) \geq a_2(A), \quad a_1(B) \leq a_2(B), \quad a_1(C) = a_2(C)$$

are valid. Since $a_1 = a_1(A) + a_1(B) + a_1(C)$ and similarly for a_2 , we infer that $a_1 + a_2 \leq a'_2 + a'_2$. \square

5.3. Lemma. *Assume that G is abelian and projectable. Let $G_i \in c(G) \cap \mathcal{G}_{\alpha(6)}$ ($i = 1, 2$). Then $G_1 \vee G_2 \in \mathcal{G}_{\alpha(6)}$.*

Proof. Put $H = G_1 \vee G_2$; thus $H = G_1 + G_2$. Let $h \in H$ and let $\{f_\lambda\}$ be a disjoint subset of H such that $f_\lambda \leq h$ for each λ . Then $h \in H^+$. \square

There exist $a_i \in G_i^+$ ($i = 1, 2$) such that $h = a_1 + a_2$. Let a'_1 and a'_2 be as in 5.2. For each f_λ there exist elements $f_{\lambda 1}$ and $f_{\lambda 2}$ in G^+ such that

$$f_\lambda = f_{\lambda 1} + f_{\lambda 2}, \quad f_{\lambda 1} \leq a'_1, \quad f_{\lambda 2} \leq a'_2.$$

Then $f_{\lambda 1} \perp f_{\lambda 2}$ for each λ . Next, the system $\{f_{\lambda 1}\}$ is disjoint. Since G_1 satisfies the condition $\alpha(6)$ there is $g_1 \in G_1$ such that $g_1 - f_{\lambda 1} \perp f_{\lambda 1}$ for each λ . Analogously, there is $g_2 \in G_2$ such that $g_2 - f_{\lambda 2} \perp f_{\lambda 2}$ for each λ . Let A, B and C be as in the proof of 5.2.

Denote $g'_1 = g_1(A + C)$, $g'_2 = g_2(B)$. Since $f_{\lambda 1} \in A + C$ and $g_1 \geq f_{\lambda 1}$, we obtain that $g_1(A + C) \geq f_{\lambda 1}(A + C) = f_{\lambda 1}$, thus $g'_1 - f_{\lambda 1} \geq 0$. Next, since $g'_1 \leq g_1$ we get $g'_1 - f_{\lambda 1} \perp f_{\lambda 1}$ for each λ . Similarly, $g'_2 - f_{\lambda 2} \perp f_{\lambda 2}$ for each λ . Moreover, $g'_1 \perp g'_2$. Therefore $0 \leq f_{\lambda 1} + f_{\lambda 2} \leq g'_1 + g'_2$ and the element $g = g'_1 + g'_2$ satisfies the relations

$$\begin{aligned} g - f_\lambda &= (g'_1 + g'_2) - (f_{\lambda 1} + f_{\lambda 2}) = (g'_1 - f_{\lambda 1}) + (g'_2 - f_{\lambda 2}) = \\ &= (g'_1 - f_{\lambda 1}) \vee (g'_2 - f_{\lambda 2}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(g - f_\lambda) \wedge f_\lambda &= ((g'_1 - f_{\lambda_1}) \vee (g'_2 - f_{\lambda_2})) \wedge (f_{\lambda_1} \vee f_{\lambda_2}) = \\ &= ((g'_1 - f_{\lambda_1}) \wedge f_{\lambda_1}) \vee ((g'_2 - f_{\lambda_2}) \wedge f_{\lambda_2}) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $H \in \mathcal{G}_{\lambda(6)}$.

By obvious induction we can generalize the assertion of 5.3 to the case of n convex ℓ -subgroups of G . Next by the same method as in the proof of 4.8 we conclude that the following result is valid:

5.4. Lemma. *Assume that G is abelian and projectable. Let $G_i \in c(G) \cap \mathcal{G}_{\alpha(6)}$ ($i \in I$). Then $\bigvee_{i \in I}^c G_i \in \mathcal{G}_{\alpha(6)}$.*

Let \mathcal{G}_a and \mathcal{G}_p be the class of all abelian or all projectable lattice ordered groups, respectively. It has been already remarked above that \mathcal{G}_a is a radical class. Next, \mathcal{G}_p is a radical class (cf. [9]). Therefore in virtue of 5.1 and 5.4 we arrive at the following result:

5.5. Theorem. *$\mathcal{G}_a \cap \mathcal{G}_p \cap \mathcal{G}_{\alpha(6)}$ is a radical class.*

Some open questions have been already proposed above. Let us add the following ones:

- Are $\mathcal{G}_a \cap \mathcal{G}_{\alpha(6)}$ or $\mathcal{G}_p \cap \mathcal{G}_{\alpha(6)}$ radical classes?
- Is $\mathcal{G}_a \cap \mathcal{G}_p \cap \mathcal{G}_{\alpha(6)}$ a torsion class?

References

- [1] *A. Bigard, K. Keimel, S. Wolfenstein*: Groupes et anneaux réticulés, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 608. Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [2] *G. J. M. H. Buskes*: Disjoint sequences and completeness properties. Indag. Math (Proc. Netherl. Acad. Sci. A 88) 47 (1985), 11–19.
- [3] *P. Conrad*: Lattice ordered groups. Tulane University, 1970.
- [4] *P. Conrad*: K -radical classes of lattice ordered groups. Algebra, Proc. Conf. Carbondale (1980), Lecture Notes in Mathematics 848. 1981, pp. 186–207.
- [5] *M. Darnel*: Closure operations on radicals of lattice ordered groups. Czechoslov. Math. J. 37 (1987), 51–64.
- [6] *L. Fuchs*: Partially ordered algebraic systems. Oxford, 1963.
- [7] *W. C. Holland*: Varieties of ℓ -groups are torsion classes. Czechoslov. Math. J. 29 (1979), 11–12.
- [8] *J. Jakubík*: Radical mappings and radical classes of lattice ordered groups. Symposia Math. 31. Academic Press, New York-London, 1977, pp. 451–477.
- [9] *J. Jakubík*: Projectable kernel of a lattice ordered group. Universal algebra and applications. Banach Center Publ. Vol. 9, 1982, pp. 105–112.
- [10] *J. Jakubík*: Kernels of lattice ordered groups defined by properties of sequences. Časopis pěst. matem. 109 (1984), 290–298.
- [11] *J. Jakubík*: On some types of kernels of a convergence ℓ -group. Czechoslov. Math. J. 39 (1989), 239–247.

- [12] *J. Jakubík*: Closure operators on the lattice of radical classes of lattice ordered groups. Czechoslov. Math. J. 38 (1988), 71–77.
- [13] *J. Jakubík*: On a radical class of lattice ordered groups. Czechoslov. Math. J. 39 (1989), 641–643.
- [14] *W. A. J. Luxemburg, A. C. Zaanen*: Riesz spaces, Volume I. Amsterdam-London, 1971.
- [15] *J. Martínez*: Torsion theory for lattice groups. Czechoslov. Math. J. 25 (1975), 284–292; 26 (1976), 93–100.
- [16] *N. Ja. Medvedev*: On the lattice of radicals of a finitely generated ℓ -group. Math. Slovaca 33 (1983), 185–188. (In Russian.)
- [17] *R. Sikorski*: Boolean algebras, Second edition. Berlin, 1964.

Author's address: Matematický ústav SAV, dislokované pracovisko, Grešákova 6, 040 01 Košice, Slovakia.