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# ON THE ASYMPTOTIC ACCURACY OF EFRON'S BOOTSTRAP ${ }^{1}$ 

By Kesar Singh ${ }^{2}$<br>Stanford University


#### Abstract

In the non-lattice case it is shown that the bootstrap approximation of the distribution of the standardized sample mean is asymptotically more accurate than approximation by the limiting normal distribution. The exact convergence rate of the bootstrap approximation of the distributions of sample quantiles is obtained. A few other convergence rates regarding the bootstrap method are also studied.


1. Introduction and main results. Recently, Efron (1979) introduced a very general resampling procedure, called the bootstrap, for estimating the distributions of statistics based on independent observations. The procedure is more widely applicable and perhaps has more sound theoretical basis than the popular Quenouille-Tukey jackknife. Efron considered a number of statistical problems and demonstrated the feasibility of the bootstrap method. The purpose of the present investigation is to examine the convergence of the bootstrap approximation in some basic estimation problems.

A formal description of the bootstrap goes as follows. Let $\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\}$ be a random sample of size $n$ from a population with distribution $F$ and let $T\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n} ; F\right)$ be the specified random variable of interest, possibly depending upon the unknown distribution $F$. Let $F_{n}$ denote the e.d.f. (empirical distribution function) of $\left\{X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right\}$, i.e., the distribution that puts mass $1 / n$ at each of the points $X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}$. The bootstrap method is to approximate the distribution of $T\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n} ; F\right)$ under $F$ by that of $T\left(Y_{1}, \cdots, Y_{n} ; F_{n}\right)$ under $F_{n}$ where $\left\{Y_{1}, \cdots, Y_{n}\right\}$ denotes a random sample of size $n$ from $F_{n}$.

For the present asymptotic study, we have selected only very basic cases of $T\left(X_{1}, \cdots\right.$, $\left.X_{n} ; F\right)$, namely $\left(\bar{X}_{n}-\mu\right),\left(\bar{X}_{n}-\mu\right) / \sigma$ and $F_{n}^{-1}(t)-F^{-1}(t)$, where $\bar{X}_{n}=n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}, \mu=$ $E_{F}(X), 0<\sigma^{2}=\mathrm{V}_{F}(\mathrm{X})$, and $F_{n}^{-1}(t)$ and $F^{-1}(t)$ are the right-continuous versions of the inverses of $F_{n}$ and $F$ respectively, at some fixed $t \in(0,1)$. The attempt in this paper is to present more or less complete asymptotic results for these basic random variables. The author would like to mention here that the present paper and Bickel and Freedman (1980, 1981), which also deals with asymptotics for the bootstrap, were prepared independently at around the same period.

The main findings of this work are contained in the two theorems stated in this section. The proofs are given in Sections 2 and 3. The statements are valid for almost all sample sequences, i.e., with probability one under $F^{* \infty}$. In what follows, $\bar{Y}_{n}=n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}, s_{n}^{2}=$ $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}_{n}\right)^{2}, G_{n}(x)=\#\left\{Y_{i} \leq x ; 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} / n, \mu_{3}=E_{F}(X-\mu)^{3}, \hat{\mu}_{3}=n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\right.$ $\left.\bar{X}_{n}\right)^{3}$, and $\rho=E_{F}|X-\mu|^{3} . P$ and $P^{*}$ denote probabilities under $F$ and $F_{n} ; E$ and $E^{*}$ denote expectations under $F$ and $F_{n}$, respectively. $\left\|\|_{\infty}\right.$ has been used for $\sup _{x \in R}| |$.

Parts $A$ and $B$ of Theorem 1 study the uniform convergence to zero of the discrepancy between the actual distribution of $n^{1 / 2}\left(\bar{X}_{n}-\mu\right)$ and the bootstrap approximation of it. Parts $C, D$ and $E$ concern the same convergence problem for the distribution of $n^{1 / 2}\left(\bar{X}_{n}-\mu\right) / \sigma$.

[^0]In particular, suppose the underlying distribution is non-lattice. Then (1.5) together with the two term Edgeworth expansion for $P\left(n^{1 / 2}\left(\bar{X}_{n}-\mu\right) / \sigma \leq x\right)$ implies that the bootstrap method has an edge over the approximation by the limiting normal distribution in the case of the standardized sample mean. The leading term of the Edgeworth expansion for sample means suggests that the difference in accuracies of the two approximations decreases with decreasing skewness of the underlying distribution and is non-existent for symmetric distributions. It follows from Part $E$ of the theorem that the convergence in (1.5) is not valid in the lattice case. However, as suggested by (1.7), the effect of discreteness caused by rounding of data at higher decimal points should be negligible for moderate sample sizes.

Theorem 2 establishes the consistency of the bootstrap approximation of the distribution of $n^{1 / 2}\left\{F_{n}^{-1}(t)-F^{-1}(t)\right\}$ and provides the exact rate at which the discrepancy converges to zero. The normal approximation for this distribution is better than the corresponding bootstrap approximation provided $F^{\prime}\left(F^{-1}(t)\right)$ is exactly known (see Reiss (1974)). However it is rare that $F^{\prime}\left(F^{-1}(t)\right)$ is known. In essence, the theorem says that in the case of quantiles, the bootstrap approximation is as good as the normal approximation, with $F^{\prime}\left(F^{-1}(t)\right)$ replaced by a sample estimate, such that the difference between $F^{\prime}\left(F^{-1}(t)\right)$ and the sample estimate is $O\left(n^{-1 / 4}(\log \log n)^{1 / 2}\right)$ a.s.

