On the asymptotic behavior of perturbed linear systems

by Kuo-Liang Chiou* (Detroit, Michigan, U.S.A.)

Abstract. In this paper we shall study the existence and the asymptotic behavior of the solutions of the linear system dy(t)/dt = A(t)y(t) and these of the nonlinear system dx(t)/dt = A(t)x(t)+f(t,x(t)). Several new results are obtained via the techniques introduced by Brauer and Wong [1] and Hallam and Heidel [4]. Theorem 2.1 is an improvement of a theorem of Hallam and Heidel [4] while Theorem 2.2 is related to a theorem of Brauer and Wong [1].

I. Introduction. In this section we shall be concerned with asymptotic relationships between the solutions of the system

(1.1)
$$\frac{dy(t)}{dt} = A(t)y(t), \quad t \geqslant 0,$$

and those of the nonlinear system

(1.2)
$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = A(t)x(t) + f(t, x(t)), \quad t \geqslant 0.$$

where x, y and f are n-vectors in \mathbb{R}^n , A(t) is a continuous $n \times n$ matrix in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ for $t \ge 0$, and f(t, x) is a continuous function of t and x for $t \ge 0$ and $||x|| < \infty$. Here $||\cdot||$ denotes any appropriate vector (or matrix) norm. Denote by $\Phi(t)$ the fundamental matrix of (1.1) with initial condition $\Phi(0) = I$ (the identity $n \times n$ matrix). Throughout this paper we shall always call the following three conditions "Assumption A":

- (i) $\alpha(t)$ and v(t) are positive continuous functions on $J = [0, \infty)$;
- (ii) $\Delta(t)$ is a nonsingular continuous $n \times n$ matrix on J; and
- (iii) $\omega(t, s)$ is nonnegative, continuous on $J \times J$, and is non-decreasing in s for s > 0 and fixed $t \in J$.

There are two types of problems to be studied here. First, suppose that a solution y(t) of (1.1) is given. We are interested in knowing if there exists a solution x(t) of (1.2) such that $||\Delta(t)(x(t)-y(t))|| = O(\alpha(t))$ as $t \to \infty$ for some

^{*} This paper was supported by the U.S. Army Research Office Grant DAAG29-78-G-0042 at Research Triangle Park, N.C.

given function $\alpha(t)$ and continuous $n \times n$ matrix $\Delta(t)$. Many papers have been devoted to a discussion of this problem (see [1], [3], [4], etc.). For example, Hallam and Heidel [4] obtained the following theorem, namely,

THEOREM A (Hallam and Heidel [4]). Suppose that there exist $\alpha(t)$, $\Delta(t)$, and $\omega(t, s)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) Assumption A;
- (ii) $||\Delta(t)\Phi(t)|| \leq \alpha(t)$;
- (iii) $\|\Phi^{-1}(t)f(t,x)\| \le \omega(t,\|\Delta(t)x\|\alpha^{-1}(t))$; and
- (iv) equation $dr/dt = \omega(t, r)$ has a positive solution which is bounded on the interval $t \ge t_0$.

Given any solution $y(t) = \Phi(t)c$ of (1.1) with |c| sufficiently small, there exists a solution x(t) of (1.2) such that

$$||\Delta(t)(x(t)-y(t))|| = o(\alpha(t))$$
 as $t \to \infty$.

Theorem 2.1 below deals with this type of problem. Our result (Theorem 2.1) is an improvement of Theorem A because we replace the condition $||\Delta(t)\Phi(t)|| \leq \alpha(t)$ by a more general inequality and we do not restrict the initial condition of a given solution y(t), to be sufficiently small.

Second we shall deal with the converse problem. Many papers have been devoted to a discussion of this problem (see [1], [3], etc.). Theorem 2.2 below deals with this type of problem. Our result is related to a theorem of Brauer and Wong [1]. In the last section we shall apply Theorem 2.1 to a given equation to obtain a criterion which is an improvement of a criterion from Theorem A.

II. Theorems. Before stating and proving our main theorems let us first study some properties of $\omega(t, r)$ in Theorem A.

