ON SOME GENERALIZED DIFFERENCE SEQUENCE SPACES DEFINED BY A MODULUS FUNCTION

MIKÂIL ET, YAVUZ ALTIN AND HIFSI ALTINOK

ABSTRACT. The idea of difference sequence spaces was introduced by Kızmaz [9] and generalized by Et and Çolak [6]. In this paper we introduce the sequence spaces $[V, \lambda, f, p]_0 (\Delta^r, E)$, $[V, \lambda, f, p]_1 (\Delta^r, E)$, $[V, \lambda, f, p]_\infty (\Delta^r, E)$, $S_\lambda (\Delta^r, E)$ and $S_{\lambda_0} (\Delta^r, E)$, where E is any Banach space, examine them and give various properties and inclusion relations on these spaces. We also show that the space $S_\lambda (\Delta^r, E)$ may be represented as a $[V, \lambda, f, p]_1 (\Delta^r, E)$ space.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let w be the set of all sequences real or complex numbers and ℓ_{∞} , c and c_0 be respectively the Banach spaces of bounded, convergent and null sequences $x = (x_k)$ with the usual norm $||x|| = \sup |x_k|$, where $k \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, ...\}$, the set of positive integers.

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_n)$ be a non-decreasing sequence of positive numbers tending to ∞ such that $\lambda_{n+1} \leq \lambda_n + 1$, $\lambda_1 = 1$.

The generalized de la Vallée-Poussin mean is defined by

$$t_n\left(x\right) = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} x_k$$

where $I_n = [n - \lambda_n + 1, n]$ for n = 1, 2,

A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be (V, λ) –summable to a number L [11] if $t_n(x) \to L$ as $n \to \infty$.

If $\lambda_n = n$, then (V, λ) –summability and strongly (V, λ) –summability are reduced to (C, 1) –summability and [C, 1] –summability, respectively.

The idea of difference sequence spaces was introduced by Kızmaz [9]. In 1981, Kızmaz [9] defined the sequence spaces

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. 40A05, 40C05, 46A45.

Key words and phrases. Difference sequence, statistical convergence, modulus function.

$$X\left(\Delta\right) = \{x = (x_k) : \Delta x \in X\}$$

for $X = \ell_{\infty}$, c and c_0 , where $\Delta x = (x_k - x_{k+1})$. Then Et and Çolak [6] generalized the above sequence spaces to the sequence spaces

$$X\left(\Delta^{r}\right) = \left\{x = (x_{k}) : \Delta^{r} x \in X\right\}$$

for $X = \ell_{\infty}$, c and c_0 , where $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Delta^0 x = (x_k)$, $\Delta x = (x_k - x_{k+1})$, $\Delta^r x = (\Delta^r x_k - \Delta^r x_{k+1})$, and so $\Delta^r x_k = \sum_{v=0}^r (-1)^v {r \choose v} x_{k+v}$.

Later on difference sequence spaces were studied by Malkowsky and Parashar [15], Et and Başarır [4], Et and Bektas [5].

We recall that a modulus f is a function from $[0,\infty)$ to $[0,\infty)$ such that i) f(x) = 0 if and only if x = 0,

ii)
$$f(x+y) \le f(x) + f(y)$$
 for $x, y \ge 0$,

iii) f is increasing,

iv) f is continuous from the right at 0.

It follows that f must be continuous everwhere on $[0, \infty)$. A modulus may be unbounded or bounded. Ruckle [17] and Maddox [14], used a modulus fto construct some sequence spaces.

Subsequently modulus function has been discussed in [1], [16], [19] and many others.

Let $X, Y \subset w$. Then we shall write

$$M(X,Y) = \bigcap_{x \in X} x^{-1} * Y = \{a \in w : ax \in Y \text{ for all } x \in X\} [20].$$

The set $X^{\alpha} = M(X, \ell_1)$ is called Köthe-Toeplitz dual space or α -dual of X.

