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# ON THE DISTRIBUTION OF SCATTERING POLES FOR PERTURBATIONS OF THE LAPLACIAN 

## by Georgi VODEV (*)

## 1. Introduction.

In this note we study the distribution of the scattering poles associated to second order differential operators of the form

$$
G=c(x)^{-1}\left(-\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \partial_{x_{i}}\left(g_{i j}(x) \partial_{x_{j}}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}(x) \partial_{x_{j}}+a(x)\right)
$$

in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geqslant 3$, odd, where the coefficients are such that the following conditions are fulfilled :
(i) The operator $G$ admits a selfadjoint realization, which will be again denoted by $G$, in the Hilbert space $H=L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; c(x) d x\right)$ with domain $D(G)$;
(ii) There exists a constant $\rho_{0}>0$ so that for any $u \in D(G)$ such that $u=0$ for $|x| \leqslant \rho_{0}$ we have $u \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $G u=-\Delta u$, $\Delta$ being the Laplacian in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$;
(iii) $G$ is positively definite, i.e. $(G u, u)_{H} \geqslant 0, \forall u \in D(G)$.

In what follows $\|\|$ will denote the norm in $\mathfrak{L}(H, H)$, the space of all linear bounded operators acting from $H$ into $H$. It is easy to see by (i) and (iii) that the resolvent $R(z)=\left(G-z^{2}\right)^{-1} \in \mathscr{L}(H, H)$ is well defined and holomorphic in $\mathbb{C}_{+}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Im} z>0\}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|R(z)\| \leqslant C(\operatorname{Im} z)^{-2} \quad \text { for } \quad \operatorname{Im} z>0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose a function $\chi \in C_{0}^{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that $\chi=1$ for $|x| \leqslant \rho_{0}+1$ and set $R_{\chi}(z)=\chi R(z) \chi$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}_{+}$. When
(iv) $R_{\chi}\left(z_{0}\right)$ is a compact operator in $\mathcal{L}(H, H)$ for some $z_{0} \in \mathbb{C}_{+}$,

[^0]it is well known that the cutoff resolvent $R_{\chi}(z)$ admits a meromorphic continuation from $\mathbb{C}_{+}$to the entire complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ (see the analysis in the next section). The poles of this continuation are known as scattering poles or resonances and in our case they all are in $\overline{\mathbb{C}}_{-}$, where $\mathbb{C}_{-}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Im} z<0\}$. Note that if (iv) holds for at least one $z_{0}$, it holds for all $z_{0}$. Let $\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\}$ be the poles of $R_{\chi}(z)$, repeated according to multiplicity, and set
$$
N(r)=\#\left\{\lambda_{j}:\left|\lambda_{j}\right| \leqslant r\right\} .
$$

When the operator $G$ is elliptic, in [8] and [14] (see also [13]) it is proved (without assuming (iii)) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(r) \leqslant C r^{n}+C . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It also follows from the analysis in [8] and [14] that for hypoelliptic operators, i.e. when we have the estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{s+2 \delta} \leqslant C_{s}\left(\|G f\|_{s}+\|f\|_{s}\right), \quad \forall s \geqslant 0, \forall f \in D(G), G f \in H^{s} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<\delta<1$ and $\left\|\|_{s}\right.$ denotes the norm in the usual Sobolev space $H^{s}$, (again without assuming (iii)) the number of the poles satisfies the bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(r) \leqslant C r^{n / \delta}+C \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that (1.3) implies (iv) at once. By (1.4) one actually concludes that the less regular the operator $G$ is, the worse bound for $N(r)$ one has. In this work we show that outside a conic neighbourhood of the real axis the number of the scattering poles satisfies a much better estimate than (1.4) no matter how regular the operator $G$ is. It actually has a bound of the type (1.2). To be more precise, given any $\varepsilon, 0<\varepsilon \ll 1$, set $\Lambda_{\varepsilon}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}: \varepsilon \leqslant \arg z \leqslant \pi-\varepsilon\}$ and

$$
N(\varepsilon, r)=\#\left\{\lambda_{j}:\left|\lambda_{j}\right| \leqslant r,-\lambda_{j} \in \Lambda_{\varepsilon}\right\} .
$$

