

limit point (with respect to the topology induced by  $X^{\omega^2+2}$ ) of  $\{f_{(a_j,1)}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ . Then

(4.5) 
$$||w_{\sigma}||, ||f_{\sigma}|| \leq 2, \quad f_{\sigma}(w_{\tau}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \tau > \sigma, \\ 0 & \text{if } \tau < \sigma. \end{cases}$$

In particular,  $\|x_{\sigma_1} - x_{\sigma_2}\| \ge 1/2$  for every  $\sigma_1 \ne \sigma_2$ , and this concludes the proof of the theorem.

COROLLARY 1. For every separable non-reflexive Banach space X there is an ordinal  $\alpha$  ( $\alpha \leq \omega^2$ ) so that  $X^a$  is separable but  $X^{a+2}$  is non-separable,

Proof. Let  $\beta$  be the first even ordinal so that  $X^{\beta}$  is non-separable. Then  $\beta \leqslant \omega^2 + 2$  and  $\beta$  cannot be a limit ordinal. Hence  $\beta = \alpha + 2$  and this  $\alpha$  has the desired property.

COROLLARY 2. For every non-reflexive Banach space X the quotient space  $X^{\omega^2+2}/X^{\omega^2}$  is non-separable.

Proof. Use Corollary 1, the fact that if  $Y \subset X$  then  $Y^{**}/Y$  is isomorphic to a subspace of  $X^{**}/X$  and that every non-reflexive space has a separable non-reflexive subspace.

It was observed in [1] that if J is the classical example of James for a quasireflexive space then  $J^{\omega^2}$  is separable. This shows that the ordinals appearing in Theorem 4 and its corollaries are the best possible (i.e. cannot be replaced in general by smaller ordinals).

Added in proof: J. Farahat recently extended the result of Section 3 by proving that, for every integer k and every p < 2, there is a space with k-structure and type p. Hence, for every k, there is a space with k-structure which does not have k+1-structure.

#### References

- W. J. Davis W. B. Johnson and J. Lindenstrauss, The l<sup>n</sup> problem and degrees of non reflexivity, Studia Math. 55 (1976), pp. 123-139.
- [2] R. C. James, Uniformly non square Banach spaces, Ann. of Math. 80 (1904), pp. 542-550.
- [3] R. C. James, A non reflexive Banach space that is uniformly non octahedral, Israel J. Math, 18 (1974), pp. 145-155.
- [4] R. C. James and J. Lindenstrauss, The octahedral problem for Banach spaces, Proc. Aarhus conference on functional analysis and probability 1974.
- [5] B. Maurey and G. Pisier, Series de variables aléatoires rectorielles indépendantes et propriétés géometriques dese spaces de Banach, Studia Math. 58 (1976), pp. 45-90.
- [6] G. Pisier, Martingales with values in uniformly convex spaces, Issuel J. Mail. 20 (1975), pp. 326-350.

OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY
HEBREW UNIVERSITY, JERUSALEM

Received May 7, 1975

(1011)

## On the best constants in the Khinchin inequality\*

b:

S. J. SZAREK (Warszawa)

Abstract. Let  $(r_i)$  denote the sequence of Rademacher functions. It is shown that

$$\int_{0}^{1} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_{j} r_{j}(t) \right| dt > \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |c_{j}|^{2} \right)^{1/2}$$

or every square summable sequence of scalars  $(c_j)$ . The constant  $1/\sqrt{2}$  is the best the largest) possible.

1. Introduction. Let  $r_n$  denote the *n*th Rademacher function, i.e.

$$r_n(t) = \operatorname{sign} \sin 2^n \pi t$$
 for  $0 \le t \le 1$   $(n = 1, 2, ...)$ .

The classical Khinchin inequality states that, for every  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , there exist positive constants  $a_p$  and  $b_p$  such that, for every finite sequence of scalars  $(c_i)$ .

$$a_p \Big( \sum_{j} |c_j|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \leqslant \Big( \int\limits_0^1 \Big| \sum_{j} c_j r_j(t) \Big|^p \, dt \Big)^{1/p} \leqslant b_x \Big( \sum_{j} |c_j|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \, .$$

Let us denote by  $A_p$  and  $B_p$ , respectively, the largest  $a_p$  and the smallest  $b_p$  satisfying (0). B. Tomaszewski has observed that the values of  $A_p$  and  $B_p$  are independent of the choice of the scalar field, i.e. they are the same for real sequences as well as for complex sequences (cf. also Remark 3 in Section 3).

Therefore in the sequel we shall consider inequality (0) for real sequences only.

Obviously,  $A_p=1$  for  $p\geqslant 2$  and  $B_p=1$  for  $1\leqslant p\leqslant 2$ . Stečkin [6] has shown that

$$B_{2m} = ((2m-1)!!)^{1/2m}$$
 for  $m = 1, 2, 3, ...$ 

<sup>\*</sup> This is a part of the author's masters thesis written under the supervision of Professor A. Pelczyński at the Warsaw University.



In the paper we show that  $A_1 = 1/\sqrt{2}$ . A part of our argument is a modification of the method used in [1] where it is shown that  $A_1^{-1} < 1.5$ . Precisely, our main result is

THEOREM 1. We have

(1) 
$$\int_{0}^{1} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_{j} r_{j}(t) \right| dt \geqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_{j}^{2} \right)^{1/2}$$

for every real  $c_1, c_2, \ldots$  with  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j^2 < \infty$ .

