## ON THE DETERMINATION OF THE JUMP OF A FUNCTION BY ITS FOURIER COEFFICIENTS

## KAILASH CHANDRA SHRIVASTAVA

(Received September 21, 1959)

1. Let f(x) be integrable in the sense of Lebesgue over the interval  $(-\pi, \pi)$  and be periodic out side with period  $2\pi$ . Let the Fourier series of f(x) be

(1.1) 
$$\frac{1}{2}a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx).$$

Then the conjugate series of (1, 1) is

(1.2) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_n \cos nx - a_n \sin nx) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n(x).$$

Let

(1.3) 
$$\Psi(t) \equiv f(x+t) - f(x-t) - D, \qquad D \equiv D(x).$$

Szasz [2] gave the following theorem for the determination of the jump of a function by its Fourier coefficients:

THEOREM A. If there exists a number  $D \equiv D(x)$  such that

(1.4) 
$$\int_{0}^{t} \Psi(t) dt = o(t) \quad and \quad \int_{0}^{t} |\Psi(t)| dt = O(t)$$

as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \{\overline{S}'_{2n}(x) - \overline{S}'_{n}(x)\} = \frac{1}{\pi} \log 2 \cdot D(x),$$

where  $\overline{S_n}(x)$  is the sequence of arithmetic means of the partial sums of the conjugate series.

Theorem A was further generalized by Chow [1] in the following form: THEOREM B. Under the same hypothesis as in Theorem A

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left\{ \overline{S}^{\alpha}_{2n}(x) - \overline{S}^{\alpha}_{n}(x) \right\} = \frac{1}{\pi} \log 2 \cdot D(x)$$

for  $\alpha > 0$ , where  $\overline{S}_{\alpha}^{\alpha}$  is the nth Cesàro mean of order  $\alpha$  of the conjugate

series.

Again Shin-ichi Izumi proved the following theorem.

THEOREM C. If

(1.5) 
$$\int_0^t \psi(t) dt = o(t) \ and \int_{\pi/n}^{\pi} \frac{|\psi(t + \pi/n) - \psi(t)|}{t} dt = O(\log n),$$

then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} |\overline{S}_{2n}(x) - \overline{S}_{n}(x)| = \frac{1}{\pi} \log 2 \cdot D(x).$$

The object of the present paper is to prove the following theorems. THEOREM 1. If

(1.61) 
$$\Psi(t) = \int_0^t \Psi(t) dt = o(t^{\Delta}) \text{ and}$$

(1.62) 
$$\Psi^*(t) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \lim_{t \to 0} \int_{(kt)/\Delta}^{\delta} \frac{|\psi(t+\varepsilon) - \psi(t)|}{t} dt = O(\log 1/t)$$

for some  $\Delta \ge 1$ , then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \{\overline{S}^{\alpha}_{2n}(x) - \overline{S}^{\alpha}_{n}(x)\} = \frac{1}{\pi} \log 2 \cdot D(x),$$

for every  $\alpha > 0$ .

Theorem 1 may further be generalized to the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. Under the same hypothesis as in Theorem 1, if  $\frac{m}{n} \rightarrow d$ , m > n and  $n \rightarrow \infty$  then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \{\overline{S}_m^{\alpha}(x) - \overline{S}_n(x)\} = \frac{1}{\pi} \log 2 \cdot D(x).$$

2. We shall make use of the following Lemmas.

LEMMA 1. (The following Lemma is due to Kogbetliantz) If  $\alpha > -1$ , and  $\overline{T}_n^{\alpha}(x)$  denote the nth Cesàro mean of order  $\alpha$  of the sequence  $nB_n(x)$ , then

$$\overline{T}_n^{\alpha}(x) = n\{\overline{S}_n^{\alpha}(x) - \overline{S}_{n-1}^{\alpha}(x)\},$$
$$\overline{T}_n^{\alpha+1}(x) = (\alpha+1)\{\overline{S}_n^{\alpha}(x) - \overline{S}_{n-1}^{\alpha+1}(x)\} = \frac{1}{\pi}\log 2 \cdot D(x).$$

