

**ON THE ASYMPTOTIC DISTRIBUTIONS OF CERTAIN STATISTICS
USED IN TESTING THE INDEPENDENCE BETWEEN SUCCESSIVE
OBSERVATIONS FROM A NORMAL POPULATION**

BY P. L. HSU
Columbia University

1. The statistics to be considered here have the general expression

$$T = \frac{Q}{S}, \quad Q = \sum_{i=1}^N a_{ij}(x_i - \bar{x})(x_j - \bar{x}), \quad S = \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \bar{x})^2,$$

where (x_1, \dots, x_N) is a sample from a normal population whose mean and variance can evidently be assumed to be 0 and 1 respectively.¹ The purpose of this note is to study the asymptotic distribution of T assuming that the x_i are independent. The whole work may be regarded as a straightforward application of Cramér's theory of asymptotic expansion (see [1], pp. 69-88).

If $A = [a_{ij}]$ and γ is the row vector $N^{-1}[1, 1, \dots, 1, 1]$ the quadratic form Q has the matrix $(I - \gamma'\gamma)A(I - \gamma'\gamma)$. The latent roots of this matrix, which are also the latent roots of $A(I - \gamma'\gamma)^2 = A(I - \gamma'\gamma)$, will be denoted by $0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$, with $n = N - 1$. Then Q and S can be simultaneously diagonalized (by a rotation of the N -dimensional space), so that

$$Q = \sum_{r=1}^n \lambda_r y_r^2, \quad S = \sum_{r=1}^n y_r^2,$$

where the y_r are again independently and normally distributed with zero mean and unit variance.

We shall make the following assumptions

- (a) $|\lambda_r| \leq 1$ for all r .
- (b) There is a positive number c independent of n such that

$$\sum_{r=1}^n (\lambda_r - \bar{\lambda})^2 > cn, \quad \text{where } \bar{\lambda} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{r=1}^n \lambda_r.$$

Write

$$z = \frac{\sqrt{2 \sum_{r=1}^n (\lambda_r - \bar{\lambda})^2} x}{\sqrt{n^2 - 2nx^2}}, \quad s_m(x) = \sum_{r=1}^n (\lambda_r - \bar{\lambda} - z)^m,$$

$$X_r = (\lambda_r - \bar{\lambda} - z)(y_r^2 - 1), \quad G(x) = Pr\{T \leq \bar{\lambda} + z\}.$$

¹The exact and the approximate distribution of such statistics were a recent subject of study by a number of statisticians. See W. J. Dixon, "Further contributions to the problem of serial correlation," *Annals of Math. Stat.*, Vol. 15 (1944), pp. 119-144. Further references are listed in Dixon's paper.

Then it can easily be verified that

$$G(x) = Pr \left\{ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^r X_i}{\sqrt{2s_2(x)}} \leq x \right\}.$$

This expression of $G(x)$ shows that the application of Cramér's expansion is at hand, since $E(X_r) = 0$ and $2s_2(x)$ is the variance of ΣX_r . Let ρ_{kn} and T_{kn} stand for the same quantities as defined in Cramér's work (see [1], pp. 70-71). Since moments of all order of X_r exist, we may use $2k + 2$ in place of k . We have

$$\rho_{2k+2,n} = \frac{\frac{1}{n} m_k s_{2k+2}(x)}{\left(\frac{2}{n} s_2(x)\right)^{k+1}}, \quad T_{2k+2,n} = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{4\rho_{2k+2,n}^{3/2k+2}},$$

where $m_k = E(y^2 - 1)^{2k+2}$ and y is a normal variate with mean 0 and variance 1.

By virtue of assumption (a) $|T| \leq 1$. Therefore we may confine ourselves to the range of values for which $|\bar{\lambda} + z| \leq 1$. Then $|\lambda_r - \bar{\lambda} - z| \leq 2$. Also, by assumption (b), $s_2(x) \geq \Sigma(\lambda_r - \bar{\lambda})^2 > cn$. Hence $\rho_{2k+2,n}$, and in consequence $\sqrt{n}T_{2k+2,n}^{-1}$, are less than some constant independent of n and x . The remainder of Cramér's expansion, if it is justifiable, will therefore be less than Mn^{-k} , where M is independent of n and x . The justification consists in verifying that the following condition is satisfied: if $f_r(t)$ is the characteristic function of X_r and A is any positive number, then

$$\text{l.u.b.} \prod_{r=1}^n |f_r(t)| \quad \text{for} \quad |t| > \frac{T_{2k+2,n}}{\sqrt{2s_2(x)}}$$

is less than $M_1 T_{2k+2,n}^{-A}$, where M_1 is independent of n and x (see [1], p. 85). Since $T_{2k+2,n} \leq \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{n}^2$ and $s_2(x) > c\sqrt{n}$, it is sufficient to show that, if a and A are any positive numbers and if

