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#### Abstract

There exists a regularly accretive operator $A$ in a Hilbert space $H$ such that $A^{1 / 2}$ and $A^{* 1 / 2}$ have different domains. Consequently, the domain of the closed bilinear form corresponding to $A$ is different from the domain of $A^{1 / 2}$.


1. Introduction. Let $A$ denote a regularly accretive linear operator in a complex Hilbert space $H$. It was shown by T. Kato in [1] that if $\alpha<\frac{1}{2}$ then the domains of $A^{\alpha}$ and $A^{* x}$ are the same. Kato also showed that this is not necessarily the case if $\alpha>\frac{1}{2}$. In this paper we construct a regularly accretive operator $A$ for which the domain of $A^{* 1 / 2}$ is different from the domain of $A^{1 / 2}$. We remark that the domain of the closed bilinear form corresponding to such an operator $A$ is also different from the domain of $A^{1 / 2}$ (see [2]). ${ }^{1}$
In proving the existence of such an operator $A$, we use the following result:
(I) Let $k$ be a natural number. Then there exist bounded selfadjoint operators $U$ and $V$ in a (finite-dimensional) Hilbert space $H$ such that $U$ is positive definite and $\|U V-V U\| \geqq k\|U V+V U\|$.

Examples of such operators were constructed by the author when searching for a counterexample to a different problem. (See Result (III) of [4], together with the first comment added in the proofs of [4].)
T. Kato has made the interesting observation that if $Z=U V$, where $U$ and $V$ are operators satisfying (1), then $Z$ has real spectrum (for $Z$ is similar to $U^{1 / 2} V U^{1 / 2}$ ), but the numerical range of $Z$ extends vertically at least $k$ times further than horizontally.

Throughout this paper the scalar field is assumed to be the field $\boldsymbol{C}$ of complex numbers. All operators are assumed to be linear. We remark that a densely-defined maximal accretive operator is regularly accretive if $|\operatorname{Im}(A u, u)| \leqq \kappa \operatorname{Re}(A u, u)$ for some $\kappa \geqq 0$ and all $u \in D(A)$, the domain of $A$.

Received by the editors May 17, 1971.
AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 47B44.
Key words and phrases. Regularly accretive operator, square root of an operator, Hilbert space, closed bilinear form.
${ }^{1}$ An example of a maximal accretive (but not regularly accretive) operator $A$ with $D\left(A^{1 / 2}\right) \neq D\left(A^{* 1 / 2}\right)$ was given by Lions in [5]; namely $A=d / d x$ with $D(A)=H_{0}^{1}(0, \infty)$ in the space $H=L^{2}(0, \infty)$. Indeed it can be shown that every maximal accretive operator $A$ for which $i A$ is maximal symmetric but not selfadjoint has this property. (See Theorem 4.2 of [6].)

An operator $A$ is called invertible if $A$ is one-one, onto, and has continuous inverse.

## 2. The result.

Theorem. Let $\kappa>0$. There exists a regularly accretive operator $A$ in a Hilbert space $H$ such that $|\operatorname{Im}(A u, u)| \leqq \kappa \operatorname{Re}(A u, u)$ for all $u \in D(A)$, and $D\left(A^{1 / 2}\right) \neq D\left(A^{* 1 / 2}\right)$.

Proof. We first note the following corollary to result (I) above:
(II) Let $0<\varepsilon<1$ and let $1<K<2$. There exist bounded selfadjoint operators $S$ and $T$ in a Hilbert space $H$ such that $0<S \leqq 1, S$ is invertible, $\|S T+T S\| \leqq \varepsilon$ and $\|S T-T S\|=K$.
To prove (II), let $k$ be a natural number such that $2 \varepsilon^{-1} \leqq k<3 \varepsilon^{-1}$ and choose $U$ and $V$ satisfying the properties mentioned in (I). Now set $S=\|U\|^{-1} U$ and $T=K\|U\|\|U V-V U\|^{-1} V$.

