

On the degree of singularity of one-dimensional analytically irreducible noetherian local rings

By

Tadayuki MATSUOKA

(Communicated by Professor M. Nagata, February 10, 1971;
Revised, April 17, 1971)

Let R be the local ring of an algebraic curve at a point, \bar{R} its integral closure and c the conductor of R in \bar{R} . The length $l(\bar{R}/R)$ of the R -module \bar{R}/R is called the *degree of singularity* of R , and it has been proved by many algebraic geometers that if R is a Gorenstein ring, then the following equality holds:

$$(1) \quad l(\bar{R}/R) = l(R/c), \text{ or equivalently } 2l(\bar{R}/R) = l(\bar{R}/c)$$

(cf. the Introduction in [2]). Recently, in the case where R is a one-dimensional analytically irreducible (not necessarily geometric) local ring such that R and \bar{R} have the same residue field, E. Kunz has proved that R is a Gorenstein ring if and only if the value semi-group of R is symmetric. In the course of the proof it is implicitly demonstrated that R is a Gorenstein ring if and only if the equality (1) holds (cf. [4]).

In this paper, under the same assumption as in the above result of Kunz, we shall prove the following theorem which contains the above result as a special case:

If R is a Macaulay local ring of type μ (i.e., $MC\mu$ ring in the

sence of H. Bass [1], cf. [5]), then the following inequalities hold:

$$d \leq \delta \leq \mu d, \text{ or equivalently } 2d \leq c \leq (\mu + 1)d$$

where $\delta = l(\bar{R}/R)$, $d = l(R/c)$ and $c = l(\bar{R}/c)$ (Theorem 2 in §3).

§1. Preliminary.

Let R be a one-dimensional analytically irreducible noetherian local ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . Let \bar{R} be the integral closure of R in the quotient field K and c the conductor of R in \bar{R} . It is known that \bar{R} is a finitely generated R -module and is a discrete valuation ring (for instance, see Exercise 1, §33, Chap. V, [6]). Let v be the valuation of K with the valuation ring \bar{R} . We will use the following notations: For a subset S of K , $v\{S\} = \{v(x) \mid x \in S - 0\}$ and $v(S) = \inf\{v(x) \mid x \in S\}$. For an ideal \mathfrak{a} in R , $\mathfrak{a}^{-1} = \{x \in K \mid x\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R\}$ a fractional ideal of R in K . For an R -module M , $l(M)$ = the length of M . For a finite set F , $\#F$ = the number of the elements of F .

Let A be an r -dimensional Macaulay local ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . We say that A is a Macaulay local ring of type μ if $\mu = l(\text{Ext}_A^r(A/\mathfrak{m}, A))$. Hence A is a Gorenstein local ring if and only if A is a Macaulay local ring of type one.

We shall use later the following Rees' theorem (cf. [2] or [7]):

Let A be a noetherian local ring and \mathfrak{a} an ideal in A . Let x_1, \dots, x_n be an A -sequence in \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} the ideal generated by x_1, \dots, x_n . Then:

$$\text{Ext}_A^p(A/\mathfrak{a}, A) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq p < n \\ \text{Hom}_A(A/\mathfrak{a}, A/\mathfrak{b}), & p = n. \end{cases}$$

Throughout this paper, R is a one-dimensional analytically irreducible noetherian local ring such that R and \bar{R} have the same residue field, and we will use constantly the same notation as above.

§2. The type of R .

Although the following two lemmas are contained in [4], we give

the proofs for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 1. *If $v(x)=v(y)$ for x and y in K , then $v(x-ay)>v(y)$ for some unit a in R .*

Proof. Since x/y is a unit in \bar{R} and since R and \bar{R} have the same residue field, there is a unit a in R such that $x/y-a$ is in the maximal ideal of \bar{R} . Hence we have $v(x-ay)>v(y)$. q.e.d.

Lemma 2. *Set $c=v(c)$. Then $c=\{x \in K \mid v(x) \geq c\}$ and $v\{R\} \supseteq \{c+n \mid n=0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. Moreover, $c-1$ is the largest integer not belonging to $v\{R\}$.*

Proof. The proof of the first part is easy and we omit it. Suppose that $c-1 \in v\{R\}$. Let t be an element in \bar{R} such that $v(t)=1$. By Lemma 1 we have $v(x-at^{c-1})>c-1$ for some x in R and for some unit a in R . Hence we have $t^{c-1} \in R$. Let y be an element in \bar{R} . By Lemma 1 there is an element z in R such that $v(y-z)>0$. Then $(y-z)t^{c-1} \in R$ because $v((y-z)t^{c-1}) \geq c$. Hence we have $yt^{c-1}=(y-z)t^{c-1}+zt^{c-1} \in R$. This shows that $t^{c-1} \in c$. Therefore $c-1 \geq v(c)=c$. This is a contradiction. q.e.d.

