

On the endomorphism ring of the canonical module

By

Yoichi AOYAMA and Shiro GOTO

(Communicated by Prof. Nagata, Nov. 9, 1983)

Introduction.

A ring will always mean a commutative ring with unit. Let R be a noetherian ring, M a finitely generated R -module and N a submodule of M . We denote by $\text{Min}_R(M)$ the set of all minimal elements in $\text{Supp}_R(M)$. In the case where M is of finite dimension, we put $\text{Assh}_R(M) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(M) \mid \dim R/\mathfrak{p} = \dim M\}$ and $U_M(N) = \bigcap Q$ where Q runs through all the primary components of N in M such that $\dim M/Q = \dim M/N$. Let T be an R -module and \mathfrak{a} an ideal of R . $E_R(T)$ denotes the injective envelope of T and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(T)$ is the i -th local cohomology module of T with respect to \mathfrak{a} . A semi-local ring means a noetherian ring with a finite number of maximal ideals and a local ring is a semi-local ring with unique maximal ideal. We denote by $\hat{}$ the Jacobson radical adic completion over a semi-local ring. For a ring R , $Q(R)$ denotes the total quotient ring of R and we define $\dim_R 0$ to be $-\infty$ and $\text{height } R$ to be $+\infty$.

First we recall the definition of the canonical module.

Definition 0.1 ([6, Definition 5.6]). Let R be an n -dimensional local ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{n} . An R -module C is called *the canonical module* of R if $C \otimes_R \hat{R} \cong \text{Hom}_R(H_{\mathfrak{n}}^n(R), E_R(R/\mathfrak{n}))$.

When R is complete, the canonical module C of R exists and is the module which represents the functor $\text{Hom}_R(H_{\mathfrak{n}}^n(), E_R(R/\mathfrak{n}))$, that is, $\text{Hom}_R(H_{\mathfrak{n}}^n(M), E_R(R/\mathfrak{n})) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, C)$ (functorial) for any R -module M ([6, Satz 5.2]). For elementary properties of the canonical module, we refer the reader to [5, §6], [6, 5 und 6 Vorträge] and [2, §1]. If R is a homomorphic image of a Gorenstein ring, R has the canonical module C and it is well known that $C_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the canonical module of $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for every \mathfrak{p} in $\text{Supp}_R(C)$ ([6, Korollar 5.25]). On the other hand, as was shown by Ogoma [7, §6], there exists a local ring with canonical module and non-Gorenstein formal fibre, hence not a homomorphic image of a Gorenstein ring. But the following fact holds in general and our consideration largely depends on it.

Acknowledgement. Both authors were partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Co-operative Research.

Theorem 0.2 ([2, Corollary 4.3]). *Let R be a local ring with canonical module C and let \mathfrak{p} be in $\text{Supp}_R(C)$. Then $C_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the canonical module of $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$.*

Here we state the definitions of the condition (S_t) and a quasi-Gorenstein ring, which are important in our research.

Definition 0.3. Let R be a noetherian ring, M a finitely generated R -module and t an integer. We say that M is (S_t) if $\text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq \min\{t, \dim M_{\mathfrak{p}}\}$ for every \mathfrak{p} in $\text{Supp}_R(M)$.

Definition 0.4 (Platte and Storch). A local ring is said to be *quasi-Gorenstein* if it has a free canonical module. A noetherian ring R is called a *quasi-Gorenstein ring* if $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a quasi-Gorenstein local ring for every prime ideal \mathfrak{p} .

A local ring is quasi-Gorenstein if and only if so is the completion. A noetherian ring R is quasi-Gorenstein if and only if $R_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is a quasi-Gorenstein local ring for every maximal ideal \mathfrak{n} by [2, Corollary 2.4]. A noetherian ring is a Gorenstein ring if and only if it is a quasi-Gorenstein Cohen-Macaulay ring.

Throughout the paper, A denotes a d -dimensional local ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} and canonical module K . We put $H = \text{End}_A(K)$ and let h be the natural map from A to H .