Our main result is the following :
Theorem 1. - Assume (i)-(iv) fulfilled. Then for any $\varepsilon, 0<\varepsilon \ll 1$, there exists a constant $C_{\varepsilon}>0$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(\varepsilon, r) \leqslant C_{\varepsilon} r^{n}+C_{\varepsilon} . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The estimate (1.5) shows that to study the counting function $N(r)$ modulo terms $O\left(r^{n}\right)$ for positively definite selfadjoint hypoelliptic operators it suffices to study the number of the scattering poles in a conic $\varepsilon$-neighbourhood of the real axis for any small $\varepsilon>0$.

The idea for the proofs of polynomial bounds of the scattering poles originates from Melrose [4] (see also [2], [5], [11], [12], [13], [14], [17]). One first needs to find an entire family of compact operators, $K(z)$, so that $(1-K(z)) R_{\chi}(z)$ is an entire operator-valued function and $1-K(z)$ is invertible for at least one $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus one concludes that the poles of $R_{\chi}(z)$, with multiplicity, are among the poles of $(1-K(z))^{-1}$ and hence among the zeros of an entire function $h(z)=\operatorname{det}\left(1-K(z)^{p}\right)$, where $p \geqslant 1$ is an integer taken so that $K(z)^{p}$ is trace class. Thus the problem is reduced to obtaining suitable estimates for $|h(z)|$.

To prove (1.5) we need to find a family $K(z)$ as above so that $(1-K(z))^{-1}$ can be expressed in terms of $R(z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}_{+}$(see (2.5)), and $K(z)-K(-z)$ is trace class for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$. This enables us to characterize the poles of $R_{\chi}(z)$ in $\mathbb{C}_{-}$, with multiplicity, as zeros of a function $h(z)$, defined and holomorphic in $\mathbb{C}_{-}$, such that for any $\gamma>0$ there exists a constant $C_{\gamma}>0$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|h(-z)| \leqslant C_{\gamma} \exp \left(C_{\gamma}|z|^{n}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad \operatorname{Im} z \geqslant \gamma \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we derive (1.5) from (1.6) and a classical result due to Carleman (see Lemma 2).
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## 2. Representation of the cutoff resolvent.

Denote by $G_{0}$ the selfadjoint realization of $-\Delta$ in the Hilbert space $H_{0}=L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and let $R_{0}(z)$ denote the outgoing resolvent of $-\Delta-z^{2}$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $R_{0}(z)=\left(G_{0}-z^{2}\right)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}\left(H_{0}, H_{0}\right)$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}_{+}$and as is wellknown the kernel of $R_{0}(z)$ is given in terms of Hankel's functions by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{0}(z)(x, y)=(i / 4)(z / 2 \pi|x-y|)^{(n-2) / 2} H_{(n-2) / 2}^{(1)}(z|x-y|) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that $\chi R_{0}(z) \chi \in \mathfrak{L}\left(H_{0}, H_{0}\right)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and it forms an entire family of compact pseudodifferential operators of order -2 . Using this together with the assumption (iv) we shall build the meromorphic continuation of the cutoff resolvent of $G$. Set $Q=G-G_{0}$ and fix a $z_{0} \in \mathbb{C}_{+}$. Clearly, for all $z \in \mathbb{C}_{+}$we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(z)=R_{0}(z)+R(z) Q R_{0}(z) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(z)=R\left(z_{0}\right)+\left(z^{2}-z_{0}^{2}\right) R(z) R\left(z_{0}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.2) and (2.3) yields