Lemma 3. *Let M be an R -module such that $K \supseteq M \supseteq R$. If $v\{M\}=v\{R\}$, then $M=R$.*

Proof. Let x be an element in M . Since $v(x)=v(y)$ for some y in R , by successive applications of Lemma 1 there is an element z in R such that $v(x-z) \geq c$ where $c=v(c)$. Hence by Lemma 2 we have $x \in R$. q.e.d.

We remark that if M is a finitely generated R -module contained in K , then $v(M)$ is an integer. In this case $v\{M\}-v\{R\}$ is a finite set.

Lemma 4. *Let M be a finitely generated R -module such that $K \supseteq M \supseteq R$ and $M \neq R$. Let $v\{M\} - v\{R\} = \{m_1, \dots, m_\lambda\}$, $m_1 < \dots < m_\lambda$, and set $M'_i = \{x \in M \mid v(x) \geq m_i\}$. Let M_i be the R -module generated by M'_i and R . Then $v\{M_i\} = \{m_i, \dots, m_\lambda\} \cup v\{R\}$ and $M_1 = M$.*

Proof. Let x be an element in M_i and $x = x' + y$, $x' \in M'_i$ and $y \in R$. Since $v(x) = v(y)$ or $v(x) \geq v(x') \geq m_i$, we have the first assertion. Let x be an element in M such that $v(x) < m_1$. Since $v(x) \in v\{R\}$, by Lemma 1 we have $v(x - y) \geq m_1$ for some y in R . Hence we have $x - y \in M'_1$ and therefore we have $x \in M_1$. q.e.d.

Proposition 1. *Let M be a finitely generated R -module such that $K \supseteq M \supseteq R$. Then $l(M/R) = \#(v\{M\} - v\{R\})$.*

Proof. Set $\lambda = \#(v\{M\} - v\{R\})$. We proceed by induction on λ . In case when $\lambda = 0$, by Lemma 3 our assertion is obvious. Assume that $\lambda > 0$. Let $v\{M\} - v\{R\} = \{m_1, \dots, m_\lambda\}$, $m_1 < \dots < m_\lambda$, and let M_i be the R -module defined in Lemma 4. Let N be a submodule of M such that N contains M_2 properly. We first show that $v\{N\} = v\{M\}$. Let x be an element in N such that $x \notin M_2$. Suppose that $v(x - y) \neq m_1$ for any y in R . Since $v(x) \in v\{R\}$, by Lemma 1 we have $v(x - z) \geq m_2$ for some z in R . Hence we have $x \in M_2$. This is a contradiction. Therefore $v(x - y) = m_1$ for some y in R , and this shows that $v\{N\} = v\{M\}$. Next we show that $N = M$. Let x be an element in M such that $v(x) < m_2$. If $v(x - y) = m_1$ for some y in R , then there is an element z in N such that $v(x - y) = v(z)$. By Lemma 1 we have $v(x - u) \geq m_2$ for some u in N , and hence we have $x \in N$. If $v(x - y) \neq m_1$ for any y in R , then $v(x) \in v\{R\}$, and hence by Lemma 1 there is an element z in R such that $v(x - z) \geq m_2$. Whence we also have $x \in N$. This shows that $N = M$. Therefore we have $l(M/M_2) = 1$. On the other hand, by Lemma 4 $v\{M_2\} - v\{R\} = \{m_2, \dots, m_\lambda\}$. Hence, by our induction hypothesis, $l(M_2/R) = \lambda - 1$. Therefore we have $l(M/R) = \lambda$. q.e.d.

Corollary. *Let M be a finitely generated R -module such that $K \supseteq M \supseteq R$. Then for every submodule N of M such that $N \supseteq R$, $l(M/N) = \#(v\{M\} - v\{N\})$.*

Remark. Let r and s be integers in $v\{R\}$ such that $r \leq s$. Set $\alpha = \{x \in R \mid v(x) \geq r\}$ and $\mathfrak{b} = \{x \in R \mid v(x) \geq s\}$. For α and \mathfrak{b} , by the same way as the proof of Proposition 1, we have $l(\alpha/\mathfrak{b}) = \#(v\{\alpha\} - v\{\mathfrak{b}\})$.