In the previous paper [2], the following properties of H were shown :

(0.5.1) H is a finite (S_2) over-ring of $A/U_A(0)$ contained in $Q(A/U_A(0))$. ([2, Theorem 3.2])

(0.5.2) $\dim_A \text{Coker}(h) \leq d-2$. ([2, Proof of Theorem 4.2])

The main purpose of this paper is to show that H is characterized by the above properties (Theorem 1.6). In section 1, first we show that the map h is an isomorphism if and only if A is (S_2) using Theorem 0.2, and then we prove Theorem 1.6, by which we can consider H as the unique (S_2) -fication of A in a certain sense. As a corollary, we have a remark on the existence of the canonical module (Corollary 1.8). In connection with this, it was recently found out that A is a homomorphic image of a Gorenstein ring if A is an equidimensional local ring of dimension 2 or $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(A)$ is of finite length for $i \neq d$. Now we assume $U_A(0) = 0$ and put $\mathfrak{c} = A : H$. Let T be the \mathfrak{c} -transform of A , i.e., $T = \{x \in Q(A) \mid x\mathfrak{c} \subseteq A \text{ for some } t\}$. Then we show that $T \cong H$ as A -algebras. In section 2 we show that H is a Cohen-Macaulay ring if and only if K is a Cohen-Macaulay module and, as a corollary, that A is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if A is (S_2) and K is Cohen-Macaulay (a result of Schenzel). In section 3 we consider the ideal $\mathfrak{g}_A = \text{Im}(K \otimes_A \text{Hom}_A(K, A) \rightarrow A)$. The ideal \mathfrak{g}_A is closely related to Gorensteinness in the case where A is Cohen-Macaulay ([6, 6 Vortrag]) and in general related to quasi-Gorensteinness, that is, A is quasi-Gorenstein if and only if $\mathfrak{g}_A = A$ (Proposition 3.3). The proofs of results in section 3 essentially depend on Theorem 0.2. We also show that the quasi-Gorensteinness of H implies

$g_A=c$ if $U_A(0)=0$ and the converse does not hold. In the appendix we give a generalization of [6, Satz 6.14] and [2, Proposition 4.1]. Let B be a faithfully flat local A -algebra. Then we prove that $K \otimes_A B$ is the canonical module of B if and only if $B/\mathfrak{m}B$ is Gorenstein under the condition that $B/\mathfrak{m}B$ is Cohen-Macaulay. This result is related to the existence problem of the canonical module for certain local rings.

1. A characterization of H .

We begin with the following

Lemma 1.1 ([7, Lemma 4.1]). *Assume that $\text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq \min \{2, \dim A_{\mathfrak{p}}\}$ for every \mathfrak{p} in $\text{Supp}_A(K)$. Then $\text{Ass}(A)=\text{Assh}(A)$, that is, $U_A(0)=0$.*

Proof. Here we give a proof using Theorem 0.2. We proceed by induction on d . If $d \leq 2$, then A is Cohen-Macaulay and the assertion is obvious. Let $d > 2$ and let $(0)=\mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{q}_t$ be a primary decomposition of the zero ideal in A such that $\dim A/\mathfrak{q}_i = d$ if and only if $i \leq s$ ($1 \leq s \leq t$). We put $\mathfrak{a}=\mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{q}_s$ and $\mathfrak{b}=\mathfrak{q}_{s+1} \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{q}_t$. Note that $\mathfrak{a}=U_A(0)=\text{ann}_A(K)$ (cf. [2, (1.8)]). Let \mathfrak{p} be a non-maximal prime ideal in $\text{Supp}_A(K)$. Then $U_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}(0)=0$ by the induction hypothesis because $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the canonical module of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Since $U_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}(0)=(U_A(0))_{\mathfrak{p}}$ by [2, (1.9)], we have $\mathfrak{p} \not\supseteq \mathfrak{b}$. Suppose that $s < t$. Then $\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{b}$ is an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal. Since $\text{depth } A \geq 2$ and $\text{depth } A/\mathfrak{a} \oplus A/\mathfrak{b} \geq 1$, we have $\text{depth } A/\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{b} > 0$ from the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{a} \oplus A/\mathfrak{b} \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{b} \rightarrow 0$. This is a contradiction. Hence we have $s=t$, that is, $\mathfrak{a}=0$. q. e. d.

