

# ON THE ASYMPTOTIC THEORY OF FIXED-WIDTH SEQUENTIAL CONFIDENCE INTERVALS FOR THE MEAN

BY Y. S. CHOW<sup>1</sup> AND HERBERT ROBBINS<sup>2</sup>

*Purdue University and Columbia University*

**1. Introduction.** Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  be a sequence of independent observations from some population. We want to find a confidence interval of prescribed width  $2d$  and prescribed coverage probability  $\alpha$  for the unknown mean  $\mu$  of the population. If the variance  $\sigma^2$  of the population is known, and if  $d$  is small compared to  $\sigma^2$ , this can be done as follows. For any  $n \geq 1$  define

$$\bar{x}_n = n^{-1} \sum_1^n x_i, \quad I_n = [\bar{x}_n - d, \bar{x}_n + d],$$

and choose  $a$  to satisfy

$$(2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-a}^a e^{-u^2/2} du = \alpha.$$

Then for a sample size  $n$  determined by

$$(1) \quad n = \text{smallest integer } \geq (a^2 \sigma^2) / d^2,$$

the interval  $I_n$  has coverage probability

$$P(\mu \in I_n) = P(\sqrt{n}|\bar{x}_n - \mu|/\sigma \leq d\sqrt{n}/\sigma).$$

Since (1) implies that  $\lim_{d \rightarrow 0} (d^2 n) / (a^2 \sigma^2) = 1$ , it follows from the central limit theorem that

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow 0} P(\mu \in I_n) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-a}^a e^{-u^2/2} du = \alpha.$$

We shall be concerned with the case in which the nature of the population, and hence  $\sigma^2$ , is unknown, so that no fixed sample size method is available. Define

$$(2) \quad v_n = n^{-1} \sum_1^n (x_i - \bar{x}_n)^2 + n^{-1} \quad (n \geq 1),$$

let  $a_1, a_2, \dots$  be any sequence of positive constants such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = a$ , and define

$$(3) \quad N = \text{smallest } k \geq 1 \text{ such that } v_k \leq (d^2 k) / a_k^2.$$

The object of the present note is to prove the following

**THEOREM.** *Under the sole assumption that  $0 < \sigma^2 < \infty$ ,*

Received 5 October 1964.

<sup>1</sup> Research supported by the Office of Naval Research under Contract No. Nonr-1100(26).

<sup>2</sup> Research supported by the Office of Naval Research under Contract No. Nonr-266(59), Project No. 042-205.

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

$$(4) \quad \lim_{d \rightarrow 0} (d^2 N) / (a^2 \sigma^2) = 1 \quad a.s.,$$

$$(5) \quad \lim_{d \rightarrow 0} P(\mu \in I_N) = \alpha \quad (\text{asymptotic "consistency"}),$$

$$(6) \quad \lim_{d \rightarrow 0} (d^2 EN) / (a^2 \sigma^2) = 1. \quad (\text{asymptotic "efficiency"}).$$

REMARKS.

1. In case the distribution function of the  $x_i$  is continuous, Definition (2) can be replaced by, e.g.,

$$(7) \quad v_n = n^{-1} \sum_1^n (x_i - \bar{x}_n)^2.$$

2. As will become evident from the proof,  $N$  in (3) could be defined as the smallest (or the smallest odd, etc.) integer  $\geq n_0$  such that the indicated inequality holds, where  $n_0$  is any fixed positive integer.

## 2. Proof of the theorem.

LEMMA 1. Let  $y_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) be any sequence of random variables such that  $y_n > 0$  a.s.,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = 1$  a.s., let  $f(n)$  be any sequence of constants such that

$$f(n) > 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n) = \infty, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n)/f(n-1) = 1,$$

and for each  $t > 0$  define

$$(8) \quad N = N(t) = \text{smallest } k \geq 1 \text{ such that } y_k \leq f(k)/t.$$

Then  $N$  is well-defined and non-decreasing as a function of  $t$ ,

$$(9) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N = \infty \quad a.s., \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} EN = \infty,$$

and

$$(10) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(N)/t = 1 \quad a.s.$$

PROOF. (9) is easily verified. To prove (10) we observe that for  $N > 1$ ,  $y_N \leq f(N)/t < [f(N)/f(N-1)]y_{N-1}$ , whence (10) follows as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

LEMMA 2. If the conditions of Lemma 1 hold and if also  $E(\sup_n y_n) < \infty$ , then

$$(11) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Ef(N)/t = 1.$$

PROOF. Let  $z = \sup_n y_n$ ; then  $Ez < \infty$ . Choose  $m$  such that  $f(n)/f(n-1) \leq 2$ , ( $n > m$ ). Then for  $N > m$

$$f(N)/t = [f(N)f(N-1)]/[f(N-1)t] < 2y_{N-1} < 2z.$$

Hence for  $t \geq 1$ ,

$$(12) \quad f(N)/t \leq 2z + f(1) + \dots + f(m).$$

(11) follows from (10), (12), and Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem.

