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## Example: Trees

A directed tree with regular 3-branching $T$ consists of

- the empty set $\emptyset$,
- 3 sequences of length 1 with terms chosen from the set $\{0,1,2\}$,
- 9 sequences of length 2 with terms chosen from the set $\{0,1,2\}$,
- ...
- $3^{r}$ sequences of length $r$ with terms chosen from the et $\{0,1,2\}$
and so on. The elements of $T$ are called vertices.


## Calculus on Trees

Each vertex $v$ al level $r$ has three children (successors)

$$
v_{0}, v_{1}, v_{2}
$$

Let $u: T \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be a real valued function.

## Gradient

The gradient of $u$ at the vertex $v$ is the vector in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$

$$
\nabla u(v)=\left\{u\left(v_{0}\right)-u(v), u\left(v_{1}\right)-u(v), u\left(v_{2}\right)-u(v)\right\} .
$$

## Divergence

The averaging operator or divergence of a vector $X=(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ as

$$
\operatorname{div}(X)=x+y+z
$$

## Harmonic Functions on Trees

## Harmonic functions

A function $u$ is harmonic if satisfies the Laplace equation

$$
\operatorname{div}(\nabla u)=0
$$

## The Mean Value Property

A function $u$ is harmonic if and only if it satisfies the mean value property

$$
u(v)=\frac{u\left(v_{0}\right)+u\left(v_{1}\right)+u\left(v_{2}\right)}{3}
$$

Thus the values of harmonic function at level $r$ determine its values at all levels smaller than $r$.

## The boundary of the tree

## Branches and boundary

A branch of $T$ is an infinite sequence of vertices, each followed by on of its immediate successors (this corresponds to the level $r=\infty$.) The collection of all branches forms the boundary of the tree $T$ is denoted by $\partial T$.

The mapping $g: \partial T \mapsto[0,1]$ given by

$$
\left.g(b)=\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{r}}{3^{r}} \text { (also denoted by } b\right)
$$

is a bijection (think of an expansion in base 3 of the numbers in $[0,1]$ ).

## The Dirichlet problem

- We have a natural metric and natural measure in $\partial T$ inherited from the interval $[0,1]$.
- The classical Cantor set $C$ is the subset of $\partial T$ formed by branches that don't go through any vertex labeled 1.


## The Dirichlet problem

Given a (continuous) function $f: \partial T \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ find a harmonic function $u: T \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} u\left(b_{r}\right)=f(b)
$$

for every branch $b=\left(b_{r}\right) \in \partial T$.

## Dirichlet problem, II

Given a vertex $v \in T$ consider the subset of $\partial T$ consisting of all branches that start at $v$. This is always an interval that we denote by $I_{V}$.

## Solution to the Dirichlet problem, $p=2$

The we have

$$
u(v)=\frac{1}{\left|I_{v}\right|} \int_{I_{v}} f(b) d b
$$

Note that $u$ is a martingale.
We see that we can in fact solve the Dirichlet problem for $f \in L^{1}([0,1])$.

## Game interpretation

## Random Walk

Start at the top $\emptyset$. Move downward by choosing successors at random with uniform probability. When you get at $\partial T$ at the point $b$ you get paid $f(b)$ dollars.

## Two player random Tug-of-War game

A coin is tossed. The player who wins the coin toss chooses the successor vertex (heads for player I, tails for player II.) The game ends when we reach $\partial T$ at a point $b$ in which case player II pays $f(b)$ dollars to player I.

## More on Random Walk Game interpretation

Every time we run the game we get a sequence of vertices

$$
v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}, \ldots \ldots
$$

that determines a point on $b$ the boundary $\partial T$.
If we are at vertex $v_{1}$ and run the game, player II pays $f(b)$ dollars to player I. Let us average out over all possible plays that start at $v_{1}$.

The value function is harmonic, $p=2$.

$$
\text { Expected pay-off }=\mathbb{E}^{v_{1}}[f(t)]=u\left(v_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{\left|v_{v_{1}}\right|} \int_{v_{v_{1}}} f(b) d b .
$$

## Two player random Tug-of-War game, $p=\infty$

In this case, say that $f$ is monotonically increasing. When player I moves he tries to move to the right. When player II moves he moves to the left.These are examples of strategies.