LEMMA 2. ([Chow, 1]) If  $g_n^{\alpha}(t)$  denote the nth Cesàro mean of order

 $\alpha$  of the sequence  $g_n(t) = \cos nt$   $(n \ge 1)$ ,  $g_0(t) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,

we have for  $\alpha > 0, \ 0 < t < \pi$ 

$$\left|\left(rac{d}{dt}
ight)^{k}g_{n}^{st}(t)
ight| egin{array}{ccc} \leq A_{n}^{st} & (k \geq 0) \ \leq A_{n}^{-2}t^{-k-2} & (k \leq lpha-2) \ \geq A_{n}^{k-lpha}t^{-lpha} & (k > lpha-2). \end{array}
ight.$$

LEMMA 3. ([Chow, 1]) If

$$h_n^{\alpha}(t) = \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{2n} \frac{1}{\nu} g_{\nu}^{\alpha}(t)$$

then for  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $0 < t < \pi$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ 

$$\left| \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^k h_n^{\alpha}(t) \right| \begin{cases} \leq A_n^k & (k \geq 0) \\ \leq A_n^{-2} t^{-k-2} & (k \leq \alpha - 1) \\ \leq A_n^{k-\alpha-1} t^{-\alpha-1} & (k > \alpha - 1) \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 1, with  $g_n(x)$  in place of  $\overline{S_n}(x)$  we easily show that

$$(\alpha+1)\frac{1}{n}g_n^{\alpha}(t) = (\alpha+1)\frac{1}{n}g_n^{\alpha+1}(t) + g_n^{\alpha+1}(t) - g_{n-1}^{\alpha+1}(t).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha+1)\left|\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^{k}h_{n}^{\alpha}(t)\right| &\leq (\alpha+1)\sum_{\nu=n+1}^{2n}\frac{1}{\nu}\left|\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^{k}g_{\nu}^{\kappa+1}(t)\right| \\ &+\left|\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^{k}g_{2n}^{\kappa+1}(t)\right| + \left|\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^{k}g_{n}^{\alpha+1}(t)\right|\end{aligned}$$

and the result follows from Lemma 2.

LEMMA 4. 
$$h_n^{\alpha}\left(t+\frac{\pi}{n}\right) = -h_n^{\alpha}(t).$$
  
PROOF. We have

$$g_0(t) = g_0\left(t + \frac{\pi}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{2}$$

by definition and

$$g_n(t) = + \cos nt$$

so

$$g_n\left(t+\frac{\pi}{n}\right) = -\cos nt = -g_n(t)$$
$$g_n^{\alpha}\left(t+\frac{\pi}{n}\right) = -g_n^{\alpha}(t).$$

therefore

Consequently

$$h_n^{\alpha}\left(t+\frac{\pi}{n}\right) = \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{2n} \frac{1}{\nu} g_{\nu}^{\alpha}\left(t+\frac{\pi}{n}\right) = \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{2n} -\frac{1}{\nu} g_{\nu}^{\alpha}(t) = -h_n^{\alpha}(t)$$

which proves the Lemma.

LEMMA 5. If  $\psi(t)$  satisfies (1.61) then

$$R_1 = \int_{\left(\frac{k_n\pi}{n}\right)^{1/\Delta}}^{\left(\frac{k_n\pi}{n}\right)^{1/\Delta} + \frac{\pi}{n}} \psi(t) \frac{d}{dt} h_n^{\alpha}(t) dt = o(1).$$

PROOF. We have

$$R_{1} = \left[ \Psi(t) \frac{d}{dt} h_{n}^{\alpha}(t) \right]_{\left(\frac{k_{n}\pi}{n}\right)^{1/\Delta}}^{\left(\frac{k_{n}\pi}{n}\right)^{1/\Delta} + \frac{\pi}{n}} - \int_{\left(\frac{k_{n}\pi}{n}\right)^{1/\Delta}}^{\left(\frac{k_{n}\pi}{n}\right)^{1/\Delta}} \Psi(t) \frac{d^{2}}{dt^{2}} h_{n}^{\alpha}(t) dt$$
$$= R_{2,1} - R_{2,2} \text{ say.}$$