PROOF OF (4) AND (5). Set

$$(13) \quad y_n = v_n/\sigma^2 = (1/n\sigma^2)(\sum_1^n (x_i - \bar{x}_n)^2 + 1),$$

$$(14) \quad f(n) = (na^2)/a_n^2, \quad t = (a^2\sigma^2)/d^2;$$

then (3) can be written as

$$N = N(t) = \text{smallest } k \geq 1 \text{ such that } y_k \leq f(k)/t.$$

By Lemma 1,

$$(15) \quad 1 = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(N)/t = \lim_{d \rightarrow 0} (d^2 N)/(a^2 \sigma^2) \quad \text{a.s.},$$

which proves (4). Now

$$P(\mu \in I_N) = P(|x_1 + \dots + x_N - N\mu|/\sigma\sqrt{N} \leq d\sqrt{N}/\sigma).$$

By (15),  $d\sqrt{N}/\sigma \rightarrow a$  and  $N/t \rightarrow 1$  in probability as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ; it follows from a result of Anscombe [1] that as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$(x_1 + \dots + x_N - N\mu)/\sigma\sqrt{N} \sim N(0, 1).$$

Hence

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P(\mu \in I_N) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-a}^a e^{-u^2/2} du = \alpha,$$

which proves (5).

It remains to prove (6). This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2 whenever the distribution of the  $x_i$  is such that

$$(16) \quad E\{\sup_n (n^{-1} \sum_1^n (x_i - \bar{x}_n)^2)\} < \infty,$$

for then

$$(17) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [Ef(N)]/t = 1,$$

and from the fact that the function  $f(n)$  defined by (14) is  $n + o(n)$  it follows from (17) that

$$1 = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} EN/t = \lim_{d \rightarrow 0} (d^2 EN)/(a^2 \sigma^2).$$

For (16) to hold it would suffice for the fourth moment of the  $x_i$  to be finite; however, we shall in the following prove that (6) holds without such a restriction. For this we need

LEMMA 3. *If the conditions of Lemma 1 hold, if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n)/n = 1$ , if for  $N$  defined by (8),*

$$(18) \quad EN < \infty \text{ (all } t > 0), \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} E(Ny_N)/EN \leq 1,$$

and if there exists a sequence of constants  $g(n)$  such that

$$g(n) > 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(n) = 1, \quad y_n \geq g(n)y_{n-1},$$

then

$$(19) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} EN/t = 1.$$

PROOF. For any  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  choose  $m$  so that

$$f(n - 1) \geq (1 - \epsilon)f(n)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(n - 1) &\geq (1 - \epsilon)n && \text{for } n \geq m \\
 g(n) &\geq 1 - \epsilon
 \end{aligned}$$

and  $E(Ny_N) \leq (1 + \epsilon)EN$  for  $t \geq m$ . On the set  $A = \{N \geq m\}$  it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 [(1 - \epsilon)^2/t]N^2 &= (1 - \epsilon)N \cdot (1 - \epsilon)N/t \leq g(N)Nf(N - 1)/t \\
 &< g(N)Ny_{N-1} \leq Ny_N.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 [(1 - \epsilon)^2/t](\int_A N^2) &\leq [(1 - \epsilon)^2/t]\int_A N^2 \leq \int_A Ny_N \leq E(Ny_N), \\
 [(1 - \epsilon)^2/t]\int_A N &\leq E(Ny_N)/\int_A N, \\
 [(1 - \epsilon)^2/t](EN - m) &\leq E(Ny_N)/(EN - m).
 \end{aligned}$$

From (9) and (18) it follows that

$$(1 - \epsilon)^2 \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} EN/t \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} E(Ny_N)/(EN) \leq 1,$$

so that

$$(20) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} EN/t \leq 1.$$

Now let  $y_n' = \min(1, y_n)$ . Then

$$0 < y_n' \leq 1, \quad y_n' \leq y_n, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n' = 1 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Define

$$N' = N'(t) = \text{smallest } k \geq 1 \text{ such that } y_k' \leq f(k)/t.$$

From Lemma 2, since  $\sup_n (y_n') \leq 1$ ,

$$1 = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [Ef(N)]/t = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (EN')/t.$$

But since  $y_n' \leq y_n$ ,  $N' \leq N$ , and hence  $EN' \leq EN$ . Thus

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} (EN)/t \geq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} (EN')/t = 1,$$

which, with (20), proves (19).