LEMMA 2.1. Suppose that $\omega(t, r)$ satisfies Assumption A. Then the following three statements are equivalent:

(1) Given any number $r_0 > 0$ there exists a $t_0 \ge 0$ and a solution $r(t, t_0, r_0)$ of the equation $dr/dt = \omega(t, r)$ such that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}r(t,\,t_0,\,r_0)=\infty.$$

- (2) $\int_{0}^{\infty} \omega(t, \lambda) dt < \infty$ for all λ satisfying $0 \le \lambda < \infty$.
- (3) $\lim_{t\to\infty}\int_{t}^{\infty}\omega(s,\lambda)\,ds=0$ for all λ satisfying $0 \leq \lambda < \infty$.

Proof. The equivalent relationship between (1) and (2) was proved in [4]. It is clear that (2) and (3) are equivalent. This proves the lemma.

Now we shall prove the following theorem via a technique introduced by Hallam and Heidel [4].

THEOREM 2.1. Let y(t) be an arbitrary nontrivial solution of (1.1) and $\beta(t)$

be a positive continuous function on J. Suppose that there exist $\alpha(t)$, $v(t) \Delta(t)$, and $\omega(t, s)$ satisfying the following four conditions:

- (i) Assumption A;
- (ii) for an arbitrary positive constant $\varepsilon < 1$, there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$||\Delta(t) y(t)|| \leq (1-\varepsilon)\alpha(t), \quad t \geq t_0;$$

(iii) $\|\Delta(t)\Phi(t)\Phi^{-1}(s)f(s,x)\| \le v(t)\omega(s,\|\Delta(s)x\|\alpha^{-1}(s))$, for $t_0 \le t \le s$; and

(iv)
$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \frac{v(t)}{\gamma(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} \omega(s, 1) ds = 0$$
; $\gamma(t) = \min\{\alpha(t), \beta(t)\}.$

Then there exists a solution x(t) of (1.2) such that

and

$$(2.2) ||\Delta(t) x(t)|| \leq \alpha(t) as t \to \infty.$$

Proof. For a given positive constant ε in hypothesis (ii), hypothesis (iv) implies that there exists a large T_0 (> t_0) such that

(2.3)
$$\frac{v(t)}{\gamma(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} \omega(s, 1) ds < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } t \ge T_0.$$

Via the Schauder-Tychonoff theorem (see [3], p. 9) we will establish the existence of a solution of the integral equation

$$x(t) = \Phi(t) c - \Phi(t) \int_{t}^{\infty} \Phi^{-1}(s) f(s, x(s)) ds, \quad t \geqslant T_{0},$$

where $\Phi(t) c = y(t)$. Consider the set

$$F = \{u : u(t) = \alpha^{-1}(t) \Delta(t) x(t), \text{ where } x(t) \text{ is continuous on}$$
$$J_0 = [T_0, \infty) \text{ and } ||u(t)|| \le 1 \text{ for } t \ge T_0\}$$

and define the operator T by

$$(2.4) Tu(t) = \frac{\Delta(t)\Phi(t)c}{\alpha(t)} - \frac{\Delta(t)\Phi(t)}{\alpha(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} \Phi^{-1}(s)f(s, \alpha(s)\Delta^{-1}(s)u(s))ds.$$

First we will establish that $TF \subset F$. Taking the norm to both sides of (2.4) and using hypotheses (ii) and (iii) and (2.3), we obtain

$$||Tu(t)|| \leq \frac{||\Delta(t)\Phi(t)c||}{\alpha(t)} + \frac{1}{\alpha(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} ||\Delta(t)\Phi(t)\Phi^{-1}(s)f(s, \Delta^{-1}(s)u(s)\alpha(s))|| ds$$

$$\leq \frac{||\Delta(t)y(t)||}{\alpha(t)} + \frac{v(t)}{\alpha(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} \omega(s, ||u(s)||) ds$$

$$\leq \frac{||\Delta(t)y(t)||}{\alpha(t)} + \varepsilon \leq 1.$$

It is clear that $\alpha(t) \Delta^{-1}(t) Tu(t)$ is continuous on $J_0 = [T_0, \infty)$. This proves $TF \subset F$.