Proposition 1.2 (cf. [1, Proposition 2] and [7, Proposition 4.2]). *The following are equivalent:*

- (a) *The map h is an isomorphism.*
- (b) *\hat{A} is (S_2) .*
- (b') *For every \mathfrak{q} in $\text{Supp}_{\hat{A}}(\hat{K})$, $\text{depth } \hat{A}_{\mathfrak{q}} \geq \min \{2, \dim \hat{A}_{\mathfrak{q}}\}$.*
- (c) *A is (S_2) .*
- (c') *For every \mathfrak{p} in $\text{Supp}_A(K)$, $\text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq \min \{2, \dim A_{\mathfrak{p}}\}$.*

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) and (a) \Rightarrow (c) follow from [2, (1.10)]. (b) \Rightarrow (b') and (c) \Rightarrow (c') are obvious, and (b') \Rightarrow (c') is well known. Hence it is sufficient to prove (c') \Rightarrow (a). We proceed by induction on d . If $d \leq 2$, then A is Cohen-Macaulay and the assertion is known (cf. [6, 6 Vortrag]). Let $d > 2$. By the induction hypothesis and Theorem 0.2, $\text{Coker}(h_{\mathfrak{p}})=0$ for every non-maximal prime ideal \mathfrak{p} . By Lemma 1.1, we have $\text{Ker}(h)=\text{ann}_A(K)=U_A(0)=0$. Since $\text{depth } A \geq 2$, $\text{depth } H \geq 2$ and $\text{Coker}(h)$ is of finite length, we have $\text{Coker}(h)=0$. Hence h is an isomorphism. q. e. d.

Corollary 1.3. *Assume $\text{Min}(A)=\text{Assh}(A)$. Then the (S_2) -locus $\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A) \mid A_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ is } (S_2)\}$ is open in $\text{Spec}(A)$.*

Remark 1.4. The following are equivalent:

- (a) A is (S_2) .
- (b) $H_m^d(K) \cong E_A(A/\mathfrak{m})$.
- (c) There is a finitely generated A -module M such that $H_m^d(M) \cong E_A(A/\mathfrak{m})$.

Proof. We may assume that A is complete by virtue of Proposition 1.2.

(a) \Rightarrow (b): Since $A \cong H = \text{Hom}_A(K, K) \cong \text{Hom}_A(H_m^d(K), E_A(A/\mathfrak{m}))$ (cf. [6, Satz 5.2]), we have $H_m^d(K) \cong E_A(A/\mathfrak{m})$.

(b) \Rightarrow (c): Trivial.

(c) \Rightarrow (a): Since $\text{Hom}_A(M, K) \cong \text{Hom}_A(H_m^d(M), E_A(A/\mathfrak{m})) \cong \text{Hom}_A(E_A(A/\mathfrak{m}), E_A(A/\mathfrak{m})) \cong A$ and K is (S_2) , we have the assertion. q. e. d.

Remark 1.5. Let M be a finitely generated (S_2) A -module such that $H_m^d(M) \cong E_A(A/\mathfrak{m})$ and $\text{Min}_A(M) = \text{Assh}_A(M)$. Then $M \cong K$. In this case A is (S_2) . (This gives another proof of the case (I) of [2, Theorem 4.2]).

Proof. By [2, Proposition 4.4], we have $M \cong \text{Hom}_A(\text{Hom}_A(M, K), K)$. Hence we have $M \cong K$ because $\text{Hom}_A(M, K) \cong A$. (Note that $\text{Hom}_A(N, K) \cong A$ if and only if $H_m^d(N) \cong E_A(A/\mathfrak{m})$ for a finitely generated A -module N). q. e. d.

Now we state and prove our main result.

Theorem 1.6. *Let R be an A -algebra with structure homomorphism f . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) $R \cong H$ as A -algebras.
- (b) R satisfies the following conditions
 - (i) R is (S_2) and finitely generated as an A -module,
 - (ii) For every maximal ideal \mathfrak{n} of R , $\dim R_{\mathfrak{n}} = d$, and
 - (iii) $\dim_A \text{Coker}(f) \leq d-2$ and $\dim_A \text{Ker}(f) \leq d-1$.