If  $\beta = \min(\alpha, 2)$  then

$$\begin{split} R_{1,1} &= O(n)^{-\beta} [o(t)^{\Delta} t^{-\beta-1}] \frac{\binom{k_n \pi}{n}^{1/\Delta} + \frac{\pi}{n}}{\binom{k_n \pi}{n}^{1/\Delta}} \\ &\leq O(n)^{-\beta} [\left\{ (k_n^{1/\Delta} n^{1-\frac{1}{\Delta}} + \pi)^{-\beta-1+\Delta} \cdot n^{-\beta} \cdot n^{\beta+1-\Delta} \right\} \\ &\quad + k_n^{(-\beta-1+\Delta)/\Delta} \cdot n^{(\beta+1-\Delta)/\Delta} \cdot n^{-\Delta} ] \\ &= O \left[ \left( \frac{1}{k_n} \right)^{\frac{\beta+1}{\Delta} - 1} \cdot n^{1-\Delta} \binom{\frac{\beta+1}{\Delta}}{2} + \left( \frac{1}{k_n} \right)^{\frac{\beta}{\Delta}} + \left( \frac{1}{\Delta} - 1 \right) \cdot n^{\frac{\beta}{\Delta}(1-\Delta)} \cdot n^{\frac{1-\lambda}{\Delta}} \right] \\ &= o(1) \\ R_{2,2} &= O \left[ \left( \frac{1}{k_n} \right)^{\frac{\beta}{\Delta}} \left( \frac{k_n}{n^{\beta+1}} \right)^{1-\frac{1}{\Delta}} + \left( \frac{1}{k_n} \right)^{\frac{\beta}{\Delta}} n^{\frac{\beta}{\Delta}(1-\Delta)} \cdot \left( \frac{k_n}{n} \right)^{\frac{\Delta-1}{\Delta}} \right] \\ &= o(1). \end{split}$$

If  $\beta' = \min(\alpha, 3)$  then

$$|R_{1,2}| \leq O(n)^{1-\beta'} \int_{\binom{k_n\pi}{n}}^{\binom{k_n\pi}{n}^{1/\Delta} + \pi/n} t^{\Delta} t^{-\beta-1} dt$$
  
=  $O[\{k_n^{\frac{1}{\Delta}} n^{1-\frac{1}{\Delta}} + \pi\}^{-\beta'+\Delta} \cdot n^{\beta'-\Delta} + k_n^{-\frac{\beta'}{\Delta}+1} \cdot n^{-1+\frac{\beta'}{\Delta}} \cdot n^{1-\beta'}]$   
=  $O[(k_n)^{-\frac{\beta'}{\Delta}+1} \cdot n^{(1-\Delta)\frac{\beta'}{\Delta}} + k_n^{-\frac{\beta'}{\Delta}+1} \cdot n^{\frac{\beta'}{\Delta}-\beta'}]$ 

$$= O\left[\left(\frac{1}{k_n}\right)^{\frac{\beta'}{\Delta}} \cdot \frac{k_n}{n^{(\lambda-1)\beta'/\Delta}}\right]$$
$$= o(1)$$

Thus  $R_1 = o(1)$ .

LEMMA 6. If  $\psi(t)$  satisfies (1.62) then

$$R_2 = \int_{\left(\frac{k_n\pi}{n}\right)^{1/\Delta}}^{\delta} \left| \Psi\left(t + \frac{\pi}{n}\right) - \Psi(t) \right| \frac{d}{dt} h_n^{\alpha}(t) dt = o(1).$$

PROOF.

$$\begin{split} R_{2} &= \int_{\binom{k_{n}\pi}{n}}^{\delta} \frac{\left| \psi\left(t + \frac{\pi}{n}\right) - \psi(t) \right|}{t} t \frac{d}{dt} h_{n}^{\alpha}(t) dt \\ |R_{2}| &\leq O(n)^{-\beta} \int_{\binom{k_{n}\pi}{n}}^{\delta} \frac{\left| \psi\left(t + \frac{\pi}{n}\right) - \psi(t) \right|}{t} t^{-\beta} dt \\ &= O(n)^{-\beta} \left[ O\left(\log \frac{1}{t}\right) \cdot t^{-\beta} \right]_{\binom{k_{n}\pi}{n}}^{\delta} + O(n)^{-\beta} \int_{\binom{k_{n}\pi}{n}}^{\delta} \log \frac{1}{t} t^{-\beta-1} dt \\ &= R_{2,1} + R_{2,2} \text{ say.} \end{split}$$