Second we will show that T is continuous. Suppose that the sequence $\{u_n\}$ in F converges uniformly to u in F on every compact subinterval of J_0 . We claim that Tu_n converges uniformly to Tu on every compact subinterval J_1 of J_0 . Let ε_1 be a small positive number satisfying $\varepsilon_1 < 1$. Hypothesis (iv) implies that there exists $T_1 > T_0$ so that for $t \ge T_1$

(2.5)
$$\frac{v(t)}{\gamma(t)} \int_{1}^{\infty} \omega(s, 1) ds < \varepsilon_1/4.$$

Then using (2.4) we obtain the following inequalities, for $t \in J_0$.

$$(2.6) ||Tu_{n}(t) - Tu(t)|| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha(t)} ||\int_{t}^{\infty} \Delta(t) \Phi(t) \Phi^{-1}(s) f(s, \alpha(s) \Delta^{-1}(s) u_{n}(s)) ds - \\ - \int_{t}^{\infty} \Delta(t) \Phi(t) \Phi^{-1}(s) f(s, \alpha(s) \Delta^{-1}(s) u(s)) ds || \\ \leq \frac{||\Delta(t) \Phi(t)||}{\alpha(t)} \cdot \int_{t}^{T_{1}} [||\Phi^{-1}(s)|| \cdot ||f(s, \alpha(s) \Delta^{-1}(s) u_{n}(s)) - \\ - f(s, \alpha(s) \Delta^{-1}(s) u(s))||] ds + \\ + \frac{1}{\alpha(t)} \int_{T_{1}}^{\infty} [||\Delta(t) \Phi(t) \Phi^{-1}(s) f(s, \Delta^{-1}(s) u_{n}(s) \alpha(s))|| + \\ + ||\Delta(t) \Phi(t) \Phi^{-1}(s) f(s, \alpha(s) \Delta^{-1}(s) u(s))||] ds.$$

Now using hypothesis (iii) and (2.5), the second integral on the right-hand side of (2.6) satisfies

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{1}{\alpha(t)} \int_{T_{1}}^{\infty} \left[\left\| \Delta(t) \Phi(t) \Phi^{-1}(s) f(s, \alpha(s) \Delta^{-1}(s) u_{n}(s)) \right\| + \left\| \Delta(t) \Phi(t) \Phi^{-1}(s) f(s, \alpha(s) \Delta^{-1}(s) u(s)) \right\| \right] ds$$

$$\leq \frac{v(t)}{\alpha(t)} \int_{T_{1}}^{\infty} \left[\omega(s, \|u_{n}(s)\|) + \omega(s, \|u(s)\|) \right] ds$$

$$\leq \frac{2v(t)}{\alpha(t)} \int_{T_{1}}^{\infty} \omega(s, 1) ds < \frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{2}.$$

By the uniform convergence there is an $N = N(\varepsilon, T_1)$ such that if $n \ge N$, then

$$(2.8) \quad \left\| f(t, \alpha(t) \Delta^{-1}(t) u_{\pi}(t)) - f(t, \alpha(t) \Delta^{-1}(t) u(t)) \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2M_1 M_2 (T_1 - T_0)},$$

where

$$M_1 = \sup_{T_0 \leqslant t \leqslant T_1} ||\Phi^{-1}(t)|| \quad \text{and} \quad M_2 = \sup_{t \in J_1} \frac{\alpha(t)}{||\Delta(t)|\Phi(t)||}.$$

Combining (2.6), (2.7), and (2.8) yields for $t \in J_1$

$$||Tu(t)-Tu_n(t)||<\varepsilon_1 \quad \text{for } n\geqslant N.$$

This shows that Tu_n converges uniformly to Tu on compact subintervals J_1 of J_0 . Hence T is continuous.

Third we claim that the functions in the image set TF are equicontinuous and bounded at every point of J_0 . Since $TF \subset F$, it is clear that the functions in TF are uniformly bounded. Now we show that they are equicontinuous at each point of J_0 . For each $u \in F$, the function $z(t) = \alpha(t)\Delta^{-1}(t) Tu(t)$ is a solution of the linear system below

$$\frac{dv}{dt} = A(t)v + f(t, \alpha(t)\Delta^{-1}(t)u(t)).$$

Since $||z(t)|| \le \alpha(t) ||\Delta^{-1}(t)|| ||Tu(t)|| \le \alpha(t) ||\Delta^{-1}(t)||$ and $||f(t, \alpha(t) \Delta^{-1}(t) u(t))||$ is uniformly bounded for $u \in F$ on any finite t interval, we see that dv/dt is uniformly bounded on any finite interval. Therefore, the set of all such z is equicontinuous on any finite interval. To see that the functions in TF are equicontinuous at every point in J_0 , consider

