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We find all positive integer solutions $(x, y, a, b, n)$ of $x^{2}+2^{a} \cdot 3^{b}=y^{n}$ with $n \geq 3$ and coprime $x$ and $y$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11D41, 11D61.

1. Introduction. The Diophantine equation $x^{2}+C=y^{n}$, where $x$ and $y$ are positive integers, $n \geq 3$ and $C$ is a given integer, has received considerable interest. The earliest reference seems to be an assertion by Fermat that he had shown that when $C=2, n=3$, the only solution is given by $x=5, y=3$; a proof was published by Euler in 1770. The first result for general $n$ is due to Lebesgue [9] who proved that there are no solutions for $C=1$. Ljunggren [10] solved this equation for $C=2$, Nagell $[13,14]$ solved it for $C=3,4$, and 5 and Chao [5] proved that it has no solutions for $C=-1$. For an extensive list of references one should consult Cohn's beautiful paper [6] in which he develops a method by which he finds all solutions of the above equation for 77 of the values of $C \leq 100$. This equation was later solved for two additional values of $C \leq 100$ (namely, $C=74$ and $C=86$ ) by Mignotte and de Weger [12]. It is interesting to mention that the equation $x^{2}+7=y^{n}$ is still unsolved.

In recent years, a different form of the above equation has been considered, namely, when $C$ is no longer a fixed integer but a power of a fixed prime. Le [8] investigated the equation $x^{2}+2^{m}=y^{n}$. Arif and Muriefah solved the equation $x^{2}+3^{m}=y^{n}$ when $m$ is odd (see [2]). They also gave partial results in the case when $m$ is even (see [1]) but the general solution in the case $m$ is even was found by Luca in [11].

For any nonzero integer $k$, let $P(k)$ be the largest prime dividing $k$. Let $C_{1}$ be any fixed positive constant. It follows, from the work of Bugeaud [4] and Turk [15], that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}+z=y^{n} \quad \text { with }(x, y)=1, P(z)<C_{1} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\max (|x|,|y|, n)$ is bounded by a constant computable in terms of $C_{1}$ alone.
In this paper, we find all solutions of (1.1) when $C_{1}=5$ and $z>0$. More precisely, we find all solutions of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}+2^{a} \cdot 3^{b}=y^{n} \quad \text { with } a, b \geq 0, n \geq 3,(x, y)=1 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof uses the new result on the existence of primitive divisors of the Lucas numbers due to Bilu et al. [3] as well as a computational result of de Weger [7].

## 2. The result

THEOREM 2.1. All positive solutions of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}+2^{a} \cdot 3^{b}=y^{n} \quad \text { with } a, b \geq 0, n \geq 3,(x, y)=1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

have $n=4$ or $n=3$. For $n=4$, the solutions are

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x, y)=(7,3),(23,5),(7,5),(47,7),(287,17) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $n=3$, the solutions are

$$
\begin{align*}
(x, y)= & (5,3),(11,5),(10,7),(17,7),(46,13),(35,13) \\
& (595,73),(955,97),(2681,193),(39151,1153) . \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