Theorem 1. *R is a Macaulay local ring of type $\#(v\{\mathfrak{m}^{-1}\} - v\{R\})$.*

Proof. Since R is a one-dimensional noetherian local integral domain, R is a Macaulay local ring. Let a be a non-zero element in \mathfrak{m} . Then $(aR : \mathfrak{m}) = a\mathfrak{m}^{-1}$ (cf. Rechenregel 4, §1, [3]). Hence by Rees' theorem we have:

$$\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{m}, R) \simeq (aR : \mathfrak{m})/aR = a\mathfrak{m}^{-1}/aR \simeq \mathfrak{m}^{-1}/R.$$

This shows that $l(\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{m}, R)) = l(\mathfrak{m}^{-1}/R)$. Therefore our assertion follows from Proposition 1. q.e.d.

§3. The degree of singularity.

Let $v\{R\} = \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{d-1}\} \cup \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n \geq c\}$, $0 = v_0 < v_1 < \dots < v_{d-1} < c = v(c)$, where \mathbb{Z} is the set of integers. Set $\alpha_i = \{x \in R \mid v(x) \geq v_i\}$ and $\alpha_d = c$. Obviously α_i is an ideal in R and $\alpha_0 = R, \alpha_1 = \mathfrak{m}$. Next we remark that $c^{-1} = \bar{R}^{(1)}$. In fact, let x be an element in c^{-1} . Suppose that $x \notin \bar{R}$. Since $v(x) < 0$, we have $c - 1 - v(x) \geq c$. Let t be an element in \bar{R} such that $v(t) = 1$. By Lemma 2 we have $z = t^{c-1-v(x)} \in c$. Since $xt \in R$, we have $xz \in R$. Hence $c - 1 = v(x) + v(z) \in v\{R\}$. This contradicts Lemma 2. Therefore $c^{-1} \subseteq \bar{R}$. Since the opposite inclusion is obvious, we have $c^{-1} = \bar{R}$.

Consider the following ascending chain of (fractional) ideals:

$$(2) \quad c = \alpha_d \subset \alpha_{d-1} \subset \dots \subset \alpha_1 \subset R \subset \alpha_1^{-1} \subset \dots \subset \alpha_{d-1}^{-1} \subset \alpha_d^{-1} = \bar{R}.$$

1) We can show that the ideal α_i is divisorial, i.e., $\alpha_i = (\alpha_i^{-1})^{-1}$ for every i .

Since $v\{\alpha_{i-1}\} - v\{\alpha_i\} = \{v_{i-1}\}$, we have $l(\alpha_{i-1}/\alpha_i) = 1$ by the remark after Corollary to Proposition 1. Hence we have $l(R/c) = d$. We also remark that $l(\bar{R}/c) = c$ because R and \bar{R} have the same residue field. Set $\delta = l(\bar{R}/R)$ and $\delta_i = l(\alpha_i^{-1}/\alpha_{i-1}^{-1})$. By the above chain (2) the following equalities hold:

$$(3) \quad c = d + \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \delta = \sum_{i=1}^d \delta_i.$$

Proposition 2. *With the same notation as above, $c-1-v_{i-1}$ is the largest integer belonging to $v\{\alpha_i^{-1}\} - v\{\alpha_{i-1}^{-1}\}$. Therefore $\delta_i \geq 1$.*

Proof. Set $w = c-1-v_{i-1}$. By Lemma 2 $w+v_{i-1} = c-1 \notin v\{R\}$, and hence we have $w \notin v\{\alpha_{i-1}^{-1}\}$. Let x be an element in K such that $v(x) = w$. Let y be an element in α_i . If $v(y) = v_j$ for some $j, i \leq j \leq d-1$, then $v(xy) = c-1 + (v_j - v_{i-1}) \geq c$. If $v(y) \geq c$, then obviously $v(xy) \geq c$. Hence by Lemma 2 we have $xy \in R$ and whence $x \in \alpha_i^{-1}$. This shows that $w \in v\{\alpha_i^{-1}\}$. Therefore we have $w \in v\{\alpha_i^{-1}\} - v\{\alpha_{i-1}^{-1}\}$. Next we have to show that if $n \in v\{\alpha_i^{-1}\} - v\{\alpha_{i-1}^{-1}\}$, then $n \leq w$. In order to see this, it is enough to show that if $n > w$, then $n \in v\{\alpha_{i-1}^{-1}\}$. Assume that $n > w$. Let z be an element in K such that $v(z) = n$. Let y be an element in α_{i-1} . If $v(y) = v_j$ for some $j, i-1 \leq j \leq d-1$, then $v(zy) = n + v_j > w + v_j \geq c-1$. If $v(y) \geq c$, then $v(zy) \geq c$. Hence by Lemma 2 we have $zy \in R$, and whence $z \in \alpha_{i-1}^{-1}$. This shows that $n \in v\{\alpha_{i-1}^{-1}\}$. The second part follows from the first part and Corollary to Proposition 1. q.e.d.