Let X be a sequence space. Then X is called

i) Solid (or normal), if $(\alpha_k x_k) \in X$ for all sequences (α_k) of scalars with $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, whenever $(x_k) \in X$.

ii) Symmetric, if $(x_k) \in X$ implies $(x_{\pi(k)}) \in X$, where $\pi(k)$ is a permutation of \mathbb{N} .

iii) Perfect if $X = X^{\alpha\alpha}$.

iv) Sequence algebra if $x.y \in X$, whenever $x, y \in X$.

It is well known that if X is perfect then X is normal [8].

The following inequality will be used throughout this paper.

(1)
$$|a_k + b_k|^{p_k} \le C\{|a_k|^{p_k} + |b_k|^{p_k}\},\$$

where $a_k, b_k \in \mathbb{C}, \ 0 < p_k \le \sup_k p_k = H, \ C = \max(1, 2^{H-1})$ [13].

2. MAIN RESULTS

In this section we prove some results involving the sequence spaces

$$[V, \lambda, f, p]_0(\Delta^r, E), [V, \lambda, f, p]_1(\Delta^r, E) \text{ and } [V, \lambda, f, p]_{\infty}(\Delta^r, E).$$

Definition 2.1. Let E be a Banach space. We define w(E) to be the vector space of all E-valued sequences that is $w(E) = \{x = (x_k) : x_k \in E\}$. Let f be a modulus function and $p = (p_k)$ be any sequence of strictly positive real numbers. We define the following sequence sets

$$\begin{bmatrix} V, \lambda, f, p \end{bmatrix}_1 (\Delta^r, E) = \left\{ x \in w(E) : \lim_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[f \left(\| \Delta^r x_k - L \| \right) \right]^{p_k} = 0, \text{ for some } L \right\},$$

$$[V,\lambda,f,p]_0(\Delta^r,E) = \left\{ x \in w(E) : \lim_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} [f(\|\Delta^r x_k\|)]^{p_k} = 0 \right\},$$

$$[V,\lambda,f,p]_\infty(\Delta^r,E) = \left\{ x \in w(E) : \sup_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} [f(\|\Delta^r x_k\|)]^{p_k} < \infty \right\}.$$

If $x \in [V, \lambda, f, p]_1(\Delta^r, E)$ then we will write $x_k \to L[V, \lambda, f, p]_1(\Delta^r, E)$ and L will be called λ_E – difference limit of x with respect to the modulus f.

Throughout the paper Z will denote any one of the notation 0, 1, or ∞ .

In the case f(x) = x, $p_k = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p_k = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we shall write $[V, \lambda]_Z(\Delta^r, E)$ and $[V, \lambda, f]_Z(\Delta^r, E)$ instead of $[V, \lambda, f, p]_Z(\Delta^r, E)$, respectively.

Theorem 2.2. Let the sequence (p_k) be bounded. Then the sequence spaces $[V, \lambda, f, p]_Z(\Delta^r, E)$ are linear spaces.

Proof. We shall prove it for $[V, \lambda, f, p]_0(\Delta^r, E)$. The others can be proved by the same way. Let $x, y \in [V, \lambda, f, p]_0(\Delta^r, E)$ and $\beta, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$. Then there exist positive numbers M_β and N_μ such that $|\beta| \leq M_\beta$ and $|\mu| \leq N_\mu$. Since f is subadditive and Δ^r is linear

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}} \sum_{k \in I_{n}} \left[f\left(\|\Delta^{r}(\beta x_{k} + \mu y_{k})\| \right) \right]^{p_{k}} \\
\leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{n}} \sum_{k \in I_{n}} \left[f\left(|\beta| \|\Delta^{r} x_{k}\| \right) + f\left(|\mu| \|\Delta^{r} y_{k}\| \right) \right]^{p_{k}} \\
\leq C\left(M_{\beta} \right)^{H} \frac{1}{\lambda_{n}} \sum_{k \in I_{n}} \left[f\left(\|\Delta^{r} x_{k}\| \right) \right]^{p_{k}} + C\left(N_{\mu} \right)^{H} \frac{1}{\lambda_{n}} \sum_{k \in I_{n}} \left[f\left\| \Delta^{r} y_{k}\| \right]^{p_{k}} \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$. This proves that $[V, \lambda, f, p]_0(\Delta^r, E)$ is a linear space.