## Definition of Value functions

$$
u^{\prime}(v)=\sup _{s_{I}} \inf _{S_{\|}} \mathbb{E}^{v}[f(b)] \quad \text { and } \quad u^{\prime \prime}(v)=\inf _{S_{\|}} \sup _{s_{I}} \mathbb{E}^{v}[f(b)]
$$

## DPP (Dynamic Programming Principle)

We have $u^{\prime}=u^{\prime \prime}$. Moreover, if we denote the common function by $u$, it is the only function on the tree such that:

$$
u=f \text { on } \partial T, u(v)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\max _{i}\left\{u\left(v_{i}\right)\right\}+\min _{i}\left\{u\left(v_{i}\right)\right\}\right] .
$$

## Random Walk + Tug-of-War

Let us combine random choice of successor plus tug of war. Choose $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0$ such that $\alpha+\beta=1$. Start at $\emptyset$. With probability $\alpha$ the players play Tug-of-War. With probability $\beta$ move downward by choosing successors at random. When you get at $\partial T$ at the point $b$ player II pays $f(b)$ dollars to player I.

## DPP for Tug-of-War with noise, DPP = MVP

The value function $u$ verifies the equation

$$
u(v)=\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(\max _{i}\left\{u\left(v_{i}\right)\right\}+\min _{i}\left\{u\left(v_{i}\right)\right\}\right)+\beta\left(\frac{u\left(v_{0}\right)+u\left(v_{1}\right)+u\left(v_{2}\right)}{3}\right)
$$

## Where are the PDEs?

Setting

$$
\operatorname{div}_{\infty}(X)=\max \{x, y, z\}+\min \{x, y, z\}
$$

the value function $u$ of the tug-of-war game satisfies

$$
\operatorname{div}_{\infty}(\nabla u)=0
$$

Setting

$$
\operatorname{div}_{p}(X)=\frac{\alpha}{2}(\max \{x, y, z\}+\min \{x, y, z\})+\beta\left(\frac{x+y+z}{3}\right)
$$

the value function $u$ of the tug-of-war game with noise satisfies

$$
\operatorname{div}_{p}(\nabla u)=0
$$

This operator is the homogeneous p-Laplacian.

## The (homogeneous) p-Laplacian on trees

## The equations

$$
\operatorname{div}_{2}(\nabla u)=0, \quad \operatorname{div}_{p}(\nabla u)=0, \quad \operatorname{div}_{\infty}(\nabla u)=0
$$

## DPP for Tug-of-War with noise

$$
u(v)=\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(\max _{i}\left\{u\left(v_{i}\right)\right\}+\min _{i}\left\{u\left(v_{i}\right)\right\}\right)+\beta\left(\frac{u\left(v_{0}\right)+u\left(v_{1}\right)+u\left(v_{2}\right)}{3}\right)
$$

(1) The case $p=2$ corresponds to $\alpha=0, \beta=1$.
(2) The case $p=\infty$ corresponds to $\alpha=1, \beta=0$.
(3) In general, there is no explicit solution formula for $p \neq 2$

## Formulas for $f$ monotone, $p=\infty$

Suppose that $f$ is monotonically increasing. In this case the best strategy $S_{l}^{\star}$ for player I is always to move right and the best strategy $S_{\text {II }}^{\star}$ for player II always to move left. Starting at the vertex $v$ at level $k$

$$
v=0 . b_{1} b_{2} \ldots b_{k}, \quad b_{j} \in\{0,1,2\}
$$

we always move either left (adding a 0 ) or right (adding a 1 ). In this case $I_{v}$ is a Cantor-like set $I_{v}=\left\{0 . b_{1} b_{2} \ldots b_{k} d_{1} d_{2} \ldots\right\}$, $d_{j} \in\{0,2\}$

## Formula for $p=\infty$

$$
u(v)=\sup _{S_{l}} \inf _{S_{I I}} \mathbb{E}_{S_{l}, S_{l}}^{v}[f(b)]=E_{S_{i}^{\star}, S_{\|}^{*}}^{v}[f(b)]=f_{l_{v}} f(b) d C_{v}(b)
$$

The best strategy $S_{j}^{\star}$ for player I is always to move right and the best strategy $S_{\| I}^{*}$ for player II always to move left.