$$
R(z)\left(1-\left(z^{2}-z_{0}^{2}\right) Q R_{0}(z) R\left(z_{0}\right)\right)=R\left(z_{0}\right)+\left(z^{2}-z_{0}^{2}\right) R_{0}(z) R\left(z_{0}\right)
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C}_{+}$. Multiplying the both sides of this identity by $\chi$, since $Q=\chi Q$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\chi}(z)(1-K(z))=R_{\chi}\left(z_{0}\right)+K_{1}(z) \quad \text { for } \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_{+} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
K(z) & =\left(z^{2}-z_{0}^{2}\right) Q R_{0}(z) R\left(z_{0}\right) \chi \\
K_{1}(z) & =\left(z^{2}-z_{0}^{2}\right) \chi R_{0}(z) R\left(z_{0}\right) \chi
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, since $R(z)$ is well defined in $\mathbb{C}_{+}$, it is easy to see by (2.4) that $1-K(z)$ is invertible in $\mathcal{L}(H, H)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}_{+}$and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-K(z))^{-1}=1+\left(z^{2}-z_{0}^{2}\right) Q R_{0}(z)\left(R_{0}\left(z_{0}\right)+R_{0}\left(z_{0}\right) Q R(z)\right) \chi \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C}_{+}$. Now, since $R_{0}(z)$ and $R(z)$ are holomorphic in $\mathbb{C}_{+}$with values in $\mathfrak{L}(H, H)$ and since $Q R_{0}(z)=Q R_{0}\left(z_{0}\right)\left(1+\left(z^{2}-z_{0}^{2}\right) R_{0}(z)\right)$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}_{+}$, we deduce from (2.5) that $(1-K(z))^{-1}$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C}_{+}$ with values in $\mathfrak{L}(H, H)$. Moreover, by (1.1), which clearly holds with $R(z)$ replaced by $R_{0}(z)$ as well, for any $\gamma>0$ there exists a constant $C_{\gamma}>0$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|(1-K(z))^{-1}\right\| \leqslant C_{\gamma}(1+|z|)^{4} \quad \text { for } \quad \operatorname{Im} z \geqslant \gamma \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let us see that the operator-valued functions $K(z)$ and $K_{1}(z)$, defined in $\mathbb{C}_{+}$, extend analytically to the entire $\mathbb{C}$ with values in the compact operators in $\mathfrak{L}(H, H)$. We shall consider $K(z)$ only, since $K_{1}(z)$ is treated similarly. Using that $R\left(z_{0}\right)=R_{0}\left(z_{0}\right)+R_{0}\left(z_{0}\right) Q R\left(z_{0}\right)$ it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(z)=\left(z^{2}-z_{0}^{2}\right) Q R_{0}(z) R_{0}\left(z_{0}\right) \chi\left(1+Q R_{\chi}\left(z_{0}\right)\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C}_{+}$. Choose functions $\chi_{1}, \chi_{2} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that $\chi_{1}=1$ on $\operatorname{supp} Q, \chi_{2}=1$ on $\operatorname{supp} \chi_{1}$ and $\chi=1$ on $\operatorname{supp} \chi_{2}$. After a standard computation (2.7) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(z)=\left(z^{2}-z_{0}^{2}\right) Q R_{0}(z) R_{0}\left(z_{0}\right) \chi K_{2}+\left(z^{2}-z_{0}^{2}\right) Q R_{0}(z) \chi K_{3} R_{\chi}\left(z_{0}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C}_{+}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{2} & =1+\left[\chi_{2}, G_{0}\right] R_{0}\left(z_{0}\right)\left[\chi_{1}, G_{0}\right] R_{0}\left(z_{0}\right) Q R_{\chi}\left(z_{0}\right) \\
K_{3} & =\chi_{1} R_{0}\left(z_{0}\right) Q+\chi_{2} R_{0}\left(z_{0}\right)\left[\chi_{1}, G_{0}\right] R_{0}\left(z_{0}\right) Q
\end{aligned}
$$