Now

$$|R_{2,1}| = \left[ \left(\frac{1}{k_n}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{\Delta}} n^{f\left(\frac{1}{\Delta}-1\right)} O\left(\log\frac{n}{k_n\pi}\right)^{1/\Delta} \right]$$
$$= \left[ n^{f\left(\frac{1}{\Delta}-1\right)} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{k_n}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{\Delta}} O(\log n)^{\frac{1}{\Delta}} \right\} \right]$$
$$= o(1) \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{k_n}\right)^{\beta} O(\log n) \right\}^{\frac{1}{\Delta}}.$$

Now we can make  $k_n \rightarrow \infty$ , in a such a way, that the right hand side above tends to zero, i.e.

$$R_{2,1} = o(1).$$

And

$$|R_{2,2}| \leq O(n)^{-\beta} \cdot O\left(\log \frac{n}{k_n \pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{\Delta}} \int_{\binom{k_n \pi}{n}}^{\delta} t^{-\beta-1} dt$$
$$= \left[ \left(\frac{1}{k_n}\right)^{\beta/\Delta} \cdot n^{\beta \binom{1}{\Delta}-1} \cdot O\left(\log \frac{n}{k_n \pi}\right)^{1/\Delta} \right]$$

124

= o(1)

as in  $R_{2,1}$ . Therefore  $R_2 = o(1)$ .

3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM. We have

(3.1) 
$$nB_n(x) = \frac{n}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \{f(x+t) - f(x-t)\} \sin nt \, dt$$
  
=  $-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \{f(x+t) - f(x-t)\} \frac{d}{dt} \cos nt \, dt.$ 

If follows that

$$\overline{T}_n^{\alpha}(x) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \left\{ f(x+t) - f(x-t) \right\} \frac{d}{dt} g_n^{\alpha}(t) dt$$

and hence that

$$\overline{S}_{2n}^{\alpha}(x) - \overline{S}_{n}^{\alpha}(x) = \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{2n} \frac{1}{\nu} \overline{T}_{\nu}^{\alpha}(x)$$
$$= -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \{f(x+t) - f(x-t)\} \frac{d}{dt} h_{n}^{\alpha}(x) dt.$$

Let

 $\Omega_n = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{d}{dt} h_n^{\alpha}(t) dt.$ 

Then

(3.2) 
$$\pi \left[\overline{S}_{2n}^{\alpha}(x) - S_n^{\alpha}(x) - \Omega_n D(x)\right] = -\left[\int_0^{\left(\frac{k_n\pi}{n}\right)^{1/\Delta}} + \int_{\left(\frac{k_n\pi}{n}\right)^{1/\Delta}}^{\delta} + \int_{\delta}^{\pi}\right] \psi(t) \frac{d}{dt} h_n^{\alpha}(t) dt$$

$$= I_1 + I_2 + I_3$$
 say.

Now

$$I_1 = -\left[\psi(t)\frac{d}{dt}h_n^{\alpha}(t)\right]_0^{\binom{k_n\pi}{n}^{1/\Delta}} + \int_0^{\binom{k_n\pi}{n}^{1/\Delta}} \psi(t)\frac{d^2}{dt^2}h_n^{\alpha}(t)dt.$$

Suppose  $\beta = \min(\alpha, 2)$  and  $\beta' = \min(\alpha, 3)$ .

Then

$$I_{1} = O(n)^{-\beta} \left(\frac{k_{n}+1}{n}\right)^{\frac{-\beta-1}{2}+1} + O(n)^{1-\beta'} \int_{0}^{\left(\frac{k_{n}n}{n}\right)^{1/\Delta}} o(t)^{\Delta} t^{-\beta'-1} dt$$

$$(3.3) = O\left\{\left(\frac{1}{k_n}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{\Delta}} n^{\frac{\beta}{\Delta}(1-\Delta)} \left(\frac{k_n}{n}\right)^{\frac{\Delta-1}{\Delta}} + O(n)^{1-\beta'} \sup_{\iota \leq \binom{k_n\pi}{n}} \left(\frac{k_n\pi}{n}\right)^{-\frac{\beta'}{\Delta}+1} \right\}$$
$$= o(1) + \sup_{\iota \leq \binom{k_n\pi}{n}} O\left[n^{\beta'\left(\frac{1}{\Delta}-1\right)} \left(\frac{1}{k_n}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{\Delta}}\right] \cdot k_n$$
$$= o(1).$$