$$(2.9) ||Tu(t_1) - Tu(t_2)|| = ||\alpha^{-1}(t_1) \Delta(t_1) z(t_1) - \alpha^{-1}(t_2) \Delta(t_2) z(t_2)|| \leq ||\alpha^{-1}(t_1) \Delta(t_1)|| ||z(t_1) - z(t_2)|| + ||\alpha^{-1}(t_1) \Delta(t_1) - \alpha^{-1}(t_2) \Delta(t_2)|| \cdot ||z(t_2)||,$$

where t_1 , t_2 are in some finite interval. The right-hand side of (2.9) can be made small by virtue of the equicontinuity of the family $\{z(t)\}$ and the continuity of $\alpha^{-1}(t)\Delta(t)$. Thus the functions in TF are equicontinuous at each point of J_0 .

All of the hypotheses of the Schauder-Tychonoff theorem are satisfied. Thus there exists a $u \in F$ such that u(t) = Tu(t); that is, there exists a solution x(t) of

$$x(t) = y(t) - \Phi(t) \int_{t}^{\infty} \Phi^{-1}(s) f(s, x(s)) ds.$$

Therefore x(t) is a solution of (1.2) and possesses the asymptotic behavior of (2.1) and (2.2). This proves Theorem 2.1.

Remark 2.1. We here replaced the condition $||\Delta(t)\Phi(t)|| \leq \alpha(t)$ in Theorem A by the more general condition $||\Delta(t)y(t)|| \leq (1-\varepsilon)\alpha(t)$ in Theorem 2.1. Here $y(t) = \Phi(t)c$ for some vector c.

If we take $v(t) = ||\Delta(t) \Phi(t)||$ and $\alpha(t) = \beta(t)$, Theorem 2.1 implies the following corollary.

Corollary 2.1. Let y(t) be an arbitrary nontrivial solution of (1.1). Suppose that there exist $\alpha(t)$, $\Delta(t)$, and $\omega(t, s)$ satisfying Assumption A and for some positive $\varepsilon < 1$ there exists t_0 such that for $t \ge t_0$

$$\frac{\|\Delta(t)\Phi(t)\|}{\alpha(t)} < 1 - \varepsilon, \qquad \|\Phi^{-1}(t)f(t,x)\| \leqslant \omega(t,\|\alpha^{-1}(t)\Delta(t)x(t)\|)$$

and

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\int\limits_t^\infty\omega(s,\,1)\,ds=0.$$

Then there exists a solution x(t) of (1.2) such that (2.1) with $\beta(t) = \alpha(t)$ holds.

Proof. Since $||\Delta(t)y(t)|| \le ||\Delta(t)\Phi(t)||$, Corollary 2.1 follows from Theorem 2.1.

Remark 2.2. From Lemma 2.1, Corollary 2.1 is an improvement of Theorem A. Moreover, the given solution y(t) in the above corollary does not require a sufficiently small initial condition.

If we let the coefficient A(t) in (1.1) be constant, $\alpha(t) = \beta(t)$, and $\Delta(t) = I$, Theorem 2.1 implies the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2.2. Suppose that A(t) is a constant $n \times n$ matrix. Let y(t) be an arbitrary nontrivial solution of (1.1). Suppose also that there exist $\alpha(t)$ and $\omega(t, s)$ satisfying

- (i) Assumption A;
- (ii) $||y(t)|| \leq \alpha(t), t \geq t_0$;
- (iii) $||f(t, x)|| \exp(||A||t) \le \omega(t, ||x|| \alpha^{-1}(t));$

and

(iv)
$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\int_{t}^{\infty}\omega(s, 1)\,ds=0.$$

Then there exists a solution x(t) of (1.2) such that $||x(t) - y(t)|| = o(\alpha(t))$ and $||x(t)|| \le \alpha(t)$ as $t \to \infty$.