Proof. By virtue of [2, Theorem 3.2], it is sufficient to prove (b) \Rightarrow (a). First we see $\text{Ker}(f) = U_A(0)$. By [6, Satz 5.12] and the condition (ii), $\text{Hom}_A(R, K)_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is the canonical module of $R_{\mathfrak{n}}$ for every maximal ideal \mathfrak{n} of R . Since $R_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is (S_2) , we have $\text{Ass}(R_{\mathfrak{n}}) = \text{Assh}(R_{\mathfrak{n}})$ by Lemma 1.1. Let \mathfrak{q} be in $\text{Ass}(R)$ and \mathfrak{n} a maximal ideal containing \mathfrak{q} . Then we have $\dim R_{\mathfrak{n}}/\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{n}} = d$ and $\dim R/\mathfrak{q} = d$. Hence we have $\mathfrak{q} \cap A \in \text{Assh}(A)$. Let s be an element of $A \setminus \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Assh}(A)} \mathfrak{p}$. Then $f(s)$ is not a zero divisor in R . Hence we have $U_A(0) \subseteq \text{Ker}(f)$ because $sU_A(0) = 0$ for some s in $A \setminus \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Assh}(A)} \mathfrak{p}$. By the condition (iii), we have $\text{Ker}(f)_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ for every \mathfrak{p} in $\text{Assh}(A)$. Hence we have $U_A(0) = \text{Ker}(f)$. We may assume $U_A(0) = 0$ because K is the canonical module of $A/U_A(0)$ and $H = \text{End}_{A/U_A(0)}(K)$ (cf. [2, (1.8)]). We put $L = \text{Hom}_A(R, K)$. Note that $L_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is the canonical module of $R_{\mathfrak{n}}$ for every maximal ideal \mathfrak{n} of R . Since $\dim_A R/A \leq d-2$, $\text{Hom}_A(R/A, K) = 0$ and $\text{Ext}_A^1(R/A, K) = 0$ by [2, (1.10)]. Hence we have an isomorphism $L = \text{Hom}_A(R, K) \cong \text{Hom}_A(A, K) \cong K$ from the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/A \rightarrow 0$. From this isomorphism, we obtain an A -algebra isomorphism from H to $\text{End}_A(L)$. Because H is commutative, so is $\text{End}_A(L)$ and therefore $\text{End}_A(L) = \text{End}_R(L)$. Since R is (S_2) , $R \cong \text{End}_R(L)$

by Proposition 1.2. Hence we have $R \cong H$ as A -algebras. Finally we note that, if $R \subseteq Q(A/U_A(0))$, the condition (ii) holds (cf. [2, Proof of Theorem 3.2(2)]).

q. e. d.

As a corollary to the above proof, we have the following corollary which is an essential part of the proof of [2, Theorem 4.2].

Corollary 1.7. *Let B be a local ring and assume that there is a ring R satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) R is a finite over-ring of B ,
- (ii) For every maximal ideal \mathfrak{n} of R , $\dim R_{\mathfrak{n}} = \dim B$,
- (iii) R has the canonical module T , i. e., $T_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is the canonical module of $R_{\mathfrak{n}}$ for every maximal ideal \mathfrak{n} of R , and
- (iv) $\dim_B R/B \leq \dim B - 2$.

Then T , as a B -module, is the canonical module of B . Furthermore if R is (S_2) , then $U_B(0) = 0$ and $R \cong \text{End}_B(T)$ as B -algebras.

From the above results, we have the following corollaries concerning the existence of the canonical module.

Corollary 1.8. *Let B be a local ring of dimension n . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) B has the canonical module.
- (b) There is a finite B -algebra R with structure homomorphism g such that
 - (i) R is (S_2) , $\dim_B \text{Ker}(g) \leq n - 1$ and $\dim_B \text{Coker}(g) \leq n - 2$,
 - (ii) For every maximal ideal \mathfrak{n} of R , $\dim R_{\mathfrak{n}} = n$, and
 - (iii) R is a homomorphic image of an n -dimensional quasi-Gorenstein ring.
- (c) There is a finite over-ring R of $B/U_B(0)$ satisfying
 - (i) $\dim_B \text{Coker}(B \rightarrow R) \leq n - 2$,
 - (ii) For every maximal ideal \mathfrak{n} of R , $\dim R_{\mathfrak{n}} = n$, and
 - (iii) R is a homomorphic image of an n -dimensional quasi-Gorenstein ring.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b): Let L be the canonical module of B and $R = \text{End}_B(L)$. Then R satisfies (i) and (ii) (cf. [2, Theorem 3.2]). By [2, Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 2.11], $R \rtimes L$, the idealization, is an n -dimensional quasi-Gorenstein ring, hence R also satisfies (iii).

(b) \Rightarrow (c): Obvious (cf. Proof of Theorem 1.6).