Theorem 2.3. Let f be a modulus function, then

$$[V,\lambda,f,p]_0\left(\Delta^r,E\right) \subset [V,\lambda,f,p]_1\left(\Delta^r,E\right) \subset [V,\lambda,f,p]_\infty\left(\Delta^r,E\right).$$

Proof. The first inclusion is obvious. We establish the second inclusion. Let $x \in [V, \lambda, f, p]_1(\Delta^r, E)$. By definition of f we have

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[f\left(\|\Delta^r x_k\| \right) \right]^{p_k} = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[f\left(\|\Delta^r x_k - L + L\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ \le C \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[f\left(\|\Delta^r x_k - L\| \right) \right]^{p_k} + C \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[f\left(\|L\| \right) \right]^{p_k}$$

There exists a positive integer K_L such that $||L|| \leq K_L$. Hence we have

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[f\left(\left\| \Delta^r x_k \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \le \frac{C}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[f\left(\left\| \Delta^r x_k - L \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} + \frac{C}{\lambda_n} \left[K_L f(1) \right]^H \lambda_n.$$

Since $x \in [V, \lambda, f, p]_1(\Delta^r, E)$ we have $x \in [V, \lambda, f, p]_{\infty}(\Delta^r, E)$ and this completes the proof.

Theorem 2.4. $[V, \lambda, f, p]_0(\Delta^r, E)$ is a paranormed (need not total paranorm) space with

$$g_{\Delta}\left(x\right) = \sup_{n} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}} \sum_{k \in I_{n}} \left[f\left(\left\|\Delta^{r} x_{k}\right\|\right)\right]^{p_{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}}$$

where $M = \max(1, \sup p_k)$.

Proof. From Theorem 2.3, for each $x \in [V, \lambda, f, p]_0(\Delta^r, E)$, $g_{\Delta}(x)$ exists. Clearly $g_{\Delta}(x) = g_{\Delta}(-x)$. It is trivial that $\Delta^r x_k = 0$ for x = 0. Since f(0) = 0, we get $g_{\Delta}(x) = 0$ for x = 0. Since $p_k/M \leq 1$ and $M \geq 1$, using the Minkowski's inequality and definition of f, for each n, we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n}\sum_{k\in I_n} \left[f\left(\|\Delta^r x_k + \Delta^r y_k\|\right)\right]^{p_k}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}}$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n}\sum_{k\in I_n} \left[f\left(\|\Delta^r x_k\|\right) + f\left(\|\Delta^r y_k\|\right)\right]^{p_k}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}}$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n}\sum_{k\in I_n} \left[f(\|\Delta^r x_k\|)\right]^{p_k}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}} + \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n}\sum_{k\in I_n} \left[f\left(\|\Delta^r y_k\|\right)\right]^{p_k}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}}$$

Hence $g_{\Delta}(x)$ is subadditive. Finally, to check the continuity of multiplication, let us take any complex number β . By definition of f we have

$$g_{\Delta}\left(\beta x\right) = \sup_{n} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}} \sum_{k \in I_{n}} \left[f\left(\left\|\Delta^{r}(\beta x_{k}\right\|)\right)\right]^{p_{k}}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}} \leq K_{\beta}^{\frac{H}{M}} g_{\Delta}(x)$$

where K_{β} is a positive integer such that $|\beta| < K_{\beta}$. Now, let $\beta \to 0$ for any fixed x with $g_{\Delta}(x) \neq 0$. By definition of f for $|\beta| < 1$, we have

(2)
$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[f\left(\|\beta \Delta^r x_k\| \right) \right]^{p_k} < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } n > n_0(\varepsilon).$$

Also, for $1 \le n \le n_0$, taking β small enough, since f is continuous we have

(3)
$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[f\left(\|\beta \Delta^r x_k\| \right) \right]^{p_k} < \varepsilon.$$

(2) and (3) together imply that $g_{\Delta}(\beta x) \to 0$ as $\beta \to 0$.