Moreover, the equality holds iff there exist indices i and k with  $1 \le i \le k < \infty$  such that  $|c_i| = |c_k|$  and  $c_s = 0$  for  $i \ne s \ne k$ .

Let us recall that the condition  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j^2 < \infty$  implies that the series  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j r_j(t)$  converges almost everywhere (cf. e.g. [2]).

Theorem 1 implies in particular that, for the real Banach spaces  $l^1$  and  $l^2$ , we have  $\pi_1(I_{1,2}) = \sqrt{2}$ , where  $\pi_1(I_{1,2})$  denotes the absolutely summing norm of the natural injection  $I_{1,2} : l^1 \rightarrow l^2$ . Indeed, using (1) the same argument as in [5], 2.4.2, shows that  $\pi_1(I_{1,2}) \leqslant \sqrt{2}$  while a direct computation shows that if  $\mathbf{x}_1 = (1, 1, 0, 0, \ldots)$  and  $\mathbf{x}_2 = (1, -1, 0, 0, \ldots)$  then

$$||I\mathbf{x}_1||_2 + ||I\mathbf{x}_2||_2 = \sqrt{2} \max(||\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2||_1, ||\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2||_1);$$

hence  $\pi_1(I_{1,2}) \ge 2$ .

2. Proof of the main result. We shall employ the following notation  $l^2$  — the real space of real square summable sequences  $\mathbf{c} = (c_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$  with the inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  and the norm  $\|\cdot\|_2$  defined by

$$(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j d_j; \quad \|\mathbf{c}\|_2 = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j^2\right)^{1/2} \quad \text{for} \quad \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d} \in l^2.$$

 $\begin{array}{l} l_n^2 = \{ \mathbf{c} \, \epsilon l^2 \colon \, c_j = 0 \, \text{ for } j > n \}, \\ D^n = \{ \epsilon \, \epsilon l_n^2 \colon \, |\epsilon_j| = 1 \, \text{ for } j = 1, \, 2, \, \dots, \, n \}, \end{array}$ 

 $T(n) = \{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{U}_n^2 \colon c_1 + c_2 = \sqrt{2} \text{ and } c_1 \geqslant c_2 \geqslant \ldots \geqslant c_n \geqslant 0\} \quad (n = 1, 2, \ldots),$ 

 $T = \text{closure } \bigcup T(n)$ , where the closure is taken in  $l^2$ ,

 $D_{+}^{n}(\mathbf{c}) = \{ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \, \epsilon D^{n} \colon (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \mathbf{c}) > 0 \}$   $D_{0}^{n}(\mathbf{c}) = \{ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \, \epsilon D^{n} \colon (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \mathbf{c}) = 0 \}.$ for  $\mathbf{c} \, \epsilon l^{2}$  and for n = 1, 2, ...

We shall be dealing with the positive function f defined on  $l^2 \setminus \{0\}$  by

$$f(\mathbf{c}) = \|\mathbf{c}\|_{2}^{-1} \int_{0}^{1} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_{j} r_{j}(t) \right| dt.$$

By  $f_n$  we denote the restriction of f to  $l_n^2 \setminus \{0\}$  for  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$  Clearly, f is homogeneous, moreover, for every permutation  $p(\cdot)$  of the indices, if  $\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{d}^2$  is such that  $|c_j| = |d_{p(j)}|$  for all j then  $f(\mathbf{c}) = f(\mathbf{d})$ ; this follows for instance from formula (3) below.

LEMMA 1. Let  $n=1,2,\ldots$ , let  $\mathbf{c} \ \epsilon l_n^2$  with  $\|\mathbf{c}\|_2=1$ . Then  $1^{\circ}$  For every  $\mathbf{h} \ \epsilon l_n^2$  with  $(\mathbf{h},\mathbf{c})=0$  and  $\|\mathbf{h}\|_2=1$  and for every real t

(2)  $f_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{c}+t\mathbf{h})$ 

$$\geqslant (1+t^2)^{-1/2} \left( f_{|n}(\mathbf{c}) + 2^{-n+1} t \sum_{\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{D}^n_{+}(\mathbf{c})} (\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{h}) + 2^{-n} |t| \sum_{\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{D}^n_{0}(\mathbf{c})} |(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{h})| \right).$$

Moreover, there exists  $\delta = \delta(c) > 0$  such that for  $|t| < \delta$  the inequality becomes the equality.

 $2^{\rm o}$  If  $f_{|n}$  has at  ${\bf c}$  a local minimum, then  $D_{\rm o}^n({\bf c})$  contains n-1 linearly independent vectors.