(c) \Rightarrow (a): R satisfies the conditions in Corollary 1.7 with respect to $B/U_B(0)$ (cf. [6, Satz 5.12]). Therefore B has the canonical module by virtue of [2, (1.12)].

q. e. d.

Corollary 1.9. *Let B be a local ring of dimension 2. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) B has the canonical module.
- (b) There is a finite B -algebra R with structure homomorphism g such that
 - (i) R is a Cohen-Macaulay ring which is a homomorphic image of a

Gorenstein ring,

- (ii) For every maximal ideal \mathfrak{n} of R , $\dim R_{\mathfrak{n}}=2$, and
- (iii) $\dim_B \text{Ker}(g) \leq 1$ and $\text{Coker}(g)$ is of finite length.

As was seen in [2, Example 3.3], H is not necessarily a local ring. With this we remark the following proposition. The proof is not difficult, so we leave it to the reader.

Proposition 1.10. *Let $\mathfrak{n}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{n}_r$ be the maximal ideals of H . Then \hat{K} has a decomposition $\hat{K} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r K_i$ by indecomposable \hat{A} -modules K_1, \dots, K_r such that $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{n}_i} \cong \text{Hom}_{\hat{A}}(K_i, K_i)$ for $i=1, \dots, r$ and $\text{Hom}_{\hat{A}}(K_i, K_j)=0$ for $i \neq j$. In this case $K_i \cong \widehat{K}_{\mathfrak{n}_i}$ for $i=1, \dots, r$. In particular, H is a local ring if and only if \hat{K} is an indecomposable \hat{A} -module.*

Next we consider a relation between H and ideal transforms.

Let R be a ring and I an ideal containing a non zero divisor. From the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow I^t \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I^t \rightarrow 0$, we have the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(I^t, R) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(R/I^t, R) \rightarrow 0$. Taking the direct limits, we have the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow \underset{t}{\text{indlim}} \text{Hom}_R(I^t, R) \rightarrow H^1(R) \rightarrow 0$. For an ideal J of R , we put $R(J) = \{x \in Q(R) \mid xJ^t \subseteq R \text{ for some } t\}$, the J -transform of R , which is an R -subalgebra of $Q(R)$. $R :_{Q(R)} I^t$ is naturally isomorphic to $\text{Hom}_R(I^t, R)$. Hence, from the above argument, we have the following

Lemma 1.11. *There is an exact sequence of R -modules $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow R(I) \rightarrow H^1(R) \rightarrow 0$ and $R(I)$ is an R -subalgebra of $Q(R)$.*

We put $\mathfrak{c} = \{a \in A \mid aH \subseteq h(A)\}$. The ideal \mathfrak{c} is uniquely determined.

In the remainder of this section, we assume that $d \geq 2$ and $U_A(0)=0$.

Since $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the canonical module of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for every prime ideal \mathfrak{p} , $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is (S_2) if and only if $\mathfrak{p} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{c}$ by Proposition 1.2.

Proposition 1.12. *There is a unique intermediate ring R between A and $Q(A)$ such that $R \cong H$ as A -algebras. In this case $R = A(\mathfrak{c}) = A :_{Q(A)} \mathfrak{c}$.*

Proof. The existence of such a ring is due to [2, Theorem 3.2]. Let R be a ring such that $A \subseteq R \subseteq Q(A)$ and $R \cong H$ as A -algebras. We must show $R = A(\mathfrak{c}) = A :_{Q(A)} \mathfrak{c}$. If $\mathfrak{c} = A$, the assertion is obvious. Let $\mathfrak{c} \neq A$. Since $\text{height } \mathfrak{c} \geq 2$ (we assume $U_A(0)=0$), there is a subsystem x, y of parameters contained in \mathfrak{c} . Because x, y is a K -regular sequence ([2, (1.10)]), x, y is also an R -regular sequence. Hence we have $H_{\mathfrak{c}}^0(R)=0$ and $H^1(R)=0$. From the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow Q(A) \rightarrow Q(A)/R \rightarrow 0$, we have $H_{\mathfrak{c}}^0(Q(A)/R)=0$. Hence from the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow R/A \rightarrow Q(A)/A \rightarrow Q(A)/R \rightarrow 0$, we have $R/A \cong H_{\mathfrak{c}}^0(R/A) = H_{\mathfrak{c}}^0(Q(A)/A) = A(\mathfrak{c})/A$ and therefore $R \cong A(\mathfrak{c})$. On the other hand, we have $\mathfrak{c}R = \mathfrak{c} \subseteq A$ because $\mathfrak{c} = A :_A R$. Hence we have $R \subseteq A : \mathfrak{c} \subseteq A(\mathfrak{c})$. q. e. d.