Theorem 2.5. If $r \ge 1$, then the inclusion

$$[V,\lambda,f]_Z\left(\Delta^{r-1},E\right) \subset [V,\lambda,f]_Z\left(\Delta^r,E\right)$$

is strict. In general $[V, \lambda, f]_Z(\Delta^i, E) \subset [V, \lambda, f]_Z(\Delta^r, E)$ for all i = 1, 2, ..., r-1 and the inclusion is strict.

Proof. We give the proof for $Z = \infty$ only. It can be proved in a similar way for Z = 0 and Z = 1. Let $x \in [V, \lambda, f]_{\infty} (\Delta^{r-1}, E)$. Then we have

$$\sup_{n} \frac{1}{\lambda_{n}} \sum_{k \in I_{n}} \left[f\left(\left\| \Delta^{r-1} x_{k} \right\| \right) \right] < \infty$$

By definition of f, we have

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[f\left(\|\Delta^r x_k\| \right) \right] \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[f\left(\|\Delta^{r-1} x_k\| \right) \right] + \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[f\left(\|\Delta^{r-1} x_{k+1}\| \right) \right] < \infty$$

Thus $[V, \lambda, f]_{\infty} (\Delta^{r-1}, E) \subset [\Delta^r, \lambda, f]_{\infty} (\Delta^r, E)$. Proceeding in this way one will have $[V, \lambda, f]_{\infty} (\Delta^i, E) \subset [V, \lambda, f]_{\infty} (\Delta^r, E)$ for i = 1, 2, ..., r-1. Let $E = \mathbb{C}$, and $\lambda_n = n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the sequence $x = (k^r)$, for example, belongs to $[V, \lambda, f]_{\infty} (\Delta^r, E)$, but does not belong to $[V, \lambda, f]_{\infty} (\Delta^{r-1}, E)$ for f(x) = x. (If $x = (k^r)$, then $\Delta^r x_k = (-1)^r r!$ and $\Delta^{r-1} x_k = (-1)^{r+1} r! (k + \frac{(r-1)}{2})$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$).

The proof of the following result is a routine work.

Proposition 2.6. $[V, \lambda, f, p]_1 (\Delta^{r-1}, E) \subset [V, \lambda, f, p]_0 (\Delta^r, E)$.

Theorem 2.7. Let
$$f$$
, f_1 , f_2 be modulus functions. Then we have
 $i) [V, \lambda, f_1, p]_Z (\Delta^r, E) \subset [V, \lambda, f \circ f_1, p]_Z (\Delta^r, E),$
 $ii) [V, \lambda, f_1, p]_Z (\Delta^r, E) \cap [V, \lambda, f_2, p]_Z (\Delta^r, E) \subset [V, \lambda, f_1 + f_2, p]_Z (\Delta^r, E)$

Proof. i) We shall only prove (i). Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose δ with $0 < \delta < 1$ such that $f(t) < \varepsilon$ for $0 \le t \le \delta$. Write $y_k = f_1(\|\Delta^r x_k\|)$ and consider

$$\sum_{k \in I_n} [f(y_k)]^{p_k} = \sum_1 [f(y_k)]^{p_k} + \sum_2 [f(y_k)]^{p_k}$$

where the first summation is over $y_k \leq \delta$ and second summation is over $y_k > \delta$. Since f is continuous, we have