We now state the theorems.
Theorem 1.
A. If $E X^{2}<\infty$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P\left\{n^{1 / 2}\left(\bar{X}_{n}-\mu\right) \leq x\right\}-P^{*}\left\{n^{1 / 2}\left(\bar{Y}_{n}-\bar{X}_{n}\right) \leq x\right\}\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0 \text { a.s. } \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

B. If $E X^{4}<\infty$, then

$$
\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1 / 2}(\log \log n)^{-1 / 2} \| P\left\{n^{1 / 2}\left(\bar{X}_{n}-\mu\right) \leq x\right\}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-P^{*}\left\{n^{1 / 2}\left(\bar{Y}_{n}-\bar{X}_{n}\right) \leq x\right\} \|_{\infty}=\left(2 \sigma^{2} \sqrt{2 \pi e}\right)^{-1} \sqrt{2 V_{F}\left((X-\mu)^{2}\right)} \quad \text { a.s. } \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{F}\left((X-\mu)^{2}\right)$ is the variance of $(X-\mu)^{2}$ under $F$.
C. If $E|X|^{3}<\infty$, then

$$
\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho \sigma^{-3} n^{1 / 2} \| P\left\{n^{1 / 2}\left(\bar{X}_{n}-\mu\right) / \sigma \leq x\right\}
$$

$$
-P^{*}\left\{n^{1 / 2}\left(\bar{Y}_{n}-\bar{X}_{n}\right) / s_{n} \leq x\right\} \|_{\infty} \leq 2 K \quad \text { a.s. },
$$

where $K$ is the universal appearing in the Berry-Esséen bound.
D. If $E|X|^{3}<\infty$ and $F$ is non-lattice, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{*}\left\{n^{1 / 2}\left(\bar{Y}_{n}-\bar{X}_{n}\right) / s_{n} \leq x\right\}=\Phi(x)+\left\{\mu_{3}\left(1-x^{2}\right) /\left(6 \sigma^{3} n^{1 / 2}\right)\right\} \phi(x)+o\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly in $x$ a.s. where $\Phi(x)$ and $\phi(x)$ are, the standard normal distribution function and density, respectively; therefore, in this case

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{1 / 2}\left\|P\left\{n^{1 / 2}\left(\bar{X}_{n}-\mu\right) / \sigma \leq x\right\}-P^{*}\left\{n^{1 / 2}\left(\bar{Y}_{n}-\bar{X}_{n}\right) / s_{n} \leq x\right\}\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { a.s. } \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

E. If $E|X|^{3}<\infty$ and $F$ is lattice with span $h$,

$$
\begin{align*}
P^{*}\left\{n^{1 / 2}\left(\bar{Y}_{n}-\bar{X}_{n}\right) / s_{n} \leq x\right\}=\Phi(x)+\left\{\mu_{3}(1\right. & \left.\left.-x^{2}\right) /\left(6 \sigma^{3} n^{1 / 2}\right)\right\} \phi(x) \\
& +\left\{h /\left(\sigma n^{1 / 2}\right)\right\} g\left(n^{1 / 2} s_{n} h^{-1} x\right) \phi(x)+o\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

uniformly in $x$ a.s. where $g(y)=[y]-y+1 / 2$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Also, in this case,
(1.7) $\quad \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1 / 2} \| P^{*}\left\{n^{1 / 2}\left(\bar{Y}_{n}-\bar{X}_{n}\right) / s_{n} \leq x\right\}$

$$
-P\left\{n^{1 / 2}\left(\bar{X}_{n}-\mu\right) / \sigma \leq x\right\} \|_{\infty}=h / \sqrt{2 \pi \sigma^{2}} \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

Theorem 2. If $F$ has bounded second derivative in a neighborhood of $F^{-1}(t)$ and $F^{\prime}\left(F^{-1}(t)\right)>0$, then a.s.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1 / 4}(\log \log n)^{-1 / 2} \| P\left[n^{1 / 2}\left\{F_{n}^{-1}(t)-F^{-1}(t)\right\} \leq x\right] \\
& -P^{*}\left[n^{1 / 2}\left\{G_{n}^{-1}(t)-F_{n}^{-1}(t)\right\} \leq x\right] \|_{\infty}=K_{t, F}, \tag{1.8}
\end{align*}
$$

a constant depending upon $t$ and $F$ only.
2. Proof of theorem 1. In this section we give the proofs of all five parts of Theorem 1 , and towards the end some remarks concerning these conclusions.

Part $A$. If $E X^{2}<\infty, s_{n}^{2} \rightarrow \sigma^{2}>0$ a.s. Therefore, (1.1) follows if we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P^{*}\left\{n^{1 / 2}\left(\bar{Y}_{n}-\bar{X}_{n}\right) / s_{n} \leq x\right\}-\Phi(x)\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { a.s. } \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Lindberg-Feller CLT, (2.1) holds provided

$$
s_{n}^{-2} E^{*}\left(X-\bar{X}_{n}\right)^{2} I\left(\left|X-\bar{X}_{n}\right| \geq \epsilon n^{1 / 2} s_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

for all $\epsilon>0$. But, since $s_{n}^{2} \rightarrow \sigma^{2}$ and $\bar{X}_{n} \rightarrow \mu$ a.s., this essentially amounts to showing that, for all $\epsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}_{n}\right)^{2} I\left(X_{i}^{2} \geq \epsilon n\right)=o(n) \quad \text { a.s. } \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$E X^{2}<\infty$ implies $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P\left(X_{i}^{2} \geq \epsilon i\right)<\infty$, and hence $\left\{X_{i}^{2} \geq \epsilon i\right\}$ happens only for finitely many $i$ 's a.s. Thus, the left hand side of (2.2) is in fact bounded a.s.