In the statement of the theorem we have listed only the values of $x, y$, and $n$ as the values of the parameters $a$ and $b$ that can be determined from the prime factor decomposition of $x^{2}-y^{n}$ once $x, y$, and $n$ are given.
From Lebesgue's result, we know that the equation $x^{2}+1=y^{n}$ has no positive solutions for $n \geq 3$ and from the work of Arif, Muriefah, and Luca, we know that the only positive solutions of the equation $x^{2}+3^{m}=y^{n}$ with $(x, y)=1$ are $(x, y, m, n)=$ $(10,7,5,3)$ and $(46,13,4,3)$. From now on, we assume that $a>0$. In particular, both $x$ and $y$ are odd.
3. The case $n \neq 3$ or 4 . In this section, we show that it suffices to assume that $n \in\{3,4\}$. Indeed, assume that $n \neq 4$. We may certainly assume that $n$ is an odd prime. If $n \neq 3$, it follows that $n \geq 5$. Write $2^{a} \cdot 3^{b}=d z^{2}$ where $d \in\{1,2,3,6\}$. Equation (2.1) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x+i \sqrt{d} z)(x-i \sqrt{d} z)=y^{n} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x$ is odd and $d z^{2}$ is even, it follows that the two ideals $[(x+i \sqrt{d} z)]$ and $[(x-i \sqrt{d} z)]$ are coprime in the ring of integers of $\mathbf{Q}(i \sqrt{d})$. Since the class number of $\mathbf{Q}(i \sqrt{d})$ is 1 or 2 and $n \geq 5$ is prime, it follows that there exists an integer $u$ and a root of unity $\varepsilon$ in $\mathbf{Q}(i \sqrt{d})$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x+i \sqrt{d} z=\varepsilon u^{n}, \quad x-i \sqrt{d} z=\overline{\varepsilon u}{ }^{n} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\varepsilon$ is a root of unity belonging to a quadratic extension of $\mathbf{Q}$, it follows that $\varepsilon^{k}=1$ for some $k \in\{1,2,3,4,6\}$. Since $n \geq 5$ is prime, it follows that up to a substitution one may assume that $\varepsilon=1$ in system (3.2). From (3.2) with $\varepsilon=1$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 i \sqrt{d} z=u^{n}-\bar{u}^{n} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since certainly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{u^{n}-\bar{u}^{n}}{u-\bar{u}} \in \mathbf{Z}, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\frac{u^{n}-\bar{u}^{n}}{u-\bar{u}}\right)<5 . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.5), we find that the Lucas number given by formula (3.4) has no primitive divisor. From [3], it follows that there are at most 10 pairs ( $u, n$ ) satisfying inequality (3.5) and all of them appear in [3, Table 1]. A quick investigation reveals that none of the $u$ 's from [3, Table 1] belongs to $\mathbf{Q}(i \sqrt{d})$ for some $d \in\{1,2,3,6\}$, which is the desired contradiction.
4. The case $n=4$. Let $S=\{k \mid P(k)<5\}$. Then, we have the following preliminary result.

Lemma 4.1. All solutions of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}=k \pm l \quad \text { with } k, l>0, k, l \in S,(k, l)=1 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

are

$$
\begin{align*}
(x, k, l)= & (1,2,1),(2,3,1),(3,8,1),(5,24,1),(7,48,1), \\
& (17,288,1),(1,4,3),(1,9,8),(5,16,9),(5,27,2),(7,81,32) . \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof of Lemma 4.1. This lemma is a particular case of a result of de Weger [7, Chapter 7].
The proof of the theorem for $n=4$. Rewrite (2.1) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{2}-x\right)\left(y^{2}+x\right)=2^{a} \cdot 3^{b} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $a>0$ and $(x, y)=1$, it follows that $\left(y^{2}-x, y^{2}+x\right)=2$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2}-x=k, \quad y^{2}+x=l, \quad \text { with } k, l>0, k, l \in S,(k, l)=2 . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2}=\frac{k}{2}+\frac{l}{2} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k / 2, l / 2 \in S$ are positive and coprime. By Lemma 4.1, we obtain that (4.5) has only 6 solutions. Five of them lead to solutions (2.2) of (2.1). One of the solutions of (4.5) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{2}+2^{2} \cdot 3=2^{4} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is not a convenient solution of (2.1) because $x=2$ and $y=2$ are not coprime.
The case $n=4$ is therefore settled.
5. The case $n=3$. We begin with another lemma.

Lemma 5.1. The only solutions of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 x^{2}=k \pm l \text { with } k, l>0, k, l \in S,(k, l) \in\{1,3\} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

are

$$
\begin{align*}
(x, k, l)= & (1,2,1),(1,4,1),(1,6,3),(2,9,3), \\
& (3,24,3),(5,72,3),(7,144,3),(17,864,3),  \tag{5.2}\\
& (1,12,9),(1,27,24),(5,48,27),(5,81,6),(7,243,96) .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof of Lemma 5.1. This lemma too is a particular instance of the more general computation of de Weger [7, Chapter 7].