Corollary 1.13. *The following are equivalent :*

- (a) $A(\mathfrak{m}) \cong H$ as A -algebras.
- (b) For every non-maximal prime ideal \mathfrak{p} , $\text{depth } A_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq \min \{2, \dim A_{\mathfrak{p}}\}$.
- (c) $\mathfrak{c} \supseteq \mathfrak{m}^t$ for some t .

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b): By Lemma 1.11, there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow H \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(A) \rightarrow 0$. Since $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(A)_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ for every non-maximal prime ideal \mathfrak{p} and H is (S_2) , we have the assertion.

(b) \Rightarrow (c): Because $\mathfrak{p} \not\supseteq \mathfrak{c}$ for every non-maximal prime ideal \mathfrak{p} .

(c) \Rightarrow (a): If $\mathfrak{c} \neq A$, $A(\mathfrak{m}) = A(\mathfrak{c}) \cong H$. If $\mathfrak{c} = A$, $A = A(\mathfrak{c}) \cong H$. On the other hand $A(\mathfrak{m}) = A$ because $\text{depth } A \geq 2$. q. e. d.

Corollary 1.14. (1) *If $d=2$, then $A(\mathfrak{m}) \cong H$ as A -algebras.*

(2) *If $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(A)$ is of finite length for $i \neq d$, then $A(\mathfrak{m}) \cong H$ as A -algebras.*

Remark 1.15. Assume that $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(A) = 0$ for $i \neq 1, d$ and $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(A)$ is of finite length. Then $A(\mathfrak{m}) \cong H$ is just the Cohen-Macaulayfication of A due to the second author [3]. (cf. Example 2.4(3))

2. The Cohen-Macaulayness of H .

For a finitely generated A -module M of dimension d , we put $K_M = \text{Hom}_A(M, K)$. Note that $K_M \otimes_A \hat{A} \cong \text{Hom}_A(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(M), E_A(A/\mathfrak{m}))$ and that in the case where A is complete K_M is the module representing the functor $\text{Hom}_A(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(-) \otimes_A M, E_A(A/\mathfrak{m}))$ (cf. [6, Satz 5.2]). By the same argument as in [1, Proof of Lemma 1], we have the following

Lemma 2.1. *Let M be a finitely generated A -module of dimension d and depth t .*

(1) *If M is a Cohen-Macaulay module, then K_M is also a Cohen-Macaulay module.*

(2) *Assume that M is not a Cohen-Macaulay module and put $s = \max \{i \mid i < d \text{ and } H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M) \neq 0\}$.*

(i) *If $\text{depth}_{\hat{\lambda}} \text{Hom}_A(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^s(M), E_A(A/\mathfrak{m})) = 0$, then*

$$\text{depth } K_M = \begin{cases} d-s+1 & \text{if } s > 0, \\ d & \text{if } s = 0. \end{cases}$$

(ii) *If $s=t$ and $\text{depth}_{\hat{\lambda}} \text{Hom}_A(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^t(M), E_A(A/\mathfrak{m})) = u$, then*

$$\text{depth } K_M = \begin{cases} d-t+u+1 & \text{if } u < t, \\ d & \text{if } u = t. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 2.2. *H is a Cohen-Macaulay ring if and only if K is a Cohen-Macaulay module.*

Proof. Since $H = \text{Hom}_A(K, K)$ and $K \cong \text{Hom}_A(H, K)$, the assertion immedia-

tely follows from Lemma 2.1(1).

q. e. d.

Corollary 2.3 (Schenzel). *A is a Cohen-Macaulay ring if and only if A is (S_2) and K is a Cohen-Macaulay module.*

Example 2.4. (1) If $d \leq 2$, then H is always Cohen-Macaulay.

(2) Let t, n be integers such that $0 \leq t < n$. Then there is a local ring B with Cohen-Macaulay canonical module L such that $\text{depth } B = t$ and $\dim B = n$ ([1, Theorem 1]) and $\text{End}_B(L)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring.