(4)
$$\sum_{1} \left[f(y_k) \right]^{p_k} < \lambda_n \varepsilon^H$$

and for $y_k > \delta$, we use the fact that

$$y_k < \frac{y_k}{\delta} \le 1 + \frac{y_k}{\delta}.$$

By the definition of f we have for $y_k > \delta$,

$$f(y_k) < 2f(1)\frac{y_k}{\delta}$$

Hence

(5)
$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{2} \left[f(y_k) \right]^{p_k} \le \max\left(1, \left(2f(1)\delta^{-1} \right)^H \right) \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} y_k.$$

From (4) and (5), we obtain $[V, \lambda, f, p]_0(\Delta^r) \subset [V, \lambda, f \circ f_1, p]_0(\Delta^r)$.

The proof of (ii) follows from the following inequality

$$\left[(f_1 + f_2) \left(\|\Delta^r x_k\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \le C \left[f_1 \left(\|\Delta^r x_k\| \right) \right]^{p_k} + C \left[f_2 \left(\|\Delta^r x_k\| \right) \right]^{p_k}.$$

The following result is a consequence of Theorem 2.7 (i).

Proposition 2.8. Let f be a modulus function. Then $[V, \lambda, p]_Z(\Delta^r, E) \subset [V, \lambda, f, p]_Z(\Delta^r, E)$.

3. Statistical Convergence

The notion of statistical convergence was introduced by Fast [3] and studied by various authors ([2],[7],[10],[12],[16],[18]).

In this section we give some inclusion relations between $S_{\lambda}(\Delta^r, E)$ and $[V, \lambda, f, p]_1(\Delta^r, E)$.

Definition 3.1. A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be λ_E^r – statistically convergent to the number L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} |\{k \in I_n : ||\Delta^r x_k - L|| \ge \varepsilon\}| = 0.$$

In this case we write $S_{\lambda}(\Delta^r, E) - \lim x = L$ or $x_k \to LS_{\lambda}(\Delta^r, E)$.

In the case $\lambda_n = n$ and L = 0 we shall write $S(\Delta^r, E)$ and $S_{\lambda_0}(\Delta^r, E)$ instead of $S_{\lambda}(\Delta^r, E)$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_n)$ be the same as in Section 1, then i) If $x_k \to L[V,\lambda]_1(\Delta^r, E)$ then $x_k \to LS_\lambda(\Delta^r, E)$, ii) If $x \in \ell_\infty(\Delta^r, E)$ and $x_k \to LS_\lambda(\Delta^r, E)$, then $x_k \to L[V,\lambda]_1(\Delta^r, E)$, iii) $S_\lambda(\Delta^r, E) \cap \ell_\infty(\Delta^r, E) = [V,\lambda]_1(\Delta^r, E) \cap \ell_\infty(\Delta^r, E)$. where $\ell_\infty(\Delta^r, E) = \{x \in w(E) : \sup_k \|\Delta^r x_k\| < \infty\}$.

Proof. i) Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x_k \to L[V, \lambda]_1(\Delta^r, E)$. Then we have

$$\sum_{k \in I_n} \left\| \Delta^r x_k - L \right\| \ge \varepsilon \left| \left\{ k \in I_n : \left\| \Delta^r x_k - L \right\| \ge \varepsilon \right\} \right|.$$

Hence $x_k \to LS_\lambda(\Delta^r, E)$.

In fact the set $[V, \lambda]_1(\Delta^r, E)$ is a proper subset of $S_\lambda(\Delta^r, E)$. To show this, let $E = \mathbb{C}$ and define $x = (x_k)$ such that

$$\Delta^r x_k = \begin{cases} k, & \text{for } n - [\sqrt{n}] + 1 \le k \le n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $x \notin \ell_{\infty}(\Delta^r, E)$, $x_k \to 0S_{\lambda}(\Delta^r, E)$, and $x \notin [V, \lambda]_1(\Delta^r, E)$.