PROOF OF (6). Fix  $t > 0$ , choose  $m$  such that  $f(n)/t \geq 1 (n \geq m)$ , choose  $\delta > 0$  such that  $(n - 1)f(n - 1) \geq \delta n^2 (n \geq 2)$ , and define for any  $r \geq m$ ,  $M = \min(N, r)$ . By Wald's theorem for cumulative sums,

$$E(\sum_1^M (x_i - \mu)^2) = EM \cdot E(x_i - \mu)^2 = EM \cdot \sigma^2.$$

Hence by (13),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (21) \quad E(My_M) &= (1/\sigma^2)E(\sum_1^M (x_i - \bar{x}_M)^2 + 1) \\
 &\leq (1/\sigma^2)E(\sum_1^M (x_i - \mu)^2 + 1) = EM + (1/\sigma^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Put  $g(n) = (n - 1)/n, (n \geq 2)$ ; then

$$y_n \geq (1/n\sigma^2) \sum_1^{n-1} (x_i - \bar{x}_{n-1})^2 + (1/n\sigma^2) = [(n - 1)/n]y_{n-1} = g(n)y_{n-1}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} E(My_M) &\geq \int_{\{N>r\}} ry_r + \int_{\{N\leq r\}} Ny_N \geq [rf(r)/t]P(N > r) + \int_{\{2\leq N\leq r\}} Ny_N \\ &\geq rP(N > r) + \int_{\{2\leq N\leq r\}} [Ng(N)f(N - 1)]/t \\ &\geq rP(N > r) + (\delta/t)\int_{\{2\leq N\leq r\}} N^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by (21),

$$\int_{\{N\leq r\}} N \geq (\delta/t)\int_{\{2\leq N\leq r\}} N^2 - (1/\sigma^2) \geq (\delta/t)(\int_{\{2\leq N\leq r\}} N^2) - (1/\sigma^2),$$

and letting  $r \rightarrow \infty$  it follows that

$$EN = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\{N\leq r\}} N < \infty,$$

which is the first part of (18). Again by Wald's theorem,

$$E(Ny_N) \leq EN + (1/\sigma^2),$$

so by (9),

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} [E(Ny_N)]/(EN) \leq 1,$$

which is the second part of (18). All the conditions of Lemma 3 therefore hold, and hence

$$1 = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} EN/t = \lim_{d \rightarrow 0} (d^2EN)/(a^2\sigma^2),$$

which is (6). This completes the proof of the theorem of Section 1. As to Remark 1 following the theorem, it is clear that the only purpose of the term  $n^{-1}$  in (2) is to ensure that  $y_n = v_n/\sigma^2 > 0$  a.s., this fact having been used in the proof of Lemma 1 to guarantee that  $N \rightarrow \infty$  a.s. as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . If the distribution function of the  $x_i$  is continuous the definition (7) is equally good, the only change being that the term  $1/\sigma^2$  in the proof of (6) disappears.

The method used in this note is a modification of that used in [3] to prove the elementary renewal theorem. The theorem in this note has been proved when the  $x_i$  are  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  by Stein [6], Anscombe [1], [2], and Gleser, Robbins, and Starr [4]. Some numerical computations for a slightly modified procedure have been made by Ray [5] who, apparently misled by having considered too few values of  $d$ , doubts the validity of (5) in his case. Extensive numerical computations in the  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  case have been made by Starr and will soon be available. They indicate, for example, that for  $\alpha = .95$  the lower bound for all  $d > 0$  of  $P(\bar{x}_N - d \leq \mu \leq \bar{x}_N + d)$ , where  $N$  is the smallest odd integer  $k \geq 3$  such that

$$(k - 1)^{-1} \sum_1^k (x_i - \bar{x}_k)^2 \leq (d^2k)/a_k^2,$$

is about .929 if the values  $a_k$  are taken from the  $t$ -distribution with  $(k - 1)$  degrees of freedom.

## REFERENCES

- [1] ANSCOMBE, F. J. (1952). Large sample theory of sequential estimation. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **48** 600-607.
- [2] ANSCOMBE, F. J. (1953). Sequential estimation. *J. Roy. Stat. Soc. Ser. B* **15** 1-21.
- [3] DOOB, J. L. (1948). Renewal theory from the point of view of the theory of probability. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **63** 422-438.
- [4] GLEESER, L. J., ROBBINS, H., and STARR, N. (1964). Some asymptotic properties of fixed-width sequential confidence intervals for the mean of a normal population with unknown variance. Report on National Science Foundation Grant NSF-GP-2074, Department of Mathematical Statistics, Columbia University.
- [5] RAY, W. D. (1957). Sequential confidence intervals for the mean of a normal distribution with unknown variance. *J. Roy. Stat. Soc. Ser. B* **19** 133-143.
- [6] STEIN, C. (1949). Some problems in sequential estimation. *Econometrica* **17** 77-78.