## Formula for $2 \leq p \leq \infty$

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(v)=\sup _{S_{I}} \inf _{S_{I I}} \mathbb{E}_{S_{I}, S_{I I}}^{v}[f(b)] & =E_{S_{I}^{\star}, S_{I I}^{\star}}^{v}[f(b)] \\
& =\alpha f_{I_{v}} f(b) d \mathcal{C}_{v}(b)+\beta f_{I_{v}} f(b) d b
\end{aligned}
$$

## $p$-harmonious and p-harmonic functions

Plan of the rest of the talk:
(1) Asymptotic Mean Value Properties for $p$-harmonic functions.
(2) Definition, existence and uniqueness of $p$-harmonious functions.
(3) Strong comparison principle for $p$-harmonious functions for $2 \leq p<\infty$.
(9) Approximation of $p$-harmonic functions by $p$-harmonious functions.

## 1. Asymptotic mean-value properties for $p$-harmonic functions.

Let $u \in C^{2}(\Omega), \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Consider the Taylor expansion:
$u(x+h)=u(x)+\langle\nabla u(x), h\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle D^{2} u(x) h, h\right\rangle+o\left(|h|^{2}\right)$, as $h \rightarrow 0$.
Averaging on a ball $B_{\epsilon}(x) \subset \Omega$ we get:

$$
f_{B_{\epsilon}(0)} u(x+h) d h=u(x)+\frac{1}{2(N+2)} \epsilon^{2} \Delta(u)(x)+o\left(\epsilon^{2}\right), \text { as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0
$$

## Lemma

$u \in C^{2}(\Omega)$ is harmonic in $\Omega$ if and only if for all $x \in \Omega$

$$
f_{B_{\epsilon}(0)} u(x+h) d h=u(x)+o\left(\epsilon^{2}\right), \text { as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0
$$

## The case $p=2$ :

Since viscosity harmonic functions are harmonic in the classical sense, we indeed have:

## Lemma

$u \in C(\Omega)$ is harmonic in $\Omega$ if and only if for all $x \in \Omega$

$$
f_{B_{\epsilon}(0)} u(x+h) d h=u(x)+o\left(\epsilon^{2}\right), \text { as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0
$$

## The case $p=\infty, \nabla u(x) \neq 0$

Let $u \in C^{2}(\Omega), \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$. In the Taylor expansion, use

$$
h=\epsilon \frac{\nabla u(x)}{|\nabla u(x)|} \quad \text { and } \quad h=-\epsilon \frac{\nabla u(x)}{|\nabla u(x)|},
$$

add, and compute to get:

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(\sup _{B_{\epsilon}(x)} u+\inf _{B_{\epsilon}(x)} u\right)=u(x)+\epsilon^{2} \Delta_{\infty} u(x)+o\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) \text { as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0
$$

where

$$
\Delta_{\infty} u(x)=\frac{1}{|\nabla u(x)|^{2}}\left\langle D^{2} u(x) \nabla u(x), \nabla u(x)\right\rangle
$$

is the homogeneous $\infty$-Laplacian.

## The case $p=\infty, \nabla u(x) \neq 0$

## Lemma

$u \in C^{2}(\Omega), \nabla u(x) \neq 0$, is $\infty$-harmonic in $\Omega$ if and only if for all $x \in \Omega$

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(\sup _{B_{\epsilon}(x)} u+\inf _{B_{\epsilon}(x)} u\right)=u(x)+o\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) \text { as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0
$$

## Lemma

Let $u \in C(\Omega)$ be just continuous. Suppose that for all $x \in \Omega$ we have

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(\sup _{B_{\epsilon}(x)} u+\inf _{B_{\epsilon}(x)} u\right)=u(x)+o\left(\epsilon^{2}\right) \text { as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0,
$$

then $u$ is $\infty$-harmonic in $\Omega$.

## The case $p=\infty, \nabla u(x) \neq 0$

The converse to the previous lemma does not hold.
Example: Aronsson's function near $(x, y)=(1,0)$

$$
u(x, y)=|x|^{4 / 3}-|y|^{4 / 3}
$$

Aronsson's function is $\infty$-harmonic in the viscosity sense but it is not of class $C^{2}$. A calculation shows that

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{\frac{1}{2}\left\{\frac{\max }{B_{\varepsilon}(1,0)} u+\frac{\min }{B_{\varepsilon}(1,0)} u\right\}-u(1,0)}{\varepsilon^{2}}=\frac{1}{18}
$$

But if an asymptotic expansion held in the classical sense, this limit would have to be zero.