Here [,] denotes the comutator. Clearly, we have $K_{2}, K_{3} \in \mathfrak{L}(H, H)$. Further on, by a similar computation, for $z \in \mathbb{C}_{+}$, one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(z^{2}-z_{0}^{2}\right) Q R_{0}(z) R_{0}\left(z_{0}\right) \chi  \tag{2.9}\\
& \quad=\left(K_{4}+\left(z^{2}-z_{0}^{2}\right) K_{5}\right) \chi R_{0}(z) \chi-K_{4} \chi R_{0}\left(z_{0}\right) \chi
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q R_{0}(z) \chi=\left(K_{4}+\left(z^{2}-z_{0}^{2}\right) K_{5}\right) \chi R_{0}(z) \chi+K_{5} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
K_{4}=Q R_{0}\left(z_{0}\right)\left[G_{0}, \chi_{1}\right] R_{0}\left(z_{0}\right)\left[G_{0}, \chi_{2}\right] \\
K_{5}=Q R_{0}\left(z_{0}\right) \chi_{1}+Q R_{0}\left(z_{0}\right)\left[G_{0}, \chi_{1}\right] R_{0}\left(z_{0}\right) \chi_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Clearly, $K_{4}, K_{5} \in \mathfrak{L}(H, H)$. Thus, by (2.8)-(2.10) we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(z)=K_{6}(z) \chi R_{0}(z) \chi K_{2}+K_{7}(z) \chi R_{0}(z) \chi K_{8}+K_{9}(z) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C}_{+}$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
K_{6}(z)=K_{4}+\left(z^{2}-z_{0}^{2}\right) K_{5} \\
K_{7}(z)=\left(z^{2}-z_{0}^{2}\right) K_{6}(z) \\
K_{8}=K_{3} R_{\chi}\left(z_{0}\right) \\
K_{9}(z)=-K_{4} \chi R_{0}\left(z_{0}\right) \chi K_{2}+\left(z^{2}-z_{0}^{2}\right) K_{5} K_{3} R_{\chi}\left(z_{0}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Clearly, these four operators are analytic $\mathfrak{L}(H, H)$-valued functions. Now, since $\chi R_{0}(z) \chi$ forms an entire family of compact operators and by (iv) so does $K_{9}(z)$, by (2.11) we can extend $K(z)$ analytically to the entire $\mathbb{C}$. Then, since $K\left(z_{0}\right)=0$, by Fredholm theorem, $(1-K(z))^{-1}$ is a meromorphic $\mathfrak{L}(H, H)$-valued function on $\mathbb{C}$. Thus, by (2.4) we obtain the desired meromorphic continuation of $R_{\chi}(z)$. Moreover, clearly the poles of this continuation coincide, with multiplicity, with the poles of $(1-K(z))^{-1}$. Thus, since $1-K(z)$ is invertible for $z \in \mathbb{C}_{+}$, we have that all the poles are in $\overline{\mathbb{C}}_{-}$. Now, for $z \in \mathbb{C}_{+}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-K(-z)=(1-K(z))(1-T(z)) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
T(z)=(1-K(z))^{-1}(K(-z)-K(z))
$$

By (2.11) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(z)=T_{1}(z) \chi S(z) \chi K_{2}+T_{2}(z) \chi S(z) \chi K_{8} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(z) & =R_{0}(-z)-R_{0}(z) \\
T_{1}(z) & =(1-K(z))^{-1} K_{6}(z) \\
T_{2}(z) & =(1-K(z))^{-1} K_{7}(z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (2.6), for any $\gamma>0$, we get
(2.14) $\left\|T_{j}(z)\right\| \leqslant C_{\gamma}(1+|z|)^{8} \quad$ for $\quad \operatorname{Im} z \geqslant \gamma, j=1,2$.