Proof. Let  $d \in l_n^2 \setminus \{0\}$ . Then

(3) 
$$f_{|n}(\mathbf{d}) = \|\mathbf{d}\|_{2}^{-1} 2^{-n} \sum_{\epsilon \in D^{n}} (|\epsilon, \mathbf{d})| = \|\mathbf{d}\|_{2}^{-1} \cdot 2^{-n+1} \Big( \sum_{\epsilon \in D^{n}(\mathbf{d})} (\epsilon, \mathbf{d}) \Big).$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} (4) \quad f_{|\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{c}+t\mathbf{h}) &= (1+t^2)^{-1/2} 2^{-n} \sum_{\mathbf{\epsilon} \in D^n} |(\mathbf{\epsilon}, \, \mathbf{c}) + t(\mathbf{\epsilon}, \, \mathbf{h})| \\ &\geqslant (1+t^2)^{-1/2} 2^{-n} \Big( 2 \sum_{\mathbf{\epsilon} \in D^n_+(\mathbf{c})} (\mathbf{\epsilon}, \, \mathbf{c}) + 2t \sum_{\mathbf{\epsilon} \in D^n_+(\mathbf{c})} (\mathbf{\epsilon}, \, \mathbf{h}) + \\ &\qquad \qquad + |t| \sum_{\mathbf{\epsilon} \in D^n_+(\mathbf{c})} |(\mathbf{\epsilon}, \, \mathbf{h})| \Big). \end{split}$$

Since  $\|\mathbf{c}\|_2 = 1$ , it follows from (3) that

$$2\sum_{\mathbf{z}\in D_{i}^{n}(\mathbf{c})}(\mathbf{z},\,\mathbf{c})=2^{n}f_{|n}(\mathbf{c}).$$

Moreover, if  $|t| \leq n^{-1/2} \min_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{D}_{n}^{n}(\mathbf{c})} (\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c})$  then the inequality in (4) may be replaced

by the equality. Therefore (4) implies (2). This completes the proof of 1°.

To prove  $2^{\circ}$  assume to the contrary that there exists a c in  $l_n^2$  with  $\|\mathbf{c}\|_2 = 1$  such that  $f_{|n}$  has at c a local minimum and the dimension of the linear manifold spanned by  $D_0^n(\mathbf{c})$  is less than n-1. Then there exists an  $\mathbf{h} \in l_n^2$  with  $\|\mathbf{h}\|_2 = 1$  such that  $(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{c}) = 0$  and  $(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{\epsilon}) = 0$  for every  $\mathbf{\epsilon} \in D_0^n(\mathbf{c})$ . Let  $g(t) = f_{|n|}(\mathbf{c} + t\mathbf{h})$ . Then, by  $1^{\circ}$ ,

$$g(t) = \frac{\beta + \alpha t}{\sqrt{1 + t^2}}$$
 for  $|t| \le \delta(c)$ 

201

where  $a = \sum_{\epsilon \in D^n(\epsilon)} (\epsilon, \mathbf{h})$  and  $\beta = f_{|n}(\mathbf{c}) \neq 0$ . Therefore g does not have

a local minimum at the point t=0, thus the function  $f_{in}$  does not have a local minimum at c, a contradiction.

 $\begin{array}{lll} & \text{Corollary.} & \textit{Let} & \mathbf{e}_1 = (1,\,1,\,0,\,0,\,\ldots), & \mathbf{e}_2 = (1,\,1,\,1,\,1,\,0,\,0,\,\ldots), \\ \mathbf{e}_3 = (2,\,1,\,1,\,1,\,1,\,0,\,0,\,\ldots), & \mathbf{e}_4 = (3,\,3,\,2,\,2,\,1,\,1,\,0,\,\ldots), & \mathbf{e}_5 = (1,\,1,\,1,\,1,\,1,\,1,\,1,\,0,\,\ldots), \\ \mathbf{e}_4 = (3,\,1,\,1,\,1,\,1,\,1,\,0,\,\ldots), & \mathbf{e}_7 = (3,\,2,\,2,\,1,\,1,\,1,\,0,\,\ldots), \\ \mathbf{e}_8 = (2,\,2,\,1,\,1,\,1,\,1,\,0,\,\ldots). \end{array}$ 

Then 1 3

$$f_{|6}(\mathbf{e}_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \ f_{|6}(\mathbf{e}_i) \geqslant \frac{3}{4} \quad for \quad 2 \leqslant i \leqslant 8.$$

Moreover, if  $f_{16}$  has a local minimum at a point  $\mathbf{c} \in l_6^2$ , then there exists an index i with  $1 \le i \le 8$  such that  $\mathbf{c}_i$  is proportional to the sequence whose coordinates are some permutation of absolute values of the coordinates of  $\mathbf{c}$ ; in particular,  $f(\mathbf{c}) = f(\mathbf{c}_i)$ .

The corollary is proved by examining all the points in T(6) which are orthogonal to some five linearly independent vectors in  $D^6$ . There exist at most  $\binom{64}{5}$  points with the above property.

Let us put  $e' = \|\mathbf{e}_1\|_2^{-1}\mathbf{e}_1 = (1/\sqrt{2}, 1/\sqrt{2}, 0, 0, 0, ...)$ . Our next lemma provides an information on the behaviour of the function f in a neighbourhood of the point e.

Lemma 2. Suppose that for some  $n=2,3,4,\ldots$  and for every  $\mathbf{h}'\in \ell^2_{n-1}\setminus\{0\}$  we have  $f_{|n-1}(\mathbf{h}')\geqslant 1/\sqrt{2}$ . Then for every  $\mathbf{h}\in \ell^2_n$  with  $\|\mathbf{h}\|_2=1$  and  $(\mathbf{e},\mathbf{h})=0$ 

- (i) if 0 < t < 4/3, then  $f(\mathbf{e} + t\mathbf{h}) > 1/\sqrt{2}$ ,
- (ii) if  $1/7 \leqslant t \leqslant 1$ , then  $f(\mathbf{e} + t\mathbf{h}) \geqslant 3/4$ .