Another very interesting way of seeing (2.1) is as follows. By the Berry-Esséen theorem, the left hand side of (2.1) does not exceed

$$
K s_{n}^{-3} n^{-1 / 2} E^{*}\left|Y-\bar{X}_{n}\right|^{3} \leq 4 K s_{n}^{-3} n^{-1 / 2}\left(E^{*}|Y|^{3}+\left|\bar{X}_{n}\right|^{3}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

since, as a consequence of the Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund SLLN $n^{-3 / 2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|X_{i}\right|^{3} \rightarrow 0$ a.s. if $E X^{2}<\infty$.

Part B. Writing $s_{n}^{2}-\sigma^{2}=E^{*}(Y-\mu)^{2}-E(X-\mu)^{2}-\left(\bar{X}_{n}-\mu\right)^{2}$, and applying the law of iterated logarithm, we see that, if $E X^{4}<\infty$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1 / 2}(\log \log n)^{-1 / 2}\left|s_{n}^{2}-\sigma^{2}\right|=\sqrt{2 V_{F}\left((X-\mu)^{2}\right)} \quad \text { a.s. } \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to the Berry-Esséen bound,

$$
\left\|P\left\{n^{1 / 2}\left(\bar{X}_{n}-\mu\right) \leq x\right\}-\Phi(x / \sigma)\right\|_{\infty} \leq K \rho \sigma^{-3} n^{-1 / 2}
$$

and

$$
\left\|P^{*}\left\{n^{1 / 2}\left(\bar{Y}_{n}-\bar{X}_{n}\right) \leq x\right\}-\Phi\left(x / s_{n}\right)\right\|_{\infty} \leq K s_{n}^{-3} n^{-1 / 2} E^{*}\left|Y-\bar{X}_{n}\right|^{3} .
$$

Further, using the Taylor expansion and (2.3) it is found that

$$
\left\|\Phi\left(x / s_{n}\right)-\Phi(x / \sigma)-x\left(s_{n}^{-1}-\sigma^{-1}\right) \phi(x / \sigma)\right\|_{\infty}=O\left(n^{-1} \log \log n\right) \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

In view of the above bounds, (1.2) follows from the equality

$$
\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1 / 2}(\log \log n)^{-1 / 2}\left\|x\left(s_{n}^{-1}-\sigma^{-1}\right) \phi(x / \sigma)\right\|_{\infty}=\text { r.h.s. of }(1.2),
$$

which is clearly so because of (2.3) and the identity

$$
\|x \phi(x / \sigma)\|_{\infty}=\sigma(2 \pi e)^{-1 / 2} .
$$

Part C. This part is an immediate consequence of the Berry-Esséen theorem. We approximate both the probabilities appearing in (1.3) by the standard normal distribution and collect the error bounds provided by the Berry-Esséen theorem.

Part D. Let us write $\psi(t)$ for $E\left(e^{i t X}\right)$ and $\psi^{*}(t)$ for $E^{*}\left(e^{i t Y}\right)$. Appealing to Esséen's lemma (see Feller, 1970, Lemma 2, page 538), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| P^{*}\left\{n^{1 / 2}\left(\bar{Y}_{n}-\bar{X}_{n}\right) / s_{n}\right. & \leq x\}-\Phi(x)-\left\{\hat{\mu}_{3}\left(1-x^{2}\right) /\left(6 s_{n}^{3} n^{1 / 2}\right)\right\} \phi(x) \|_{\infty} \\
& \leq \int_{-a \sqrt{n}}^{a \sqrt{n}}\left|\psi^{* n}\left(t / s_{n} \sqrt{n}\right)-e^{-t^{2} / 2}\left\{1+\hat{\mu}_{3}(i t)^{3} /\left(6 s_{n}^{3} \sqrt{n}\right)\right\}\right| t^{-1} d t+b / a \sqrt{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $a>0$, where $b$ is an absolute constant. For technical reasons, the above integral is broken into two parts, one over $|t| \leq \delta n^{1 / 2}$ and the other over the remaining region, where $\delta \in(0, a)$ is to be chosen sufficiently small.

To exploit the non-lattice nature of $F$ for estimating the integral over $\delta n^{1 / 2} \leq|t| \leq$ $a n^{1 / 2}$, we show that, for any fixed $a>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{|t| \leq a}\left|\psi(t)-\psi^{*}(t)\right| \rightarrow 0 \text { a.s. } \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To this end, let us note that if $E|X|<\infty$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\sup _{|t| \leq a}\left|\psi(t)-\psi^{*}(t)\right| \leq \max \left\{\left|\psi(t)-\psi^{*}(t)\right| ; t= \pm 1 / n, \pm 2 / n, \cdots\right. & \pm[a n] / n\}  \tag{2.5}\\
& +O(1 / n) \quad \text { a.s. }
\end{align*}
$$

Further, by an elementary exponential inequality, it follows that for all $|t| \leq a$ and $\epsilon>0$,

$$
P\left(\left|\psi(t)-\psi^{*}(t)\right| \geq \epsilon\right)=0\left(e^{-\lambda n}\right)
$$

where $\lambda>0$ does not depend upon $t$. This bound, along with (2.5) and the Bonferroni inequality leads to (2.4). Clearly, (2.4) and the fact that $\psi(t) \neq 1$ for all $t \neq 0$ imply together that the integral over the region $\delta n^{1 / 2} \leq|t| \leq a n^{1 / 2}$ decays exponentially fast a.s. for all $0<\delta<a$.