Also

(3.4) 
$$I_2 = -\int_{\left(\frac{k_n\pi}{n}\right)^{1/\Delta}}^{\delta} \Psi(t) \frac{d}{dt} h_n^{\alpha}(t) dt.$$

Changing the variable to  $\left(t + \frac{\pi}{n}\right)$  we get

$$(3.5) \quad I_2 = -\int_{\binom{k_n\pi}{n}^{1/\Delta}}^{\delta} \Psi\left(t + \frac{\pi}{n}\right) \frac{d}{dt} h_n^{\alpha}\left(t + \frac{\pi}{n}\right) dt$$
$$= +\int_{\binom{k_n\pi}{n}^{1/\Delta}}^{\delta} \Psi\left(t + \frac{\pi}{n}\right) \frac{d}{dt} h_n^{\alpha}(t) dt.$$

From (3.4) and (3.5) we get

$$I_2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\left(\frac{k_n \pi}{n}\right)^{1/\Delta}}^{\delta} \left\{ \Psi\left(t + \frac{\pi}{n}\right) - \Psi(t) \right\} \frac{d}{dt} h_n^{\alpha}(t) dt.$$

Hence

(3.6) 
$$I_2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\left(\frac{k_n \pi}{n}\right)^{1/\Delta}}^{\delta} \left| \Psi\left(t + \frac{\pi}{n}\right) - \Psi(t) \right| \frac{d}{dt} h_n^{\alpha}(t) dt$$
$$= o(1).$$

And

$$I_{3} = \int_{\delta}^{\pi} \Psi(t) \frac{d}{dt} h_{n}^{\alpha}(t) dt$$

$$(3.7) |I_{3}| \leq \int_{\delta}^{\pi} |\Psi(t)| \left| \frac{d}{dt} h_{n}^{\alpha}(t) \right| dt$$

$$\leq O(n)^{-\beta} \int_{\delta}^{\pi} \frac{|\Psi(t)|}{t^{1+\beta}} dt \leq O(n)^{-2} \cdot M$$

$$= o(1).$$

From (3.2), (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7) we get I = o(1) i.e.

126

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left[\overline{S}^{\alpha}_{\scriptscriptstyle \perp n}(x) - \overline{S}^{\alpha}_{\scriptscriptstyle n}(x) - \Omega_n D(x)\right] = o(1).$$

Now

$$\Omega_{n} = -\frac{1}{\pi} [h_{n}^{\alpha}(\pi) - h_{n}^{\alpha}(0)]$$
  
=  $-\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{2n} \frac{1}{\nu A_{\nu}^{\alpha}} \sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} A_{\nu-\mu}^{\alpha-1} \{(-1)^{\mu} - 1\},$   
 $A_{n}^{\alpha} = \frac{\Gamma(n+1) \Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}.$ 

where

Since the sequence  $(-1)^n - 1$  converges  $(C, \alpha)$  to -1 as  $n \to \infty$  for every  $\alpha > 0$ , it follows that

$$\frac{1}{A_{\nu}^{\alpha}}\sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu}A_{\nu-\mu}^{\alpha-1}\{(-1)^{\mu}-1\} = -1 + \eta_{\nu}.$$

Where  $\eta_{\nu} \rightarrow 0$  as  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$  and hence that

$$\Omega_n = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{2^n} \frac{-1+\eta_{\nu}}{2} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \log 2 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Therefore 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left[ \overline{S}_{2n}^{\alpha}(x) - \overline{S}_{n}^{\alpha}(x) \right] = \frac{1}{\pi} \log 2 \cdot D(x)$$

which completes the proof.