Proof. Since  $D^n_{\perp}(\mathbf{e}) = \{ \varepsilon = (\varepsilon_i) \in D^n : \varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 \}$ , we have

$$\sum_{\mathbf{\epsilon} \in D^n_{\perp}(\mathbf{e})} \mathbf{\epsilon} = 2^{n-2} \mathbf{e}.$$

Thus  $\left(\sum_{e \in D^{n}(e)} (e, \mathbf{h})\right) = 0$  whenever  $(e, \mathbf{h}) = 0$ .

Similarly,  $D_0^n(\mathbf{e}) = \{ \mathbf{\epsilon} \in D^n : \mathbf{\epsilon}_1 = -\mathbf{\epsilon}_2 \}$ . Therefore, by (3),

$$\sum_{\mathbf{\epsilon} \in D_0^n(\mathbf{e})} |(\mathbf{\epsilon}, \, \mathbf{h})| \, = \sum_{\mathbf{\epsilon}' \in D^{n-1}} |(\mathbf{\epsilon}', \, \mathbf{h}')| \, = 2^{n-1} f(\mathbf{h}') \, \|\mathbf{h}'\|_{\mathbf{k}}$$

where  $\mathbf{h}' = (2h_1, h_3, h_4, \dots) \epsilon l_{n-1}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ , because if  $\mathbf{h} \epsilon l_n^2$  and  $(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{h}) = 0$  then  $h_1 = -h_2$  and for  $\epsilon \epsilon D_0^n(\mathbf{e})$   $(\epsilon, \mathbf{h}) = 2h_1\epsilon_1 + h_3\epsilon_3 + h_4\epsilon_4 + \dots = (\epsilon', \mathbf{h}')$  where  $\epsilon' = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_4, \dots) \epsilon D^{n-1}$ . Now using (2) for  $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{e}$  and the assump-

tion that  $f(\mathbf{h}') \ge 1/\sqrt{2}$  for  $\mathbf{h}' \in l_{n-1}^2 \setminus \{0\}$  we get

$$(5) f(\mathbf{e}+t\mathbf{h}) \geqslant \frac{f(\mathbf{e})+2^{-1} \|t\|f(\mathbf{h}')\|\mathbf{h}'\|_2}{\sqrt{1+t^2}} \geqslant \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(1+\frac{|t|}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{1+t^2}}.$$

Comparing the right side of (5) with  $1/\sqrt{2}$  and 3/4 we obtain (i) and (ii), respectively.

Remark. Let  $Z_n$  be the set of all points in  $l_n^2$  whose absolute values of coordinates are some permutation of coordinates of e. Then Lemma 2 remains true after replacing e by some  $e' \in Z_n$ .

Before stating the next lemma we shall introduce some notation. For m=1,2,... and for fixed  $e \in T(2m)$  we put

$$\begin{split} & x = 2 \, \|\mathbf{c}\|_2^{-2} c_{2m} \, c_{2m-1}, \quad y = 2 \, c_{2m} \, c_{2m-2} \, \|\mathbf{c}\|_2^{-2}, \\ & z = 2 \, \|\mathbf{c}\|_2^{-2} \, c_{2m-1} \, c_{2m-2}, \quad v = 2 \, c_{2m-3} \, c_{2m-3} \, \|\mathbf{c}\|_2^{-2}, \\ & q_m(\mathbf{c}) := \frac{1}{4} \, \big( \sqrt{1+x+v} + \sqrt{1+x-v} + \sqrt{1-x+(z-y)} + \sqrt{1-x-(z-y)} \big), \\ & Q_m = \inf_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{P}(2m)} q_{2m}(\mathbf{c}). \end{split}$$

LEMMA 3. We have

$$\frac{3}{4} \prod_{m=4}^{\infty} Q_m = K > \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

The tedious numerical proof of Lemma 3 is given at the end of this paper.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let us put  $K_n=\frac{3}{4}$  for  $1\leqslant n\leqslant 6,\ K_{2m-1}=K_{2m}=\frac{3}{4}\prod^mQ_j$  for  $m\geqslant 4.$ 

Observe first that the sequence  $(K_n)$  is non-increasing because the function  $\sqrt{t}$  is concave and therefore  $q_m(\mathbf{c}) \leq 1$  for every  $\mathbf{c} \in T(2m)$  and for every  $m = 4, 5, \ldots$  Hence, by Lemma 3,

(\*) 
$$K_n \geqslant K > \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$
 for every  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ 

Next observe that in order to prove inequality (1) it is enough to show that for  $n=1,2,\ldots$ 

$$(iii)_n f(\mathbf{c}) \geqslant 1/\sqrt{2} \text{ for } \mathbf{c} \in l_n^2 \setminus \{0\}.$$

For this purpose we shall formulate for n = 1, 2, ...

 $(iv)_n$  if  $\mathbf{c} \in T(n)$  and  $\|\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{e}\|_2 \ge 1$ , then  $f(\mathbf{c}) \ge K_n$  and prove  $(iii)_n$  and  $(iv)_n$  by induction.

To achieve this we observe that for  $n \leq 6$  (iii), follows immediately from Corollary.