ii) Suppose that $x_k \to LS_{\lambda}(\Delta^r, E)$ and $x \in \ell_{\infty}(\Delta^r, E)$, say $\|\Delta^r x_k - L\| \le M$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \|\Delta^r x_k - L\| = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_n \\ \|\Delta^r x_k - L\| \ge \varepsilon}} \|\Delta^r x_k - L\| + \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_n \\ \|\Delta^r x_k - L\| < \varepsilon}} \|\Delta^r x_k - L\| \\ \leq \frac{M}{\lambda_n} \{k \in I_n : \|\Delta^r x_k - L\| \ge \varepsilon\} + \varepsilon$$

Hence x is λ_E^r – statistically convergent to the number L.

iii) This immediately follows from (i) and (ii).

Theorem 3.3. If $\liminf \frac{\lambda_n}{n} > 0$, then $S(\Delta^r, E) \subseteq S_{\lambda}(\Delta^r, E)$.

Proof. For given $\varepsilon > 0$, we get

$$\{k \le n : \|\Delta^r x_k - L\| \ge \varepsilon\} \supset \{k \in I_n : \|\Delta^r x_k - L\| \ge \varepsilon\}.$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{n} \left| \{ k \le n : \|\Delta^r x_k - L\| \ge \varepsilon \} \right| \ge \frac{1}{n} \left| \{ k \le n : \|\Delta^r x_k - L\| \ge \varepsilon \} \right| \\ \ge \frac{\lambda_n}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \left| \{ k \in I_n : \|\Delta^r x_k - L\| \ge \varepsilon \} \right|.$$

Therefore $x \in S_{\lambda}(\Delta^r, E)$.

Theorem 3.4. Let f be a modulus function and $\sup_k p_k = H$. Then $[V, \lambda, f, p]_1(\Delta^r, E) \subset S_{\lambda}(\Delta^r, E)$.

Proof. Let $x \in [V, \lambda, f, p]_1(\Delta^r, E)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Let Σ_1 denote the sum over $k \leq n$ such that $\|\Delta^r x_k - L\| \geq \varepsilon$ and Σ_2 denote the sum over $k \leq n$ such that $\|\Delta^r x_k - L\| \geq \varepsilon$. Then

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[f\left(\|\Delta^r x_k - L\| \right) \right]^{p_k} = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{1} \left[f\left(\|\Delta^r x_k - L\| \right) \right]^{p_k} + \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{2} \left[f\left(\|\Delta^r x_k - L\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \\
\geq \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{1} \left[f\left(\|\Delta^r x_k - L\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{1} \left[f\left(\varepsilon \right) \right]^{p_k} \\
\geq \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{1} \min\left(\left[f\left(\varepsilon \right) \right]^{\inf p_k}, \left[f\left(\varepsilon \right) \right]^{H} \right) \\
\geq \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \left| \{k \in I_n : \|\Delta^r x_k - L\| \geq \varepsilon \} \right| \min\left(\left[f\left(\varepsilon \right) \right]^{\inf p_k}, \left[f\left(\varepsilon \right) \right]^{H} \right).$$

Hence $x \in S_{\lambda}(\Delta^r, E)$.

Theorem 3.5. Let f be bounded and $0 < h = \inf_k p_k \le p_k \le \sup_k p_k =$ $H < \infty$. Then $S_{\lambda}(\Delta^r, E) \subset [V, \lambda, f, p]_1(\Delta^r, E)$.

Proof. Suppose that f is bounded. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given and Σ_1 and Σ_2 be in previous theorem. Since f is bounded there exists an integer K such that f(x) < K, for all $x \ge 0$. Then

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[f\left(||\Delta^r x_k - L| \right) \right]^{p_k} = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{1} \left[f\left(||\Delta^r x_k - L|| \right) \right]^{p_k} + \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{2} \left[f\left(||\Delta^r x_k - L|| \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{1} \max\left(K^h, K^H \right) + \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{2} \left[f\left(\varepsilon \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ \leq \max\left(K^h, K^H \right) \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \left| \{ k \in I_n : ||\Delta^r x_k - L|| \ge \varepsilon \} \right| \\ + \max\left(f\left(\varepsilon \right)^h, \ f\left(\varepsilon \right)^H \right). \quad \Box$$

Hence $x \in [V, \lambda, f, p]_1(\Delta^r, E)$.