On the other hand, by (2.1) and the well known properties of the Hankel functions, we have the following formula for the kernel of $S(z)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& S(z)(x, y)=(i / 2)(z / 2 \pi|x-y|)^{(n-2) / 2} J_{(n-2) / 2}(z|x-y|)  \tag{2.15}\\
& \quad=(i / 2)(2 \pi)^{-n+1} z^{n-2} \int_{\mathrm{S}^{n-1}} \exp (i z\langle x-y, w\rangle) d w, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ denotes the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Denote by $\tilde{S}(z)$ the operator with kernel given by the integral above. Now it is easy to see by (2.15) that $\chi S(z) \chi$ forms an entire family of trace class operators in $\mathcal{L}(H, H)$. Hence, by (2.13), $T(z)$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C}_{+}$with values in the trace class operators in $\mathfrak{L}(H, H)$. Now, by (2.12) it is easy to see that $1-T(z)$ is invertible in $\mathcal{L}(H, H)$ for those $z \in \mathbb{C}_{+}$for which so is $1-K(-z)$, and then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-K(-z))^{-1}=(1-T(z))^{-1}(1-K(z))^{-1} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $(1-K(z))^{-1}$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C}_{+}$, by (2.16) we conclude that the poles of $(1-K(-z))^{-1}$ lying in $\mathbb{C}_{+}$, with multiplicity, coincide with the poles of $(1-T(z))^{-1}$. Introduce the function

$$
h(z)=\operatorname{det}(1-T(z))
$$

which is well defined and holomorphic in $\mathbb{C}_{+}$. Now, by the above analysis we conclude that if $\lambda_{j}, \lambda_{j} \in \mathbb{C}_{-}$, is a scattering pole, then $-\lambda_{j}$ is a zero of $h(z)$ with the corresponding multiplicity. Thus we can characterize the scattering poles as zeros of $h(-z), z \in \mathbb{C}_{-}$. Notice that the fact that $T(z)$ is trace class does not depend on whether (iv) is
fulfilled or not. Hence the function $h(z)$ is always defined, under the conditions (i)-(iii), and holomorphic in $\mathbb{C}_{+}$. Now we are going to study the distribution of the zeros of $h(z)$ without assuming (iv). Note that in general the zeros of $h(z)$ may accumulate at points on the real axis. Let $\left\{z_{j}\right\} \subset \mathbb{C}_{+}$be the zeros of $h(z)$, repeated according to multiplicity, and given $0<\varepsilon, \delta \ll 1, r \gg 1$, set

$$
N(\varepsilon, \delta, r)=\#\left\{z_{j}: \delta \leqslant\left|z_{j}\right| \leqslant r, z_{j} \in \Lambda_{\varepsilon}\right\}
$$

We have the following :
Theorem 2. - Assume (i)-(iii) fulfilled. Then, for any $\varepsilon, \delta, r$ as above there exists a constant $C_{\varepsilon, \delta}>0$, independent of $r$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(\varepsilon, \delta, r) \leqslant C_{\varepsilon, \delta} r^{n} \quad \text { for } \quad r \geqslant 1 . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

When (iv) is fulfilled the number of the scattering poles in $\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z| \leqslant \delta\}$ is finite for any $\delta>0$, and hence (1.5) is obtained as an immediate consequence of (2.17).

## 3. Proof of Theorem 2.

We start with the following :
Lemma 1. - Under the assumptions (i)-(iii), for any $\gamma>0$ there exists a constant $C_{\gamma}>0$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|h(z)| \leqslant C_{\gamma} \exp \left(C_{\gamma}|z|^{n}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad \operatorname{Im} z \geqslant \gamma \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. - The estimate (3.1) is established in the same way as in [13] (see also [17]). Here we shall sketch the proof. Given a compact operator $A, \mu_{j}(A)$ will denote the characteristic values of $A$, i.e. the eigenvalues of $\left(A^{*} A\right)^{1 / 2}$, repeated according to multiplicity and ordered to form a nonincreasing sequence. First, recall some well known properties of $\mu_{j}(A)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu_{j}(A) & \leqslant\|A\|, \quad \forall j,  \tag{3.2}\\
\left\{\mu_{j}(A B), \mu_{j}(B A)\right\} & \leqslant \mu_{j}(A)\|B\|, \quad \forall j,  \tag{3.3}\\
\mu_{j}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} A_{i}\right) & \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{k} \mu_{j_{k}}\left(A_{i}\right), \quad \forall j, \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $j_{k} \sim[j / k],[a]$ denotes the integer part of $a$. By (2.13)-(2.15) and (3.2)-(3.4) it is easy to see that
(3.5) $\quad \mu_{j}(T(z)) \leqslant C_{\gamma}(1+|z|)^{n+6} \mu_{j_{2}}(\chi \widetilde{S}(z) \chi) \quad$ for $\quad \operatorname{Im} z \geqslant \gamma$.