## The case $1<p<\infty, \nabla u(x) \neq 0$

Let $u \in C^{2}(\Omega)$ and $\alpha, \beta$ non-negative such that $\alpha+\beta=1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(\sup _{B_{\epsilon}(x)} u+\inf _{B_{\epsilon}(x)} u\right)+\beta f_{B_{\epsilon}(x)} u & =u(x) \\
& +\alpha \Delta_{\infty} u(x)+\beta \frac{1}{(N+2)} \Delta u(x) \\
& +o\left(\epsilon^{2}\right), \quad \text { as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us rewrite the second order operator
$\alpha \Delta_{\infty} u(x)+\beta \frac{1}{(N+2)} \Delta u(x)=\beta \frac{1}{(N+2)}\left(\Delta u(x)+\frac{\alpha}{\beta \frac{1}{(N+2)}} \Delta_{\infty} u(x)\right)$

## The case $1<p<\infty, \nabla u(x) \neq 0$

Next, choose $2<p<\infty$ such that

$$
p-2=\frac{\alpha}{\beta \frac{1}{(N+2)}} .
$$

We then have

$$
\Delta u(x)+\frac{\alpha}{\beta \frac{1}{(N+2)}} \Delta_{\infty} u(x)=|\nabla u(x)|^{2-p} \operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u(x)\right) .
$$

## Lemma

$u \in C^{2}(\Omega), \nabla u(x) \neq 0$, is $p$-harmonic in $\Omega$ if and only if for all $x \in \Omega$

$$
\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(\sup _{B_{\epsilon}(x)} u+\inf _{B_{\epsilon}(x)} u\right)+\beta f_{B_{\epsilon}(x)} u=u(x)+o\left(\epsilon^{2}\right), \quad \text { as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0
$$

## The case $1<p<\infty$

## Lemma

Let be $u \in C(\Omega)$. Suppose that for all $x \in \Omega$ we have

$$
\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(\sup _{B_{\epsilon}(x)} u+\inf _{B_{\epsilon}(x)} u\right)+\beta f_{B_{\epsilon}(x)} u=u(x)+o\left(\epsilon^{2}\right), \quad \text { as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0
$$

where $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0$, and $\alpha+\beta=1$ and

$$
\frac{p-2}{N+2}=\frac{\alpha}{\beta},
$$

then $u$ is $p$-harmonic in $\Omega$

Question: Can we modify these lemmas so that they characterize $p$-harmonic functions?

## Theorem

$u \in C(\Omega)$ is $p$-harmonic in $\Omega$ if and only if for all $x \in \Omega$ we have that the asymptotic expansion

$$
\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(\sup _{B_{\epsilon}(x)} u+\inf _{B_{\epsilon}(x)} u\right)+\beta f_{B_{\epsilon}(x)} u=u(x)+o\left(\epsilon^{2}\right), \quad \text { as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0
$$

holds in the viscosity sense, where $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0, \alpha+\beta=1$ and

$$
\frac{p-2}{N+2}=\frac{\alpha}{\beta}
$$

Similar results hold for $p$-subharmonic and $p$-superharmonic functions.

## Asymptotic Mean Value Expansions

## Definition

A continuous function $u$ verifies

$$
u(x)=\frac{\alpha}{2}\left\{\frac{\max }{B_{\varepsilon}(x)} u+\frac{\min }{B_{\varepsilon}(x)} u\right\}+\beta f_{B_{\varepsilon}(x)} u(y) d y+o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)
$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the viscosity sense if
(i) for every $\phi \in C^{2}$ that touches $u$ from below at $x(u-\phi$ has a strict minimum at the point $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $u(x)=\phi(x))$ we have

$$
\phi(x) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2}\left\{\frac{\max }{B_{\varepsilon}(x)} \phi+\frac{\min }{B_{\varepsilon}(x)} \phi\right\}+\beta f_{B_{\varepsilon}(x)} \phi(y) d y+o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)
$$

## Asymptotic Mean Value Expansions

## Definition (continued)

(ii) for every $\phi \in C^{2}$ that touches $u$ from above at $x(u-\phi$ has a strict maximum at the point $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $u(x)=\phi(x)$ ) we have

$$
\phi(x) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}\left\{\frac{\max }{B_{\varepsilon}(x)} \phi+\frac{\min }{B_{\varepsilon}(x)} \phi\right\}+\beta f_{B_{\varepsilon}(x)} \phi(y) d y+o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)
$$