To bound the integral over $|t| \leq \delta n^{1 / 2}$, we expand $\psi^{*}(t)$ up to three terms and estimate the remainder. To do that, we write $\exp (i t Y)$ as $\cos (t Y)+i \sin (t Y)$, and expand both terms by Taylor's expansion separately and take the expectation. It turns out that, if we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{*}(t)=1-s_{n}^{2} t^{2} / 2+(i t)^{3} \hat{\mu}_{3} / 6+t^{3} r(t) / 6 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $E\left|X^{3}\right|<\infty$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow \infty} \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \text { (a.s.) } \sup _{|t| \leq \epsilon}|r(t)|=0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $|t / \sqrt{n}| \leq \delta$ and $\delta$ is sufficiently small, one can show by using (2.7) and the expansion $\log (1+x)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{i+1} x^{i} / i$ (valid for $\left.|x|<1\right)$ that $\left|r_{1}(t)\right| \leq\left\{t^{2} / n+|t|^{3} / n^{3 / 2}\right\}^{2}$ for all large $n$ a.s. where

$$
r_{1}(t)=\log \left[1-\frac{t^{2}}{2 n}+\frac{t^{3}}{6 s_{n}^{3} n^{3 / 2}}\left\{i^{3} \hat{\mu}_{3}+r\left(\frac{t}{s_{n} n^{1 / 2}}\right)\right\}\right]+\frac{t^{2}}{2 n}-\frac{t^{3}}{6 s_{n}^{3} n^{3 / 2}}\left\{i^{3} \hat{\mu}_{3}+r\left(\frac{t}{s_{n} n^{1 / 2}}\right)\right\} .
$$

Thus,

$$
\psi^{* n}\left(t / s_{n} \sqrt{n}\right)=e^{-t^{2} / 2} \exp \left[\left(t^{3} / 6 s_{n}^{3} n^{1 / 2}\right)\left\{i^{3} \hat{\mu}_{3}+r\left(t / s_{n} n^{1 / 2}\right)\right\}+n r_{1}(t)\right]
$$

This expression is approximated by using the bound $\left|e^{x}-1-x\right| \leq|x|^{2} e^{|x|} / 2$, valid for all complex numbers. Combining all these bounds together we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\psi^{* n}\left(\frac{t}{s_{n} \sqrt{n}}\right)-e^{-t^{2} / 2}\left\{1+\frac{(i t)^{3} \hat{\mu}_{3}}{6 s_{n}^{3} \sqrt{n}}\right\}\right| \leqslant \frac{|t|^{3}}{6 s_{n}^{3} \sqrt{n}} r\left(\frac{t}{s_{n} \sqrt{n}}\right) e^{-t^{2} / 2} \\
&+\left\{\frac{|t|^{3}}{\sqrt{n}}+\left(\frac{t^{2}}{\sqrt{n}}+\frac{|t|^{3}}{n^{3 / 2}}\right)^{2}\right\}^{2} e^{-t^{2} / 4}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all large $n$ a.s. provided $|t / \sqrt{n}| \leq \delta>0$ for $\delta$ sufficiently small. The desired result (1.4) is concluded from this last bound and (2.7) as $a$ can be chosen arbitrarily large and $\delta$ arbitrarily small.

Part E. From the Borel-Cantelli lemma it follows that if $F$ is lattice with span $h$, then so is $F_{n}$ for all large $n$ a.s. So, for asymptotic purposes, we can and we do assume that $F_{n}$ is lattice with span $h$. In the lattice case the proof given for Part $D$ breaks down in the region $\delta n^{1 / 2} \leq|t| \leq a n^{1 / 2}$. To arrive at (1.6), we first establish that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Lambda(x) * U_{n}\right\|_{\infty}=o\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right) \text { a.s. } \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda(x)=P^{*}\left\{n^{1 / 2}\left(\bar{X}_{n}-\bar{Y}_{n}\right) / s_{n} \leq x\right\}-\Phi(x)-\left\{\hat{\mu}_{3}\left(1-x^{2}\right) /\left(6 s_{n}^{3} n^{1 / 2}\right)\right\} \phi(x), U_{n}$ is the uniform distribution over $\left[-h / 2 s_{n} n^{1 / 2}, h / 2 s_{n} n^{1 / 2}\right]$ and $*$ stands for the convolution operator. For (2.8) we essentially have to show that, for all $0<\delta<a<\infty$

$$
\int_{|t| \in[\delta \sqrt{n}, a \sqrt{n}]} \psi^{* n}\left(t / s_{n} n^{1 / 2}\right) \sin \left(t h / 2 s_{n} n^{1 / 2}\right)\left(t^{2} h / 2 s_{n} n^{1 / 2}\right)^{-1} d t=o\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\xlongequal[-]{-} \int_{\delta \leq|t| \leq a} \psi^{* n}\left(t / s_{n}\right) \sin \left(t h / 2 s_{n}\right) d t=o\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right) \text { a.s. }
$$