On the other hand, clearly we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\chi \tilde{S}(z) \chi\| \leqslant C \exp (C|z|), \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.5) and (3.6) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{j}(T(z)) \leqslant C_{\gamma} \exp (C|z|), \quad \forall j, \quad \text { for } \quad \operatorname{Im} z \geqslant \gamma \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further on, we shall show that there exists a constant $C>0$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{j}(\chi \widetilde{S}(z) \chi) \leqslant C e^{-|z|} j^{-n /(n-1)} \quad \text { if } \quad j \geqslant C|z|^{n-1}, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is actually proved in [13], but for the sake of completeness we shall repeat the key points. The key observation is the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{S}(z)=S_{1}(z) S_{2}(z) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{1}(z)$ is the operator with kernel $S_{1}(z)(x, w)=\exp (i z\langle x, w\rangle)$, $S_{2}(z)$ is the operator with kernel $S_{2}(z)(w, x)=\exp (-i z\langle x, w\rangle), x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, $w \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Then, using (3.3) and (3.9) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{j}(\chi \tilde{S}(z) \chi) \leqslant\left\|\chi S_{1}(z)\right\|_{1}\left\|\left(1-\Delta_{w}\right)^{m} S_{2}(z) \chi\right\|_{2} \mu_{j}\left(\left(1-\Delta_{w}\right)^{-m}\right), \forall j \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any integer $m \geqslant 1$, where $\Delta_{w}$ denotes the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1},\| \|_{1}$ and $\left\|\|_{2}\right.$ denote the norms in $\mathscr{L}\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right), L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right)$ and $\mathscr{L}\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), L^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)\right)$, respectively. On the other hand, we have with a constant $C>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{j}\left(\left(1-\Delta_{w}\right)^{-m}\right) \leqslant C^{m} j^{-2 m / l} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l=\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{S}^{n-1}=n-1$, and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\chi S_{1}(z)\right\|_{1} \leqslant C \exp (C|z|)  \tag{3.12}\\
\left\|\left(1-\Delta_{w}\right)^{m} S_{2}(z) \chi\right\|_{2} \leqslant C \sup _{x, w}\left|\chi(x)\left(1-\Delta_{w}\right)^{m}\left(e^{-z\langle x, w\rangle}\right)\right|  \tag{3.13}\\
\leqslant C^{2 m+1}\left(|z|^{2 m}+(2 m)^{2 m}\right) e^{C|z|}
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus, by (3.10)-(3.13),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{j}\left(e^{|z|} \chi \tilde{S}(z) \chi\right) \leqslant C^{2 m+1}\left(|z|^{2 m}+(2 m)^{2 m}\right) e^{C|z|} j^{-2 m / l} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a new constant $C>0$. Now, (3.8) is an easy consequence of (3.14) (see [13], [17]).

Thus, by (3.5) and (3.8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{j}(T(z)) \leqslant C_{\gamma} j^{-n /(n-1)} \quad \text { if } \quad j \geqslant C|z|^{n-1}, \quad \text { for } \quad \operatorname{Im} z \geqslant \gamma \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with new constants $C_{\gamma}, C>0$. Now, it is a straightforward calculation that (3.7) and (3.15) together with Weyl's convexity estimate imply (3.1) (see [13], [17]. The proof of Lemma 1 is completed.

To derive (2.17) from (3.1), instead of Jensen's inequality, we shall use the following classical result (see [9], Section 3, Carleman's theorem).