To prove (iv)<sub>n</sub> for  $n \le 6$  let us fix such an n and assume that, for some  $\mathbf{c} \in T(n)$ ,  $f(\mathbf{c}) < 3/4$ . Then, by Corollary, (iii)<sub>n-1</sub> and Remark, there exists some  $\mathbf{c}' \in Z_n$  such that

$$\tan \alpha(\mathbf{e}', \mathbf{c}) < 1/7$$

where  $a(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  denotes the angle between the vectors  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$ . Now, taking into account the formula

$$a(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{c}) \leqslant a(\mathbf{e}', \mathbf{c})$$
 for every  $\mathbf{e}' \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ 

which is a direct consequence of the assumption  $\mathbf{c} \in T(n)$ , we obtain

$$\tan \alpha(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{c}) < 1/7$$
 iff  $\|\mathbf{e} - \mathbf{c}\|_2 < 1/7$  as that  $\mathbf{c} \in T(n)$ .

Thus  $(iv)_n$  is proved.

Next observe that the implication

$$(iii)_n$$
 and  $(iv)_{n+1} \Rightarrow (iii)_{n+1}$ 

follows immediately from (\*), Lemma 2 and the formula

$$\inf_{\mathbf{c} \in T(n)} f(\mathbf{c}) = \inf_{\mathbf{c} \in l_m^2 \setminus \{0\}} f(\mathbf{c})$$

Thus to complete the inductive proof of  $(iii)_n$  and  $(iv)_n$  it is enough to establish the implications

I.  $(iv)_{2m-2}$  and  $(iii)_{2m-1} \Rightarrow (iv)_{2m}$ ;

II. 
$$(iv)_{2m-2}$$
 and  $(iii)_{2m-2} \Rightarrow (iv)_{2m-1}$   $(m = 4, 5, ...)$ .

Proof of I. Let us suppose to the contrary that, for some  $m \ge 4$ ,  $(\mathrm{iv})_{2m-2}$  and  $(\mathrm{iii})_{2m-1}$  holds but there exists a  $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{2m}, 0, 0, \ldots)$   $\epsilon T(2m)$  with  $\|\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{e}\|_2 \ge 1$  such that  $f(\mathbf{c}) < K_{2m}$ . Let us define  $\mathbf{c}_j \epsilon l_{2m-2}^2 \setminus \{0\}$  for j = 1, 2, 3, 4 by

$$\mathbf{c}_1 = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{2m-4}, c_{2m-3} + c_{2m-2}, c_{2m-1} + c_{2m}, 0, 0, \dots),$$

$$\mathbf{c}_2 = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{2m-4}, c_{2m-8} - c_{2m-2}, c_{2m-1} - c_{2m}, 0, 0, \dots),$$

$$\mathbf{c}_3 = (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{2m-4}, c_{2m-3}, c_{2m-2} + c_{2m-1} - c_{2m}, 0, 0, \ldots),$$

$$\mathbf{c}_4 = (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{2m-4}, c_{2m-3}, c_{2m-2} - c_{2m-1} + c_{2m}, 0, 0, \ldots).$$

Then, in view of (3),

$$f(\mathbf{c}) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{4} f(\mathbf{c}_{j}) \|\mathbf{c}_{j}\|_{2} \|\mathbf{c}\|_{2}^{-1}.$$

Now, remembering that  $q_m(\mathbf{c}) \geqslant Q_m$  and using the identity

$$\frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{4} \|\mathbf{e}_{j}\|_{2} = q_{m}(\mathbf{e}) \|\mathbf{e}\|_{2}$$

which can be verifying by a direct checking, we get

$$Q_m \cdot \min_{1 \le j \le 4} f(\mathbf{c}_j) \le f(\mathbf{c}) < K_{2m} = Q_m \cdot K_{2m-2}.$$

Thus

$$\min_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant 4} f(\mathbf{c}_j) < K_{2m-2}.$$

Let, for instance,  $f(\mathbf{c}_2) < K_{2m-2}$ . Denote by  $\mathbf{c}^*$  the vector in T(2m-2) which is obtained from  $\mathbf{c}_2$  by rearrangement of the coordinates of  $\mathbf{c}_2$  in the decreasing order and multiplying by an appropriate constant  $\lambda$  (note that the coordinates of  $\mathbf{c}_2$  are non-negative). Clearly,  $f(\mathbf{c}^*) = f(\mathbf{c}_2) < K_{2m-2}$ . Now, by (iii)<sub>2m-1</sub>, we may apply Lemma 2(ii) which combined with (iv)<sub>2m-2</sub> gives  $\|\mathbf{c}^* - \mathbf{e}\|_2 < 1/7$ . Hence

$$c_1^* \geqslant c_2^* > \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{7},$$

$$\frac{1}{7} > c_3^* \geqslant c_4^* \geqslant \ldots \geqslant c_{2m-2}^*.$$

Observe that neither  $c_1^*$  nor  $c_2^*$  is equal to  $\lambda(c_{2m-1}+c_{2m})$ . Otherwise we would have contradictory inequality

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{1}{7} < \lambda(c_{2m-1} + c_{2m}) \leqslant 2\lambda c_3 < \frac{2}{7}$$

because one of the numbers  $c_3^*, c_4^*, \ldots, c_{2m-2}^*$  would be equal to  $c_3$ . Hence  $c_1^* = c_1$  and  $c_2^* = c_2$  and therefore

$$\|\mathbf{c}_2 - \mathbf{e}\|_2 = \|\mathbf{e}^* - \mathbf{e}\|_2 < \frac{1}{7}.$$

Combining this inequality with the assumption  $\|\mathbf{c}-\mathbf{e}\|_2\geqslant 1$  we get

$$\begin{split} 1 - \frac{1}{49} < \|\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{e}\|_2^2 - \|\mathbf{c}_2 - \mathbf{e}\|_2^2 &= 2c_{2m-3}c_{2m-2} - 2c_{2m-1}c_{2m} \\ &\leq 2c_{2m-3}c_{2m-2} \leqslant 2c_{2m-4}^2 < \frac{2}{49} \end{split}$$

because  $c_{2m-4} = c_i^*$  for some  $i \ge 3$ , a contradiction.