$$U = \text{l.u.b.} \prod_{r=1}^n |f_r(t)| \quad \text{for} \quad |t| > a,$$

then $U \leq M_2 n^{-A}$, where M_2 is independent of n and x . Now

$$|f_r(t)| = \{1 + 4t^2(\lambda_r - \bar{\lambda} - z)^2\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

whence

$$U = \prod_{r=1}^n \{1 + 4a^2(\lambda_r - \bar{\lambda} - z)^2\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Let μ be the number of λ_r for which $(\lambda_r - \bar{\lambda} - z)^2 < \frac{1}{2}c$. Then $cn < s_2(x) \leq \frac{1}{2}c(n - \mu) + 4\mu$; hence $cn < (8 - c)\mu$ and

$$U \leq (1 + 2a^2c)^{-\frac{1}{2}\mu} < (1 + 2a^2c)^{-(cn/4(8-c))}$$

This shows that the desired condition on U is satisfied, and that therefore Cramér's procedure can be adopted.

* This follows from the fact that $P_{2k+2,n} > 1$. Cf. Cramér, [1], p. 70.

Wherever Cramér's asymptotic expansion is valid, the terms in the expansion are most conveniently obtained with the help of Cornish and Fisher's symbolic expression (see [2]):

$$e^{-(1/3!) \gamma_3 (d^3/dx^3) + (1/4!) \gamma_4 (d^4/dx^4) - \dots} \Phi(x),$$

where

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-t^2} dy$$

and γ_j is the j th semi-invariant of the random variable whose distribution is under asymptotic expansion. In the present case we have

$$\frac{\gamma_j}{j!} = \frac{\beta_j(x)}{n^{j(G-2)}},$$

where

$$\beta_j(x) = \frac{2^{j(G-2)}}{j} \frac{\frac{1}{n} s_j(x)}{\left(\frac{1}{n} s_2(x)\right)^{1/2}}.$$

Hence we may express our result as follows:

$$(1) \quad G(x) = \exp \left[\sum_{j=3}^{2k+1} \frac{(-1)^j \beta_j(x)}{n^{j(G-2)}} \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^j \right] \Phi(x) + R_k(x),$$

where $|R_k(x)| \leq Mn^{-k}$, and M is independent of n and x . The symbolic exponential in (1) is to be expanded as far as and including the term in $n^{-k(2k-1)}$.

2. Let us apply the result (1) to the following three statistics: $T_\alpha = Q_\alpha/S$, ($\alpha = 1, 2, 3$), where

$$Q_1 = \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \bar{x})(x_{i+1} - \bar{x}) \quad \text{with} \quad x_{N+1} = x_1,$$

$$Q_2 = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 - \bar{x})^2 + \frac{1}{2}(x_N - \bar{x})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (x_i - \bar{x})(x_{i+1} - \bar{x}),$$

$$Q_3 = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (x_i - \bar{x})(x_{i+1} - \bar{x}).$$

T_2 is simply related with $T^* = Q^*/S$, where

$$Q^* = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (x_i - x_{i+1})^2;$$

for we have $Q_2 = S - \frac{1}{2}Q^*$, whence $T_2 = 1 - \frac{1}{2}T^*$. We shall write $\lambda_r^{(\alpha)}$ for the λ 's corresponding to Q_α , and

$$b_{m\alpha} = \sum_{r=1}^n (\lambda_r^{(\alpha)})^m, \quad (\alpha = 1, 2, 3).$$

(i) For Q_1 we have $\lambda_r^{(1)} = \cos \frac{2\pi r}{N}$ (see [3]). Since

$$\cos m\theta = \frac{1}{2^m} (e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta})^m = \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} e^{i(sj-m)\theta},$$

we have

$$b_{m1} = \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} \sum_{r=1}^n \xi^r, \quad \text{where } \xi = e^{2\pi(2j-m)t/N}.$$

If $m < n$, then

$$\sum_{r=1}^n \xi^r = -1 \quad \text{if } j \neq \frac{1}{2}m, \quad = n \quad \text{if } j = \frac{1}{2}m.$$

Hence, for $m < n$, $b_{m1} = -1$ if m is odd, $b_{m1} = \frac{N}{2^m} \binom{m}{\frac{1}{2}m} - 1$ if m is even.

In particular

$$\bar{\lambda}^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{n}, \quad \sum_{r=1}^n (\lambda_r^{(1)} - \bar{\lambda}^{(1)})^2 = \frac{n^2 - n - 2}{2n} > 0.4n \quad \text{if } n \geq 7.$$

Hence assumptions (a) and (b) are true (for $n \geq 7$). The $s_j(x)$ are conveniently computed with the help of b_{m1} . The $\beta_j(x)$ are then computed to yield the terms in (1).