Both the functions $\psi^{*}\left(t / s_{n}\right)$ as well as $\sin \left(t h / 2 s_{n}\right)$ have period $2 \pi s_{n} / h$; hence (2.8) follows if we have, for some $0<\epsilon<2 \pi \sigma / h$,

$$
\int_{-\epsilon}^{\left(2 \pi s_{n} / h\right)-\epsilon} t \psi^{* n}\left(t / s_{n}\right) d t=o\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right) \text { a.s. }
$$

As seen from (2.6) and (2.7), for all $t$ in a neighborhood of $0,\left|\psi^{*}\left(t / s_{n}\right)\right| \leq e^{-t^{2} / 4}$ eventually with probability one. Also, for any $0<\epsilon<\pi \sigma / h,\left|\psi^{*}\left(t / s_{n}\right)\right|$ is bounded away from 1 over $t \in\left[\epsilon, 2 \pi s_{n} / h-\epsilon\right]$ for all large $n$ a.s., in view of (2.4). These facts lead to (2.8).

Let us now derive (1.6) from (2.8). Since, a.s.

$$
\left[\Phi(x)+\left\{\hat{\mu}_{3}\left(1-x^{2}\right) /\left(6 s_{n}^{3} n^{1 / 2}\right)\right\} \phi(x)\right] * U_{n}=\Phi(x)+\left\{\hat{\mu}_{3}\left(1-x^{2}\right) /\left(6 s_{n}^{3} n^{1 / 2}\right)\right\} \phi(x)+o\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)
$$

(2.8) is the same as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{*}\left\{n^{1 / 2}\left(\bar{Y}_{n}-\bar{X}_{n}\right) / s_{n} \leq x\right\} * U_{n}=\Phi(x)+\left\{\mu_{3}\left(1-x^{2}\right) /\left(6 \sigma^{3} n^{1 / 2}\right)\right\} \phi(x)+o\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right) \text { a.s. } \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The distribution of $n^{1 / 2}\left(\bar{Y}_{n}-\bar{X}_{n}\right) / s_{n}$ is lattice with span $h / s_{n} n^{1 / 2}$ and 0 is one of the points with positive mass. Consequently, the expansion given in Part D holds uniformly at all points of the form $(2 j+1) h / 2 s_{n} n^{1 / 2}$, where $j$ denotes integers; and also

$$
P^{*}\left\{n^{1 / 2}\left(\bar{Y}_{n}-\bar{X}_{n}\right) / s_{n}=j h / s_{n} n^{1 / 2}\right\}=\left(h / s_{n} n^{1 / 2}\right) \phi\left(j h / s_{n} n^{1 / 2}\right)+o\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)
$$

uniformly over all integers $j$ a.s. As a result of these estimates of the jumps,

$$
P^{*}\left\{n^{1 / 2}\left(\bar{Y}_{n}-\bar{X}_{n}\right) / s_{n} \leq x\right\} * U_{n}=P^{*}\left\{n^{1 / 2}\left(\bar{Y}_{n}-\bar{X}_{n}\right) / s_{n} \leq x\right\}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.-g\left(x n^{1 / 2} s_{n} / h\right) \quad \text { (the jump at the nearest lattice point from } x\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
=P^{*}\left\{n^{1 / 2}\left(\bar{Y}_{n}-\bar{X}_{n}\right) / s_{n} \leq x\right\}-\left(h / \sigma n^{1 / 2}\right) g\left(x n^{1 / 2} s_{n} / h\right) \phi(x)+o\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)
$$

uniformly in $x$ a.s. The proof of (1.6) clearly ends by substituting (2.10) into (2.9).
Turning to (1.7), according to Theorem 3 of Esséen (1945), if $F$ is lattice with span $h$ and $x_{0}$ is one of its discontinuity points, then

$$
P\left\{n^{1 / 2}\left(\bar{X}_{n}-\mu\right) / \sigma \leq x\right\}=\Phi(x)+\frac{\mu_{3}\left(1-x^{2}\right)}{6 \sigma^{3} \sqrt{n}} \phi(x)+\frac{h}{\sigma \sqrt{n}} g\left(\frac{\left(x-x_{n}\right) \sigma n^{1 / 2}}{h}\right) \phi(x)+o\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)
$$

a.s., where $x_{n}=\left\{\left(n x_{0} / h\right)-\left[n x_{0} / h\right]\right\}\left(h / \sigma n^{1 / 2}\right)$. Thus, (1.7) amounts to showing that
(2.11) $\quad \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left\{g\left(\left(x-x_{n}\right) \sigma n^{1 / 2} / h\right)-g\left(x s_{n} n^{1 / 2} / h\right)\right\} \phi(x)\right\|_{\infty}=1 / \sqrt{2 \pi} \quad$ a.s.