Similarly we show that each of the assumptions  $f(\mathbf{c}_j) < K_{2m-2}$  (j=1,3,4) leads to a contradiction; this completes the proof of implication I.



The proof of implication  $\Pi$  is exactly the same as the proof of  $\Pi$  because  $T(2m-1) \subset T(2m)$ ; the only difference is that the application of Lemma 2 is based upon  $(iii)_{2m-2}$  instead of  $(iii)_{2m-1}$ . This completes the proof of (1).

To prove the second part of Theorem 1 note that from the validity of  $(iv)_n$  for all n one obtains by a standard limit procedure

(iv) if  $\mathbf{c} \in T$  and  $\|\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{e}\|_2 \ge 1$ , then  $f(\mathbf{c}) \ge K$ .

Using again a limit procedure and applying inequality (1) we conclude that (5) is valid for every  $\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{\ell} l^2$  with  $(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{e}) = 0$  and  $\|\mathbf{h}\|_2 = 1$ . Thus for every  $\mathbf{h}$  with the above properties the assertions (i) and (ii) of Lemma 2 hold. Combining (iv) with (i) we infer that if  $\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{c} T$  and  $f(\mathbf{e}) = 1/\sqrt{2}$  then  $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{e}$ . This clearly implies that if  $f(\mathbf{c}) = 1/\sqrt{2}$  for some  $\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{c} l^2 \setminus \{0\}$  then  $\mathbf{c}$  is of the form described in the second part of Theorem 1.

### 3. Remarks.

Remark 1. Theorem 1 admits the following generalization:

THEOREM 1a. There exists a  $p_0 > 1$  such that

$$A_p = 2^{1/2 - 1/p} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leqslant p \leqslant p_0,$$

i.e. for every real sequence  $(c_i)$ 

$$\left(\int\limits_0^1 \left|\sum c_j r_j(t)
ight|^p dt
ight)^{1/p} \geqslant 2^{1/2-1/p} \left(\sum c_j^2
ight)^{1/2}.$$

Proof. We shall show that the assertion of Theorem 1a holds for p satisfying the conditions

- (j)  $2^{1/2-1/p} \leqslant K$ ,
- (jj)  $p \leqslant 7^{2-p}$ .

Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 1 it is enough to consider  $c \in T(n)$  for  $n=1,2,\ldots$  Let us set for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ 

$$f_{p}(\mathbf{c}) = \frac{\left(\int\limits_{0}^{1} \left|\sum c_{j} r_{j}(t)\right|^{p} dt\right)^{1/p}}{\left(\sum c_{j}^{2}\right)^{1/2}} \quad (\mathbf{c} \in l^{2} \setminus \{0\}).$$

Observe first that for every  $p \ge 1$  satisfying (j) we have

(jjj) if  $\mathbf{c} \in T(n)$  for some n and  $\|\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{e}\|_2 \ge 1/7$ , then  $f_p(\mathbf{c}) \ge 2^{1/2 - 1/p}$ . This follows from (iv), the implications (i) and (ii) of Lemma 2 and from the fact that, for every fixed  $\mathbf{c} \in l^2 \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $f_p(\mathbf{c})$  is a non-decreasing function of p.

Next observe that for  $1\leqslant p\leqslant 2$  the following analogue of Lemma 2 holds:

If  $f_p(\mathbf{h}') \ge 2^{1/2-1/p}$  for all  $\mathbf{h}' \in l_{n-1}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ , then for every  $\mathbf{h} \in l_n^2$  with  $(\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{e}) = 0$  and  $||\mathbf{h}||_2 = 1$  we have

$$f_p(\mathbf{e} + t\mathbf{h}) \geqslant \left(\frac{2^{p/2-1} + 2^{p/2-1} \frac{|t|^p}{2}}{(1+t^2)^{p/2}}\right)^{1/p}$$
 for every real  $t$ .

The proof of this fact is similar to the proof of (5) in Lemma 2. Hence

$$|f_n(\mathbf{e} + t\mathbf{h})| \ge 2^{1/2 - 1/p}$$
 for  $|t| \le p^{1/(p-2)}$ .

Thus, by (jjj), if p satisfies (jj) then the assumption  $f_p(\mathbf{h}') \ge 2^{1/2-1/p}$  for every  $\mathbf{h}' \epsilon t_{n-1}^2 \setminus \{0\}$  implies that  $f_p(\mathbf{c}) \ge 2^{1/2-1/p}$  for every  $\mathbf{c} \epsilon T(n)$  and therefore also for every  $\mathbf{c} \epsilon t_n^2 \setminus \{0\}$ . Now the desired inequality follows by induction. Obviously,  $A_p \le 2^{1/2-1/p} = f_p(\mathbf{c})$ .