Theorem 3.6. $S_{\lambda}(\Delta^r, E) = [V, \lambda, f, p]_1(\Delta^r, E)$ if and only if f is bounded. *Proof.* Let f be bounded. By Theorems 3.4 and 3.5 we have $S_{\lambda}(\Delta^r, E) =$ $[V, \lambda, f, p]_1 (\Delta^r, E)$.

Conversely suppose that f is unbounded. Then there exists a sequence (t_k) of positive numbers with $f(t_k) = k^2$, for k = 1, 2, If we choose

$$\Delta^r x_i = \begin{cases} t_k, & i = k^2, i = 1, 2, \dots \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

then we have

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \left| \{ k \in I_n : |\Delta^r x_k| \ge \varepsilon \} \right| \le \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{n-1}}}{\lambda_n}$$

for all n and so $x \in S_{\lambda}(\Delta^r, E)$, but $x \notin [V, \lambda, f, p]_1(\Delta^r, E)$ for $E = \mathbb{C}$. This contradicts to $S_{\lambda}(\Delta^r, E) = [V, \lambda, f, p] (\Delta^r, E)$.

Theorem 3.7. The sequence spaces $[V, \lambda, f, p]_0(\Delta^r, E), [V, \lambda, f, p]_1(\Delta^r, E),$ $[V, \lambda, f, p]_{\infty}(\Delta^r, E), S_{\lambda}(\Delta^r, E) \text{ and } S_{\lambda_0}(\Delta^r, E) \text{ are not solid for } r \geq 1.$

Proof. Let $E = \mathbb{C}$, $p_k = 1$ for all k, f(x) = x and $\lambda_n = n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $(x_k) = (k^r) \in [V, \lambda, f, p]_{\infty}(\Delta^r, E)$ but $(\alpha_k x_k) \notin [V, \lambda, f, p]_{\infty}(\Delta^r, E)$ when $\alpha_k = (-1)^k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $[V, \lambda, f, p]_{\infty} (\Delta^r, E)$ is not solid. The other cases can be proved on considering similar examples.

From the above theorem we may give the following corollary.

Corollary 3.8. The sequence spaces $[V, \lambda, f, p]_0(\Delta^r, E)$, $[V, \lambda, f, p](\Delta^r, E)$ and $[V, \lambda, f, p]_{\infty}(\Delta^r, E)$ are not perfect for $r \ge 1$.

Theorem 3.9. The sequence spaces $[V, \lambda, f, p]_1(\Delta^r, E), [V, \lambda, f, p]_{\infty}(\Delta^r, E), S_{\lambda}(\Delta^r, E)$ and $S_{\lambda_0}(\Delta^r, E)$ are not symmetric for $r \ge 1$.

Proof. Let $E = \mathbb{C}$, $p_k = 1$ for all k, f(x) = x and $\lambda_n = n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $(x_k) = (k^r) \in [V, \lambda, f, p]_{\infty} (\Delta^r, E)$. Let (y_k) be a rearrangement of (x_k) , which is defined as follows

$$(y_k) = \{x_1, x_2, x_4, x_3, x_9, x_5, x_{16}, x_6, x_{25}, x_7, x_{36}, x_8, x_{49}, x_{10}, \dots\}$$

Then $(y_k) \notin [V, \lambda, f, p]_{\infty}(\Delta^r, E)$.