Proof. Since $\Phi(t)\Phi^{-1}(s) = \exp(A(t-s))$, using hypothesis (iii) we obtain for $t \leq s$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(t)\Phi^{-1}(s)f(s, x)\| &\leq \|\Phi(t)\Phi^{-1}(s)\| \|f(s, x)\| \leq \exp(\|A\|(s-t))\|f(s, x)\| \\ &\leq \exp(-\|A\|t) \cdot (\|A\|s) \cdot \|f(s, x)\| \\ &\leq v(t)\omega(s, \|x\|\alpha^{-1}(s)). \end{aligned}$$

Here we choose $v(t) = \exp(-||A||t)$. Since $||y(t)|| \le \alpha(t)$ and $y(t) = \Phi(t)c$ for some vector c, we obtain $\alpha(t) \ge \exp(-||A||t)$. Thus hypothesis (iv) in Theorem 2.1 becomes

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\sup \frac{v(t)}{\gamma(t)}\int_{t}^{\infty}\omega(s, 1)\,ds \leqslant \lim_{t\to\infty}\int_{t}^{\infty}\omega(s, 1)\,ds = 0.$$

All hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 hold. This proves Corollary 2.2.

The following theorem deals with the converse problem to that considered in Theorem 2.1.

THEOREM 2.2. Let x(t) be an arbitrary solution of (1.2) and $\beta(t)$ be a positive continuous function on J. Suppose that there exist $\alpha(t)$, v(t), $\Delta(t)$, and $\omega(t, s)$ satisfying

- (i) Assumption A;
- (ii) $||\Delta(t)x(t)|| \leq \alpha(t)\beta(t)$ for $t \geq t_0$;
- (iii) $||\Phi^{-1}(t)f(t, x)|| \le \omega(t, ||\Delta(t)x(t)||\beta^{-1}(t));$
- (iv) $||\Delta(t)\Phi(t)\Phi^{-1}(s)f(s,x)|| \le v(t)\omega(s,||\Delta(s)x(s)||\beta^{-1}(s));$
- (v) $\int_{0}^{\infty} \omega(t, \alpha(t)) dt < \infty$;

and

(vi)
$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \frac{v(t)}{\beta(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} \omega(s, \alpha(s)) ds = 0.$$

Then there exists a solution y(t) of (1.1) such that (2.1) holds.

Proof. Using the variation of constant formula, we can represent any solution x(t) of (1.2) by the integral equation

(2.10)
$$x(t) = \Phi(t) x(t_0) + \Phi(t) \int_{t_0}^{t} \Phi^{-1}(s) f(s, x(s)) ds.$$

Next, consider the expression

$$x(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t} \Phi^{-1}(s) f(s, x(s)) ds.$$

Using hypotheses (i), (ii), (iii), and (v), we obtain

$$\int_{t_0}^{t} \|\Phi^{-1}(s) f(s, x(s))\| ds \leq \int_{t_0}^{t} \omega(s, \|\Delta(s) x(s)\| \beta^{-1}(s)) ds$$

$$\leq \int_{t_0}^{t} \omega(s, \alpha(s)) ds < \infty.$$

As a consequence of the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we have

(2.11)
$$c = \lim_{t \to \infty} \int_{t_0}^t \Phi^{-1}(s) f(s, x(s)) ds + x(t_0).$$

Substituting (2.11) into (2.10) shows

$$x(t) = \Phi(t) c - \Phi(t) \int_{t}^{\infty} \Phi^{-1}(s) f(s, x(s)) ds$$

and then

(2.12)
$$\frac{\Delta(t) x(t)}{\beta(t)} = \frac{\Delta(t) \Phi(t) c}{\beta(t)} - \frac{\Delta(t) \Phi(t)}{\beta(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} \Phi^{-1}(s) f(s, x(s)) ds.$$

Let $y(t) = \Phi(t) c$. It is clear that y(t) is a solution of (1.1). Thus it follows from hypothesis (iv), (2.11) and (2.10) that

$$(2.13) \qquad \frac{\|\Delta(t)(x(t)-y(t))\|}{\beta(t)} \leq \frac{1}{\beta(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} \|\Delta(t) \Phi(t) \Phi^{-1}(s) f(s, x(s))\| ds$$

$$\leq \frac{v(t)}{\beta(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} \omega(s, \|\Delta(s) x(s)\| \beta^{-1}(s)) ds$$

$$\leq \frac{v(t)}{\beta(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} \omega(s, \alpha(s)) ds.$$

Therefore, the theorem follows from (2.13) and hypothesis (vi).