Remark 2. For p < 2 but sufficiently close to 2,  $A_p < 2^{1/2-1/p}$ . This follows from a result of Stečkin [6] who has shown that

$$A_p\leqslant \sqrt{2}\left(\frac{\varGamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^{1/p}\quad \text{ for every } 1\leqslant p\leqslant 2\,.$$

Hence  $A_p < 2^{1/2-1/p}$  whenever  $\Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right) < \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}$  which holds in some interval  $2-\delta .$ 

Remark 3. Let  $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n$  be arbitrary real valued functions in  $L^1 = L^1([0, 1])$ . We shall repeat an argument of Orlicz [4]. Using the Fubini theorem, (1), and the Schwartz inequality we get

$$\begin{split} \int\limits_0^1 \bigg\| \sum_{j=1}^n r_j(t) \, g_j \bigg\|_{L^1} \, dt &= \int\limits_0^1 \int\limits_0^1 \bigg| \sum_{j=1}^n r_j(t) g_j(s) \bigg| \, dt \, ds \\ &\geqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int\limits_0^1 \bigg( \sum_{j=1}^n g_j(s)^2 \bigg)^{1/2} \, ds \geqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int\limits_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \, |g_j(s)| \, ds \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bigg( \sum_{j=1}^n \Big( \int\limits_0^1 \, |g_j(s)| \, ds \Big)^2 \bigg)^{1/2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bigg( \sum_{j=1}^n \, ||g_j||_{L^1}^2 \bigg)^{1/2} \end{split}$$

where the reals  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$  are chosen so that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_j \left\| g_j \right\|_{L^1} = \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \left\| g_j \right\|_{L^1}^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{ with } \quad \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^2 = 1.$$

Thus we get

**THEOREM 1b.** If E is a real Banach space which is isometrically isomorphic to a subspace of  $L^1$ , then

(1b) 
$$\int_{0}^{1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n} r_{j}(t) \mathbf{x}_{j} \right\|_{\mathcal{E}} dt \geqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|\mathbf{x}_{j}\|_{\mathcal{E}}^{2} \right)^{1/2}$$

for arbitrary  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_n$  in  $\mathbb{E}$   $(n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots)$ .

Observe that every Euclidean space and, by a result of Lindenstrauss [3], Corollary 2, every two-dimensional Banach space is isometric to a subspace of  $L^1$ . Therefore for this spaces we have inequality (1b). In particular, we have (1) for complex sequences  $(c_i)$ , because the complex plane can be regarded as the two-dimensional Euclidean vector space. An inspection of Orlicz's argument yields that the second part of Theorem 1 is also true for complex valued sequences.

### **4. Proof of Lemma 3.** Observe first that for $m \ge 4$ we have

$$(6) 0 \leqslant x \leqslant y \leqslant z \leqslant v,$$

(7) 
$$\max(|x+y|, |x-y|, |-x+z-y|, |-x-z+y|) < 1,$$

(8) 
$$x^2 + (z - y)^2 \le x^2 + v^2 \le 2m^{-2},$$

(9) 
$$2x^2 + (z-y)^2 + v^2 \le x^2 + z^2 + v^2 \le 3m^{-2}.$$

Inequalites (6)-(9) either follow immediately from the definition of x, y, z, v or are obtained by the standard argument involving Lagrange multipliers. Next we show that for every  $\mathbf{c} \in T(2m)$ 

(10) 
$$q_m(\mathbf{c}) \geqslant 1 - \frac{3}{16} m^{-2} - \frac{85}{168} m^{-4}.$$

To this end we expand  $q_m$  into the power series with respect to x, y, z, v. For |t| < 1 we have

(11) 
$$\sqrt{1+t} = 1 + \frac{1}{2}t - \frac{t^2}{8} + \frac{t^3}{16} - \frac{5}{128}t^4 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1}a_kt^k$$

for some  $a_k$  with  $0 < a_k < 5/128$   $(k \ge 5)$ . Replacing in (1.1) t by w + v, x - v, -x + z - y, -x - z + y, respectively (it is admissible by (7)) and adding all four expansions together and dividing by 4 we obtain

$$q_m(\mathbf{c}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k^{(m)}$$

where

$$B_0^{(m)} = 1, \quad B_1^{(m)} = 0,$$

$$B_{2n}^{(m)} = -\frac{1}{2} a_{2n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} {2n \choose 2j} x^{2j} \left( x^{2(n-j)} + (z-y)^{2(n-j)} \right) \quad \text{for} \quad n = 1, 2, ...,$$

$$B_{2n+1}^{(m)} = \frac{1}{2} a_{2n+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} {n \choose j} x^{2n-2j+1} (v^{2j} - (z-y)^{2j}) \quad \text{ for } \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Clearly,  $B_{2n}^{(m)} \leq 0$  and, by (6),  $B_{2n+1}^{(m)} \geq 0$  for n = 1, 2, ... Hence to prove (10) it is enough to show

$$-B_2^{(m)} \leqslant \frac{3}{16} m^{-2},$$

$$-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} B_{2n}^{(m)} \leqslant \frac{85}{168} m^{-4}.$$