We now define, for each natural number $n \geqq 2$, a bounded operator $A_{n}$ in a Hilbert space $H_{n}$, as follows. Let $K=2-n^{-1}$ and choose $\varepsilon \leqq \frac{1}{2} \kappa(1+\kappa)^{-1} n^{-1}$. If $S, T$ and $H$ are defined as in (II), let $H_{n}=H$ and $A_{n}=\left(S^{-1}+i T\right)^{2}$. We now show that $A_{n}$ has the following properties:
(i) $\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{n} u, u\right) \geqq 0$ for all $u \in H_{n}$;
(ii) $\left|\operatorname{Im}\left(A_{n} u, u\right)\right| \leqq \kappa \operatorname{Re}\left(A_{n} u, u\right)$ for all $u \in H_{n}$;
(iii) $\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{n}^{1 / 2} u, u\right) \geqq\|u\|^{2}$ for all $u \in H_{n}$;
(iv) there exists an element $v \in H_{n}$ which does not satisfy the formula

$$
(n-1)^{-1 / 2}\left\|A_{n}^{* 1 / 2} v\right\| \leqq\left\|A_{n}^{1 / 2} v\right\| \leqq(n-1)^{1 / 2}\left\|A_{n}^{* 1 / 2} v\right\| .
$$

In proving these properties, we set $\delta=n^{-1}$. Note that $\delta>2 \varepsilon$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|T S\| & \leqq \frac{1}{2}\|T S+S T\|+\frac{1}{2}\|T S-S T\| \\
& \leqq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon+1-\frac{1}{2} \delta<1-\frac{1}{4} \delta .
\end{aligned}
$$

(i) $\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{n} u, u\right)=\left(\left(S^{-2}-T^{2}\right) u, u\right)$

$$
\geqq\left(1-\|T S\|^{2}\right)\left\|S^{-1} u\right\|^{2}>0 \quad \text { for all } u \in H_{n}
$$

(ii) We must prove that

$$
\left|\left(\left(S^{-1} T+T S^{-1}\right) u, u\right)\right| \leqq \kappa\left(\left(S^{-2}-T^{2}\right) u, u\right) \quad \text { for all } u \in H_{n}
$$

Equivalently, setting $v=S^{-1} u$,

$$
|((T S+S T) v, v)|+\kappa\|T S v\|^{2} \leqq \kappa\|v\|^{2} \quad \text { for all } v \in H_{n}
$$

This follows from the inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|T S+S T\|+\kappa\|T S\|^{2} & \leqq \varepsilon+\kappa\left\{1+\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon-\delta)\right\}^{2} \\
& =\varepsilon+\kappa+\kappa(\varepsilon-\delta)+\frac{1}{4} \kappa(\varepsilon-\delta)^{2} \\
& \leqq \kappa+(1+\kappa) \varepsilon-\kappa \delta+\frac{1}{4} \kappa \delta^{2} \quad(\because \varepsilon<\delta) \\
& \leqq \kappa \quad \text { (by the definition of } \varepsilon) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) $A_{n}^{1 / 2}$ is the unique accretive operator satisfying $\left(A_{n}^{1 / 2}\right)^{2}=A_{n}$ (see [3, p. 281]), so $A_{n}^{1 / 2}=S^{-1}+i T$. Hence

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{n}^{1 / 2} u, u\right)=\left(S^{-1} u, u\right) \geqq\|u\|^{2} \quad \text { for all } u \in H_{n} .
$$