Corresponding to Corollary 2.1 if we take $v(t) = ||\Delta(t) \Phi(t)||$ and $\alpha(t) = 1$ in Theorem 2.2, we obtain the following result.

COROLLARY 2.3. Let x(t) be an arbitrary solution of (1.2). Suppose that there exist $\alpha(t)$, $\Delta(t)$, and $\omega(t, s)$ satisfying Assumption A,

$$||\Delta(t)\Phi(t)|| \le \beta(t), \quad ||\Phi^{-1}(t)f(t,x)|| \le \omega(t, ||\Delta(t)x(t)||\beta^{-1}(t)),$$

and

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\int\limits_{t}^{\infty}\omega(s,\,1)\,ds=0.$$

Then there exists a solution y(t) of (1.1) such that (2.1) holds.

Remark 2.3. In Corollary 2.3 we do not require the initial condition of a given solution x(t) to be sufficiently small as stated in [1], Theorem 1, and we use the condition, $\lim_{t\to\infty} \int_{t}^{\infty} \omega(s, 1) ds = 0$ which is weaker than part (i) of Lemma 2.1 as stated in [1], Theorem 1. Moreover, $\beta(t)$ depends on the given solution x(t) in Corollary 2.3 while $\beta(t)$ depends on the fundamental matrix, $\Phi(t)$, of (2.1) in [1], Theorem 1.

Corresponding to Corollary 2.2, if we let the coefficient A(t) in (1.1) be constant, $\alpha(t) = 1$, and $\Delta(t) = I$, then Theorem 2.2 implies the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2.4. Suppose that A(t) is a constant $n \times n$ matrix and $\beta(t)$ is a positive continuous function on J. Let x(t) be an arbitrary nontrivial solution of (1.2). Suppose also that there exists $\omega(t, s)$ satisfying Assumption A,

$$||x(t)|| \le \beta(t), \quad ||f(t, x)|| \exp(||A||t) \le \omega(t, ||x|| \beta_{\cdot}^{-1}(t))$$

and

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\int\limits_{t}^{\infty}\omega(s,\,1)\,ds=0.$$

Then there exists a solution y(t) of (1.1) such that (2.1) holds.

III. Example. Consider the following differential equation

(3.1)
$$\theta''(t) + 2\theta(t) + f(t)\theta^{r}(t) = 0, \quad t \geqslant 0,$$

where $\alpha > 0$, $r \ge 1$, and f(t) is a real continuous function for $t \ge 0$. It is clear that (3.1) can be rewritten as

(3.2)
$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = Ax(t) + F(t, x(t)),$$

where

$$x(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \theta(t) \\ \theta'(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad F(t, x(t)) = f(t) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \theta^r(t).$$

Thus we could consider (3.2) as a perturbed linear system of

$$\frac{dy(t)}{dt} = Ay(t).$$

If we apply Theorem A to (3.2), we obtain that if

$$(3.3) \qquad \qquad \int\limits_{0}^{\infty} |f(s)| \, e^{(1+r)s} \, ds < \infty,$$

then there exists a nontrivial solution x(t) of (3.2) for which

(3.4)
$$||x(t) - e^{-\alpha t}|| = o(e^{-\alpha t})$$
 as $t \to \infty$.

However, from Corollary 2.2 we obtain that if

$$(3.5) \qquad \qquad \int_{0}^{\infty} |f(s)| \, e^{(1-r)s} \, ds < \infty,$$

then there exists a nontrivial solution x(t) of (3.2) for which (3.4) holds. This later criterion is an improvement of the early criterion from Theorem A because of (3.3) and (3.5).

References

[1] F. Brauer and J. S. W. Wong, On the asymptotic relationships between solutions of two systems of ordinary differential equations, J. Diff. Eq. 6 (1969), p. 142-153.

- [2] W. G. Cooper and G. T. Hallam, Nonlinear perturbation of a linear system of differential equations with a regular singular point, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 5 (1975), p. 247-254.
- [3] W. A. Coppel, Stability and asymptotic behavior of differential equations, Heath and Co., Boston 1965.
- [4] T. G. Hallam and J. W. Heidel, The asymptotic manifolds of a perturbed linear system of differential equations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 149 (1970), p. 233-241.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY DETROIT, MICHIGAN, U.S.A.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 19. 7. 1978