## Sketch of the proof

$u p$-harmonic $\Longleftrightarrow u p$-harmonic in the viscosity sense
 Use Taylor theorem applied to the test function $\phi$. (We can safely avoid points $x$ for which $\nabla u(x)=0$ )

## 2. Definition, $2 \leq p<\infty$ ( $p=\infty$ Le Gruyer)

Let $\Omega$ be a (bounded) domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and consider

$$
\Gamma_{\epsilon}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega: \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega) \leq \epsilon\right\}, \quad \Omega_{\varepsilon}=\Omega \cup \Gamma_{\varepsilon}
$$

The function $u_{\varepsilon}$ is $p$-harmonious in $\Omega$ with continuous boundary values $F: \Gamma_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad$ if $\quad u_{\varepsilon}(x)=F(x), x \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon}$ and
$u_{\varepsilon}(x)=\frac{\alpha}{2}\left\{\sup _{\bar{B}_{\varepsilon}(x)} u_{\varepsilon}+\inf _{\bar{B}_{\varepsilon}(x)} u_{\varepsilon}\right\}+\beta f_{B_{\varepsilon}(x)} u_{\varepsilon} d y \quad$ for every $x \in \Omega$,
where

$$
\alpha=\frac{p-2}{p+N}, \quad \text { and } \quad \beta=\frac{2+N}{p+N}
$$

WARNING! Solutions to this equation may be discontinuous as 1-d examples show.

## Tug-of-War Games with Noise $2 \leq p<\infty$

Fix $1>\alpha \geq 0, \beta>0$ such that $\alpha+\beta=1$.
Fix $\varepsilon>0$ and place a token at starting point $x_{0} \in \Omega$. Move the token to the next state $x_{1}$ as follows:

- With probability $\alpha$ play tug-of war: a fair coin is tossed and the winner of the toss moves the token to any $x_{1} \in \bar{B}_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)$.
- With probability $\beta$ the token moves according to a uniform probability density to a random point in the ball $\bar{B}_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)$.
This procedure yields an infinite sequence of game states $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots$ where every $x_{k}$, except $x_{0}$, is a random variable.
- A run of the game is $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}, \ldots\right)$, where $\mathbf{x}(k)=x_{k}$.
- The game stops the first time it hits $\Gamma_{\varepsilon}$. Write

$$
\tau(\mathbf{x})=\min \left\{k: x_{k} \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon}\right\}
$$

The random variable $\tau$ is a STOPPING TIME. We write

$$
\mathbf{x}(\tau(\mathbf{x}))=x_{\tau}
$$

- $F: \Gamma_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a given (Lipschitz, bounded) payoff function. The game payoff is $F(\mathbf{x})=F\left(x_{\tau}\right)$.
- Player I earns $\$ F\left(x_{\tau}\right)$ while Player II earns $\$-F\left(x_{\tau}\right)$.


## Tug-of-War Games with Noise

- Fix strategies $S_{\text {I }}$ and $S_{/ /}$for players I and II respectively.
- Start the game at $x_{0}$.
- The probability measure $\mathbb{P}_{S_{I}, S_{I I}}^{x_{0}}$ is defined on the set of all game histories $H \subset \Omega_{\varepsilon}^{\infty}$ by the transition probabilities

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{S_{l}, S_{l l}}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k} ; A\right) & =\frac{\alpha}{2}\left(\delta_{S_{l}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)}(A)+\delta_{S_{I /}\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)}(A)\right) \\
& +\beta \frac{\left|A \cap \bar{B}_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{k}\right)\right|}{\left|\bar{B}_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{k}\right)\right|}
\end{aligned}
$$

and Kolmogorov's extension theorem.

## Tug-of-War Games with Noise, $2 \leq p<\infty$

## Games end almost surely

$\mathbb{P}_{S_{I}, S_{I I}}^{x}(H)=1$ because $\beta>0$.