(iv) Recall that $\|S T-T S\|=2-\delta$. Now $i(S T-T S)$ is selfadjoint, so there is an element $u \in H_{n}$ satisfying either
( $\alpha$ ) $\left|(i(S T-T S) u, u)-(2-\delta)\|u\|^{2}\right|<\delta\|u\|^{2}$, or
( $\beta$ ) $\left|(-i(S T-T S) u, u)-(2-\delta)\|u\|^{2}\right|<\delta\|u\|^{2}$.
First suppose that $u$ satisfies ( $\alpha$ ). Let $v=S u$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \therefore \quad\left|\left(i\left(T S^{-1}-S^{-1} T\right) v, v\right)-(2-\delta)\left\|S^{-1} v\right\|^{2}\right|<\delta\left\|S^{-1} v\right\|^{2} . \\
& \therefore \quad\left(\left\{2 S^{-2}-i\left(T S^{-1}-S^{-1} T\right)\right\} v, v\right)<2 \delta\left\|S^{-1} v\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, as was proved in (i), $\left(T^{2} v, v\right)<\left(S^{-2} v, v\right)$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\left\{S^{-2}+T^{2}-i\left(T S^{-1}-S^{-1} T\right)\right\} v, v\right) \\
&< 2 \delta\left\|S^{-1} v\right\|^{2}<2 \delta\left(\left(S^{-2}+T^{2}\right) v, v\right) \\
&= \delta\left(\left\{S^{-2}+T^{2}+i\left(T S^{-1}-S^{-1} T\right)\right\} v, v\right) \\
&+\delta\left(\left\{S^{-2}+T^{2}-i\left(T S^{-1}-S^{-1} T\right)\right\} v, v\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\therefore \quad\left(\left\{S^{-2}+T^{2}-i\left(T S^{-1}-S^{-1} T\right)\right\} v, v\right)$

$$
<\frac{\delta}{1-\delta}\left(\left\{S^{-2}+T^{2}+i\left(T S^{-1}-S^{-1} T\right)\right\} v, v\right)
$$

$$
\therefore \quad\left(\left(S^{-1}-i T\right)\left(S^{-1}+i T\right) v, v\right)<(n-1)^{-1}\left(\left(S^{-1}+i T\right)\left(S^{-1}-i T\right) v, v\right) .
$$

$$
\therefore \quad\left\|\left(S^{-1}+i T\right) v\right\|^{2}<(n-1)^{-1}\left\|\left(S^{-1}-i T\right) v\right\|^{2} .
$$

$$
\therefore\left\|A_{n}^{1 / 2} v\right\|<(n-1)^{-1 / 2}\left\|A_{n}^{* 1 / 2} v\right\| .
$$

On the other hand, if $u$ satisfies $(\beta)$, then $v=S u$ satisfies

$$
\left\|A_{n}^{* 1 / 2} v\right\|<(n-1)^{-1 / 2}\left\|A_{n}^{1 / 2} v\right\|
$$

So (iv) is proved.
Now define $A$ to be the operator $A=\oplus A_{n}$ in the Hilbert space $H=\oplus H_{n}$ (where the direct sum is taken over all natural numbers $n \geqq 2$ ). Then $A$ is densely-defined maximal accretive and satisfies $|\operatorname{Im}(A u, u)| \leqq \kappa \operatorname{Re}(A u, u)$ for all $u \in D(A)$. Moreover $A^{1 / 2}$ and hence $A^{* 1 / 2}$ are invertible, and for every $\gamma>0$ there exists $v \in D\left(A^{1 / 2}\right) \cap D\left(A^{* 1 / 2}\right)$ which does not satisfy

$$
\gamma^{-1}\left\|A^{* 1 / 2} v\right\| \leqq\left\|A^{1 / 2} v\right\| \leqq \gamma\left\|A^{* 1 / 2} v\right\| .
$$

By applying the lemma below we conclude that $D\left(A^{1 / 2}\right) \neq D\left(A^{* 1 / 2}\right)$.
Lemma. Let B and C be two closed invertible operators in a Hilbert space $H$ such that $D(B)=D(C)$. Then there exists $\gamma>0$ such that

$$
\gamma^{-1}\|B u\| \leqq\|C u\| \leqq \gamma\|B u\| \quad \text { for all } u \in D(B)
$$

3. A stronger result. It is natural to ask whether stronger conditions on $A$ would imply that $A^{1 / 2}$ and $A^{* 1 / 2}$ have the same domain. We will now indicate that the following additional condition is not strong enough:
$\inf \{\theta \mid$ the numerical range of $A$ is contained in a sector of semiangle $\theta\}=0$.

In other words, there exists a regularly accretive operator $A$ which satisfies (C), but for which $D\left(A^{1 / 2}\right) \neq D\left(A^{* 1 / 2}\right)$.

Define the real-valued function $f$ by $f(y)=y(\log \log y)^{1 / 3}$ if $y>e ;=0$ if $y \leqq e$. We will show that there exists a regularly accretive operator $A$ with $D\left(A^{1 / 2}\right) \neq D\left(A^{* 1 / 2}\right)$ which satisfies:
(D) $\quad f(|\operatorname{Im}(A u, u)|) \leqq \operatorname{Re}(A u, u)$ for all $u \in D(A)$ with $\|u\|=1$.