Corollary. *With the same notation as above, the integer $c-1$ belongs to $v\{m^{-1}\} - v\{R\}$. Moreover, R is a Gorenstein ring if and only if $v\{m^{-1}\} = \{c-1\} \cup v\{R\}$.*

Proof. The first part is a special case of Proposition 2. The second part follows from the first part and Theorem 1. q.e.d.

Proposition 3. *With the same notation as above, if R has type μ , then the inequality $\delta_i \leq \mu$ holds for every i .*

Proof. Since $l(\alpha_{i-1}/\alpha_i)=1$, α_{i-1}/α_i is isomorphic to R/\mathfrak{m} as R -modules. Hence we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow R/\alpha_i \rightarrow R/\alpha_{i-1} \rightarrow 0.$$

This exact sequence gives us the following long exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, R) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(R/\alpha_{i-1}, R) \rightarrow \\ \text{Ext}_R^1(R/\alpha_i, R) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{m}, R) \rightarrow \cdots. \end{aligned}$$

Since the conductor \mathfrak{c} contains a non-zero element a , by Rees' theorem we have

$$\text{Ext}_R^1(R/\alpha_j, R) \simeq (aR : \alpha_j)/aR = a\alpha_j^{-1}/aR \simeq \alpha_j^{-1}/R$$

and $\text{Hom}_R(R/\alpha_j, R) = 0$ for every j . Therefore the above long exact sequence is reduced to the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \alpha_{i-1}^{-1}/R \rightarrow \alpha_i^{-1}/R \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}^{-1}/R \rightarrow \cdots.$$

This shows that $\alpha_i^{-1}/\alpha_{i-1}^{-1}$ is isomorphic to a submodule of \mathfrak{m}^{-1}/R .²⁾ Therefore we have $\delta_i \leq \mu$. q.e.d.

Theorem 2. *With the same notation as above, if R has type μ , then the following inequalities hold:*

$$2d \leq c \leq (\mu + 1)d.$$

Proof. Since $1 \leq \delta_i \leq \mu$ by Propositions 2 and 3, our assertions follow directly from the equalities (3).³⁾ q.e.d.

2) In the proof of Lemma 1 in [8] P. Roquette proved this fact by a method different from ours.

3) In [4] E. Kunz proved the inequality $2d \leq c$ by considering the value-semigroup of R , and in [9] P. Samuel had already pointed out that if the embedding dimension of R is greater than two, it may happen the strict inequality $2d < c$.

Corollary. *With the same notation as above, R is a Gorenstein ring if and only if the equality $2\delta=c$ holds.*

Proof. The only if part follows from Theorem 2 and (3). Assume that $2\delta=c$. By (3) we have $d=\sum_{i=1}^d \delta_i$. Since $\delta_i \geq 1$, we have $\delta_i=1$ for every i and hence $\mu=\delta_1=1$. q.e.d.

§4. Examples.

In general, the equality $c=(\mu+1)d$, or equivalently $\delta=\mu d$, in the second inequality in Theorem 2 does not hold. However, it may happen that the equality does hold even if R is not a Gorenstein ring. Note that $\delta=\mu d$ if and only if $\delta_i=\mu$ for all i . Hence, for instance, if $d=1$, then the equality $\delta=\mu d$ trivially holds. To see these facts we give the following examples.

Let k be an algebraically closed field and t an analytically independent element over k . Let n_1, \dots, n_q be positive integers such that $\gcd(n_1, \dots, n_q)=1$. Set $x_i=t^{n_i}$, $i=1, \dots, q$. Let C be the affine algebraic curve with generic point (x_1, \dots, x_q) over k and R the local ring of C at the origin. Then R is an analytically irreducible local ring and the integral closure \bar{R} in the quotient field $K(=k(t))$ is the regular local ring $k[t]_{(t)}$. Hence R and \bar{R} have the same residue field k . Let r be an integer in $v\{R\}$. Set $\alpha=\{x \in R \mid v(x) \geq r\}$ and $I(\alpha)=\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n+v\{\alpha\} \subseteq v\{R\}\}$. We show that