(ii) The λ 's corresponding to Q^* are $4 \sin^2 \frac{r\pi}{2N}$ (see [4]). Hence

$$\lambda_r^{(2)} = \cos \frac{r\pi}{N}.$$

By a computation similar to that in (i) we easily obtain $b_{m2} = \frac{N}{2^m} \binom{m}{\frac{1}{2}m} - 1$ for even m and $b_{m2} = 0$ for odd m , provided $m < 2n$. In particular, $\bar{\lambda}^{(2)} = 0$, $\sum (\lambda_r^{(2)} - \bar{\lambda}^{(2)})^2 = \frac{n-1}{2} \geq .4n$ for $n \geq 5$. Hence assumptions (a) and (b) are true (for $n \geq 5$).

(iii) In the case of Q_3 the matrix A is

$$A = \left\| \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & \frac{1}{2} & & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \\ & \frac{1}{2} & \cdot & \\ & & \cdot & \\ & & & \cdot \\ & & & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & & & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{array} \right\|$$

whose latent roots are $\cos \pi t / (N + 1)$, ($t = 1, \dots, N$) (see [5]), all less than or equal to unity in absolute value. It follows that the same is true for the $\lambda_r^{(3)}$.

Hence assumption (a) is true. Unlike the two previous cases, there is no simple expression for b_{m3} . With the help of the formula

$$b_{m3} = \text{tr} \{A(I - \gamma'\gamma)\}^m$$

we may compute b_{m3} for small values of m . Thus

$$b_{13} = -\frac{n}{n+1}$$

$$b_{23} = \frac{n}{2} - \frac{2n-1}{n+1} + \frac{n^2}{(n+1)^2}$$

$$b_{33} = -\frac{3(n-1)}{n+1} + \frac{3n(2n-1)}{2(n+1)^2} - \frac{n^3}{(n+1)^3}$$

$$b_{43} = \frac{3n-2}{8} - \frac{8n-11}{2(n+1)} + \frac{4n(n-1)}{(n+1)^2} + \frac{(2n-1)^2}{2(n+1)^2} - \frac{2n^2(2n-1)}{(n+1)^3} + \frac{n^4}{(n+1)^4}$$

$$b_{53} = -\frac{5(4n-7)}{4(n+1)} + \frac{5n(8n-11)}{8(n+1)^2} + \frac{5(2n-1)(n-1)}{2(n+1)^2} - \frac{5n^2(n-1)}{(n+1)^3} \\ - \frac{5n(2n-1)^2}{4(n+1)^3} + \frac{5n^3(2n-1)}{2(n+1)^5} - \frac{n^5}{(n+1)^5}$$

$$\overline{\lambda^{(3)}} = -\frac{1}{n+1}, \sum_{r=1}^n (\lambda_r^{(3)} - \overline{\lambda^{(3)}})^2 = \frac{n}{2} - \frac{2n-1}{n+1} + \frac{n^2-n}{(n+1)^2} > 0.4n \text{ for } n \geq 10.$$

Hence assumption (b) is true (for $n \geq 10$). Using these values of b_{m3} we may compute $\beta_3(x)$, $\beta_4(x)$ and $\beta_5(x)$. By (1) we have

$$G(x) = \Phi(x) - \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}} \beta_3(x) \Phi^{(3)}(x) + \frac{1}{n} (\beta_4(x) \Phi^{(4)}(x) + \frac{1}{2} \beta_3^2(x) \Phi^{(6)}(x)) \\ - \frac{1}{n^{\frac{3}{2}}} (\beta_5(x) \Phi^{(5)}(x) - \beta_3(x) \beta_4(x) \Phi^{(7)}(x) + \frac{1}{6} \beta_3^3(x) \Phi^{(9)}(x)) + R(x),$$

where $|R(x)| \leq Mn^{-2}$ and M is independent of n and x .

REFERENCES

- [1] H. CRAMÉR, *Random Variables and Probability Distributions*, Cambridge Tract No. 36, 1937.
- [2] E. A. CORNISH AND R. A. FISHER, *Revue de l'Institut International de Statistique*, 1937.
- [3] R. L. ANDERSON, "Distribution of the serial correlation coefficient", *Annals of Math. Stat.*, Vol. 13 (1942), pp. 1-13.
- [4] T. KOOPMANS, "Serial correlation and quadratic forms in normal variables", *Annals of Math. Stat.* Vol. 13 (1942), pp. 14-33.
- [5] J. VON NEUMANN, "A further remark concerning the distribution of the ratio of the mean square successive difference to the variance", *Annals of Math. Stat.*, Vol. 13 (1942), pp. 86-88.