Clearly, (12) follows from (9). To prove (13) observe first that, for  $n \ge 2$ ,

$$\begin{split} -B_{2n}^{(m)} &\leqslant 5 \cdot 2^{-8} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{2n}{2j} x^{2j} \left( v^{2(n-j)} + (z-y)^{2(n-j)} \right) \\ &\leqslant 5 \cdot 2^{-8} \cdot 2^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} (x^{2})^{j} \left[ (v^{2})^{n-j} + ((z-y)^{2})^{n-j} \right] \\ &= 5 \cdot 2^{-8} \cdot 2^{n} \left[ (x^{2} + v^{2})^{n} + (x^{2} + (z-y)^{2})^{n} \right]. \end{split}$$

Hence, by (8) and (9),

$$-B_{2n}^{(m)} \leq 5 \cdot 2^{-8} \left[ (4m^{-2})^n + (2m^{-2})^n \right].$$

Thus, for,  $m \ge 4$ ,

$$-\sum_{n=2\atop n=2}^{\infty}B_{2n}^{(m)}\leqslant 5\cdot 2^{-8}\left(\frac{16}{m^2(m^2-4)}+\frac{4}{m^2(m^2-2)}\right)<\frac{85}{168}\,m^{-4}.$$

This completes the proof of (10).

Finally, we shall show

(14) 
$$\sum_{m=4}^{\infty} \left( \frac{3}{16} m^{-2} + \frac{85}{168} m^{-4} \right) < 1 - \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}$$

which obviously implies



S. J. Szarek

We have

208

$$\frac{3}{16} \sum_{m=4}^{\infty} m^{-2} = \frac{3}{16} \left( \frac{\pi^2}{6} - 1 - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{9} \right) < 0.0532,$$

$$\frac{85}{168} \sum_{m=4}^{\infty} m^{-4} = \frac{85}{168} \left( \frac{\pi^4}{90} - 1 - \frac{1}{16} - \frac{1}{81} \right) < 0.0038,$$

$$\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} < 0.9429.$$

Clearly, the last three estimations imply (14).

The assertion of Lemma 3 is an obvious consequence of (10) and (15).

#### References

- [1] R. R. Hall, On a conjecture of Littlewood, to appear in Math. Proc. Camb. Phil.
- J. P. Kahane, Some random series of functions, Heath Math. Monographs. Lexington, Mass., 1968.
- [3] J. Lindenstrauss, On the extension of operators with a finite-dimensional range, Illinois J. Math. 8 (1964), pp. 488-499.
- W. Orlicz, Über unbedingte Konvergentz in Funktionenräumen I, Studia Math. 4 (1933), pp. 33-37.
- [5] A. Pietsch, Nukleare lokalkonvexen Räumen, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin 1965.
- [6] S. B. Steckin, On the best lacunary systems of functions (Russian), Izv. Acad. Nauk SSSR, 25 (1961), pp. 357-366. ser. Mat.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, WARSAW UNIVERSITY

Received May 15, 1975 (1014)

## Krzvsztof Maurin

### METHODS OF HILBERT SPACES

MONOGRAFIE MATEMATYCZNE, Vol. 45

552 pp., cloth bound, reprint 1972

Although Hilbert spaces are the oldest known infinite-dimensional topological vector spaces unexpected important new applications and methods arise steadily. The present monograph is the first comprehensive description and treatment of the theory of Hilbert spaces, a theory which gives a new outlook upon modern mathematics. The book requires hardly any previous study on the part of the reader (even the elementary facts of topology and the theory of the integral have been listed in the Appendix) and leads to the most beautiful and most profound results of modern

The monograph is sure to be of interest not only to mathematicians but also to physicists (the chapter on the decomposition into direct integrals, strict justification of P. A. Dirac's anticipation, representations of Lie groups, the method of Fourier, the ergodic theory) and engineers (the chapters on the theory of vibrations, on expansions in eigenfunctions, on boundary problems, on variational and approximation methods).

Czesław Bessaga and Aleksander Pełczyński

# SELECTED TOPICS IN INFINITE-DIMENSIONAL TOPOLOGY

MONOGRAFIE MATEMATYCZNE, Vol. 58

353 pp., cloth bound

Appearing for the first time in book form are the main results concerning homeomorphic aspects of infinite-dimensional topology, the theory related to general topology, the topology of manifolds, functional analysis, and global analysis. Emphasis is placed on the problem of topological classification of linear metric spaces and the techniques of constructing homeomorphism of concrete metric spaces onto a Hilbert space. The main results concerning topological manifolds modelled on infinite-dimensional linear metric spaces are presented.

The book is primarily addressed to topologists and to functional analysts and may serve as a starting point for research by the graduate student. The book presupposes a knowledge of ele-

a statung point for research by the graduate studied like book presupposes a knowledge of elementary facts of general topology and functional analysis.

Contents: I. Preliminaries. II. Topological spaces with convex structures. III. Convex sets and deleting homeomorphisms in linear topological spaces. IV. Skeletons and skeletoids in metric spaces; V. Z-sets in the Hilbert cube and in the countable infinite product of lines; VI. Spaces homeomorphic to the countable infinite product of lines. VII. Topological classification of nonseparable Fréchet spaces; VIII. Topological classification of non-complete separable linear metric spaces, IX. Infinite-dimensional topological manifolds. Bibliography. Indexes.

All volumes of Monografie Matematyczne may be ordered at your bookseller or at ARS POLONA, Krakowskie Przedmieście 7, 00-068 Warszawa, Poland