## Value of the game for player I

$$
u_{l}^{\varepsilon}(x)=\sup _{S_{I}} \inf _{S_{I I}} \mathbb{E}_{S_{l}, S_{I I}}^{x}\left[F\left(x_{\tau}\right)\right]
$$

## Value of the game for player II

$$
u_{I /}^{\varepsilon}(x)=\inf _{S_{\|}} \sup _{S_{I}} \mathbb{E}_{S_{I}, S_{\| I}}^{X}\left[F\left(x_{\tau}\right)\right]
$$

## Comparison Principle

$$
u_{I}^{\varepsilon}(x) \leq u_{I /}^{\varepsilon}(x)
$$

## DPP $\Longrightarrow$ existence of $p$-harmonious functions

## THEOREM

The value functions $u_{I}^{\varepsilon}$ and $u_{\| \|}^{\varepsilon}$ are $p$-harmonious. They satisfy the equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u(x)=\frac{\alpha}{2}\left\{\sup _{\bar{B}_{\varepsilon}(x)} u+\inf _{\bar{B}_{\varepsilon}(x)} u\right\}+\beta f_{B_{\varepsilon}(x)} u(y) d y, \quad x \in \Omega, \\
& u(x)=F(x), \quad x \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(In the case $p=\infty$ Le Gruyer showed that the mapping

$$
T(u)=\frac{1}{2}\left\{\sup _{\bar{B}_{\varepsilon}(X)} u+\inf _{B_{\varepsilon}(x)} u\right\}
$$

has a fixed point.)

## Comparison I

## Theorem

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a bounded open set.

- If $v_{\varepsilon}$ is a $p$-harmonious function with boundary values $F_{v}$ in $\Gamma_{\varepsilon}$ such that $F_{v}(y) \geq u_{l}^{\varepsilon}(y)$ for $y \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon}$, then $v_{\varepsilon}(x) \geq u_{l}^{\varepsilon}(x)$ for $x \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}$.
- If $v_{\varepsilon}$ is a $p$-harmonious function with boundary values $F_{V}$ in $\Gamma_{\varepsilon}$ such that $F_{v}(y) \leq u_{I}^{\varepsilon}(y)$ for $y \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon}$, then $v_{\varepsilon}(x) \leq u_{I /}^{\varepsilon}(x)$ for $x \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}$.

That is $u_{I}^{\varepsilon}$ is the smallest $p$-harmonious function with given boundary values and $u_{I I}^{\varepsilon}$ is the largest $p$-harmonious function with given boundary values.

## Comparison I, Proof

Player I arbitrary strategy $S_{I}$, player II strategy $S_{\| /}^{0}$ that almost minimizes $v_{\varepsilon}, \quad V_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{k}\right) \leq \inf _{y \in \bar{B}_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{k-1}\right)} V_{\varepsilon}(y)+\eta 2^{-k}$

## Key Point

$$
M_{k}=v_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{k}\right)+\eta 2^{-k}
$$

is a supermartingale for any $\eta>0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{S_{I}, S_{\|}^{0}}^{x_{0}}\left[M_{k} \mid\right. & \left.x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right]=\mathbb{E}_{S_{I}, S_{\|}^{0}}^{x_{0}}\left[v^{\varepsilon}\left(x_{k}\right)+\eta 2^{-k} \mid x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right] \\
& \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}\left\{\inf _{y \in \bar{B}_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{k-1}\right)} v^{\varepsilon}(y)+\sup _{y \in \bar{B}_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{k-1}\right)} v^{\varepsilon}(y)+\eta 2^{-k}\right\} \\
& +\beta f_{B_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{k-1}\right)} v^{\varepsilon} d y+\eta 2^{-k} \leq v^{\varepsilon}\left(x_{k-1}\right)+\eta 2^{-(k-1)}=M_{k-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Comparison I, Proof

## By optimal stopping

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{l}^{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right) & =\sup _{S_{l}} \inf _{S_{I I}} \mathbb{E}_{S_{l}, S_{I I}}^{x}\left[F\left(x_{\tau}\right)\right] \\
& \leq \sup _{S_{I}} \mathbb{E}_{S_{l}, S_{I I}^{0}}^{x}\left[F\left(x_{\tau}\right)\right] \\
& \leq \sup _{S_{I}} \mathbb{E}_{S_{l}, S_{I I}^{0}}^{x}\left[v_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{\tau}\right)\right] \\
& \leq \sup _{S_{I}} \mathbb{E}_{S_{l}, S_{I I}^{0}}^{x_{0}}\left[v_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{\tau}\right)+\eta 2^{-\tau}\right] \\
& \leq \sup _{S_{l}} \mathbb{E}_{S_{l}, S_{I I}^{0}}^{x_{0}}\left[M_{\tau}\right] \\
& \leq \sup _{S_{I}} M_{0}=v^{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)+\eta
\end{aligned}
$$