The proof of the theorem for $n=3$. Write again $2^{a} \cdot 3^{b}=d z^{2}$ where $d \in$ $\{1,2,3,6\}$. From arguments employed in Section 3, we know that there exist $u$ and $\varepsilon$ in $\mathbf{Q}(i \sqrt{d})$ such that $y=|u|^{2}, \varepsilon$ is a root of unity and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x+i \sqrt{d} z=\varepsilon u^{3}, \quad x-i \sqrt{d} z=\overline{\varepsilon u^{3}} . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 i \sqrt{d} z=\varepsilon u^{3}-\overline{\varepsilon u^{3}} . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We distinguish two cases.
Case 1 ( $\varepsilon=1$ ). Equation (5.4) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 i \sqrt{d} z=u^{3}-\bar{u}^{3} . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume first that $u=a+i b \sqrt{d}$ with $a$ and $b$ integers. Then, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 i \sqrt{d} z=(a+i b \sqrt{d})^{3}-(a-i b \sqrt{d})^{3} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 i \sqrt{d} z=2 i \sqrt{d} b\left(3 a^{2}-d b^{2}\right) \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $b \mid z$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 a^{2}=d b^{2} \pm \frac{z}{b} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $k=d b^{2}$ and $l=z / b$. Notice that $k, l \in S$. Moreover, notice that $(k, l) \in\{1,3\}$. Indeed, if $(k, l) \notin\{1,3\}$, it follows that there exists a prime $p$ such that $p \mid(k, l, a)$. In particular, $p \mid d b^{2}$ and $p \mid a$, therefore $p \mid a^{2}+d b^{2}=y$. Since $p \mid z$ and $2^{a} \cdot 3^{b}=d z^{2}$, we come to $p \mid 2^{a} \cdot 3^{b}$. It follows now that $p \mid\left(y^{3}-2^{a} \cdot 3^{b}\right)=x^{2}$ and therefore $p \mid x$. This contradicts the fact that $x$ and $y$ are coprime. Now all solutions of (5.8) are given by Lemma 5.1. For example, the solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 \cdot 1^{2}=2^{1}+1 \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

gives either $a=1, d=2, b=1$, and $z=1$ or $a=1, d=1, b=1$, and $z=2$. The first possibility yields $y=a^{2}+d b^{2}=1+2=3$ and $d z^{2}=2$, which leads to the solution $3^{3}=2+5^{2}$ of (2.1). The second possibility gives $y=a^{2}+d b^{2}=2$ and $d z^{2}=4$, which leads to the solution $2^{3}=2^{2}+2^{2}$ of (2.1). This is not an acceptable solution, since $x=2$ and $y=2$ are not coprime.

All the solutions of (2.1) for the case $n=3$ except for $(x, y)=(10,7)$ are obtained in this way by identifying $a, b, d$, and $z$ from (5.8) via Lemma 5.1.

When $d=3$, we also need to investigate the case in which

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{a+i \sqrt{3} b}{2} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some odd integers $a$ and $b$. From (5.5), we simply get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
16 i \sqrt{3} z=(a+i \sqrt{3} b)^{3}-(a-i \sqrt{3} b)^{3} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
16 i \sqrt{3} z=2 i \sqrt{3} b\left(3 a^{2}-3 b^{2}\right) \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that $b$ divides $z$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 a^{2}=3 b^{2} \pm \frac{8 z}{b} \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 5.1, we derive that (5.13) has only two convenient solutions, namely, $3 \cdot 1^{2}=3 \cdot 3^{2}-8 \cdot 3$ and $3 \cdot 7^{2}=3 \cdot 3^{4}-8 \cdot 12$. These lead to the solutions $(x, y)=(10,7)$ and $(595,73)$ of $(2.1)$.
CASE $2(\varepsilon \neq 1)$. It is easy to see that the only case in which one may not be able to set $\varepsilon=1$ in system (5.4) is when $d=3$. In this case, one may assume that $\varepsilon=(1+i \sqrt{3}) / 2$ and that $u=(a+i \sqrt{3} b) / 2$ for some integers $a$ and $b$ such that $a \equiv b(\bmod 2)$. Then (5.4) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 i \sqrt{3} z=\left(\frac{1+i \sqrt{3}}{2}\right) \cdot\left(\frac{a+i \sqrt{3} b}{2}\right)^{3}-\left(\frac{1-i \sqrt{3}}{2}\right) \cdot\left(\frac{a-i \sqrt{3} b}{2}\right)^{3} . \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
16 z=a^{3}+3 a^{2} b-9 a b^{2}-3 b^{3} . \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume first that both $a$ and $b$ are odd. Then, from (5.15), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
16 z=\left(a^{3}-a b^{2}\right)+\left(3 a^{2} b-3 b^{3}\right)-8 a b^{2}=\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right)(a+3 b)-8 a b^{2} . \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $a$ and $b$ are both odd, we obtain that $16 \mid\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right)(a+3 b)$. Equation (5.16) forces $16 \mid 8 a b^{2}$, which is impossible.

Assume now that both $a$ and $b$ are even. Since $y=(a / 2)^{2}+3(b / 2)^{2}$ is odd, it follows that exactly one of the numbers $a / 2$ and $b / 2$ is even. Equation (5.15) now implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 z=\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{3}+3\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{b}{2}\right)-9\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)\left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^{2}-3\left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^{3} \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, (5.17) is now impossible, because precisely one of the numbers $a / 2$ and $b / 2$ is even and the other one is odd. Hence, this case can never occur.
The theorem is therefore completely proved.
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