Since the function $g$ is bounded by $1 / 2$ in absolute value, obviously the lim sup above is
less than or equal to $\phi(0)=1 / \sqrt{2 \pi}$. To get the inequality another way, note that the event $\left\{\sigma-s_{n}>n^{-1 / 2}(\log \log n)^{1 / 4}\right\}$ happens for infinitely many $n$ 's, a.s. $x s_{n} n^{1 / 2} / h$ takes integer values at $x=j h / s_{n} n^{1 / 2}, j=1,2, \cdots$, and at such a value of $x$, the difference $x \sigma n^{1 / 2} / h-$ $x s_{n} n^{1 / 2} / h$ equals $j\left(\sigma-s_{n}\right) / s_{n}$. As $j$ varies from 1 to $\left[\theta n^{1 / 2}\right]$ for $\theta>0$, the difference $j(\sigma-$ $\left.s_{n}\right) / s_{n}$ grows to more than $\left[\theta n^{1 / 2}\right] n^{-1 / 2}(\log \log n)^{1 / 4} / s_{n}$, infinitely often a.s. Consequently, for any fixed $\epsilon>0$ and $\delta \in(0,1)$, there exists $z_{n} \in(0, \epsilon)$ for infinitely many $n$ 's a.s., such that $z_{n} s_{n} n^{1 / 2} / h$ is an integer and $\left(z_{n}-x_{n}\right) \sigma n^{1 / 2} / h-z_{n} s_{n} n^{1 / 2} / h \in(1-\delta, 1)$. For such a $z_{n}$, obviously

$$
\left|g\left(\left(z_{n}-x_{n}\right) \sigma n^{1 / 2} / h\right)-g\left(z_{n} s_{n} n^{1 / 2} / h\right)\right| \geq 1-\delta
$$

These facts amount to the conclusion that the lim sup in (2.11) is greater than or equal to $(1-\delta) \inf _{x \in(0, \epsilon)} \phi(x)$ a.s. Since $\epsilon>0$ and $\delta \in(0,1)$ are arbitrary, the desired result follows.

Remark 2.1. To get some idea about the effect of dependence on the bootstrap, let us consider the simple case when the $X_{\imath}$ are $m$-dependent. Since $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \cdots, Y_{n}$ are conditionally independent and $s_{n}^{2}$ still converges to $\sigma^{2}$ a.s.,

$$
n^{1 / 2}\left(\bar{Y}_{n}-\bar{X}_{n}\right) \rightarrow_{\mathscr{L}} N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right) \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

whereas according to the CLT for the $m$-dependent processes

$$
n^{1 / 2}\left(\bar{X}_{n}-\mu\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{L} N\left(0, \sigma^{2}+2 \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \operatorname{cov}\left(X_{1}, X_{1+i}\right)\right)
$$

Thus, the bootstrap as such should not be expected to provide consistent approximation even in the case of weak dependent processes; however, if the $X_{c}$ are derived from some independent sequence of r.v.'s and the exact generating procedure is known, then the bootstrap can be modified suitably to get rid of such inconsistencies. A detailed study on this line seems desirable.

REmaRK 2.2. $P^{*}\left\{n^{1 / 2}\left(\bar{Y}_{n}-\bar{X}_{n}\right) / s_{n} \leq x\right\}$ can be expanded up to as many terms as one wants under the usual moment conditions, but we do need to impose the Cramér condition on $F$. In particular, the three term expansion easily leads us to conclude that

$$
\left\|P^{*}\left\{n^{1 / 2}\left(\bar{Y}_{n}-\bar{X}_{n}\right) / s_{n} \leq x\right\}-P\left\{n^{1 / 2}\left(\bar{X}_{n}-\mu\right) / \sigma \leq x\right\}\right\|_{\infty}=O\left(n^{-1}(\log \log n)^{1 / 2}\right) \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

provided $E|X|^{6}<\infty$ and the Cramér condition about $F$ holds.
3. Proof of Theorem 2. This proof is somewhat long, so we shall separate out the major steps and present them in the form of lemmas. Further details of the proofs can be found in Singh (1980). We start off with an exponential bound.

Lemma 3.1. If $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \cdots, \xi_{u}$ are i.i.d., $\xi_{i}=1-p$ or $-p$ with respective probabilities $p$ and $1-p$, then for any $u \leq N, p \leq B, Z \leq D$ and $Z N B \leq D^{2}$ we have

$$
P\left\{\left|\sum_{l=1}^{u} \xi_{l}\right| \geq(1+e / 2) D\right\} \leq 2 e^{-Z}
$$

The proof is an elementary application of Markov's inequality and we omit it.

## Lemma 3.2. Under the conditions of Theorem 2

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{|x| \leq \log n}(1+|x|)^{-1 / 2} & \mid F_{n}\left(F_{n}^{-1}(t)+x n^{-1 / 2}\right) \\
& \quad-F_{n}\left(F_{n}^{-1}(t)\right)-F\left(F_{n}^{-1}(t)+x n^{-1 / 2}\right)+F\left(F_{n}^{-1}(t)\right) \mid \\
& =O\left(n^{-3 / 4}(\log \log n)^{1 / 2}\right) \quad \text { a.s. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Because of the law of interated logarithm for $F_{n}^{-1}(t)$, it suffices to show that
$\sup _{|x| \leq \log n,|y| \leq \log n} \left\lvert\,(1+|x|)^{-1 / 2}\left(F_{n}\left(F^{-1}(t)+\frac{x+y}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right.\right.$

$$
\begin{aligned}
-F_{n}\left(F^{-1}(t)+\frac{y}{\sqrt{n}}\right)-F\left(F^{-1}(t)+\frac{x+y}{\sqrt{n}}\right) & \left.+F\left(F^{-1}(t)+\frac{y}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right) \mid \\
= & O\left(n^{-3 / 4}(\log \log n)^{1 / 2}\right) \text { a.s. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us adopt the following notations: $R_{n}(x, y)=$ the expression inside || above,