(3) If $H_m^i(A) = 0$ for $1 < i < d$, then H is a Cohen-Macaulay ring. (cf. Lemma 2.1 and [1, Proof of Lemma 1])

(4) If A is an approximately Cohen-Macaulay ring, then H is a Cohen-Macaulay ring. (See [4]).

3. The quasi-Gorensteinness and the ideal \mathfrak{g}_A .

We begin with the following two facts which are slight generalizations of results in [6]. The proofs are parallel to those given in [6] by virtue of Theorem 0.2, so we omit them.

(3.1) (cf. [6, Korollar 6.7]). *Assume $\text{Ass}(A) = \text{Assh}(A)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) *For every minimal prime ideal \mathfrak{p} , $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a Gorenstein ring.*
- (b) *K is a fractional ideal of A .*
- (c) *K is a fractional ideal of A containing a non zero divisor.*

(3.2) (cf. [6, Korollar 7.29]). *Assume that $d \geq 1$ and $\text{Min}(A) = \text{Assh}(A)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) *K is a reflexive A -module.*
- (b) *A is (S_1) and $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a Gorenstein ring for every prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of height one.*

Let \mathfrak{g}_A be the image of the natural map from $K \otimes_A \text{Hom}_A(K, A)$ to A . The ideal \mathfrak{g}_A is uniquely determined (cf. [6, p. 83]). By Theorem 0.2, we have $\mathfrak{g}_A A_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{g}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ for every \mathfrak{p} in $\text{Supp}_A(K)$.

Proposition 3.3. *A is a quasi-Gorenstein ring if and only if $\mathfrak{g}_A = A$. (cf. [6, Korollar 6.20]).*

Proof. It is sufficient to show the “if” part. Since $\mathfrak{g}_A = A$, there is a surjection from K to A . Hence A is a direct summand of K . Since K is (S_2) (cf. [2, (1.10)]), so is A and $H \cong A$ by Proposition 1.2. Hence K is indecomposable by Proposition 1.10 and therefore $K \cong A$.
q. e. d.

Corollary 3.4. *For a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} in $\text{Supp}_A(K)$, $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a quasi-Gorenstein ring if and only if $\mathfrak{p} \notin \mathfrak{g}_A$. Consequently, if $\text{Min}(A) = \text{Assh}(A)$, $\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A) \mid A_{\mathfrak{p}}$*

is quasi-Gorenstein} is open in $\text{Spec}(A)$.

Corollary 3.5. *A is a Gorenstein ring if and only if K is a Cohen-Macaulay module and $\mathfrak{g}_A=A$.*

Corollary 3.6. *Assume $\text{Ass}(A)=\text{Assh}(A)$. Then K is a fractional ideal of A if and only if $\text{height } \mathfrak{g}_A \geq 1$.*

Corollary 3.7. *Assume that $d \geq 1$ and $\text{Min}(A)=\text{Assh}(A)$. Then K is a reflexive A -module if and only if A is (S_1) and $\text{height } \mathfrak{g}_A \geq 2$.*

In the remainder of this section, we assume $U_A(0)=0$.

Since K is an H -module by the usual way, \mathfrak{g}_A is also an ideal of H . The ideal \mathfrak{c} is just the conductor $A :_A H$, the largest common ideal. Hence we have the following inclusion

$$(3.8) \quad \mathfrak{g}_A \subseteq \mathfrak{c}.$$

Of course the equality $\mathfrak{g}_A=\mathfrak{c}$ does not hold in general, for example, $\mathfrak{g}_A \neq \mathfrak{c}$ if A is a non-Gorenstein Cohen-Macaulay ring.

Proposition 3.9. *If H is a quasi-Gorenstein ring, then $\mathfrak{g}_A=\mathfrak{c}$.*

Proof. Since $\text{Hom}_A(K, A) \cong \text{Hom}_A(H, A) \cong \mathfrak{c}$, we have $\mathfrak{g}_A = \text{Im}(K \otimes_A \text{Hom}_A(K, A) \rightarrow A) = \text{Im}(H \otimes_A \mathfrak{c} \rightarrow A) = \mathfrak{c}$. q. e. d.

The converse to Proposition 3.9 does not hold.