Lemma 2. - Given $r>r_{0}>0$, set $\Omega=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}: r_{0} \leqslant|z| \leqslant r, \operatorname{Im} z \geqslant 0\right\}$. Let $f(z)$ be a function holomorphic in $\Omega$ and let $r_{1} \exp \left(i \varphi_{1}\right), r_{2} \exp \left(i \varphi_{2}\right), \ldots, r_{k} \exp \left(i \varphi_{k}\right)$ be the zeros of $f(z)$ in $\Omega$ repeated according to multiplicity. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(r_{j}^{-1}-r_{j} r^{-2}\right) \sin \varphi_{j}=(\pi r)^{-1} & \int_{0}^{\pi} \log \left|f\left(r e^{i \varphi}\right)\right| \sin \varphi d \varphi \\
& +(2 \pi)^{-1} \int_{r_{0}}^{r}\left(t^{-2}-r^{-2}\right) \log |f(t) f(-t)| d t \\
& \quad-\left(\pi r_{0}\right)^{-1} \int_{0}^{\pi} \log \left|f\left(r_{0} e^{i \varphi}\right)\right| \sin \varphi d \varphi
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that each term in the sum above is $\geqslant 0$. Fix $\varepsilon, \delta, 0<\varepsilon$, $\delta \ll 1$, and let $r>6$. Let

$$
z_{1}=r_{1} \exp \left(i \varphi_{1}\right), \quad z_{2}=r_{2} \exp \left(i \varphi_{2}\right), \ldots, z_{k}=r_{k} \exp \left(i \varphi_{k}\right)
$$

be the zeros of $h(z)$, repeated according to multiplicity, satisfying the conditions: $3 \leqslant r_{j} \leqslant r / 2 ; \varepsilon \leqslant \varphi_{j} \leqslant \pi-\varepsilon$. Clearly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(\varepsilon ; \delta, r / 2) \leqslant k+N(\varepsilon, \delta, 3) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $f(z)=h(z+i \gamma)$ where $\gamma=\sin \varepsilon$. Clearly, $f(z)$ is holomorphic in $\overline{\mathbb{C}}_{+}$and by (3.1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(z)| \leqslant C_{\varepsilon} \exp \left(C_{\varepsilon}|z|^{n}\right), \quad \forall z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}_{+} . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $z_{j}^{\prime}=z_{j}-i \gamma, j=1, \ldots, k$, are zeros of $f(z)$. Set $r_{j}^{\prime}=\left|z_{j}^{\prime}\right|$ and $\varphi_{j}^{\prime}=\arg z_{j}^{\prime}$. It is easy to check that $2 \leqslant r_{j}^{\prime} \leqslant 2 r / 3$ and $\sin \varphi_{j}^{\prime} \geqslant$
$2^{-1} \sin \varepsilon, j=1, \ldots, k$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r_{j}^{\prime-1}-r_{j}^{\prime} r^{-2}\right) \sin \varphi_{j}^{\prime} \geqslant(5 \gamma / 12) r^{-1}, \quad j=1, \ldots, k \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, applying Lemma 2 to $f(z)$ with $r_{0}=2$ and using (3.17) and (3.18), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
(5 \gamma / 12) r^{-1} k & \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(r_{j}^{\prime-1}-r_{j}^{\prime} r^{-2}\right) \sin \varphi_{j}^{\prime} \\
& \leqslant(\pi r)^{-1} \int_{0}^{\pi} \log \left|f\left(r e^{i \varphi}\right)\right| \sin \varphi d \varphi \\
& +(2 \pi)^{-1} \int_{2}^{r}\left(t^{-2}-r^{-2}\right) \log |f(t) f(-t)| d t+C_{\varepsilon} \\
& \leqslant C_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} r^{-1}\left(r^{n}+1\right)+C_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \int_{2}^{r} t^{-2}\left(t^{n}+1\right) d t+C_{\varepsilon} \\
& \leqslant C_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime} r^{-1}\left(r^{n}+1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
k \leqslant(12 / 5 \gamma) C_{\varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}\left(r^{n}+1\right) \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now (2.17) follows from (3.16) and (3.19) at once.
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