4. Theorem 1 may further be generalized to Theorem 2, for which we require the following Lemma.

LEMMA 7. If 
$$h_{m,n}^{\alpha}(t) = \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{2m} \frac{1}{\nu} g_{\nu}^{\alpha}(t),$$

then for  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $0 < t < \pi$ , and  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ 

we have

$$\left|\left(rac{d}{dt}
ight)^k h^{lpha}_{m,n}(t)
ight| egin{array}{ccc} \leq A^k_n & (k \geq 0) \ \leq A^{-2}_n t^{-k-2} & (0 < k < lpha -1) \ \leq A^{k-lpha-1}_n t^{-lpha-1} & (k > lpha -1). \end{array}
ight.$$

PROOF. From Lemma 3, we have

$$(\alpha + 1)\frac{1}{n}g_n^{\alpha}(t) = (\alpha + 1)\frac{1}{n}g_n^{\alpha+1}(t) + g_n^{\alpha+1}(t) - g_{n-1}^{\alpha}(t).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha+1) \left| \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^k h_{m,n}^{\alpha}(t) \right| &\leq (\alpha+1) \left| \sum_{\nu=n+1}^m \frac{1}{\nu} \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^k g_{\nu}^{\alpha+1}(t) \right| \\ &+ \left| \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^k g_{m,n}^{\alpha}(t) \right| + \left| \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^k g_{n}^{\alpha}(t) \right| \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows from Lemma 2.

The analogues of Lemmas 4,5 and 6 can be proved similarly.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. As in Theorem 1

$$\overline{T}_n^{\alpha}(x) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \left\{ f(x+t) - f(x-t) \right\} \frac{d}{dt} g_n^{\alpha}(t) dt.$$

Therefore

$$\overline{S}_{m}^{\alpha}(x) - \overline{S}_{n}^{\alpha}(x) = \sum_{\nu=n+}^{m} \frac{\overline{T}_{\nu}^{\alpha+1}(x)}{\nu}$$
$$= -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \{f(x+t) - f(x-t)\} \frac{d}{dt} h_{m,n}^{\alpha}(t) dt.$$
$$\Omega_{m,n} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{d}{dt} h_{m,n}^{\alpha}(t) dt.$$

Let

Then

$$\pi [\overline{S}_{m}^{\alpha}(x) - \overline{S}_{n}(x) - \Omega_{m,n}D(x)]$$
$$= \int_{0}^{\pi} \Psi(t) \frac{d}{dt} h_{m,n}^{\alpha}(t) dt$$
$$= I' \text{ say.}$$

 $I^{'}$  can be shown to be o(1) on similar lines as in Theorem 1, i. e.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left[\overline{S}_m^{\alpha}(x) - \overline{S}_n^{\alpha}(z) - \Omega_{m,n}D(x)\right] = 0.$$

Now

$$\Omega_{m,n} = -\frac{1}{\pi} [h_{m,n}^{\alpha}(\pi) - h_{m,n}^{\alpha}(0)]$$
  
=  $-\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{m} \left[ \frac{1}{\nu A_{\nu}^{\alpha}} \sum_{\mu=1}^{\nu} A_{\nu-\mu}^{\alpha} \{(-1)^{\mu} - 1\} \right].$ 

And the expression within the squared bracket has been shown to be  $\frac{-1+\eta_{\nu}}{2}$ 

128

in Theorem 1.

So 
$$\Omega_{m,n} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{m} \frac{-1+\eta_{\nu}}{n}$$
  
=  $-\frac{1}{\pi} \log\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) + o(1)$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

Consequently  $\lim_{u \to \infty} \left[\overline{S}_n^{\alpha}(x) - \overline{S}_n^{\alpha}(x)\right] = \frac{1}{\pi} \log d \cdot D(x)$ 

which proves the theorem.

I am much indebted to Prof. M. L. Misra for his kind advice and encouragement in the preparation of this paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] H C.CHOW, On a theorem of O.Szasz. Journ. of London Math. Soc. 16(1941), 23-27.
- [2] O.Szasz, The jump of a function determined by its Fourier coefficients. Duke Math. Journ. 4 (1938), 401-407.
- [3] S.Pollard, On the criteria for the convergence of Fourier Series. Journ. of London Math. Soc 2, (1927), 255-267.
- [4] S.Izumi, Determination of the jump of a function by its Fourier coefficients. Journ. of Math. Soc. of Japan, 1(1950), 226-231.
- [5] G.Sunouchi, Convergence criteria for Fourier Series. Tôhoku Math. Journ. 4(1952), 187-193.

UNIVERSITY OF SAUGAR, SAUGOR, M.P. (INDIA)