$$(4) \quad v\{\alpha^{-1}\}=I(\alpha).$$

Proof of (4): Let y be an element in α and write $y=f/g$ where f and g are in $k[x_1, \dots, x_q]$ and $g \neq 0$ at the origin. We first note that two monomials in x_1, \dots, x_q coincide with each other if and only if they have the same value. Hence we can write

$$f=b_1 m_{r_1}(x) + \dots + b_s m_{r_s}(x)$$

where $b_i \in k$, $b_1 \neq 0$, $m_{r_i}(x)$ is a monomial in x_1, \dots, x_q with value r_i , and $v(y)=r_1 < \dots < r_s$. Since the value of $m_{r_i}(x)$ is not less than

$r, m_{r_i}(x) \in \mathfrak{a}$, whence r_1, \dots, r_s are in $v\{\mathfrak{a}\}$. Let n be an integer in $I(\mathfrak{a})$. Since $n + r_i \in v\{R\}$, $t^n m_{r_i}(x) = m_{n+r_i}(x)$. Therefore $t^n f = \sum b_i m_{n+r_i}(x) \in R$, that is, $t^n y \in R$. This shows that $t^n \in \mathfrak{a}^{-1}$, whence $n \in v\{\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\}$. Thus we have $I(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq v\{\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\}$. Since the opposite inclusion is obvious, we have the assertion.

By using (4) we can compute δ_i and μ because $\delta_i = \#(v\{\mathfrak{a}_i^{-1}\} - v\{\mathfrak{a}_{i-1}^{-1}\})$.

Example 1. In case where $q=3$ and $n_1=3, n_2=4, n_3=5$, we have $v\{R\} = \{0\} \cup \{n \in Z \mid n \geq 3\}$, $c=3, d=1, \mu=\delta_1=2$.

Example 2. In case where $q=3$ and $n_1=3, n_2=10, n_3=11$, we have $v\{R\} = \{0, 3, 6\} \cup \{n \in Z \mid n \geq 9\}$, $c=9, d=3, \mu=\delta_i=2$ for $i=1, 2, 3$.

Example 3. In case where $q=4$ and $n_1=11, n_2=12, n_3=13, n_4=15$, we have $v\{R\} = \{0, 11, 12, 13, 15, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 30\} \cup \{n \in Z \mid n \geq 33\}$, $c=33, d=13, \mu=\delta_1=\delta_5=3, \delta_4=\delta_{12}=\delta_{13}=2$ and the others δ_i are all equal to 1.

In Examples 1 and 2, the equality $c=(\mu+1)d$ holds, though R is not a Gorenstein ring. In Example 3, the equality does not hold. The author knows few of examples such that R is not a Gorenstein ring and $d > 1, c=(\mu+1)d$.

Addendum: Theorem 2 and Corollary to Theorem 2 can be generalized to the analytically unramified local ring case. We shall give the proof in a forthcoming paper.

EHIME UNIVERSITY

References

[1] H. Bass, Injective dimension in noetherian rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **102** (1962), 18-29.

- [2] H. Bass, On the ubiquity of Gorenstein rings, *Math. Zeitschr.* **82** (1963), 8-28.
- [3] R. Berger, Über eine Klasse unvergabelter lokaler Ringe, *Math. Ann.* **146** (1962), 98-102.
- [4] E. Kunz, The value-semigroup of a one-dimensional Gorenstein ring, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **25** (1970), 748-751.
- [5] T. Matsuoka, Some remarks on a certain transformation of Macaulay rings, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **11** (1971), 301-309.
- [6] M. Nagata, *Local rings*, Interscience, New York, 1962.
- [7] D. Rees, A theorem of homological algebra, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **52** (1956), 605-610.
- [8] P. Roquette, Über den Singularitätsgrad eindimensionaler Ringe. II, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **209** (1962), 12-16.
- [9] P. Samuel, Singularités des variétés algébriques, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **79** (1951), 121-129.

Added in Proof: During the symposium on algebraic geometry held on September 6-9, 1971, at Zaô, Yamagata, Mr. K. Watanabe kindly informed me that the results of the present paper are closely related to Herzog and Kunz's latest work, *Die Wertehalbgruppe eines lokalen Rings der Dimension 1*, S.-B. Heidelberger Akad. Wiss. Math.-naturw. 1971, 2 Abh.. And I found that my paper has some considerable overlap with theirs, but my investigation had been done independently of this Herzog-Kunz's paper.