## The game has a value

## Theorem

$M_{k}=u_{l}^{\varepsilon}\left(x_{k}\right)+\eta 2^{-k}$ is a supermartingale.
We have $u_{I}^{\varepsilon}=u_{I I}^{\varepsilon}$
The proof is a variant of the proof of comparison. Player II follows a strategy $S_{\| l}^{0}$ such that at $x_{k-1} \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}$, he always chooses to step to a point that almost minimizes $u_{l}^{\varepsilon}$; that is, to a point $x_{k}$ such that

$$
u_{I}^{\varepsilon}\left(x_{k}\right) \leq \inf _{y \in \bar{B}_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{k-1}\right)} u_{l}^{\varepsilon}(y)+\eta 2^{-k}
$$

## 3. Maximum and Comparison Principles

## Theorem

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a bounded domain. If $u_{\varepsilon}$ is $p$-harmonious in $\Omega$ with a boundary data $F$, then $\sup _{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}} F \geq \sup _{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}$. Moreover, if there is a point $x_{0} \in \Omega$ such that $u_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)=\sup _{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}} F$, then $u_{\varepsilon}$ is constant in $\Omega$.

## Theorem

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a bounded domain. and let $u_{\varepsilon}$ and $v_{\varepsilon}$ be $p$-harmonious with boundary data $F_{u} \geq F_{v}$ in $\Gamma_{\varepsilon}$. Then if there exists a point $x_{0} \in \Omega$ such that $u_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)=v_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)$, it follows that $u_{\varepsilon}=v_{\varepsilon}$ in $\Omega$, and, moreover, the boundary values satisfy $F_{u}=F_{V}$ in $\Gamma_{\varepsilon}$.

## Proof of Strong Comparison

The proof uses the fact that $p<\infty$. The strong comparison principle does not hold for $p=\infty$.

$$
F_{u} \geq F_{v} \Longrightarrow u_{\varepsilon} \geq v_{\varepsilon}
$$

We have

$$
u_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)=\frac{\alpha}{2}\left\{\sup _{\bar{B}_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)} u_{\varepsilon}+\inf _{\bar{B}_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)} u_{\varepsilon}\right\}+\beta f_{B_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)} u_{\varepsilon} d y
$$

and

$$
v_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)=\frac{\alpha}{2}\left\{\sup _{\bar{B}_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)} v_{\varepsilon}+\inf _{\bar{B}_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)} v_{\varepsilon}\right\}+\beta f_{B_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)} v_{\varepsilon} d y
$$

Next we compare the right hand sides. Because $u_{\varepsilon} \geq v_{\varepsilon}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sup _{\bar{B}_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)} u_{\varepsilon}-\sup _{\bar{B}_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)} v_{\varepsilon} \geq 0, \\
\inf _{\bar{B}_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)} u_{\varepsilon}-\inf _{\bar{B}_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)} v_{\varepsilon} \geq 0, \quad \text { and } \\
f_{B_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)} u_{\varepsilon} d y-f_{B_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)} v_{\varepsilon} d y \geq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

But since

$$
u_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)=v_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right),
$$

and $\beta>0$ must have $u_{\varepsilon}=v_{\varepsilon}$ almost everywhere in $B_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)$. In particular,

$$
F_{u}=F_{v} \quad \text { everywhere in } \Gamma_{\varepsilon}
$$

since $F_{u}$ and $F_{v}$ are continuous. By uniqueness $u_{\varepsilon}=v_{\varepsilon}$ everywhere in $\Omega$.

## 4. Approximation of $p$-harmonic functions

## Boundary Regularity Assumption

$\Omega$ bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ satisfying an exterior sphere condition: For each $y \in \partial \Omega$, there exists $B_{\delta}(z) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \Omega$ such that $y \in \partial B_{\delta}(z) . R>0$ is chosen so that we always have $\Omega \subset B_{R / 2}(z)$.