$$
S_{m, n}(a, b)=\sum_{l=m+1}^{n}\left[I\left(\min (a, b) \leq X_{l} \leq \max (a, b)\right)-|F(b)-F(a)|\right]
$$

where $m, n$ are integers, $n \geq m, n_{r}=\exp \left(r^{1 / 2}\right)$ and $C_{r}=\left\{n: n_{r} \leq n<n_{r+1}\right\}$. For this choice of $n_{r}$,

$$
n_{r} / 2(r+1)^{1 / 2} \leq n_{r+1}-n_{r} \leq n_{r} / r^{1 / 2}
$$

An elementary approximation shows that the difference

$$
\sup \left\{\left|R_{n}(x, y)\right| ;|x| \leq \log n,|y| \leq \log n\right\}-L_{n}=O\left(n^{-1}\right)
$$

where $L_{n}=\max \left\{\left|R_{n}(x, y)\right| ;|x|,|y|=1 / n, 2 / n, \cdots,[1+n \log n] / n\right\}$. For all $n \in C_{r}, n L_{n}$ does not exceed the sum $L_{n 1}+L(r)+L_{n 2}+L_{n} / r$ where the new statistics are as follows:
$L_{n 1}=\max \left\{\left|S_{\left[n_{r}\right], n}\left(F^{-1}(t)+\frac{x+y}{\sqrt{n}}, F^{-1}(t)+\frac{y}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right|(1+|x|)^{-1 / 2} ;\right.$

$$
\left.|x|,|y|=\frac{1}{n}, \cdots, \frac{[1+n \log n]}{n}\right\}
$$

$L(r)=\max \left\{\left|S_{1,\left[n_{r}\right]}\left(F^{-1}(t)+\frac{x+y}{\sqrt{n_{r}}}, F^{-1}(t)+\frac{y}{\sqrt{n_{r}}}\right)\right|(1+|x|)^{-1 / 2} ;\right.$

$$
\left.|x|,|y|=\frac{1}{r}, \cdots, \frac{\left[r \log n_{r+1}\right]}{r}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{n 2}=\max \left\{\left|S_{1,\left[n_{r}\right]}\left(F^{-1}(t)+\frac{z}{\sqrt{n}}, F^{-1}(t)+\frac{\left[z r\left(n_{r} / n\right)^{1 / 2}\right]}{r \sqrt{n_{r}}}\right)\right|,\right. \\
& \qquad\left.|z|=\frac{1}{n}, \cdots, \frac{[1+2 n \log n]}{n}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Along with the Bonferroni inequality, Lemma 3.1 is applied with varying choices of its parameters to see that all the four statistics are $O\left(n^{1 / 4}(\log \log n)^{1 / 2}\right)$ a.s.

Lemma 3.3. Under the conditions of Theorem 2, a.s., $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{3 / 4}(\log \log n)^{-1 / 2}\left\{F_{n}\left(F_{n}^{-1}(t)+n^{-1 / 2}\right)\right.$

$$
\left.-F_{n}\left(F_{n}^{-1}(t)\right)-F\left(F_{n}^{-1}(t)+n^{-1 / 2}\right)+F\left(F_{n}^{-1}(t)\right)\right\}>0
$$

Proof. Let $\eta_{n}=\left\{t-F_{n}\left(F^{-1}(t)\right)\right\} / F^{\prime}\left(F^{-1}(t)\right)$. Using Bahadur's representation of quantiles (see Bahadur, 1966), the LIL for $t-F_{n}\left(F^{-1}(t)\right.$ ) and some standard approximations, it is verified that the lemma follows if we have
(3.1) $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1 / 4}(\log \log n)^{-1 / 2}\left\{S_{1, n}\left(F^{-1}(t)+\eta_{n}+n^{-1 / 2}, F^{-1}(t)+\eta_{n}\right)\right\}>0 \quad$ a.s

Let us define $m_{r}=2^{r^{2}}$. For the sake of brevity, we shall write $\eta_{m_{r}}^{*}$ for $\mathrm{m}_{r}^{-1}\left(m_{r} \eta_{m_{r}}-\right.$ $m_{r-1} \eta_{m_{r-1}}$ ) and $f_{t}$ for $F^{\prime}\left(F^{-1}(t)\right)$. Note that, for all $r \geq 2, m_{r-1} \leq 3(\log 2)^{-2} m_{r}\left(\log m_{r}\right)^{-2}$. Now, for all $r \geq 2$, consider the following four events:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{r}=\left\{\left|S_{1, m_{r}}\left(F^{-1}(t)+\eta_{m_{r}}+m_{r}^{-1 / 2}, F^{-1}(t)+\eta_{m_{r}}^{*}+m_{r}^{-1 / 2}\right)\right| \geq m_{r}^{1 / 4}\right\} . \\
& A_{r}^{\prime}=\left\{\left|S_{1, m_{r}}\left(F^{-1}(t)+\eta_{m_{r}}, F^{-1}(t)+\eta_{m_{r}}^{*}\right)\right| \geq m_{r}^{1 / 4}\right\} . \\
& A_{r}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{\left|S_{1, m_{r-1}}\left(F^{-1}(t)+\eta_{m_{r}}^{*}+m_{r}^{-1 / 2}, F^{-1}(t)+\eta_{m_{r}}^{*}\right)\right| \geq m_{r}^{1 / 4}\right\} . \\
& A_{r}^{*}=\left\{\left[S_{m_{r-1} m_{r}}\left(F^{-1}(t)+\eta_{m_{r}}^{*}+m_{r}^{-1 / 2}, F^{-1}(t)+\eta_{m_{r}}^{*}\right)\right] \geq \delta m_{r}^{1 / 4}\left(\log \log m_{r}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the CLT,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}\left\{\mathbf{S}_{m_{r-1}, m_{r}}\left(-\infty, \mathrm{F}^{-1}(\mathrm{t})\right) \in \mathrm{W}_{r}\right\} \rightarrow^{1 / 2} \quad \text { as } \quad r \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W_{r}=\left\{-1,-2, \cdots,-m_{r}^{1 / 2} r^{2}\right\}$. We have, by an elementary property of multinomial distributions, that uniformly in $k \in W_{r}$