For the space $S_{\lambda_0}(\Delta^r, E)$, consider the sequence $x = (x_k)$ defined by

$$x_k = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (2i-1)^2 \le k < (2i)^2, & i = 1, 2, \dots \\ 4, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $(x_k) \in S_0(\Delta)$. Let (y_k) be the same as above, then $(y_k) \notin S_0(\Delta)$.

Remark 3.10. The space $[V, \lambda, f, p]_0(\Delta^r, E)$ is not symmetric for $r \geq 2$.

Theorem 3.11. The sequence spaces $[V, \lambda, f, p]_Z(\Delta^r, E)$, $S_{\lambda}(\Delta^r, E)$ and $S_{\lambda_0}(\Delta^r, E)$ are not sequence algebras.

Proof. Let $E = \mathbb{C}$, $p_k = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, f(x) = x and $\lambda_n = n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $x = (k^{r-2})$, $y = (k^{r-2}) \in [V, \lambda, f, p]_Z(\Delta^r, E)$, but $x.y \notin [V, \lambda, f, p]_Z(\Delta^r, E)$. The other cases can be proved on considering similar examples.

References

- T. Bilgin, On the statistical convergence, An. Univ. Timişoara Ser. Math. Inform. 32 (1) (1994), 3–7
- J.S. Connor, The statistical and strong *p*-Cesáro convergence of sequences, Analysis, 8 (1988) 47–63
- [3] H. Fast, Sur la convergence statistique, Colloq. Math. 2 (1951), 241–244
- M. Et and M. Başarır, On some new generalized difference sequence spaces, *Periodica Mathematica Hungarica* 35 (3) (1997), 169–175
- [5] M. Et and Ç. A. Bektaş, Generalized strongly (V, λ) -summable difference sequences defined by Orlicz functions (under communication).
- [6] M. Et and R. Çolak, On some generalized difference sequence spaces, Soochow J. of Math. 21 (1995), 377–386
- [7] J. A. Fridy, On statistical convergence, Analysis, 5 (1985), 301–313
- [8] P. Kampthan and M. Gupta, Sequence Spaces and Series, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1980
- [9] H. Kızmaz, On certain sequence spaces, Canad. Math. Bull. 24 (1981), 169-176

- [10] E. Kolk, The statistical convergence in Banach spaces, Acta. Comment. Univ. Tartu 928 (1991), 41-52
- [11] L. Leindler, Über die la Vallee-Pousinsche Summierbarkeit Allgemeiner Orthogonalreihen, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar 16 (1965), 375-387
- [12] Mursaleen, λ -statistical convergence, Math. Slovaca 50 (2000), 111-115
- [13] I. J. Maddox, Elements of Functional Analysis, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1970
- [14] I. J. Maddox, Sequence spaces defined by a modulus, Mat. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 100 (1986), 161-166
- [15] E. Malkowsky and S.D. Parashar, Matrix transformations in spaces of bounded and convergent difference sequences of order m, Analysis 17 (1997), 87-97
- [16] E. Malkowsky and E. Savaş, Some λ -sequence spaces defined by a modulus, Archivum Mathematicum **36** (2000), 219-228
- [17] W.H. Ruckle, FK spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vectors in bounded, Canad. J. Math. 25 (1973), 973-978
- [18] T. Šalát, On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers, Math. Slovaca 30 (1980), 139-150
- [19] E. Savaş, On some generalized sequence spaces defined by a modulus, *Indian J. pure and appl. Math.* **30** (5) (1999), 459-464
- [20] A. Wilansky, Summability Through Functional Analysis, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, (85), North-Holland, 1984

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FIRAT UNIVERSITY, 23119, ELAZIĞ-TURKEY

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FIRAT UNIVERSITY, 23119, ELAZIĞ-TURKEY

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FIRAT UNIVERSITY, 23119, ELAZIĞ-TURKEY *E-mail address*, Et: met@firat.edu.tr ; mikailet@yahoo.com *E-mail address*, Altin: yaltin23@yahoo.com *E-mail address*, Altinok: hifsialtinok@yahoo.com