Example 3.10. Let k be a field and let x, y be indeterminates. We put $B=k[[x^6, x^9, x^2y, x^5y, xy^2, y^3]]$, \mathfrak{n} =the maximal ideal of B , $R=k[[x^3, x^2y, xy^2, y^3]]$ and $L=(x^2y, xy^2)R$. Then it is known that R is a non-Gorenstein Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension 2 and L is the canonical module of R . It is obvious that R is finitely generated as a B -module and $B :_B R = \mathfrak{n}$, especially $\dim_B R/B = 0$. Hence $L=(x^2y, x^5y, xy^2)B$ is the canonical module of B and $R \cong \text{End}_B(L)$ by Corollary 1.7. It is easy to see $\mathfrak{g}_B=\mathfrak{n}$ because y/x and x^4/y are in $\text{Hom}_B(L, B)$.

Remark 3.11. It is easy to see that H is a reflexive A -module (e.g., by induction on d using Theorem 0.2). Hence we have that, if $\text{height } \mathfrak{g}_A \geq 2$ and $\text{Hom}_A(K, A) \cong \mathfrak{c}$, then H is a quasi-Gorenstein ring.

Appendix.

In this appendix we give a generalization of [6, Satz 6.14] and [2, Proposition 4.1].

In the following let B denote a faithfully flat local A -algebra.

Theorem 4.1. *The following are equivalent :*

- (a) $B/\mathfrak{m}B$ is a Gorenstein ring.

(b) $K \otimes_A B$ is the canonical of B and $B/\mathfrak{m}B$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring.

Proof. Suppose that $B/\mathfrak{m}B$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring and let y_1, \dots, y_r be a system of elements in the maximal ideal of B which forms a maximal $B/\mathfrak{m}B$ -regular sequence ($r = \dim B/\mathfrak{m}B$). Let $R = A[X_1, \dots, X_r]_{(\mathfrak{m}, X_1, \dots, X_r)}$ with indeterminates X_1, \dots, X_r over A and let f be the natural A -algebra homomorphism from R to B such that $f(X_i) = y_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then it is known that the map f is a flat local homomorphism by the local criterion of flatness. By [6, Korollar 5.12], $L = K \otimes_A R$ is the canonical module of R . Let \mathfrak{n} be the maximal ideal of R . Since $L \otimes_R B = K \otimes_A B$ and $B/\mathfrak{n}B \cong B/(\mathfrak{m}, y_1, \dots, y_r)B$ is an artinian ring, the assertion follows from [2, Proposition 4.1]. q. e. d.

Corollary 4.2. *The following are equivalent :*

- (a) A is a quasi-Gorenstein ring and $B/\mathfrak{m}B$ is a Gorenstein ring.
- (b) B is a quasi-Gorenstein ring and $B/\mathfrak{m}B$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring.

Corollary 4.3. *Assume that $B/\mathfrak{m}B$ is a Gorenstein ring.*

- (1) *If A is (S_2) , then B is also (S_2) .*
- (2) *If M is a finitely generated (S_2) A -module of dimension d such that $\text{Min}_A(M) = \text{Assh}_A(M)$, then $M \otimes_A B$ is (S_2) and $\dim B/\mathfrak{q} = \dim B$ for every \mathfrak{q} in $\text{Min}_B(M \otimes_A B)$.*

Proof. The assertion (1) follows from Proposition 1.2 and Theorem 4.1, and (2) from [2, Proposition 4.4] and Theorem 4.1. q. e. d.

EHIME UNIVERSITY
NIHON UNIVERSITY

References

- [1] Y. Aoyama, On the depth and the projective dimension of the canonical module, *Japan. J. Math.*, **6** (1980), 61-66.
- [2] Y. Aoyama, Some basic results on canonical modules, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **23** (1983), 85-94.
- [3] S. Goto, On the Cohen-Macaulayfication of certain Buchsbaum rings, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **80** (1980), 107-116.
- [4] S. Goto, Approximately Cohen-Macaulay rings, *J. Algebra*, **76** (1982), 214-225.
- [5] A. Grothendieck, Local cohomology, *Lect. Notes Math.* **41**, Springer Verlag, 1967.
- [6] J. Herzog, E. Kunz et al., *Der kanonische Modul eines Cohen-Macaulay-Rings*, *Lect. Notes Math.* **238**, Springer Verlag, 1971.
- [7] T. Ogoma, Existence of dualizing complexes, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **24** (1984), 27-48.