$$
P\left\{A_{r}^{*} \mid S_{m_{r-1}, m_{r}}\left(-\infty, F^{-1}(t)\right)=k\right\} \sim \Phi\left(-\delta\left(\log \log m_{r}\right)^{1 / 2} /\left(f_{t}^{1 / 2}\right)\right) .
$$

Because of this and (3.2), $\sum_{r=1, \infty} P\left(A_{r}^{*}\right)=\infty$ if $\delta^{2}<f_{t}$. Since the events $A_{r}^{*}$ are independent (3.1) would follow if the events $A_{r}, A_{r}^{\prime}, A_{r}^{\prime \prime}$ happen only finitely often a.s. The later claims are verified through probability estimates involving Lemma 3.1. The details are omitted.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let us notice first that, according to Lemma 3.2

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{n}\left(F_{n}^{-1}(t)+x n^{-1 / 2}\right)-t= & F_{n}\left(F_{n}^{-1}(t)+x n^{-1 / 2}\right)-F_{n}\left(F_{n}^{-1}(t)\right)+O\left(n^{-1}\right) \quad \text { a.s. } \\
= & \left\{F\left(F_{n}^{-1}(t)+x n^{-1 / 2}\right)-F\left(F_{n}^{-1}(t)\right)\right\} \\
& +\left\{F_{n}\left(F_{n}^{-1}(t)+x n^{-1 / 2}\right)-F_{n}\left(F_{n}^{-1}(t)\right)\right. \\
& \left.-F\left(F_{n}^{-1}(t)+x n^{-1 / 2}\right)+F\left(F_{n}^{-1}(t)\right)\right\}+O\left(n^{-1}\right) \quad \text { a.s. } \\
= & f_{t} x n^{-1 / 2}+O\left((1+|x|)^{1 / 2} n^{-3 / 4}(\log \log n)^{1 / 2}\right) \quad \text { a.s. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Using this bound, some set inequalities on $F_{n}^{-1}(t)$, Lemma 3.1 and the Berry-Esseén bound it is found that

$$
P^{*}\left\{\left|G_{n}^{-1}(t)-F_{n}^{-1}(t)\right| \geq \log n\right\}=O\left(n^{-1}\right) \text { a.s., }
$$

and uniformly in $|x| \leq \log n$

$$
\begin{aligned}
P^{*}\{ & \left.G_{n}^{-1}(t)-F_{n}^{-1}(t) \leq x n^{-1 / 2}\right\} \\
= & \Phi\left(\left(F_{n}\left(F_{n}^{-1}(t)+x n^{-1 / 2}\right)-t\right) n^{1 / 2} /\left(F_{n}\left(F_{n}^{-1}(t)+x n^{-1 / 2}\right)\right)\left(1-F_{n}\left(F_{n}^{-1}(t)+x n^{-1 / 2}\right)\right)\right) \\
& +O\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right) \\
= & \Phi\left(x f_{t}(t(1-t))^{-1 / 2}+O\left((1+|x|)^{1 / 2} n^{-1 / 4}(\log \log n)^{1 / 2}\right)\right)+O\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right) \quad \text { a.s. } \\
= & \Phi\left(x f_{t}(t(1-t))^{-1 / 2}\right)+O\left(n^{-1 / 4}(\log \log n)^{1 / 2}\right) \quad \text { a.s. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting together the estimates found so far and the Berry-Esseén bound for $F_{n}^{-1}(t)-$ $F^{-1}(t)$ (see Reiss, 1974; the rate $n^{-1 / 2}$ in Reiss's theorem can be established easily under our conditions), we have now that the left side of (1.8) is finite. In the next paragraph we shall see that the lim sup in $(1.8)>0$ a.s. The proof of the theorem is concluded using the Hewitt-Savage zero-one law.

In the special case of $x=1$, consider the expression (3.3) above. According to Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 ,

$$
\infty>\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1 / 4}(\log \log n)^{1 / 2}\left\{n^{1 / 2}\left(F_{n}\left(F_{n}^{-1}(t)+n^{-1 / 2}\right)-t\right)-f_{t}\right\}>0 \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

This also means that $F_{n}\left(F_{n}^{-1}(t)+n^{-1 / 2}\right)=t+O\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)$ a.s. Thus, the expression (3.3) gives us $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1 / 4}(\log \log n)^{-1 / 2}\left[P^{*}\left\{n^{1 / 2}\left(G_{n}^{-1}(t)-F_{n}^{-1}(t)\right) \leq 1\right\}-\Phi\left(f_{t}(t(1-t))^{-1 / 2}\right]>0\right.$ a.s., which implies the result desired.
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