## THEOREM

$F$ is Lipschitz in $\Gamma_{\varepsilon}$ for small $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}$. Let $u$ be the unique viscosity solution to

$$
\begin{cases}\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)(x)=0, & x \in \Omega \\ u(x)=F(x), & x \in \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

and let $u_{\varepsilon}$ be the unique $p$-harmonious function with boundary data $F$ in $\Gamma_{\varepsilon}$, then $u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u$ uniformly in $\Omega$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

## Approximation of $p$-harmonic functions, Proof I

## Ascoli-Arzelá type theorem

Let $\left\{u_{\varepsilon}: u_{\varepsilon}: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \varepsilon>0\right\}$ be a set of functions such that
(1) there exists $C>0$ so that $\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)\right|<C$ for every $\varepsilon>0$ and every $x \in \bar{\Omega}$,
(2) given $\eta>0$ there are constants $r_{0}$ and $\varepsilon_{0}$ such that for every $\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}$ and any $x, x^{\prime} \in \bar{\Omega}$ with $\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|<r_{0}$ it holds

$$
\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)-u_{\varepsilon}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|<\eta .
$$

Then, there exists a sequence $\varepsilon_{j} \rightarrow 0$ and a uniformly continuous function $u: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
u_{\varepsilon_{j}} \rightarrow u
$$

uniformly in $\bar{\Omega}$.

## Approximation of $p$-harmonic functions, Proof I

Condition 1 is clear:

$$
\min _{y \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon}} F(y) \leq F\left(x_{\tau}\right) \leq \max _{y \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon}} F(y) \Longrightarrow \min _{y \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon}} F(y) \leq u_{\varepsilon}(x) \leq \max _{y \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon}} F(y) .
$$

## Condition 2, OSCILLATION ESTIMATE

The $p$-harmonious function $u_{\varepsilon}$ with the boundary data $F$ satisfies

$$
\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)-u_{\varepsilon}(y)\right| \leq \operatorname{Lip}(F) \delta+C(R / \delta)(|x-y|+o(1))
$$

for every small enough $\delta>0$ and for every two points $x, y \in \Omega \cup \Gamma_{\varepsilon}$. Here $C(R / \delta) \rightarrow \infty$ as $R / \delta \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore the constant in $o(1)$ is uniform in $x$ and $y$.

## Ingredients in the Proof of the Oscillation Estimate

Exterior sphere condition $\Longrightarrow$ there exists there exists $B_{\delta}(z) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \Omega$ such that $y \in \partial B_{\delta}(z)$.
When Player I chooses the strategy of pulling towards $z$, denoted by $S_{l}^{z}$, Player II an arbitrary strategy.

$$
M_{k}=\left|x_{k}-z\right|-C \varepsilon^{2} k
$$

is a supermartingale for a constant $C$ large enough independent of $\varepsilon$.

## By the optional stopping theorem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{S_{T}^{2}, S_{l l}}^{x_{0}}\left[\left|x_{\tau}-z\right|-C \varepsilon^{2} \tau\right] & \leq\left|x_{0}-z\right| \\
\mathbb{E}_{S_{I}^{2}, S_{l l}}^{x_{0}}\left[\left|x_{\tau}-z\right|\right] & \leq\left|x_{0}-z\right|+C \varepsilon^{2} \mathbb{E}_{S_{T}^{2}, S_{l \mid}}^{x_{0}}[\tau]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Sketch of the Proof of the Oscillation Estimate

## Random Walk Exit Time Estimates

Consider a random walk on $B_{R}(z) \backslash \bar{B}_{\delta}(z)$ such that when at $x_{k-1}$, the next point $x_{k}$ is chosen uniformly distributed in $B_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{k-1}\right) \cap B_{R}(z)$. For $\tau^{\star}=\inf \left\{k: x_{k} \in \bar{B}_{\delta}(z)\right\}$. we have

$$
\mathbb{E}^{x_{0}}\left(\tau^{\star}\right) \leq \frac{C(R / \delta) \operatorname{dist}\left(\partial B_{\delta}(z), x_{0}\right)+o(1)}{\varepsilon^{2}}
$$

for $x_{0} \in B_{R}(y) \backslash \bar{B}_{\delta}(y)$. Here $C(R / \delta) \rightarrow \infty$ as $R / \delta \rightarrow \infty$.
This is surely known by experts in probability. We proved it by showing that $g(x)=\mathbb{E}^{x}\left(\tau^{\star}\right)$ can be estimated by the solution of a mixed Dirichlet-Newman problem in the ring $B_{R}(z) \backslash \bar{B}_{\delta}(z)$