Since $f$ is increasing, and $d f / d y \rightarrow \infty$ as $y \rightarrow \infty$, an operator which satisfies (D) also satisfies (C).

The operator $A$ is constructed as before but with an extra condition on $\varepsilon$. We note first that the operator $U$ constructed in [4] satisfies $2 \leqq U \leqq 2^{m}$, where $m=2^{(6 k+1)^{2}}$. So the operator $S=\|U\|^{-1} U$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|S^{-1}\right\|^{2} \leqq 2^{2 m-2}<\exp 2 m & <\exp \exp \left((6 k+1)^{2}+1\right) \\
& <\exp \exp \left(\left(18 \varepsilon^{-1}+1\right)^{2}+1\right)<\exp \exp 500 \varepsilon^{-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

(because $k<3 \varepsilon^{-1}$, and $\varepsilon<1$ ). Hence (using the monotonicity of $f$ ), if $\|u\|=1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(\left|\operatorname{Im}\left(A_{n} u, u\right)\right|\right) & =f\left(\left|\left(\left(S^{-1} T+T S^{-1}\right) u, u\right)\right|\right) \\
& \leqq f\left(\|T S+S T\|\left\|S^{-1} u\right\|^{2}\right) \leqq f\left(\varepsilon\left\|S^{-1} u\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& = \begin{cases}\varepsilon\left\|S^{-1} u\right\|^{2}\left\{\log \log \left(\varepsilon\left\|S^{-1} u\right\|^{2}\right)\right\}^{1 / 3}, & \text { if } \varepsilon\left\|S^{-1} u\right\|^{2}>e, \\
0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& < \begin{cases}\varepsilon\left\|S^{-1} u\right\|^{2}\left\{\log \log \left\|S^{-1}\right\|^{2}\right\}^{1 / 3}, & \text { if }\left\|S^{-1}\right\|^{2}>e \\
0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& <8 \varepsilon^{1 / 3}\left\|S^{-1} u\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{n} u, u\right) & =\left(\left(S^{-2}-T^{2}\right) u, u\right) \\
& \geqq\left\|S^{-1} u\right\|^{2}-\|T S\|^{2}\left\|S^{-1} u\right\|^{2} \\
& >\frac{1}{4} \delta\left\|S^{-1} u\right\|^{2} \quad\left(\because\|T S\|^{2}<1-\frac{1}{4} \delta\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we may choose $\varepsilon$ to satisfy $\varepsilon \leqq(32)^{-3} \delta^{3}$, in which case

$$
f\left(\left|\operatorname{Im}\left(A_{n} u, u\right)\right|\right)<\operatorname{Re}\left(A_{n} u, u\right) \quad \text { for all } u \in H_{n} \text { such that }\|u\|=1 .
$$

We conclude that the operator $A=\oplus A_{n}$ (which we have already shown to be regularly accretive and satisfy $\left.D\left(A^{1 / 2}\right) \neq D\left(A^{* 1 / 2}\right)\right)$ satisfies property (D), and hence (C).

Remark. Professor W. Kahan has constructed operators $U$ and $V$ satisfying (I) such that $2 \leqq U \leqq 2^{m}$ where $m=2^{c k}$ for some constant $c$. Using these operators, together with slightly more care in the estimates, we can replace the function $f$ in (D) by the function $f(y)=y(\log \log y)^{\alpha}$ if $y>e ;=0$ if $y \leqq e$, for any $\alpha<1$. It would be interesting to know what the situation is for functions $f$ of faster growth. In particular, it seems reasonable to conjecture that if $A$ is a maximal accretive operator satisfying $|\operatorname{Im}(A u, u)|^{p} \leqq \kappa \operatorname{Re}(A u, u)$ for all $u \in D(A)$ such that $\|u\|=1$, where $p>1$ and $\kappa>0$, then $D\left(A^{1 / 2}\right)=D\left(A^{* 1 / 2}\right)$. However this question remains open.
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